

Demographic Forecasting

Lecture 3: parametric approaches

Ugofilippo Basellini

basellini@demogr.mpg.de

Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research

May 6, 2025



Course overview

- Lecture 1: direct extrapolation by (generalized) linear models
- Lecture 2: direct extrapolation by time-series methods
- **Lecture 3: parametric approaches**
- Lecture 4: Lee-Carter method



Parametric methods

Some advantages (see, e.g., Congdon 1993):

- *Smoothness*
- *Parsimony*
- *Interpolation*
- *Comparison*
- **Trends and forecasting**



Parametric methods

- Objective: obtain best fit with the smallest number of parameters
- Trade-off:
 - more parameters, better fit
 - more parameters, less statistical stability (overparameterization)



Fertility parametric methods

- Hadwiger (1940): $f_x = \frac{ab}{c} \left(\frac{c}{x}\right)^{3/2} e^{-b^2\left(\frac{c}{x} + \frac{x}{c} - 2\right)}$
- Chandola et al. (1999):

$$f_x = \alpha m \frac{b_1}{c_1} \left(\frac{c_1}{x}\right)^{3/2} e^{-b_1^2\left(\frac{c_1}{x} + \frac{x}{c_1} - 2\right)} \\ + (1 - m) \frac{b_2}{c_2} \left(\frac{c_2}{x}\right)^{3/2} e^{-b_2^2\left(\frac{c_2}{x} + \frac{x}{c_2} - 2\right)}$$

- Peristera and Kostaki (2007):

$$f_x = c_1 e^{-\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma_x}\right)^2}$$

with $\sigma_x = \sigma_{1x}$ for $x \leq \mu$ and $\sigma_x = \sigma_{2x}$ for $x > \mu$






Mortality parametric methods

- Adult mortality (typically $x \geq 30$):
 - Gompertz (1825): $m_x = e^{a+bx}$
 - Makeham (1860): $m_x = c + e^{a+bx}$
 - Perks (1932): $m_x = c + \frac{e^{a+bx}}{1+e^{a+bx}}$
- Overall mortality:
 - Thiele (1871): $m_x = a_1 e^{-b_1 x} + a_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} b_2^2 (x-c)^2} + a_3 e^{b_3 x}$
 - Siler (1979): $m_x = a_1 e^{-b_1 x} + a_2 + a_3 e^{b_3 x}$ (for animals, but used in demography - see, e.g., Canudas-Romo and Schoen (2005))
 - Heligman and Pollard (1980): $\frac{q_x}{1-q_x} = A^{(x+B)^C} + D e^{-E(\ln(x)-\ln(F))^2} + G H^x$



A simple parametric model for fertility



A simple parametric model for fertility

It looks like a simple log-quadratic model could fit the age-pattern of fertility rather well:

$$\ln(f_{x,t}) = \beta_{0,t} + \beta_{1,t}x + \beta_{2,t}x^2$$

i.e. we could fit a separate model for all years t and derive time-series for the model's parameters.



Exercise

Exercise


Open your [R](#) session. Load the [FertSWE.Rdata](#) dataset, and consider only data from 1950 onward. Further, focus on the year 2000, and fit a generalized linear model for births with exposures as an offset using age and age-squared as covariates. Plot the fitted values against the observed log rates.



One possible solution



One possible solution



Exercise

Exercise

Repeat this for all years the dataset (1950-2022), and plot the three time series of the estimated parameters over time.




One possible solution



One possible solution



One possible solution



Exercise

Exercise


Now forecast the three time-series using the most appropriate $ARIMA(p,d,q)$ model, and derive the forecast age-pattern of fertility in 2050.



One possible solution




One possible solution



One possible solution

Observed + Forecast




Uncertainty


- We can use the ARIMA simulations for future paths of the coefficients to derive prediction intervals for the age-pattern of fertility as well as for summary measures



TFR simulations



TFR 80% CI




PIs with parametric approach

- uncertainty appears to escalate quickly with forecasting horizon
- Why is that?



Simulated parameters




PIs with parametric approach

- large uncertainty in the forecast parameters
- where does this stem from?



Parameters' correlation



Parameters' correlation

- The time-series of the three estimated parameters are highly correlated between each other
- Yet, we are treating them independently by fitting univariate time-series models
- It would be better to use multivariate time-series methods, or a methodology that is based on a single time-series, like the Lee-Carter method (see tomorrow)



Towards Lee-Carter I

- We could generalize the simple parametric model for fertility to allow for a linear time trend:

$$\ln(f_{x,t}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2 + \beta_3 t$$

- Extrapolating the linear time trend can provide us with fertility forecasts



Exercise

Exercise

Fit a single GLM model to the same data, which includes a quadratic shape for age and a linear trend for time. Extrapolate the linear time index to compute fertility forecasts up to 2050.




One possible solution




Log-linear time trend

Age pattern




Time pattern




Log-linear time trend


Time pattern: observed and forecast




Log-linear time trend



Log-linear time trend



Log-linear time trend



Towards Lee-Carter II

Alternatively, we could relax the linear time trend assumption and estimate one parameter for each year:


$$\begin{aligned}\ln(f_{x,t}) &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2 + \sum_{t=2}^n \gamma_t \\ &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2 + \kappa_t\end{aligned}$$

- Extrapolating the non-linear time trend (e.g. using an ARIMA model) can provide us with fertility forecasts




Flexible time index

Age pattern




Time pattern




Flexible time index


Time pattern: observed and forecast




Flexible time index



Flexible time index



Flexible time index



Day 3 assignment

Assignment

6. Load the mortality data [MORTSWE.Rdata](#), and focus on male mortality from 1950 onwards for ages $30 \leq x \leq 100$. Fit and forecast adult mortality up to 2050 using the parametric Gompertz model, fitting a model for each year independently [*hint*: this is a generalized linear model with deaths as response variable, exposures as an offset, and an intercept and age as covariates]. To forecast, fit the most appropriate $ARIMA(p,d,q)$ models to the time-series of the two estimated parameters. Compute the 95% prediction intervals for life expectancy using simulations from the two ARIMA models.
 7. Load the mortality data [MORTSWE.Rdata](#), and focus on male mortality from 1950 onwards for ages $30 \leq x \leq 100$. Fit and forecast adult mortality up to 2050 using two different approaches:
 - a Gompertz model with log-linear time trend, i.e. $\ln(m_{x,t}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 t$
 - a Gompertz model with flexible time index, i.e. $\ln(m_{x,t}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \kappa_t$
- Plot the life expectancy forecasts of the two models (no need to derive PIs).

Hints: you can use the functions inside the [LifetableMX.R](#) code for constructing life tables and deriving estimates of life expectancy

