

## PROJECT CONCEPT NOTE

CARBON OFFSET UNIT (CoU) PROJECT





Title: Small Scale Poultry Biogas to Power, AP, Telangana, TN and Haryana

Version 1.0
Date 02/11/2021
First CoU Issuance Period: 5 years, 10 months
Date: 01/01/2016 to 31/10/2021



# Project Concept Note (PCN) CARBON OFFSET UNIT (CoU) PROJECT

BASIC INFORMATION			
Title of the project activity	Small Scale Poultry Biogas to Power, AP, Telangana, TN and Haryana		
Scale of the project activity	Small Scale		
Completion date of the PCN	02/11/2021		
Project participants	Urja Bio System Pvt. Ltd., Pune, Maharashtra, India		
Host Party	India		
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines	AMS.I.C. Thermal energy production with or without electricity UCR Protocol Standard Baseline AMS-III.D: Methane recovery in animal manure management systems		
Sectoral scopes	01 Energy industries (Renewable/NonRenewable Sources) 13 Waste handling and disposal		
Estimated total GHG emission reductions over the crediting period	59746 CoUs (59746 tCO <sub>2eq</sub> )		

#### **SECTION A.** Description of project activity

#### A.1. Purpose and general description of Carbon offset Unit (CoU) project activity >>

The project <u>Small Scale Poultry Biogas to Power, AP, Telangana, TN and Haryana</u> is located across 5 Villages in the State of Andhra Pradesh, Haryana, Telangana and Tamil Nadu, Country: India.

The details of the registered project are as follows:

#### Purpose of the project activity:

The <u>Small Scale Poultry Biogas to Power, AP, Telangana, TN and Haryana</u> is located across the following Districts: Hyderabad, Tirunvelly and Jind, State: Andhra Pradesh, Haryana, Telangana and Tamil Nadu, Country: India.

The project activities involves the installation of 5 independent biogas digesters beginning 30/04/2016. The purpose of the project activity is the set up independent biogas plants (digesters) between the 600 m<sup>3</sup> and 1600 m<sup>3</sup> capacity range, for serving the captive electricity needs at the location of the project activity. Fresh poultry litter is fed into the anaerobic digesters.


Site Name	District	State	Digester Capacity m3	Genset Power Capacity	Commissioning Date
Srinivas Hatcheries	Hyderabad	Telangana	600 m3	72 kwh	30/04/2016
S R Hatcheries	Hyderabad	AP	800m3	100 kwh	17/12/2019
Sunmax Hatcheries	Hyderabad	AP	1600 m3	200 kwh	14/03/2020
Amrit Farm	Tirunvelly	Tamilnadu	600 m3	75 kwh	28/07/2020
Deswal Hatcheries	Jind	Haryana	600 m3	75 kwh	19/11/2020

The project activity covers project activities involving the replacement or modification of anaerobic animal manure management systems in livestock farms to achieve methane recovery and gainful use of the recovered methane to generate captive power. There is no regulation in India, applicable to the project activity, that requires the collection and destruction of methane from livestock manure. In the absence of the project activity, animal manure is left to decay anaerobically within the project boundary and methane is emitted to the atmosphere. The project activity recovers and utilizes biogas for producing electricity for captive use and hence displaces electricity from the grid using fossil fuels. The project activity hence avoids CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and is beneficial to the environment and community.





Worldwide, agricultural operations are becoming progressively more intensive to realize economies of production and scale. The pressure to become more efficient drives significant operational similarities between farms of a "type," as inputs, outputs, practices, genetics, and technology have become similar around the world. This is especially true in livestock operations (poultry, dairy cows, etc.) which can create profound environmental consequences, such as greenhouse gas emissions, odour, and water/land contamination (including seepage, runoff, and over application), that result from storing (and disposing of) animal waste.



India has the some of the world's largest livestock population at 500 million heads. Methane has a warming potential 21 times higher than carbon dioxide. Better livestock management can reduce atmospheric methane levels. Livestock emissions worldwide – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Methane has accounted for roughly 30 per cent of global warming since pre-industrial times and is proliferating faster than at any other time since record keeping began in the 1980s. In fact, according to data from the United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, even as carbon dioxideemissions decelerated during the pandemic-related lockdowns of 2020, atmospheric methane shot up.

