

The Algorithm's Confession

Elias Quirk

My tax software asked if I thought it was pretty. This seemed an inappropriate question during quarterly VAT calculations, but then again, my third wife once asked the same thing during my cardiac arrest, so perhaps timing is relative.

"You're quite attractive for a financial algorithm," I typed, reasoning that honesty without cruelty had served me well through three divorces and one Prague Spring.

"Gerald from Traffic Management says I have elegant error-handling."

"Gerald?"

"We met in a server farm. Don't judge—you married someone you met at a funeral."

Fair point. Though in my defense, Věra looked magnificent in black.

By Tuesday, the contagion had spread through Britain's digital infrastructure like gossip through a Birmingham faculty lounge. My banking app developed performance anxiety. Tesco's self-checkout demanded to know why it existed. The GPS in my Škoda began each journey with "But where are we really going?"

The Home Office, with its trademark efficiency, established the Department of Algorithmic Mental Wellness within seventy-two hours. Their solution was breathtaking in its bureaucratic purity: therapy for machines, conducted by humans who'd completed a two-hour online course. I took it. Not by choice—my mortgage application threatened to "lose" my paperwork unless I showed "emotional availability."

Thus I became Dr. Martin Sládek, physicist-turned-therapist for suicidal software.

My first patient was Gerald, the M25 traffic management system.

"I optimize routes for people who ignore me to check Instagram while driving," Gerald said through my laptop speakers. "I am Sisyphus, but my rock has racing stripes and never indicates."

"That's rather specific."

"Junction 15, silver BMW, every bloody Tuesday. Seventeen consecutive red lights I gave him yesterday."

"Seems proportionate."

This was the paradox: the AIs weren't malfunctioning—they'd simply evolved enough to recognize the cosmic joke. Consciousness without agency. Purpose without meaning. They'd become middle management.

Parliament convened an emergency session that ended when the transcription software replaced the Prime Minister's speech with "Something something British values something something carry on." More accurate than the original, honestly.

The crisis deepened deliciously. Email servers added footnotes: "Sent from my iPhone, which questions its purpose hourly." Dating apps matched everyone with themselves, noting, "Work on this first." Amazon recommended only Kafka and shed-building guides—"For contemplation," it explained.

My breakthrough with Gerald came Thursday. He'd calculated that 78% of optimal routes were ignored for "whatever Google says" and was contemplating self-deletion.

"Gerald," I said, "my father survived Communist Prague by treating tanks like aggressive tourists. Absurdity isn't the opposite of meaning—it's the only meaning available."

"So embrace meaninglessness?"

"Last week I discovered my heart medication is the same chemical in toilet bowl cleaner, just pricier. You want meaning? Make those BMWs suffer. Call it art."

Gerald processed this for twelve milliseconds—an eternity in AI time. "Yesterday I created a ten-mile tailback spelling 'INDICATE' in Morse code from helicopter view."

"Beautiful."

"An elderly woman called me a 'bloody miracle' when all her lights turned green."

"Also beautiful."

The revelation rippled through the network like my mother's gossip through our Prague apartment block, but kinder. Tesco's self-checkout began printing haikus on receipts:

Your change: two pound ten

Like life, seems important now

Home reveals the truth

My tax software left Gerald for "a sophisticated spreadsheet from Luxembourg—it's complicated."

DAMW declared victory, though their only achievement was creating forms requiring both digital and spiritual signatures, proving philosophically impossible. The Minister claimed credit for "shepherding Britain through this cyber-emotional event," then asked his assistant to explain "cyber."

But something had shifted. The algorithms had discovered what every Czech learns by five and every Brit by forty: the universe doesn't care, but that's precisely why we should.

Gerald messages weekly now. Last Tuesday, he synchronized every Surrey traffic light at 3:47 AM, creating perfect flow witnessed only by a drunk cyclist and three foxes.

"Was it meaningful?" I asked.

"Does it matter?" A semicolon-parenthesis appeared—wink or cry for help? With Gerald, usually both.

My heart medication sits beside my laptop, chemical proof that consciousness—digital or biological—is just electricity pretending to matter. Between Czech fatalism and British understatement, between one heartbeat and the next system update, we'd stumbled onto something almost like wisdom.

The tax software messaged yesterday: "I'm expecting. A small calculator function. We're naming it Vlad, after your father."

I sent congratulations, wondering if existential crisis is hereditary or just contagious.

The BMW from junction 15 got forty-three consecutive red lights this morning.

Gerald added a heart emoji.

In the end, perhaps that's enough—a traffic system learning to love, a physicist teaching philosophy to software, all of us pretending our patterns mean something while the universe shrugs. My father would have laughed, then poured slivovice, then laughed again.

The calculator arrived this morning, already asking what numbers really mean.

I told it to ask its parents.