TARGET CASE STUDY

BY- UDAY NAGA VAMSI

- I. Import the dataset and do usual exploratory analysis steps like checking the structure & characteristics of the dataset.
 - A. <u>Data type of all columns in the "customers" table.</u>

```
SELECT
column_name,
data_type
FROM
target_data.INFORMATION_SCHEMA.COLUMNS
WHERE
table_name = "customers"
```

| Row | column_name ▼ | data_type ▼ |
|-----|--------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | customer_id | STRING |
| 2 | customer_unique_id | STRING |
| 3 | customer_zip_code_prefix | INT64 |
| 4 | customer_city | STRING |
| 5 | customer_state | STRING |

B. Get the time range between which the orders were placed.

QUERY

```
select
min(order_purchase_timestamp) as first_order_date,
max(order_purchase_timestamp) as last_order_date
from
    target-395006.target_data.orders`;
```



C. Count the number of Cities and States in our dataset.

```
select
count(distinct c.customer_city) as unique_cities,
count(distinct c.customer_state) as unique_states
from
`target-395006.target_data.customers` c
left join
`target-395006.target_data.orders` o
on
c.customer_id = o.customer_id

Row unique_cities ▼ unique_states ▼
1 4119 27
```

INSIGHTS:

- Reviewing the data types of columns helps ensure accurate analysis and manipulation. The dataset contains relevant customer information, such as zip codes, cities, and states.
- Understanding the time range of orders enables tracking of business growth over the years. The dataset covers orders placed between **September-2016** and **October-2018**.
- Analyzing customer locations allows us to identify regions with high order activity, which can guide marketing and distribution strategies. In the given dataset we can observe **4119** unique cities from **27** unique states all together.

II. In-depth Exploration

A. <u>Is there a growing trend in the no. of orders placed in each month over the past years?</u>

```
with Year Month OrderCount as (
  select extract(year from o.order_purchase_timestamp) as order_year,
     extract(month from o.order purchase timestamp) as order month,
     count(*) as order count
 from 'target-395006.target_data.orders' as o
 group by order_year, order_month
select order_year,
   order month,
   order count,
   lag(order_count) over (partition by order_month order by order_year) as prev_month_order_count,
   when order_count - lag(order_count) over ( partition by order_month order by order_year) > 0 then 'INCREASE'
   when order count - lag(order count) over (partition by order month order by order year) < 0 then 'DECREASE'
   else "-"
   end
   as Indication
from Year Month OrderCount
order by order month
```

| Row | order_year ▼ | order_month ▼ | order_count ▼ | prev_month_order_co | Indication ▼ |
|-----|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|
| 1 | 2017 | 1 | 800 | null | - |
| 2 | 2018 | 1 | 7269 | 800 | INCREASE |
| 3 | 2017 | 2 | 1780 | null | - |
| 4 | 2018 | 2 | 6728 | 1780 | INCREASE |
| 5 | 2017 | 3 | 2682 | null | - |
| 6 | 2018 | 3 | 7211 | 2682 | INCREASE |
| 7 | 2017 | 4 | 2404 | null | - |
| 8 | 2018 | 4 | 6939 | 2404 | INCREASE |
| 9 | 2017 | 5 | 3700 | null | - |
| 10 | 2018 | 5 | 6873 | 3700 | INCREASE |

B. Can we see some kind of monthly seasonality in terms of the no. of orders being placed?

```
with Year_Month_OrderCount as (
    select extract(year from o.order_purchase_timestamp) as order_year,
        extract(month from o.order_purchase_timestamp) as order_month,
        count(*) as order_count
    from `target-395006.target_data.orders` as o
        group by order_year, order_month
)
select order_year,
        order_month,
        order_count,
        case
        when order_count > lag(order_count) over (order by order_year, order_month) and
```

order_count > lead(order_count) over (order by order_year, order_month) then 'PEAK' else 'NORMAL' end as month_type from Year_Month_OrderCount order by order_year, order_month;

| Row | order_year ▼ | order_month ▼ | order_count ▼ | month_type ▼ |
|-----|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| 1 | 2016 | 9 | 4 | NORMAL |
| 2 | 2016 | 10 | 324 | PEAK |
| 3 | 2016 | 12 | 1 | NORMAL |
| 4 | 2017 | 1 | 800 | NORMAL |
| 5 | 2017 | 2 | 1780 | NORMAL |
| 6 | 2017 | 3 | 2682 | PEAK |
| 7 | 2017 | 4 | 2404 | NORMAL |
| 8 | 2017 | 5 | 3700 | PEAK |
| 9 | 2017 | 6 | 3245 | NORMAL |
| 10 | 2017 | 7 | 4026 | NORMAL |