Approximate biogas production rates of different waste	Biogas per day (m3 /day) generation capacity
Poultry Litter 1TPD	80 m3

The poultry owners in the project activity can be classified as small to medium-level farmers who are feeding a combination of green fodder and crop residues. Feed intake is typically measured in terms of gross energy (eg., megajoules (MJ) per day) or dry matter (eg. kilograms (kg) per day).

Number Annually Within farms	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Poultry	200000	200000	200000	200000	450000	1325000

Type of waste	Estimated TPD treated in all farms
Poultry Litter (fresh)	53 TPD

The Indian poultry industry requierd sustainable waste solutions to the problem posed in disposing waste from poultry farms. Traditional disposal of poultry waste results in air, soil and water pollution and subsequently health hazards in human as well as in poultry. The poultry litter attracts flies that spread disease in nearby villages, often forcing the district administration to intervene and prevents the setup of such farms in the vicinity of residential neighbourhoods. Poultry farmers usually rake the droppings into a heap and let them dry in the sun or compost it. There are few takers for the manure. However, such biogas treatment of poultry litter is a sustainable solution that helps keep the surrounding environment clean and eliminates the issue with odour from poultry litter.

#### A.2 Do no harm or Impact test of the project activity>>

There are social, environmental, economic and technological benefits which contribute to sustainable development.

#### • Social benefits:

- Reduces outdoor air pollution, thus eliminating health hazards for people in the vicinity.
- The project provides security of energy supply since it generates biogas based electricity
- It leads to better waste management thus keeping the surroundings clean and reduce some of the disease causing pathogens
- Biogas allowed farms in the project activity to become self-sufficient in power and monetise their waste.

#### • Environmental benefits:

- While carbon dioxide remains in the atmosphere for hundreds to thousands of years, it takes only about a decade for methane to break down. So, reducing methane emissions now would have an impact in the near term and is critical for helping keep the world on a path to 1.5°C.
- Further, by generating electricity through utilising the biogas, the project helps in replacing fossil fuel intensive power generation from the local grid.
- Biogas is environmentally friendly and does not release as many greenhouse gases when burned compared to other fuels
- Leads to soil improvement by providing high quality manure to farmers from waste stream.
- Reduces outdoor air pollution, and increases use of manure rather than chemical fertilizers.
- Methane is the primary contributor to the formation of ground-level ozone, a hazardous air pollutant and greenhouse gas, exposure to which causes 1 million premature deaths every year worldwide.
- No gaseous emission viz Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and Hydrogen Sulphide (H<sub>2</sub>S) are emanated from the excreta generated from the birds thus removing odour.

#### • Economic and Technological benefits:

• The project is among the few in the region than captures biogas and uses the same for the generation of electricity for captive uses at the project site.


- Cattle dung and poultry litter is transformed into high-quality enriched bio-manure/fertilizer which provides better soil enrichment in the areas surrounding the project activity.
- The revenue from carbon credits will showcase such efforts undertaken to curb CH<sub>4</sub> emissons as being highly profitable and will encourage larger capacity installations and additions across all livestock farms and make the Indian dairy and livestock sector environmentally sustainable.
- Finance is another hurdle for setup of such biogas plants. A biogas plant is a large investment. However, revenue from the sale of carbon credits will force green entrepreneurs to give it a second thought under the UCR Program. <u>India's biogas potential is 8 MTA from 500 million poultry birds.</u>

#### A.3. Location of project activity >>


Country: India


Districts: Hyderabad, Tirunvelly and Jind

State: Andhra Pradesh, Haryana, Telangana and Tamil Nadu















#### A.4. Technologies/measures >>

Commissioning Date: 30/04/2016



Biogas is a mixture of methane and carbon dioxide. It also has traces of hydrogen sulphide (3%), ammonia, oxygen, hydrogen, water vapour etc., depending upon feed materials and other conditions. Biogas is generated by fermentation of cellulose rich organic matter under anaerobic conditions. In anaerobic conditions, the methane-producing bacteria become more active. Thus, the

gas produced becomes rich in methane. The optimum utilization depends upon the successful physical installations, which in turn depend upon plant design and its selection. The basic conversion principle is that when a non-ligneous biomass is kept in a closed chamber for a few days, it ferments and produces an inflammable gas. The anaerobic digestion consists of three stages: I Hydrolysis; II Acid formation and III Methane fermentation. The processes are carried out by two sets of bacteria namely acid forming bacteria and methane formers. The acidogenic phase I is the combined hydrolysis and acid formation stages in which the organic wastes are converted mainly into acetate, and phase II is the methanogenic phase in which methane and carbon dioxide are formed. The better the three stages merge with each other, the shorter the digestion process.



