

# **Ubisoft Genesis PFP**

Security Assessment

Published on: 8 Dec. 2023

Version v1.0





# **Security Report Published by KALOS**

v1.0 8 Dec. 2023

## **Found issues**

Severity of Issues	Findings	Resolved	Acknowledged	Comment
Critical	-	-	-	-
High	-	-	-	-
Medium	-	-	-	-
Low	-	-	-	-
Tips	3	-	3	-



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

## **ABOUT US**

## **Executive Summary**

### **OVERVIEW**

**Functional Description** 

**Scope** 

**Access Controls** 

**Notice** 

## **FINDINGS**

Lack of Validation

No Event emission

**Code Quality Improvements** 

### **DISCLAIMER**

## Appendix. A

**Severity Level** 

**Difficulty Level** 

**Vulnerability Category** 



# **ABOUT US**

#### **Making Web3 Space Safer for Everyone**

KALOS is a flagship service of HAECHI LABS, the leader of the global blockchain industry. We bring together the best Web2 and Web3 experts. Security Researchers with expertise in cryptography, leaders of the global best hacker team, and blockchain/smart contract experts are responsible for securing your Web3 service.

Having secured \$60B crypto assets on over 400 main-nets, Defi protocols, NFT services, P2E, and Bridges, KALOS is the only blockchain technology company selected for the Samsung Electronics Startup Incubation Program in recognition of our expertise. We have also received technology grants from the Ethereum Foundation and Ethereum Community Fund.

Inquiries: <u>audit@kalos.xyz</u>

Website: <a href="https://kalos.xyz">https://kalos.xyz</a>



# **Executive Summary**

#### **Purpose of this report**

This report was prepared to audit the security of the Genesis PFP NFT contracts. KALOS conducted the audit focusing on whether the system created by the Ubisoft team is soundly implemented and designed as specified in the published materials, in addition to the safety and security of all. In detail, we have focused on the followings

- Unintended behavior on the NFT minting and random revealing process
- Manipulation of critical variables due to incorrect access control settings
- Proper implementation of ERC721 specifications contract
- Existence of known smart contract vulnerabilities
- Signature replay.

#### **Codebase Submitted for the Audit**

The codes used in this Audit are delivered to the private repository.

The last commit of the code used for this Audit is "d1f1c17a4bd2ae1df1d86f6fe63b174b125b7e0e".

#### **Audit Timeline**

Date	Event
2023/11/24	Audit Initiation
2023/12/8	Delivery of v1.0 report.

#### **Findings**

KALOS found no issues. There are 3 Tips issues explained that would improve the code's usability or efficiency.



Severity	Issue	Status
Tips	[GENESIS-PFP-01] Lack of Validation	(Acknowledged - v1.0)
Tips	[GENESIS-PFP-02] No Event emission	(Acknowledged - v1.0)
Tips	[GENESIS-PFP-03] Code Quality Improvements	(Acknowledged - v1.0)



# **OVERVIEW**

## **Functional Description**

#### GenesisPFP

This contract is an NFT contract that uses ERC721Psi, which is designed for gas saving, ERC2981 which is royalty payment information for NFT, EIP712, Ownable, and AccessControl. Its maximum supply is 9,999 and has the roles of Admin and Minter, and its default royalty is 5%. The royalty recipient is vault and the rate can be set later by the owner. The mintWithSignature() function allows users to mint based on a given signature and checks (remaining supply, timestamp, chain ID, minting amount, and duplicate mints). Only signers with the minter role can mint. The tokenURI() returns the metadata URI based on the token ID, which is determined by a specific metadata ID derived using the random seed generated by the Chainlink VRF.



# Scope

src
ERC721Psi
ERC721Psi.sol
│
ERC721PsiAddressData.so
— GenesisPFP.sol
— abstracts
│
interfaces
IGenesisBase.sol
│
librairies
└── Errors.sol
└── types
└── MintData.sol



### **Access Controls**

The admin can grant the Admin, Minter roles. The admins can grant and revoke roles. The Owner can request random seed from Chainlink VRF, transfer remaining LINK tokens, set the base URI for tokens' metadata, and update the default royalty rate. The Minter role as a signer can generate a signature for NFTs minting.

#### - Admin role

- GenesisBase.grantRole, grantRoles, and revokeRoles: Grant or revoke other roles.

#### - Owner

- ChainlinkVRFMetadata.requestChainlinkVRF(): Request a random seed from the Chainlink VRF
- ChainlinkVRFMetadata.withdrawRemainingLink(): Transfer the remaining LINK tokens to the owner
- GenesisBase.setBaseURI(): Set the base URI for token's metadata URI
- GenesisBase.updateDefaultRoyalty(): Update default royalty rate. It allows the owner to change royalty instead of the initial 5%.

#### - Minter role

- GenesisPFP.mintWithSignature(): Mint NFT with signature. The signer is supposed to have the Minter role.

## **Notice**

NFTs can only be minted using a signature generated off-chain. The data for minting, including the signature validity period, chain ID, mint amount, and user nonce, are determined off-chain. There is no limit on the mint amount, and the user nonce is flexible and does not have constraints. Although the user nonce can prevent duplicate mints, we were not provided with the logic for generating it. The team replied that there would be no issues with their back-end infrastructure that generates the signature. Therefore, the process of generating the signature and mint data is out of the scope of this audit. The team replied they would carefully manage their signer key and the infrastructure.



