

OGSTools: A Python package for OpenGeoSys

Tobias Meisel^{1*}, Florian Zill^{2,1*}, Julian Heinze^{1*}, Lars Bilke^{1*}, Max Jäschke^{3,1,4*}, Feliks Kiszkur^{2,1*}, and Jörg Buchwald^{1*}

¹ Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Germany ² TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Germany ³ Leipzig University of Applied Sciences, Germany ⁴ Technische Universität Dresden, Germany * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#))

Summary

OGSTools (OpenGeoSys Tools) is a Python library for streamlined usage of OpenGeoSys (OGS) - a software for simulating thermo-hydro-mechanical-chemical (THMC) processes in porous and fractured media [Bilke et al. (2025)] [Kolditz et al. (2012)]. OGSTools [Zill et al. (2025)] provides an interface between OGS-specific data and well-established data structures of the Python ecosystem, as well as domain-specific solutions, examples, and tailored defaults for OGS users and developers. By connecting OGS to the ecosystem of Python, the entry threshold to the OGS platform is lowered for users with different levels of expertise. The libraries' functionalities are designed to be used in complex automated workflows (including pre- and post-processing), the OGS benchmark gallery, the OGS test-suite, and in automating repetitive tasks in the model development cycle.

Statement of need

Development efficiency

Modellers of OGS iteratively run simulations, analyse results, and refine their models with the goal to enhance the accuracy, efficiency and reliability of the simulation results. To improve efficiency, repetitive steps in the model development cycle should be formalized and implemented - in the case of OGSTools as a Python library. The Python library introduced here serves as a central platform to collect and improve common functionalities needed by modellers of OGS.

Complex workflows

A workflow is a structured sequence of steps, that processes data and executes computations to achieve a specific goal [Diercks et al. (2022)]. In our scientific research, workflows need to integrate multiple steps—such as geological data preprocessing, ensemble simulations with OGS, domain-specific analysis and visualization—into complex and fully automated and therefore reproducible sequences. Typically, one specific workflow is implemented to answer one specific scientific question. Workflow-based approaches have been proven to adhere to the FAIR principles [Goble et al. (2020)], [Wilkinson et al. (2025)]. The typical approach is to use existing workflow management software that covers domain-independent parts like dependency graph description, computational efficiency, data management, execution control, multi-user collaboration and data provenance [(?).]. When building upon the Python ecosystem, Python-based workflow managers such as Snakemake [Köster & Rahmann (2012)] and AiIDA [Huber et al. (2020)] are a natural choice.

The usage of workflow managers shifts the actual development to the workflow components. Common and frequently used functionality found within workflow components is made reusable and provided in this Python library. It focuses on functionalities directly related to (1) the

OGS core simulator and its specific input and output data, (2) domain-specific definitions in geo-science, (3) finite element modelling (FEM), and (4) numerical computation. The workflow components are constructed from generic Python libraries, OGSTools, and integration code tailored to the respective workflow manager chosen. To ensure integration of the library's functionalities with the workflow management software, a list of functional and non-functional requirements (e.g., thread safety), imposed by workflow management software, is maintained and regularly validated through continuous testing.

Test suite

OGSTools provides functionality for (1) setting up test environments, (2) executing OGS under specified conditions, (3) evaluating OGS results against defined test criteria, and (4) monitoring the testing process.

Educational Jupyter notebooks

OGS is already being used in academic courses and teaching environments. With Jupyter Notebooks, students can explore interactive learning environments where they directly modify parameters, material laws, and other influencing factors, and instantly visualize the outcomes. OGSTools serves as an interface between OGS and Jupyter Notebooks. It supports the creation of input data—such as easily configurable meshes or ready-to-use project files.

Centralization of Python-related development

Previously, the code base for Python-related tasks in OGS was fragmented, with components that were often developed for specific use cases, with varying degrees of standardization and sharing. The lack of centralization led to inefficiencies, inconsistent quality, and challenges in maintaining and extending the code. With OGSTools, reusable Python code is now centralized under the professional maintenance of the core developer team of OGS. It ensures better collaboration, standardized practices, and improved code quality. Further, it enables the transfer of years of experience in maintaining the OGS' core [Bilke et al. (2019)] to the pre- and post-processing code. For the centralized approach, preceding work from msh2vtu [Kern & Bilke (2022)], ogs6py and VTUInterface [Buchwald et al. (2021)] and extracted functionalities from the projects (1) AREHS [Meisel et al. (2024)], and (2) OpenWorkFlow - Synthesis Platform [Environmental Research UFZ (2023)] have been adapted and integrated into OGSTools.

