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**Answer to the question no.: 4**

The sole difference between Chinese philosopher and Confucian Mencius and Xunzi is how they perceive the fundamental goodness of human nature. According to Mencius, human nature is inherently good. He likened human nature to the innocence and lack of knowledge of wrongdoing of a newborn child. They gradually acquire some vices, nevertheless, as a result of the society structure's heinousness. On the other hand, the belief that evil is entrenched in human nature was perceived by Xunzi. He asserted that these characteristics are innate in humans since no one else has ever taught us to be aggressive, rapacious, or hateful toward others. However, they both come to the conclusion that even if we are imperfect, we may become perfect via moral cultivation. The act of recognizing something's truth, drawing out the morality from it, and growing it within is referred to as moral cultivation. Then it will grow and flourish. Because nothing grows in us naturally without cultivation. To sum up, Mencius and Xunzi had different views on human nature, but they finally came to the same conclusion: moral cultivation should be performed in order to elevate us from being merely living things to human beings.