Proposition 3.21. Let  $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$ . A mapping  $_{\phi}$  :  $\mathbb{R}$  × (a,b)  $_{\rightarrow}$  (a,b) defines a continuous flow if and only if there exists a finite or countable set of disjoint intervals  $(a_n,b_n) \subset (a,b)$   $(n \in J)$  and for every  $n \in J$  there exists a homeomorphism  $r_n$  from  $(a_n,b_n)$  onto  $(-\infty,\infty)$  such that  $\phi(t,x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \notin \cup_{n \in J} (a_n,b_n) \\ r_n^{-1}(r_n(x) + t) & \text{if } x \in (a_n,b_n) , n \in J \end{cases}$ 

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Note:  $J = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\phi(t,x) = x$  for all  $x \in (a,b)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  .

Proof. It is not difficult to see that the construction in the proposition defines a continuous flow on (a,b) . Now let  $\phi$  be a continuous flow. The set  $K = \{ x \in (a,b) : \phi(t,x) = x \text{ for all }$ t (  $\mathbb{R}$  ) is closed in (a,b) . Thus (a,b) \ K is the union of a finite or countable set of disjoint intervals  $(a_n,b_n)$  ,  $(n\in J)$  . Pick  $x_n \in (a_n, b_n)$  ,  $(n \in J)$  . Then  $\alpha_n(t) := \phi(t, x_n)$  defines an injective mapping from  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}$  into  $(\ensuremath{a}_n,\ensuremath{b}_n)$  . Thus  $\alpha_n$  is strictly monotonous. It is easy to see that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(t,x_n)$  is an element of K whenever the limit exists in (a,b); similary for the limit as t  $\rightarrow -\infty$  . Consequently,  $\alpha_n(\mathbb{R}) = (a_n, b_n)$  ; i.e.,  $\alpha_n$  is a homeomorphism from  $\mathbb R$  onto  $(a_n,b_n)$  . Define  $r_n$  to be the inverse of  $\alpha_n$  . Let  $x \in (a_n, b_n)$ . Then  $\phi(t, x) = \phi(t, \alpha_n(r_n(x)))$  $= \phi(t, \phi(r_n(x), x_n)) = \phi(t + r_n(x), x_n) = \alpha_n(t + r_n(x)) = r_n^{-1}(r_n(x) + t)$ for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  . This proves that  $\phi$  has the desired form.

If m is an admissible function on (a,b) , then  $D(\delta)$  contains many differentiable functions. This can be expressed by two facts:

- a)  $C_c^1(a,b) := \{ f \in C^1(a,b) : f \text{ vanishes in a neighbourhood of a }$ and b  $\}$  is contained in  $D(\delta_m)$  (this follows from the definition of  $\delta_m$  ) ; and
- b) the set  $D_O^m(\delta_m)$  of all differentiable functions in  $D_O(\delta_m)$  is a core of  $\delta_m$  (this follows from Theorem 3.17).

We will show below that these two properties are characteristic for the operators  $\delta_{\mathfrak{m}}$  . For other generators of automorphism groups they can be violated dramatically as the following example shows.

Example 3.22. There exists a generator  $\delta$  of an automorphism group on  $C_{\delta}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $D(\delta) \cap C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$ . In fact, consider a strictly increasing continuous map  $\, q \,$  from  $\, \mathbb{R} \,$  onto  $\, \mathbb{R} \,$  such that