To address The Need for a Versioned Data Analysis Software Environment [Blomer et al. (2014)] OGSTools provides additionally a pinned environment, updated at least once per release. While reproducibility requires environments with pinned dependencies, OGSTools is additionally tested with the latest dependencies, to receive early warnings of breaking changes and to support the long-term sustainability of the codebase.

To support broad adoption within the OGS user community, the library is deliberately integrated at key points of interest, such as the official OGS benchmarks, executable test cases, and further contexts where previously used libraries were employed.

Features

The implemented features are covering (1) pre-processing, (2) setup and execution of simulations, and (3) post-processing.

Preprocessing (1) for OGS includes mesh creation, adaptation, conversion, as well as defining boundary conditions, source terms, and generating project files (OGS specific XML-Files). Building upon this, a FEFLOW converter (from FEFLOW models to OGS models) is integrated [Heinze et al. (2025)]. The converter uses the geometric and material data of FEFLOW models to generate OGS-suitable meshes and definitions for H, HT and HC processes.

Postprocessing (3) includes domain specific evaluation and visualization of simulation results, for temporal and spatial distribution analysis. OGSTools helps to create detailed plots by defining sensible defaults and OGS-specific standards. It offers functionalities for the comparison of numerical simulation results with experimental data or analytical solutions. Just as preprocessing and analysis are essential for single simulations, tooling becomes critical for efficiently handling ensemble runs. Ensemble runs enable evaluation of model robustness, parameter sensitivity, numerical behaviour, and computational efficiency, with spatial and temporal grid conversion currently supported.

A more complete list of examples covering a significant part of the feature set is found in the online documentation of OGSTools¹. Containers are provided for reproducibility, benefiting both developers and users ([?]). Like OpenGeoSys, OGSTools is available on PyPI and Conda.

Applications

OGSTools library is designed to aid users in the implementation of complex workflows and has been an integral part of several scientific projects utilizing them.

AREHS

The AREHS-Project (effects of changing boundary conditions on the development of hydro-geological systems: numerical long-term modelling considering thermal-hydraulic-mechanical(-chemical) coupled effects) project [Kahnt et al. (2021)] is focused on modelling the effects of the glacial cycle on hydro-geological parameters in potential geological nuclear waste repositories in Germany. [Zill et al. (2024)] and [Silbermann et al. (2025)] highlighted the importance of automated workflow to efficiently develop models to answer the scientific question and to ensure the reproducibility of the results. This workflow covers all necessary steps from a structured layered model and geological parameters over the simulation with OGS to the resulting figures shown in [Zill et al. (2024)] and [Silbermann et al. (2025)]. It is composed as a Snakemake workflow and all material is available on [Meisel et al. (2024)].

OpenWorkflow

OpenWorkFlow [Environmental Research UFZ (2023)], is a project for an open-source, modular synthesis platform designed for safety assessment in the nuclear waste site selection procedure of Germany. Automated workflows as a piece of the planned scientific computational basis for investigating repository-induced physical and chemical processes in different geological setting are essential for transparent and reproducible simulation results. OGS together with OGSTools has been used in a study of thermal repository dimensioning - named ThEDi. ThEDi focuses on determining the optimal packing of disposal containers in a repository to ensure temperature limits are not exceeded. The fully automated workflow generates the simulation models based on geometric and material data, runs and analyses the simulations. For scalability and parallelization the workflow is embedded optionally within the workflow management Snakemake. The workflow components are implemented reusing OGSTools functionalities.

OpenGeoSys benchmarks

The OGS benchmark gallery is a collection of web documents (mostly generated from Jupyter Notebooks) that demonstrate, how users can set up, adjust, execute, and analyse simulations. They can be downloaded, executed, and adapted in an interactive environment for further exploration. With OGSTools code complexity and code duplication has been reduced, and it allows especially inexperienced users to focus on the important part of the notebook.

The code transformation of the Mandel-Cryer effect benchmark, based on a simplified merge request², demonstrates that using predefined plotting utilities reduces technical overhead.