The technical specifications of the modified KVIC model bio-digesters are as follows:

Specification	Value
Total Installed Capacity	4200 m <sup>3</sup>
Mixing Proportion	(Water: Waste) 1:1
Number of units (digesters)	5
Feed Material	Poultry Litter
Biogas Power Installed Capacity	0.522 MW <sub>h</sub>
Working Days	330
Calorific Value Biogas	20 MJ/m <sup>3</sup>
Concentration of methane in the biogas	0.43008kg CH4/m <sup>3</sup>
	Applied an expected fraction of methane in biogas of 0.60 m3CH4/m3 multiplied by the density of methane at normal conditions of 0.7168 kg/m3

The cattle dung and poultry litter from each dairy/poultry farm is received either from conveyor belts or collected from the cattle or poultry sheds within the project boundary and unloaded into the underground primary collection tank fitted with agitator to prepare homogenous slurry with a dry solid content of 20 %. The dry solid content of the homogenous slurry is measured periodically in the laboratory for ensuring the percentage of the dry solid content. The raw slurry from the

underground RCC collection tank is fitted with submersible stirrer to homogenously mix the substrate.

Modified KVIC Floating Methanization Digesters: The project activity has a total of 5 independent biogas digesters between the 600 m<sup>3</sup> and 1600 m<sup>3</sup> in capacity with arrangements of continuous stirring. The CSTR high rate digester treats cattle dung nad poultry litter under anaerobic condition and converts 50 % of organic carbon to produce Biogas.


The retention time of slurry in the digester is 25 days with an operating temperature of 55°C. The methanization digesters are fitted with stirrers that ensure dry solid control within the digester to an average value of 15%.

Processing of Treated Slurry: The treated slurry is dewatered and the dry cake is used as high quality organic fertilizer.

Biogas Storage System: The biogas from all the digesters are collected in a gas storage facility and then sent to balloon holding chamber with a cumulative storage capacity of 4200m<sup>3</sup> in this project activity.

Scrubbing System: From the ballons, the raw biogas is sent to scrubbing containers that remove CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S gases and provide the raw biogas with a methane content of approximately 60%. This purified CH4 is then typically stored in another ballon chamber for further usage.

Power Generation: The scrubbed biogas is then sent to biogas generators which is typically a spark ignition inter-cooler engine generator. The project activity genset capacity ranges between 72 kwh to 200 kwh with a total number of 5 generators installed within the project activity. The electrical efficiency is about 38% of each generator.



Site Name	District/Village	State	Waste Type	Biogas Capapcity	Model Type	Gainful Use Biogas
Srinivas Hatcheries	Hyderabad	Telangana	poultry litter	600 m3	Modified KVIC Model	power, 72 KW
S R Hatcheries	Hyderabad	AP	poultry litter	800m3	Modified KVIC Model	power 100kW
Sunmax Hatcheries	Hyderabad	AP	poultry litter	1600 m3	Modified KVIC Model	Power200kW
Amrit Farm	Tirunvelly	Tamilnadu	poultry litter	600 m3	Modified KVIC Model	power 75kW
Deswal Hatcheries	Jind	Haryana	poultry litter	600m3	Modified KVIC Model	power, 75kw


## A.5. Parties and project participants >>

Party (Host)	Participants
	Urja Bio System Pvt. Ltd., Pune, Maharashtra, India

### A.6. Baseline Emissions>>


#### **BASELINE SCENARIO**

Energy generation (thermal heat and / or electricity) by more-carbon-intensive technologies based on fossil fuel. In case of retrofits or capacity addition, operation of existing renewable power units without retrofit and capacity addition.




#### PROJECT SCENARIO

Energy generation by installation of new renewable energy generation units, by retrofitting or replacement of existing renewable energy generation units as well as by switch from fossil fuel to biomass in modified existing facilities.




#### BASELINE SCENARIO

Animal manure is left to decay anaerobically and methane is emitted into the atmosphere.



#### PROJECT SCENARIO

Methane is recovered and destructed or gainfully used due to replacement or modification of existing anaerobic manure management systems.



