

The University of California, Berkeley  
1106B Etcheverry Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-1730  
(presently) +47 486 43 444  
(normally) +1 (850) 281-0217 or +1 (510) 486-7310

March 23, 2018

Editorial Board

Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B:  
Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms

To whom it may concern:

I am writing to submit an original manuscript entitled “*Measurement of nuclear excitation functions for proton induced reactions ( $E_p = 40\text{--}90\text{ MeV}$ ) on natural Nb*” for publication in Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B. This manuscript describes an original work conducted by myself, the first and corresponding author, along with my co-authors and collaborators Lee A. Bernstein<sup>a,b</sup>, Eva R. Birnbaum<sup>c</sup>, Jonathan W. Engle<sup>d</sup>, Stephen A. Graves<sup>e</sup>, Toshihiko Kawano<sup>f</sup>, Amanda M. Lewis<sup>b</sup>, and Francois M. Nortier<sup>c</sup>.

This work described in this manuscript is a measurement of 38 unique cross sections spanning 40–90 MeV for the  $^{\text{nat}}\text{Nb}(\text{p},\text{x})$  and  $^{\text{nat}}\text{Cu}(\text{p},\text{x})$  reactions, along with 5 independent measurements of isomer branching ratios for pairs of radioisotope isomer/ground states. This is part of a recent, ongoing effort at UC Berkeley and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory to address the gaps in existing nuclear data which have been identified by the applications community, focusing in particular on the production of novel and emerging medical radioisotopes. The primary motivation for this work is to provide the most comprehensive characterization of the  $\text{Nb}(\text{p},4\text{n})^{90}\text{Mo}$  reaction, which has been proposed as a new monitor reaction standard for the in-beam measurement of proton fluence in energetic ( $>40\text{ MeV}$ ) proton beams.

The methodology used to measure these cross sections involved a stacked-target activation of thin (25–50  $\mu\text{m}$ ) metal foils, at the Los Alamos National Laboratory’s Isotope Production Facility (LANL-IPF). Target foils of natural Niobium metal were irradiated with a nominal 100 MeV proton beam, along with Copper and Aluminum monitor foils at each energy position. This stack design allows for measurement of cross sections at multiple energies within a single irradiation. Our work also makes use of the “variance minimization” techniques described by S.A. Graves, *et. al.*<sup>g</sup> to significantly reduce the systematic uncertainties associated with energy assignment, typical in many stacked-target irradiations.

---

<sup>a</sup>Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

<sup>b</sup>Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

<sup>c</sup>Isotope Production Facility, Chemistry Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87544, USA

<sup>d</sup>Department of Medical Physics, University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, WI 53705, USA

<sup>e</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

<sup>f</sup>Nuclear Physics Group, Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87544, USA

<sup>g</sup>S.A. Graves, *et. al.*, NIM B: 386, 44–53 (2016), doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2016.09.018

Several major novel contributions are reported in the present work. Most notably, this work serves as the most well-characterized measurement of the  $^{nat}\text{Nb}(p,x)^{90}\text{Mo}$  reaction below 100 MeV to date, with cross sections measured at the 4–6% uncertainty level. This result presents the first step towards the use of  $^{90}\text{Mo}$  as a clean and precise charged particle monitor reaction standard in irradiations up to approximately 24 hours in duration. In addition, the  $^{nat}\text{Nb}(p,x)$  measurements in this work fill in the extremely sparse data in this energy region, and have been measured with the highest precision relative to existing literature data. Indeed, nearly all cross sections for both  $^{nat}\text{Nb}(p,x)$  and  $^{nat}\text{Cu}(p,x)$  have been reported with higher precision than previous measurements. We also report the first measurements of the  $^{nat}\text{Nb}(p,x)^{82m}\text{Rb}$  reaction, as well as the first measurement of the independent cross sections for  $^{nat}\text{Cu}(p,x)^{52m}\text{Mn}$ ,  $^{nat}\text{Cu}(p,x)^{52g}\text{Mn}$ , and  $^{nat}\text{Nb}(p,x)^{85g}\text{Y}$  in the 40–90 MeV region. We use these measurements to illustrate the impact of pre-equilibrium particle emission in the reaction dynamics for 40–90 MeV  $^{nat}\text{Nb}(p,x)$  and  $^{nat}\text{Cu}(p,x)$  reactions. Finally, we present evidence for  $^{22,24}\text{Na}$  contamination of the  $^{nat}\text{Al}(p,x)^{22,24}\text{Na}$  monitor reaction channels, due to activation of silicon via  $^{nat}\text{Si}(p,x)^{22,24}\text{Na}$  in the silicone-based adhesive used for sealing foils. This phenomenon has been observed as an unattributable systematic enhancement of apparent  $^{22,24}\text{Na}$ -based fluence monitors in prior work. We advise that future activation experiments avoid the use of silicone-based adhesives, particularly in conjunction with aluminum monitor foils, to avoid unintentionally reporting an enhanced fluence due to  $^{22,24}\text{Na}$  contamination.