## **FINDINGS**

### **Lack of Validation**

ID: GENESIS-PFP-01 Severity: Tips
Type: Input Validation Difficulty: High

File: src/abstracts/ChainlinkVRFMetadata.sol

```
function requestChainlinkVRF(uint32 _callbackGasLimit, uint16 _requestConfirmations) external
onlyOwner {
       if (chainlinkRequestID != 0) {
           revert Errors.RequestAlreadyInitialized();
       // 150\_000 gas should be more than enough for the callback
        // 6 block confirmations or more
        // 1 random number used a a seed for the tokenIDs
        chainlinkRequestID = requestRandomness(_callbackGasLimit, _requestConfirmations, 1);
   }
   function fulfillRandomWords(uint256 _requestId, uint256[] memory _randomWords) internal override {
        if (_requestId != chainlinkRequestID || _randomWords.length != 1) {
           chainlinkRequestID = 0;
           return;
       // Assign the retrieved random word from Chainlink VRF V2
       // Anyone can re-generate the tokenIds from the seed deterministically
       chainlinkSeed = _randomWords[0];
   }
```

[ChainlinkVRFMetadata.sol]

If chainlinkRequestID is set to zero in fulfillRandomWords, requestChainlinkVRF can retry requesting a random seed from the Chainlink. It allows requestChainlinkVRF to be able to request again, even if chainlinkSeed is already fulfilled.

This is up to the Chainlink and rare scenario that fulfills with a wrong request id after fulfilled.

#### Recommendation

Revert in requestChainlinkVRF() if the seed is already fulfilled.

#### **Fix Comment**

The team acknowledged and will not fix this issue.



## No Event emission

ID: GENESIS-PFP-02 Severity: Tips
Type: Visibility Difficulty: Low

File: src/abstracts/GenesisBase.sol

The default royalty update function does not emit an event. If an event is not emitted, it is difficult to monitor royalty change on-chain when the owner changes value.

```
function updateDefaultRoyalty(address receiver, uint96 feeNumerator) external onlyOwner {
    _setDefaultRoyalty(receiver, feeNumerator);
}
```

[GenesisBase.sol]

#### Recommendation

Emit event including new fee rate.

#### **Fix Comment**

The team acknowledged and will not fix this issue.



## **Code Quality Improvements**

ID: GENESIS-PFP-03 Severity: Tips
Type: N/A Difficulty: N/A

File: src/GenesisPFP.sol

This section can improve code quality and save gas consumption.

#### **Description and Recommendations**

```
function hashTypedDataV4(MintData memory mintData) public view returns (bytes32) {
    return _hashTypedDataV4(hashStruct(mintData));
}

function tokenURI(uint256 tokenId) public view virtual override returns (string memory) {
    if (!_exists(tokenId)) revert Errors.ERC721UriNonExistent();

    // Return empty tokenURI if metadata CID isn't registered
    if (bytes(_baseURI()).length == 0) {
        return "";
    }

    // Return a fallback URI if reveal isn't called yet
    if (chainlinkSeed == 0) {
        return string(abi.encodePacked(_baseURI(), "default.json"));
    }

    uint256 metadataId = ((tokenId + chainlinkSeed) % 9999) + 1;
    return string(abi.encodePacked(_baseURI(), metadataId.toString(), ".json"));
}
```

[GenesisPFP.sol

hashTypedDataV4() external view function is unnecessary and can be removed for gas savings at deployment time.

tokenURI() calls \_baseURI() many times. By storing baseURI as a local variable, it can save gas.

#### **Fix Comment**

The team acknowledged and will not fix this issue.



# **DISCLAIMER**

This report does not guarantee investment advice, the suitability of the business models, and codes that are secure without bugs. This report shall only be used to discuss known technical issues. Other than the issues described in this report, undiscovered issues may exist such as defects on the main network. In order to write secure codes, correction of discovered problems and sufficient testing thereof are required.



# **Appendix. A**

# **Severity Level**

CRITICAL	Must be addressed as a vulnerability that has the potential to seize or freeze substantial sums of money.
HIGH	Has to be fixed since it has the potential to deny users compensation or momentarily freeze assets.
MEDIUM	Vulnerabilities that could halt services, such as DoS and Out-of-Gas, need to be addressed.
LOW	Issues that do not comply with standards or return incorrect values
TIPS	Tips that makes the code more usable or efficient when modified

# **Difficulty Level**

	Low	Medium	High
Privilege	anyone	Miner/Block Proposer	Admin/Owner
Capital needed	Small or none	Gas fee or volatile as price change	More than exploited amount
Probability	100%	Depend on environment	Hard as mining difficulty



# **Vulnerability Category**

Arithmetic	<ul><li>Integer under/overflow vulnerability</li><li>floating point and rounding accuracy</li></ul>
Access & Privilege Control	<ul> <li>Manager functions for emergency handle</li> <li>Crucial function and data access</li> <li>Count of calling important task, contract state change, intentional task delay</li> </ul>
Denial of Service	<ul><li> Unexpected revert handling</li><li> Gas limit excess due to unpredictable implementation</li></ul>
Miner Manipulation	<ul><li>Dependency on the block number or timestamp.</li><li>Frontrunning</li></ul>
Reentrancy	<ul> <li>Proper use of Check-Effect-Interact pattern.</li> <li>Prevention of state change after external call</li> <li>Error handling and logging.</li> </ul>
Low-level Call	<ul><li>Code injection using delegatecall</li><li>Inappropriate use of assembly code</li></ul>
Off-standard	• Deviate from standards that can be an obstacle of interoperability.
Input Validation	• Lack of validation on inputs.
Logic Error/Bug	Unintended execution leads to error.
Documentation	•Coherency between the documented spec and implementation
Visibility	Variable and function visibility setting
Incorrect Interface	Contract interface is properly implemented on code.

# **End of Document**

