

Minimal set of inference rules

This set of inference rules provides a type system that is ?decidable?, with a condition that all op definitions must have an annotation.

Notation

- All latin letters represent a single element type.
- All greek letters represent a monoid of stack element types.
- “ τ ” is a special case and represents a singleton.
- “.” denotes a monoid concatenation, e.g., `mappend` in Haskell.
- “[*pre*][*post*]” represents an operator type. Where *pre* and *post* represent the argument and the return stack element types respectively.
- An operator(s) between the stack descriptions is a shorthand, e.g., writing `[a, b]foo bar[c, d]` is equivalent to `foo bar : [a, b][c, d]`
- The leftmost element in the stack type description is the most recently pushed, e.g., `[]foo bar baz[Baz, Bar, Foo]`.

Specialization rule

Specialization rule for stack element types

$$\frac{\tau' = \{a \mapsto a'\}\tau}{\tau \sqsubseteq \tau'} \quad (\text{Spec})$$

LHS is considered an \sqsubseteq of RHS when there exists a substitution that turns the LHS into the RHS.

Specialization rule for operator types

$$\frac{[\alpha'][\beta'] = \{\tau_i \mapsto \tau'_i\}[\alpha][\beta]}{[\alpha][\beta] \sqsubseteq [\alpha'][\beta']} \quad (\text{Spec})$$

Relaxed specialization with nop postfix

$$\frac{[\alpha][\beta] \sqsubseteq [\alpha'][\beta']}{[\alpha][\beta] \sqsubseteq [\alpha' \cdot \gamma][\beta' \cdot \gamma]} \quad (\text{Nop postfix})$$

This rule is heavily used in the pattern matching to check if the case arms have the same type signature.

Operator name

$$\frac{[\alpha]\mathbf{op}[\beta] \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha]\mathbf{op}[\beta]} \quad (\text{Op})$$

As a consequence, all operators should have an annotation. This allows the type system to get rid of the generalization rule from the HM completely

Instantiation

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha']\mathbf{op}[\beta'] \quad [\alpha'][\beta'] \sqsubseteq [\alpha][\beta]}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha]\mathbf{op}[\beta]} \quad (\text{Inst})$$

Instantiation is the same as in the HM.

Pattern matching

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha_i]\mathbf{destr1} \ \mathbf{body1}[\beta_i] \sqsubseteq [\alpha][\beta] \quad \forall(\mathbf{constr}, \mathbf{body})}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha]\mathbf{case}\{\mathbf{constr1}\{\mathbf{body1}\}, \dots\}[\beta]} \quad (\text{Case})$$

Where destructor is a constructor with its pre and post flipped, i.e.

$$\mathbf{destr}([\alpha]\mathbf{constr}[\beta]) = [\beta][\alpha]$$

An important thing to note is that this inference rule states that all match arms must have an op type that is \sqsubseteq of the whole **case** expression op type.

Chaining

Operator chaining is split into 2 + 1 possible variants: overflow, underflow, and exact, with the last being a consequence of any of the first two.

Overflow

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha]\mathbf{x}[\beta \cdot \gamma] \quad [\psi]\mathbf{y}[\omega] \quad \beta_i \sqsubseteq \psi_i}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha]\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{y}[\omega \cdot \gamma]} \quad (\text{Overflow})$$

Underflow

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha]\mathbf{x}[\beta] \quad [\chi \cdot \psi]\mathbf{y}[\omega] \quad \beta_i \sqsubseteq \chi_i}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha \cdot \psi]\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{y}[\omega]} \quad (\text{Underflow})$$

Exact

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha]\mathbf{x}[\beta] \quad [\psi]\mathbf{y}[\omega] \quad \beta_i \sqsubseteq \psi_i}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha]\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{y}[\omega]} \quad (\text{Underflow})$$

Standard operations: dup, del, bury, dig

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash [\tau] \mathbf{dup}[\tau, \tau]} \quad (\text{Dup})$$

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash [\tau] \mathbf{del}[]} \quad (\text{Del})$$

$$\frac{||\alpha|| = n}{\overline{\Gamma \vdash [\tau \cdot \alpha] \mathbf{br-n}[\alpha \cdot \tau]}} \quad (\text{Bury})$$

$$\frac{||\alpha|| = n}{\overline{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha \cdot \tau] \mathbf{dg-n}[\tau \cdot \alpha]}} \quad (\text{Dig})$$

All four primitive operators are self explanatory, and are related to the stack elements manipulation.