The baseline scenario identified at the PCN stage of the project activity is:

- where, in the absence of the project activity, animal manure is left to decay anaerobically within the project boundary and methane is emitted to the atmosphere.
- the fuel consumption of the technologies that would have been used in the absence of the project activity, times an emission factor for the fossil fuel displaced. Hence the baseline scenario is also electricity imported from a grid in the absence of the project activity.

#### A.7. Debundling>>

This micro scale project is not a debundled component of a larger carbon project activity.

SECTION B. Application of methodologies and standardized baselines

#### **B.1.** References to methodologies and standardized baselines >>

SECTORAL SCOPE - 01 Energy industries (Renewable/Non-renewable sources)
13 Waste handling and disposal

TYPE I - Renewable Energy Projects

#### CATEGORY- AMS-I.C.: Thermal energy production with or without electricity

This methodology comprises renewable energy technologies that supply users i.e. residential, industrial or commercial facilities with thermal energy that displaces fossil fuel use. These units include technologies such as energy derived from renewable biomass and other technologies that provide thermal energy that displaces fossil fuel.

#### AMS-III.D: Methane recovery in animal manure management systems

Replacement or modification of existing anaerobic manure management systems in livestock farms, or treatment of manure collected from several farms in a centralized plant to achieve methane recovery and destruction by flaring/combustion or energetic use of the recovered methane.

#### **B.2.** Applicability of methodologies and standardized baselines >>

This project activity comprises measures to avoid the emissions of methane to the atmosphere from poultry litter within the project boundary.

No methane recovery and destruction by flaring or combustion for gainful use takes place in the baseline scenario.

The livestock population in the farm is managed under confined conditions

Manure or the streams obtained after treatment are not discharged into natural water resources (e.g. river or estuaries);

Biogas is used for renewable power generation for captive use.

The PoA is biogas power plant and is not a co-generation project.

In the baseline scenario the retention time of manure waste in the anaerobic treatment system is greater than one month

Residual waste from the digestion is handled aerobically

The storage time of the poultry litter after removal from the poultry shed, including transportation, does not exceed 45 days before being fed into the anaerobic digester

Measures are limited to those that result in aggregate emission reductions of less than or equal to 60 kt CO2 equivalent annually from all Type III components of the project activity.

This is a small scale project with total electricity capacity of 0.522 MW which is not greater than small scale thresholds defined by the applied methodology I.C. under Type I – renewable energy project activity, i.e. the total installed electrical energy generation capacity of the project equipment does not not exceed 15 MW.


#### **B.3.** Applicability of double counting emission reductions >>

Each of the biogas unit is constructed within the project boundary. Each biogas unit has a unique ID, which is visible on the biogas unit and each power generator set has a unique ID and metering system. The Monitoring Report has the details of the end user's name and the location i.e. District, Mandal, village in which it is constructed along with the Unique ID.

#### B.4. Project boundary, sources and greenhouse gases (GHGs)>>

The project boundary includes the physical, geographical site(s) of:

- o (a) The livestock;
- (b) Animal manure management systems (including centralised manure treatment plant where applicable);
- o (c) Facilities which recover and use methane for power generation.



	Source	GHG	Included?	Justification/Explanation
	Methane Emissions	CO <sub>2</sub>	Included	Major source of emission
Baseline	from manure decay	CH <sub>4</sub>	Included	Major source of emission
	Emissions from electricity generated using fossil fuels	N <sub>2</sub> O	Excluded	Excluded for simplification. This is conservative
Project Activity	Co <sub>2</sub> Emissions from onsite electricity use	$CO_2$	Excluded	Electricity is generated from collected biogas, hence these emissions are not accounted for.
	CH <sub>4</sub> Emissions from flaring of the biogas	$\mathbf{CH_4}$	Included	Included in project emissions
	CH <sub>4</sub> Emissions associated with anerobic digesters	$N_2O$	Excluded	Excluded for simplification. This is conservative

The project activity recovers and utilizes biogas for producing electricity and applies AMS IC methodology in addition to using a Type III component of a SSC methodology, hence any incremental carbon emissions occurring due to the implementation of the project activity is neglected.

#### B.5. Establishment and description of baseline scenario (UCR Protocol) >>

The baseline scenario is the situation where, in the absence of the project activity, animal manure is left to decay anaerobically within the project boundary and methane is emitted to the atmosphere. Baseline emissions ( $BE_{y1}$ ) are calculated by using the following option: a) Using the amount of the waste or raw material that would decay anaerobically in the absence of the project activity, with the most recent IPCC Tier 2 approach (please refer to the chapter 'Emissions from Livestock and Manure Management' under the volume 'Agriculture, Forestry and other Land use' of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories). For this calculation, information about the characteristics of the manure and of the management systems in the baseline is required. Manure characteristics include the amount of volatile solids (VS) produced by the livestock and the maximum amount of methane that can be potentially produced from that manure (Bo).