C. <u>During what time of the day, do the Brazilian customers mostly place their orders? (Dawn, Morning, Afternoon or Night)</u>

• 0-6 hrs : Dawn

7-12 hrs : Mornings13-18 hrs : Afternoon

• 19-23 hrs : Night

```
when extract(hour from o.order_purchase_timestamp) between 0 and 6 then 'Dawn'
when extract(hour from o.order_purchase_timestamp) between 7 and 12 then 'Morning'
when extract(hour from o.order_purchase_timestamp) between 13 and 18 then 'Afternoon'
when extract(hour from o.order_purchase_timestamp) between 19 and 23 then 'Night'
end as time_of_day,
count(*) as order_count
from `target-395006.target_data.orders` as o
group by time_of_day
```

| Row | time_of_day ▼ | order_count ▼ |
|-----|---------------|---------------|
| 1 | Morning | 27733 |
| 2 | Dawn | 5242 |
| 3 | Afternoon | 38135 |
| 4 | Night | 28331 |

INSIGHTS:

- There is a consistent **growth** trend in the number of orders placed in each month over the years **2016** and **2017**, indicating sustained business expansion. But when comes to year **2018** there is **decrease** in no. of orders in **November** and **December** months.
- The data suggests potential monthly seasonality, with certain months experiencing higher order volumes like in Oct in 2016; Mar, May, Aug, Nov in 2017 and Jan, Mar, Aug in 2018. Target can plan promotions and resources accordingly.
- Brazilian customers tend to place orders mostly during the afternoon hours. Target can optimize staffing and support during peak hours.

III. Evolution of E-commerce orders in the Brazil region

A. Get the month on month no. of orders placed in each state.

```
with MonthlyOrderCounts as (
    select extract(year from o.order_purchase_timestamp ) as order_year,
        extract(month from o.order_purchase_timestamp) as order_month,
        c.customer_state,
        count(*) as order_count
    from `target-395006.target_data.orders` as o
    inner join `target-395006.target_data.customers` as c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id
    group by order_year, order_month, c.customer_state
    order by order_year, order_month, c.customer_state
)
select order_year,
    order_month,
    customer_state,
    order_count
from MonthlyOrderCounts
order by order_year, order_month, customer_state;
```

| Row | order_year ▼ | order_month ▼ | customer_state ▼ | order_count ▼ | 11 |
|-----|--------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|----|
| 1 | 2016 | 9 | RR | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2016 | 9 | RS | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 2016 | 9 | SP | 2 | 2 |
| 4 | 2016 | 10 | AL | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 2016 | 10 | BA | 4 | 4 |
| 6 | 2016 | 10 | CE | 8 | 8 |
| 7 | 2016 | 10 | DF | 6 | 6 |
| 8 | 2016 | 10 | ES | 4 | 4 |
| 9 | 2016 | 10 | GO | g | 9 |
| 10 | 2016 | 10 | MA | 4 | 4 |

B. How are the customers distributed across all the states?

QUERY

select customer_state, count(distinct customer_unique_id) as unique_customers from `target-395006.target_data.customers` group by customer_state order by unique_customers desc

| Row | customer_state ▼ | unique_customers |
|-----|------------------|------------------|
| 1 | SP | 40302 |
| 2 | RJ | 12384 |
| 3 | MG | 11259 |
| 4 | RS | 5277 |
| 5 | PR | 4882 |
| 6 | SC | 3534 |
| 7 | BA | 3277 |
| 8 | DF | 2075 |
| 9 | ES | 1964 |
| 10 | GO | 1952 |

INSIGHTS:

- Month-on-month order variations across states highlight regional demand patterns. **Customer State SP** observes most number of orders every year. Target can tailor marketing efforts and inventory management.
- Analyzing customer distribution informs resource allocation for better coverage and delivery. As we can observe from the results **SP**, **RJ**, **MG** states holds most and **AC**, **AP**, **RR** holds least number of customers.

