

ECON0106: Microeconomics Take-home Exam

Michael Tran

17th December 2022

Candidate Number: XXTR7

Question 1

(i)

If σ_i admits an APU representation then we know that for a utility function $u_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a cost function $c_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that for every $S_i \in \mathcal{S}_i$:

$$\sigma_i(\cdot | S_i) = \arg \max_{p_i \in \Delta(S_i)} \sum_{s_i \in S_i} p_i(s_i) u_i(s_i) - c_i(p_i(s_i))$$

The first-order condition with respect to $p_i(s_i)$ is satisfied if and only if:

$$u_i(s_i) + \lambda(S_i) = c'_i(p_i(s_i | S_i))$$

Where $\lambda(S_i)$ is the Lagrangian multiple given the set of menus S_i . The first order condition implies for each $s_i, s'_i \in S_i \cap S'_i$,

$$c'_i(p_i(s_i | S_i)) - c'_i(p_i(s'_i | S_i)) = c'_i(p_i(s_i | S'_i)) - c'_i(p_i(s'_i | S'_i))$$

Given this, a strictly increasing function $\phi : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by $\phi(x) := \exp(c'(x))$. Which means it satisfies Ordinal IIA.

(ii)

A best response correspondence for player i, $b_i : S_{-i} \rightrightarrows S_i$ is defined as

Question 3

For any arbitrary value of $c \in [0, 1]$ we know the seller will accept price p from the buyer if and only if $p \geq \alpha + (1 - \alpha)c$, where $\alpha > 0$ is a constant. As the buyer also observes the probability distribution of c then it believes that the lowest possible reservation value the seller could have is λ where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and offers the price $p(\lambda) = \beta + (1 - \beta)\lambda$, where $1 > \beta > \alpha$.

If the buyer's offer is rejected in period 1, then the buyer will update his or her belief about the c and updates λ_t . Based on Bayes' rule and the seller's strategy that if $\lambda_2 = 0$ if $p_1 < \alpha$ and $\lambda_2 = c^*(p_1)$ if $\alpha < p_1 < 1$ where:

$$c^*(p) = \frac{p - \alpha}{1 - \alpha}$$

And the buyer will know that:

$$F_2(c) = \frac{c - \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_1}$$

So the buyer's equilibrium posterior belief at the beginning of period $t = 2$ can be defined by a number based on what was offered in the previous period.

So at time 1, the equilibrium price offered is $p_1 = \beta$ which the seller accepts if $c^\beta \geq c$. So at period 2, the equilibrium price offer will be $p_2 = \beta + (1 - \beta)c^*(\beta)$ as $c^*(\beta)$ is the lowest possible reservation price that the buyer believes the seller will accept.

The seller will only accept p_1 if $c^*(p_1) \geq c$ $c^*(\beta) > 0$. From this we know that $p_0 - c^*(\beta) = \delta[p_1 - c^*(\beta)]$, which given how we defined p_1 and p_0 means $\alpha = \delta\beta$.

At period $t=1$, the buyers' payoff given price p is:

$$W_B(p, \lambda) = \left[\frac{c^*(p) - \lambda}{1 - \lambda}\right](1 - p) + \left[\frac{1 - c^*(p)}{1 - \lambda}\right]\delta U_B(c^*(p))$$

Where $U_B(c^*(p))$ is the buyer's payoff at the beginning of $t = 2$ when the lowest possible reservation value the buyer believes the seller could have is λ .

As for any $\lambda < 1$ and $p \notin \alpha + (1 - \alpha), 1$, $W_B(p, \lambda) \leq 0$ then $\forall \lambda < 1$:

$$U_B(\lambda) = \max_{1 > p > \alpha + (1 - \alpha)\lambda} \left[\frac{c^*(p) - \lambda}{1 - \lambda}\right](1 - p) + \left[\frac{1 - c^*(p)}{1 - \lambda}\right]\delta U_B(c^*(p))$$

For simplification let $V_B(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda)U_B(\lambda)$ so:

$$V_B(\lambda) = \max_{1 > p > \alpha + (1 - \alpha)\lambda} [c^*(p) - \lambda](1 - p)\delta U_B(c^*(p))$$

The first order condition is:

$$\frac{1 - p^*}{1 - \alpha} - \frac{p^* - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} + \lambda + \frac{\delta}{1 - \alpha} V'_B\left(\frac{p^* - \alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right) = 0$$

So $p(\lambda)$ only satisfies the first order condition if $p(\lambda) = p^*$ which if substituted into the equation above results in:

$$(1 - \lambda)(1 - 2\beta + \alpha) + \delta V''_B\left(\frac{\beta + (1 - \beta)\lambda - \alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right) = 0$$

Now the Envelope theorem implies that, $V'_B(\lambda) = -(1 - p^*)$. This combined with $p^* = p(\lambda)$ then $V'_B(\lambda) = -(1 - \beta)(1 - \lambda)$. So:

$$V'_B\left(\frac{\beta + (1 - \beta)\lambda - \alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right) = -\frac{(1 - \beta)^2(1 - \lambda)}{1 - \alpha}$$

Using this, we then know that:

$$(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\beta + \alpha) - \delta(1 - \beta)^2 = 0$$

Using the fact that $\alpha = \beta\delta$, this equation can be solved and the solutions are $\alpha = \sqrt{1 - \delta}$ and $\beta = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \delta}}{\delta}$. So there exists a unique stationary and linear weak perfect Bayesian equilibrium given the values. Given this we know that $p_1 = 1 + (1 - \beta)\beta$ and $p_0 = \beta$. Given the value we found for β under a wPBE we know that $p_1 \geq p_0$ where $\delta \in [0, 1]$.