## **Heuristic Analysis**

In game\_agent.py, I implemented three custom\_score functions:

- 1. custom\_score(): number of my legal moves minus number of opponent legal moves minus distance from my location to center board.
- 2. custom\_score\_2(): the square of distance from my location to opponent location.
- 3. custom\_score\_3(): number of my legal moves minus 1.5 times of number of opponent legal moves.

The result shows in the picture:

- 1	Match	n # Opponent	Αŀ	3_:	Improv	ed .	AB <sub>.</sub>	_Custor	m A	B_	Custom_	_2 <i>F</i>	\B_C	ustor	n_3
- 1	Won	Lost Won	Lost		Won	Lost		Won	Lost						
-	1	Random	10	1	0	10	1	0	8	-1	2	10	1	0	
- :	2	MM_Open	7	1	3	8	1	2	8	-1	2	8	1	2	
- :	3	MM_Center	8	1	2	9	1	1	7	-1	3	10	-1	0	
- ,	4	MM_Improved	6	1	4	7	-	3	7	-1	3	7	-1	3	
- !	5	AB_Open	5	1	5	7	-	3	7	-1	3	6	-1	4	
- (	6	AB_Center	6	1	4	7	-	3	6	-1	4	5	-1	5	
- '	7	AB_Improved	4	1	6	6	1	4	3	-1	7	4	-1	6	
- 1	- Win Rate: 65.7			%		77.1%			65.7%			71.4%			

From the result we can see all of my custom score functions performing not worse than AB\_Improved agent. The custom\_score\_2() has the same win rate with AB\_Improved agent, which is 65.7%. The custom\_score\_3() has 71.4% win rate, which is better than AB\_Improved agent. And custom\_score() performs best, which is 77.1%.

I recommend custom\_score(). First of all, it passes the test and has the best win rate. Second, it wins more games than all of seven tournament agents which indicates that it performs stable. And finally this evaluation function considers more features of a game state, which performs better reasonably.