Proof. Once it is shown that both functions  $u \rightarrow s(1/u)$  and  $u \rightarrow \omega(1/u)$  are convex on  $[\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{p}]$ , the assertion follows from Thm.1.1 and the relation  $s(r) \le w(r)$  for every r . Since w(u) = w(u)log r(T, (1)) (see A-III, (1.4)) , (1.2) implies that  $u \rightarrow \omega(1/u)$  is a convex function. By C-III, Thm.1.1 we have  $r(R(k,A_{ij})) = (k-s(u))^{-1}$ for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large. The assumption (1.3) implies that  $R(\lambda,A_r)_{L^r \cap L^s} = R(\lambda,A_s)_{L^r \cap L^s}$  for r ,  $s \in [p,q]$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with Re  $\lambda$  large enough. Hence by (1.2)  $u + \log [r(R(k,A_{1/n}))]$  is a convex function for large  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  . We have

 $\log \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k} s(1/u) \right)^{-k} \right] = k \cdot \log k + k \cdot \log \left[ k - s(1/u) \right]^{-1} =$ 

 $= k \cdot \log k + k \cdot \log \left[ r (R(k, A_{1/u}))^{-1} \right],$  hence all the functions  $u + \log[(1 - \frac{1}{k} s (1/u))^{-k}]$  ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  , are convex. It follows that  $u \rightarrow s(1/u) = \lim_{k \to \infty} (\log \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k} s(1/u) \right)^{-k} \right])$ is convex as well.

One can apply the corollary to Schrödinger operators on the spaces  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  , i.e., operators A = A + V where A is the Laplacian and V is a multiplication operator, see Simon (1982) for details. In Thm. B.5.1 (l.c.) it is shown that for certain potentials V the type is independent of  $p \in [1,\infty)$  . Thus the assumptions of (a) are satisfied. Part (b) can be applied if q > 2 and if  $A_1$  has compact resolvent. Then all operators  $A_r$  ,  $1 \le r < q$  have compact resolvent and therefore their spectra coincide. In particular,  $s(A_r)$  is independent of  $r \in [1,q)$ .

As shown in A-IV, Ex.1.2(2), the equality  $s(A) = \omega(A)$  may not hold for positive semigroups on arbitrary Banach lattices. However, the knowledge of s(A) is still sufficient to determine the growth bound  $\omega_{+}(A)$  of the strong solutions of the abstract Cauchy problem. In fact, combining Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 of C-III with Theorem 1.4 of A-IV we obtain the following fundamental result for the stability of positive semigroups.

Theorem 1.3. Let A be the generator of a positive semigroup  $(T(t))_{t>0}$  on a Banach lattice. Then  $s(A) = \omega_1(A) \in \sigma(A)$ .

Recalling the definition of  $\omega_1$  (A) (see A-IV,Def.1.1) and the fact that s(A) is always an element of  $\sigma(A)$  , we can reformulate the statement of Thm.1.3 as follows .