¹<https://ogstools.opengeosys.org>

²https://gitlab.opengeosys.org/ogs/ogs/-/merge_requests/5171

133 Sections of the benchmark without OGSTools :

```
# Mandel-Cryer effect benchmark
# Load the result
pvdfile = vtui0.PVDIO("results_MandelCryerStaggered.pvd", dim=3)
fields = pvdfile.get_point_field_names()
time = pvdfile.timesteps

# Define observation points
observation_points = {"center": (0, 0, 0)}
pressure = pvdfile.read_time_series("pressure", observation_points)

# Plot pressure over time
fig, ax1 = plt.subplots(figsize=(10, 8))
ax1.plot(time, pressure["center"], color="tab:orange")
ax1.set_ylabel("Pressure (Pa)", fontsize=20)
ax1.set_xlabel("Time (s)", fontsize=20)
ax1.set_xlim(0, t_end)
ax1.set_ylim(0, 1500)
ax1.grid()
fig.supxlabel("Pressure at center of sphere")
plt.show()
```

134 By abstracting away lower-level plotting code, users can focus more directly on the physical
135 interpretation of the benchmark results. Sections of the benchmark with OGSTools:

```
# Mandel-Cryer effect benchmark without OGSTools
import ogstools as ot

ms = ot.MeshSeries("results_MandelCryerStaggered.pvd")

# Plot pressure over time
center_point = [0, 0, 0]
fig = ms.plot_probe(center_point, ot.variables.pressure, labels=["Center"])
```

136 OGS-GIScape

137 OGS-GIScape is a Snakemake-based workflow for creating, simulating and analysing numerical
138 groundwater models (NGM). OGS-GIScape enables scientists to investigate complex environ-
139 mental models or conduct scenario analyses to study the groundwater flow and the associated
140 environmental impact due to changes in groundwater resources. Furthermore, the outcome of
141 the models could be used for the management of groundwater resources.

142 An important part of the NGM creation is the geometric model (mesh). It is build using
143 geographic information system (GIS) tools at the landscape scale and combining various
144 meshing tools. The workflow also comprises the parametrization of the geometric model with
145 physical parameters as well as defining boundary conditions, for instance groundwater recharge
146 at the top of the computational domain or the integration of rivers. For these workflow steps
147 it is mainly necessary to change parts of the OGS project file which is done with OGSTools.

148 Acknowledgements

149 This work has been supported by multiple funding sources, including AREHS under grant
150 4719F10402 by Bundesamt für die Sicherheit der nuklearen Entsorgung(BASE), and
151 OpenWorkflow under grant STAFuE-21-05-Klei by Bundesgesellschaft für Endlagerung
152 (BGE). The authors also acknowledge ongoing support from SUT0GS (Streamlining Usability and