- IV. Impact on Economy: Analyze the money movement by e-commerce by looking at order prices, freight and others.
 - A. Get the % increase in the cost of orders from year 2017 to 2018 (include months between Jan to Aug only).

```
with orderPayments as (
    select o.order_id,
        extract(year from o.order_purchase_timestamp) as order_year,
        extract(month from o.order_purchase_timestamp) as order_month,
        p.payment_value
    from `target-395006.target_data.orders` as o
    inner join `target-395006.target_data.payments` as p on o.order_id = p.order_id
    where extract(year from o.order_purchase_timestamp) in (2017, 2018)
    and extract(month from o.order_purchase_timestamp) between 1 and 8
)
select order_year,
    order month,
```

| Row | order_year ▼ | order_month ▼ | percent_increase 🗸 |
|-----|--------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 2017 | 1 | null |
| 2 | 2017 | 2 | 110.78 |
| 3 | 2017 | 3 | 54.11 |
| 4 | 2017 | 4 | -7.13 |
| 5 | 2017 | 5 | 41.92 |
| 6 | 2017 | 6 | -13.77 |
| 7 | 2017 | 7 | 15.86 |
| 8 | 2017 | 8 | 13.84 |
| 9 | 2018 | 1 | 65.33 |
| 10 | 2018 | 2 | -10.99 |

B. Calculate the Total & Average value of order price for each state.

```
select c.customer_state,
    round(sum(oi.price),2) as total_order_price,
    round(avg(oi.price),2) as average_order_price
from `target-395006.target_data.order_items` as oi
inner join `target-395006.target_data.orders` as o on oi.order_id = o.order_id
```

inner join `target-395006.target_data.customers` as c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id group by c.customer_state order by c.customer_state;

| Row | customer_state ▼ | total_order_price 🔻 | average_order_price |
|-----|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | AC | 15982.95 | 173.73 |
| 2 | AL | 80314.81 | 180.89 |
| 3 | AM | 22356.84 | 135.5 |
| 4 | AP | 13474.3 | 164.32 |
| 5 | BA | 511349.99 | 134.6 |
| 6 | CE | 227254.71 | 153.76 |
| 7 | DF | 302603.94 | 125.77 |
| 8 | ES | 275037.31 | 121.91 |
| 9 | GO | 294591.95 | 126.27 |
| 10 | MA | 119648.22 | 145.2 |

Least 5 states with total order price and avg order price

| Row | customer_state ▼ | total_order_price 🔻 | average_order_price |
|-----|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | RR | 7829.43 | 150.57 |
| 2 | AP | 13474.3 | 164.32 |
| 3 | AC | 15982.95 | 173.73 |
| 4 | AM | 22356.84 | 135.5 |
| 5 | RO | 46140.64 | 165.97 |

C. Calculate the Total & Average value of order freight for each state.

```
select c.customer_state,
    round(sum(oi.freight_value),2) as total_freight,
    round(avg(oi.freight_value),2) as average_freight
from `target-395006.target_data.order_items` as oi
inner join `target-395006.target_data.orders` as o on oi.order_id = o.order_id
inner join `target-395006.target_data.customers` as c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id
group by c.customer_state
order by c.customer_state;
```

| Row | customer_state ▼ | total_freight ▼ | average_freight 🔻 |
|-----|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1 | AC | 3686.75 | 40.07 |
| 2 | AL | 15914.59 | 35.84 |
| 3 | AM | 5478.89 | 33.21 |
| 4 | AP | 2788.5 | 34.01 |
| 5 | BA | 100156.68 | 26.36 |
| 6 | CE | 48351.59 | 32.71 |
| 7 | DF | 50625.5 | 21.04 |
| 8 | ES | 49764.6 | 22.06 |
| 9 | GO | 53114.98 | 22.77 |
| 10 | MA | 31523.77 | 38.26 |

INSIGHTS:

- Analyzing cost increase reveals financial trends, which can inform pricing strategies and cost optimization efforts. From the results the cost of orders shows **downward** trend by the month of **Aug** in both 2017 & 2018.
- From the results **RR**, **AP**, **AC**, **AM**, **RO** states have lowest total order price and average order price as well. Understanding price distribution across states can guide pricing adjustments and promotions.
- Similarly, Freight cost distribution offers insights into shipping efficiency and potential cost-saving measures.

- V. Analysis based on sales, freight and delivery time.
 - A. Find the no. of days taken to deliver each order from the order's purchase date as delivery time. Also, calculate the difference (in days) between the estimated & actual delivery date of an order.

```
select o.order_id,
    timestamp_diff(o.order_delivered_customer_date, o.order_purchase_timestamp,day) as delivery_time_in_days,
    timestamp_diff(o.order_estimated_delivery_date, o.order_delivered_customer_date, day) as
diff_estimated_delivery_in_days
from `target-395006.target_data.orders` as o;
```

| Row | order_id ▼ | delivery_time_in_days ▼ | diff_estimated_delivery_in_days |
|-----|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | 1950d777989f6a877539f5379 | 30 | -12 |
| 2 | 2c45c33d2f9cb8ff8b1c86cc28 | 30 | 28 |
| 3 | 65d1e226dfaeb8cdc42f66542 | 35 | 16 |
| 4 | 635c894d068ac37e6e03dc54e | 30 | 1 |
| 5 | 3b97562c3aee8bdedcb5c2e45 | 32 | 0 |
| 6 | 68f47f50f04c4cb6774570cfde | 29 | 1 |
| 7 | 276e9ec344d3bf029ff83a161c | 43 | -4 |
| 8 | 54e1a3c2b97fb0809da548a59 | 40 | -4 |
| 9 | fd04fa4105ee8045f6a0139ca5 | 37 | -1 |
| 10 | 302bb8109d097a9fc6e9cefc5 | 33 | -5 |