The attached manuscript is an original work which bears no significant overlap with any journal or conference papers published by any of the authors herein. Previous measurements exist in the literature for nearly all of the cross sections presented in this work, though our measurements nearly exclusively have the highest precision to date. The most recent previous measurements of the  $^{nat}\text{Cu}(p,x)$  reactions are generally by S.A. Graves, *et. al.*<sup>g</sup>, and E. Garrido, *et. al.*<sup>h</sup>, and the most recent previous measurements of the  $^{nat}\text{Nb}(p,x)$  reactions are generally by Y.E. Titarenko, *et. al.*<sup>i</sup>, and G.F. Steyn, *et. al.*<sup>j</sup>

Several notable experts have been proposed as potential reviewers for this manuscript: Md. Uddin of the Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Bangladesh ([md.shuzauddin@yahoo.com](mailto:md.shuzauddin@yahoo.com)), Steve Wender of Los Alamos National Laboratory ([wender@lanl.gov](mailto:wender@lanl.gov)), Ron Nelson of Los Alamos National Laboratory ([rnelson@lanl.gov](mailto:rnelson@lanl.gov)), Steven Yates of the University of Kentucky ([yates@uky.edu](mailto:yates@uky.edu)), Arjan Plompen of the Belgian Joint Research Centre ([Arjan.Plompen@ec.europa.eu](mailto:Arjan.Plompen@ec.europa.eu)), Roland Beyer of Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf ([roland.beyer@hzdr.de](mailto:roland.beyer@hzdr.de)), and Zsolt Revay of the Technical University of Munich ([zsolt.revay@frm2.tum.de](mailto:zsolt.revay@frm2.tum.de)). All of these individuals are highly experienced in the nuclear data community, and have many years of experience in nuclear reaction evaluation and cross section measurements.

As a physicist with a background in ion beam interactions and accelerator physics, I believe that Mark Breese would be the best-qualified editor to handle this paper during the review process. In addition, I have worked with him previously for the publication of a recent article<sup>k</sup>, and had a very smooth experience - it would be a pleasure to work with him once more. However, any of the editorial staff with the technical background to properly evaluate the manuscript would be welcome on our end. This would primarily involve a familiarity with activation experiments and nuclear spectroscopy.

If I may make one personal request, it would mean a great deal to me if the processing of this manuscript can be expedited in any way, to be able to get it to the referees as quickly as possible without any loss of integrity to the review process. I am in my last several months of my PhD studies, and if this manuscript is

---

<sup>h</sup>E. Garrido, *et. al.*, NIM B: 383 Supplement C, 191–212 (2016), doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2016.07.011

<sup>i</sup>Y.E. Titarenko, *et. al.*, Phys. At. Nucl., 74, 4, 537 (2011), doi:10.1134/S106377881104017X

<sup>j</sup>G.F. Steyn, *et. al.*, J. Korean Phys. Soc., 59, 23, 1991–1994 (2011), doi:10.3938/jkps.59.1991

<sup>k</sup>A.S. Voyles, *et. al.*, NIM B: 410, 230–239, (2017) doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2017.08.021

able to be accepted by 10 June 2018, I will be able to include it as part of my doctoral dissertation. Along with many rounds of revisions with my co-authors, we have put great effort into producing what we consider a manuscript of significant merit to the field, which provides an example of rigorous analysis and uncertainty quantification for cross section data with wide-ranging applications. I believe that this review process should hopefully be quite smooth, but anything that can be done to help start it as rapidly as possible would be invaluable in allowing me to make this deadline.

Please find our manuscript attached. I hope that this work will be deemed of sufficient merit to be published in NIM, and I look forward to once more working with the editorial staff if this is the case.

Sincerely yours,

Andrew S. Voyles