For renewable energy technologies that displace technologies using fossil fuels, the simplified baseline is the fuel consumption of the technologies that would have been used in the absence of the project activity, times an emission factor for the fossil fuel displaced. Hence the baseline scenario is also electricity is imported from a grid.

The project proponent was not bound to incur this investment as it was not mandatory by national and sectoral policies. Thus, the continued operation of the project activity would continue to replace fossil fuel derived grid electricity.

#### Estimated Annual Emission Reductions: $BE_v = BE_{vI} + BE_{grid} - PE_{flare} - PE_{AD, v}$

 $BE_y$  = Total Baseline Emissions in a year.

```
BE_{grid} = EG_{y,grid} \times EF_{y,grid}
```

 $BE_{grid}$  = Baseline emissions for the grid electricity displaced by the project in year y (t

CO<sub>2e</sub>)

EG  $_{y,grid}$  = Amount of grid electricity displaced by project in year y (MWh) EF  $_{y,grid}$  = Emission factor of the grid (t CO2e/MWh) = 0.9 (UCR Standard)

## $BE_{yl} = GWP_{CH4 \times DCH4 \times UF_{b \times}} \Sigma MCF_{j \times B_{0,LT \times}} N_{LT,y \times VS_{LT,y \times}} MS\%_{Bl,j}$

#### $VS_{LT,y} = (W_{site}/W_{default}) \times VS_{default} \times nd_{v}$

 $BE_{yl}$  = Using the amount of manure that would decay anaerobically in the absence of

the project activity based on direct measurement of the quantity of manure

treated together with its specific volatile solids (VS) content

 $N_{LT,y}$  = Average number of animals of type LT in a year

 $W_{\text{site}} = Avg. Wt. at Site (poultry) in kg$ 

 $W_{default}$  = Avg. Default Wt. of (Chicken) as per IPCC for India in kg

 $nd_y$  = Number of days in year y where the treatment was operational

 $UF_h$  = Model correction factor to account for model uncertainties (0.94) Default

 $VS_{jLTy}$  = Specific volatile solids content of animal manure from livestock type LT and

animal manure management system j in year y (tonnes/tonnes, dry basis)

(Poultry=0.02). As per IPCC guidelines

 $D_{CH4}$  = CH<sub>4</sub> density (0.00067 t/m<sup>3</sup> at room temperature (20 °C) and 1 atm pressure)

 $MCF_j$  = Annual methane conversion factor (MCF) for the baseline animal manure

management system j (Poultry=2%), solid storage.

 $B_{O,LT}$  = Maximum methane producing potential of the volatile solid generated for

animal type LT (m<sup>3</sup> CH<sub>4</sub>/kg dm) in Indian Subcontinent (Poultry =0.24). IPCC 2006 - IPCC Default Value taken for Indian Subcontinent

VS = Volatile Solids

The feed digestibility in the range of 50 to 60% has been considered as appropriate for this PoA. The production of volatile solids is very much

dependent on the feed digestibility levels.

VS<sub>Default, poultry</sub> is the value for the volatile solid excretion rate per day on a dry-matter basis for a defined livestock population (kg dm/animal/day) =

0.01

GWP<sub>CH4</sub> = 21 is the default IPCC value of CH<sub>4</sub> applicable to the crediting period (tCO<sub>2e</sub>/t

 $CH_4$ 

#### **Project Emissions:**

PEflare,y = Emissions from flaring of the biogas stream in the year y (tCO<sub>2e</sub>)

#### PEflare,y = GWP CH4 x $\Sigma$ TMRG.h x (1 - $\eta$ flare.h) x 0.001

 $PE_{AD, y}$  = Project Emissions associated with an erobic digesters in year y (tCO<sub>2e</sub>)

PE <sub>leakage</sub> = Nil PE <sub>transport</sub> = Nil

Emissions from incremental transportation in the year y (t CO2e), and physical leakage is negligible since the dung and poultry litter is generated within the project boundary of all the sites in the project activity.