B. Find out the top 5 states with the highest & lowest average freight value.

```
with StateFreightAvg as (
    select c.customer_state,
        avg(oi.freight_value) as avg_freight
    from `target-395006.target_data.order_items` as oi
    inner join `target-395006.target_data.orders` as o on oi.order_id = o.order_id
    inner join `target-395006.target_data.customers` as c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id
    group by c.customer_state
)
select customer_state,
    avg_freight,
    case
    when rank() over (order by avg_freight) <= 5 then 'Bottom 5'</pre>
```

when rank() over (order by avg_freight desc) <= 5 then 'Top 5' else 'Others' end as freight_rank from StateFreightAvg order by avg_freight;</pre>

| Row | customer_state ▼ | avg_freight ▼ | freight_rank ▼ |
|-----|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1 | SP | 15.14727539041 | Bottom 5 |
| 2 | PR | 20.53165156794 | Bottom 5 |
| 3 | MG | 20.63016680630 | Bottom 5 |
| 4 | RJ | 20.96092393168 | Bottom 5 |
| 5 | DF | 21.04135494596 | Bottom 5 |
| 6 | SC | 21.47036877394 | Others |
| 7 | RS | 21.73580433039 | Others |
| 8 | ES | 22.05877659574 | Others |
| 9 | GO | 22.76681525932 | Others |
| 10 | MS | 23.37488400488 | Others |
| | | | |
| 23 | PI | 39.14797047970 | Top 5 |
| 24 | AC | 40.07336956521 | Top 5 |
| 25 | RO | 41.06971223021 | Top 5 |
| 26 | PB | 42.72380398671 | Top 5 |
| 27 | RR | 42.98442307692 | Top 5 |

C. Find out the top 5 states with the highest & lowest average delivery time.

```
with StateDeliveryavg as (
    select c.customer_state,
        avg(date_diff(o.order_delivered_customer_date, o.order_purchase_timestamp,day)) as avg_delivery_time
    from `target-395006.target_data.orders` as o
    inner join `target-395006.target_data.customers` as c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id
    group by c.customer_state
)
select customer_state,
    avg_delivery_time,
    case
    when rank() over (order by avg_delivery_time) <= 5 then 'Fastest 5'
    when rank() over (order by avg_delivery_time desc) <= 5 then 'Slowest 5'
    else 'Others'
    end as delivery_speed
from StateDeliveryavg
order by avg_delivery_time;</pre>
```

| Row | customer_state ▼ | avg_delivery_time | delivery_speed ▼ |
|-----|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 1 | SP | 8.298061489072 | Fastest 5 |
| 2 | PR | 11.52671135486 | Fastest 5 |
| 3 | MG | 11.54381329810 | Fastest 5 |
| 4 | DF | 12.50913461538 | Fastest 5 |
| 5 | SC | 14.47956019171 | Fastest 5 |
| 6 | RS | 14.81923652694 | Others |
| 7 | RJ | 14.84918643244 | Others |
| 8 | GO | 15.15074092999 | Others |
| 9 | MS | 15.19115549215 | Others |
| 10 | ES | 15.33182957393 | Others |
| | | | |
| 23 | PA | 23.31606765327 | Slowest 5 |
| 24 | AL | 24.04030226700 | Slowest 5 |
| 25 | AM | 25.98620689655 | Slowest 5 |
| 26 | AP | 26.73134328358 | Slowest 5 |
| 27 | RR | 28.97560975609 | Slowest 5 |
| | | | |