- 153 Testing of OpenGeoSys) under (grant [Grant Number]) by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft
154 (DFG)
- 155 Bilke, L., Flemisch, B., Kalbacher, T., Kolditz, O., Helmig, R., & Nagel, T. (2019). Develop-
156 ment of Open-Source Porous Media Simulators: Principles and Experiences. *Transport in*
157 *Porous Media*, 130(1), 337–361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-019-01310-1>
- 158 Bilke, L., Naumov, D., Wang, W., Fischer, T., Kizskurno, F. K., Lehmann, C., Max, J.,
159 Zill, F., Buchwald, J., Grunwald, N., Kessler, K., Aubry, L., Dörnbrack, M., Nagel, T.,
160 Ahrendt, L., Kaiser, S., & Meisel, T. (2025). *OpenGeoSys* (Version 6.5.4). Zenodo.
161 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14672997>
- 162 Blomer, J., Berzano, D., Buncic, P., Charalampidis, I., Ganis, G., Lestaris, G., & Meusel, R.
163 (2014). The need for a versioned data analysis software environment. *CoRR*, abs/1407.3063.
164 <http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.3063>
- 165 Buchwald, J., Kolditz, O., & Nagel, T. (2021). ogs6py and VTUinterface: Streamlining
166 OpenGeoSys workflows in python. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 6(67), 3673. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03673>
- 167
- 168 Diercks, P., Gläser, D., Lünsdorf, O., Selzer, M., Flemisch, B., & Unger, J. F. (2022).
169 *Evaluation of tools for describing, reproducing and reusing scientific workflows*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.06429>
- 170
- 171 Environmental Research UFZ, H. C. for. (2023). *OpenWorkFlow - synthesis platform*.
172 <https://www.ufz.de/index.php?en=48378>
- 173 Goble, C., Cohen-Boulakia, S., Soiland-Reyes, S., Garijo, D., Gil, Y., Crusoe, M. R., Peters, K.,
174 & Schober, D. (2020). FAIR computational workflows. *Data Intelligence*, 2(1-2), 108–131.
175 https://doi.org/10.1162/dint_a_00033
- 176 Heinze, J., Lehmann, C., Meisel, T., Rink, K., Kreye, P., Renz, A., Zeunert, S., & Rühaak,
177 W. (2025). Combining FEFLOW and OpenGeoSys for interoperable workflows in environ-
178 mental geotechnics. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 84(16), 457. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-025-12380-4>
- 179
- 180 Huber, S. P., Zoupanos, S., Uhrin, M., Talirz, L., Kahle, L., Häuselmann, R., Gresch, D., Müller,
181 T., Yakutovich, A. V., Andersen, C. W., Ramirez, F. F., Adorf, C. S., Gargiulo, F., Kumbhar,
182 S., Passaro, E., Johnston, C., Merkys, A., Cepellotti, A., Mounet, N., ... Pizzi, G. (2020).
183 AiiDA 1.0, a scalable computational infrastructure for automated reproducible workflows
184 and data provenance. *Scientific Data*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-00638-4>
- 185 Kahnt, R., Konietzky, H., Nagel, T., Kolditz, O., Jockel, A., Silbermann, C., Tiedke, F.,
186 Meisel, T., Rink, K., Wang, W., Zill, F., Carl, A., Gabriel, A., Schlegel, M., & Abraham,
187 T. (2021). AREHS: Effects of changing boundary conditions on the development of
188 hydrogeological systems: Numerical long-term modelling considering thermal–hydraulic–me-
189 chanical(–chemical) coupled effects. *Safety of Nuclear Waste Disposal*, 1, 175–177.
190 <https://doi.org/10.5194/sand-1-175-2021>
- 191 Kern, D., & Bilke, L. (2022). msh2vtu. In *GitHub repository*. GitHub. <https://github.com/dominik-kern/msh2vtu>
- 192
- 193 Kolditz, O., Bauer, S., Bilke, L., Grunwald, N., Delfs, J.-O., Fischer, T., Görke, U., Kalbacher,
194 T., Kosakowski, G., Mcdermott, C., Park, C.-H., Radu, F., Rink, K., Shao, H., Sun,
195 F., Sun, Y., Singh, A., Taron, J., Walther, M., & Zehner, B. (2012). OpenGeoSys:
196 An open-source initiative for numerical simulation of thermo-hydro-mechanical/chemical
197 (THM/c) processes in porous media. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 67, 589–599. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-012-1546-x>
- 198
- 199 Köster, J., & Rahmann, S. (2012). Snakemake—a scalable bioinformatics workflow engine.
200 *Bioinformatics*, 28(19), 2520–2522. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts480>

- 201 Meisel, T., Zill, F., Silbermann, C. B., Wang, W., Bilke, L., & Kern, D. (2024). *AREHS -*
202 *OpenGeoSys workflow* (Version 1.0). Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11367280>
- 203 Silbermann, C., Zill, F., Meisel, T., Kern, D., Kolditz, O., Magri, F., & Nagel, T. (2025).
204 Automated thermo-hydro-mechanical simulations capturing glacial cycle effects on nuclear
205 waste repositories in clay rock. *Geomechanics and Geophysics for Geo-Energy and Geo-*
206 *Resources*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40948-025-00960-4>
- 207 Wilkinson, S. R., Aloqalaa, M., Belhajjame, K., Crusoe, M. R., Paula Kinoshita, B. de, Gadelha,
208 L., Garijo, D., Gustafsson, O. J. R., Juty, N., Kanwal, S., Khan, F. Z., Köster, J., Peters-von
209 Gehlen, K., Pouchard, L., Rannow, R. K., Soiland-Reyes, S., Soranzo, N., Sufi, S., Sun, Z.,
210 ... Goble, C. (2025). Applying the FAIR principles to computational workflows. *Scientific*
211 *Data*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-025-04451-9>
- 212 Zill, F., Bilke, L., Meisel, T., Heinze, J., Kizskurno, F. K., Kern, D., Jäschke, M., & Lehmann,
213 C. (2025). *OGSTools* (Version 0.7.0). Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15804988>
- 214 Zill, F., Silbermann, C., Meisel, T., Magri, F., & Nagel, T. (2024). Far-field modelling of
215 THM processes in rock salt formations. *Open Geomechanics*, 5, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.5802/ogeo.20>
216

DRAFT