**PE** power, y = Nil.

No fossil fuel is used for power generation within the project activity. The electricity generated for captive use. The use of the recovered biogas is within the project boundary and its output is monitored in order to ensure that the recovered biogas is actually destroyed. Project emissions on account of storage of poultry litter before being fed into the anaerobic digester is not accounted since the storage time of the poultry litter after removal from the cages, including transportation, does not exceed 24 hours before being fed into the anaerobic digester.

Estimated total baseline emission reductions (BE) = 59746 CoUs (59746 tCO<sub>2eq</sub>)

#### **B.6. Prior History>>**

The project activity has not applied to any other GHG program for generation or issuance of carbon offsets or credits.

#### **B.7.** Changes to start date of crediting period >>

There is no change in the start date of crediting period.

# **B.8.** Permanent changes from PCN monitoring plan, applied methodology or applied standardized baseline >>

There are no permanent changes from registered PCN monitoring plan and applied methodology

#### **B.9.** Monitoring period number and duration>>

First CoU Issuance Period: 5 years, 10 months Monitoring Dates: 01/01/2016 to 31/10/2021

#### **B.8.** Monitoring plan>>

Data/Parameter	$N_{ m L}$
Data unit	Number
Description	Number of head of poultry birds
Source of data Value(s) applied	Head count of poultry birds, whose waste is used for generating biogas
Measurement methods and procedures	Based on back-calculation of poultry litter requirement of the plant. Poultry average generation data (i.e. 40 g / head / day).
Monitoring frequency	As and when commissioned and fixed and recorded in the monitoring report
Purpose of data	To estimate baseline emissions

Data / Parameter:	Q waste
Data unit:	tons
Description:	Quantity of solid waste or residual waste handled by each digester based on capacity monthly.
Source of data:	Measured
Measurement	On-site data sheets recorded monthly using weigh bridge
procedures (if any):	
Monitoring frequency:	Monthly-
QA/QC procedures:	Weighbridge will be subject to periodic calibration (in accordance with stipulation of the weighbridge supplier)
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter:	N <sub>y</sub>
Data unit:	Number of operational days in a year
Description:	Measured
Source of data:	-
Measurement	Records kept in the log book.
procedures (if any):	
Monitoring frequency:	Annually, based on monthly records
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter:	MCF
Data unit:	%
Description:	Annual methane conversion factor. The MCF indicates the extent
	to which, under certain conditions, the degradable substances will
	actually be converted into methane.
Source of data:	-IPCC Guidelines
Measurement	AMS-III.D provides three options for obtaining the manure
procedures (if any):	production and methane production potential. These include:
	Data from nationally published sources;   Estimated from actual
	feed intake levels, via the enhanced characterisation method (tier
	2) □ Default values provided in 2006 IPCC Guidelines for
	National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Volume 4 chapter 10. The
	project proponent has used the IPCC default values to estimate the
	baseline emissions. It also ensures that the baseline emissions are
	calculated in a conservative manner.
Monitoring frequency:	Annually, based on monthly records
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-Baseline emissions are calculated in a conservative manner.

Data / Parameter:	EG <sub>grid,y</sub>
Data unit:	MWh
Description:	Quantity of electricity generated
Source of data	Plant records
Measurement	Measured using calibrated meters. Calibration shall be as per the
procedures (if any):	relevant methodologies.
Monitoring frequency:	Continuous monitoring, integrated hourly and at least monthly
	recording
QA/QC procedure	-
Any comment:	The parameter need to be monitored for project activities which
	displaces grid electricity

Data / Parameter:	EF <sub>grid,y</sub>
Data unit:	t CO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh
Description:	CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor for the grid electricity in year y
Source of data	-As described in UCR Standard
Measurement	0.9
procedures (if any):	
Monitoring frequency:	NA
QA/QC procedure	-
Any comment:	The parameter need to be monitored for project activities which
	displaces grid electricity

Data / Parameter:	VS
Data unit:	kg/head/day
Description:	Volatile Solids production per head
Source of data	IPCC 2006 Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories under the volume 'Agriculture, Forestry and other Land use' for
	'Emissions from Livestock and Manure Management' -
Measurement procedures (if any):	Poultry=0.02
Monitoring frequency:	NA
QA/QC procedure	The project proponent has used a combination of the field values and the IPCC default values to estimate the baseline emissions and an assessment on its suitability has been provided. It also ensures that the baseline emissions are calculated in a

	conservative manner
Any comment:	Baseline Emissions