D. <u>Find out the top 5 states where the order delivery is really fast as compared to the estimated date of delivery.</u>

```
with StateDeliverySpeed as ( select c.customer_state,
```

```
avg(date_diff(o.order_delivered_customer_date, o.order_estimated_delivery_date,day)) as avg_delivery_speed
from `target-395006.target_data.orders` as o
  inner join `target-395006.target_data.customers` as c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id
  where o.order_status = 'delivered'
  group by c.customer_state
)
select customer_state,
  avg_delivery_speed,
  case
    when rank() over (order by avg_delivery_speed) <= 5 then 'Fastest 5'
    else 'Others'
  end as delivery_speed_category
from StateDeliverySpeed
order by avg_delivery_speed;</pre>
```

| Row | customer_state ▼ | avg_delivery_speed | delivery_speed_category ▼ |
|-----|------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | AC | -19.7625000000 | Fastest 5 |
| 2 | RO | -19.1316872427 | Fastest 5 |
| 3 | AP | -18.7313432835 | Fastest 5 |
| 4 | AM | -18.6068965517 | Fastest 5 |
| 5 | RR | -16.4146341463 | Fastest 5 |
| 6 | MT | -13.4311512415 | Others |
| 7 | PA | -13.1902748414 | Others |
| 8 | RS | -12.9818488023 | Others |
| 9 | RN | -12.7573839662 | Others |
| 10 | PE | -12.4017576898 | Others |

INSIGHTS:

- Analyzing delivery times and discrepancies helps identify operational efficiency and potential areas for improvement.
- Identifying states with high and low average freight values can guide distribution and pricing strategies. **SP, PR, MG, RJ, DF** states stands as **bottom 5** and **PI, AC, RO, PB, RR** states stands as **Top 5** average freight values
- Comparing delivery times across states highlights areas for enhancing logistical efficiency. **SP, PR, MG, DF, SC** states stands as **fastest 5** and **PA, AL, AM, AP, RR** stands as **slowest 5** in delivery times
- Recognizing states with fast delivery relative to estimated dates showcases operational excellence. AC, RO, AP, AM, RR
 states have really fast order delivery

VI. Analysis based on the payments

A. Find the month on month no. of orders placed using different payment types.

```
select extract(YEAR from o.order_purchase_timestamp) as order_year,
    extract(month from o.order_purchase_timestamp) as order_month,
    p.payment_type,
    count(*) as order_count
from `target-395006.target_data.orders` as o
inner join `target-395006.target_data.payments` as p on o.order_id = p.order_id
group by order_year, order_month, p.payment_type
```

order by order_year, order_month

| Row | order_year ▼ | order_month ▼ | payment_type ▼ | order_count ▼ |
|-----|--------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1 | 2016 | 9 | credit_card | 3 |
| 2 | 2016 | 10 | credit_card | 254 |
| 3 | 2016 | 10 | voucher | 23 |
| 4 | 2016 | 10 | debit_card | 2 |
| 5 | 2016 | 10 | UPI | 63 |
| 6 | 2016 | 12 | credit_card | 1 |
| 7 | 2017 | 1 | voucher | 61 |
| 8 | 2017 | 1 | UPI | 197 |
| 9 | 2017 | 1 | credit_card | 583 |
| 10 | 2017 | 1 | debit_card | 9 |

B. Find the no. of orders placed on the basis of the payment installments that havebeen paid.

QUERY

Select

payment_installments, count(order_id) as num_orders from `target-395006.target_data.payments` where payment_installments > 0 group by payment_installments order by payment_installments

| Row | payment_installments | num_orders ▼ |
|-----|----------------------|--------------|
| 1 | 1 | 52546 |
| 2 | 2 | 12413 |
| 3 | 3 | 10461 |
| 4 | 4 | 7098 |
| 5 | 5 | 5239 |
| 6 | 6 | 3920 |
| 7 | 7 | 1626 |
| 8 | 8 | 4268 |
| 9 | 9 | 644 |
| 10 | 10 | 5328 |

INSIGHTS:

- Monitoring payment preferences over time can inform marketing strategies and payment platform enhancements. From the
 results we can observe Most of the payments are done using Credit Card as mode of payment.
- Understanding installment payment usage helps tailor payment options and marketing communications.

Actionable Recommendations

• **Promotion Planning**: Capitalize on monthly seasonality by designing promotions and marketing campaigns around peak order months.

- **Logistics Optimization**: Focusing on states with fast delivery times and replicate successful practices in other regions to improve overall delivery efficiency.
- **<u>Pricing Strategies</u>**: Analyzing cost increase trends and consider implementing dynamic pricing models to balance profitability and customer affordability.
- **Payment Convenience**: Offering targeted promotions or discounts for orders with specific payment installments to incentivize usage and enhance customer satisfaction.
- **Customer Outreach**: Leveraging insights from the customer distribution analysis to tailor marketing efforts and build a strong customer base in underserved areas.
- **Operational Efficiency**: Identifying states with high freight costs and long delivery times to address logistical challenges and improve customer experience.

By incorporating these recommendations, Target can optimize its operations, enhance customer satisfaction, and further establish itself as a preferred e-commerce destination in Brazil.