

$\{s(\phi_\lambda)\}$ is the boundary spectrum $\sigma_b(\phi_\lambda)$ (see C-III, Cor.2.12) of ϕ_λ . Moreover, $s(\phi_\lambda)$ is a pole of the resolvent with residue of finite rank. Such spectral sets vary continuously under smooth perturbations of ϕ_λ (see [Dunford-Schwartz (1958), VII.6, Thm.9]), thus $\lambda \rightarrow s(\phi_\lambda)$ is continuous.

□

For the operators A_0 and A as defined in the beginning of this section we obtain an explicit representation of their resolvents.

Lemma 3.5. For the resolvents of the operators A_0 , resp. A , on E the following statements hold.

(a) For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $\lambda \in \rho(A_0)$ and

$$(3.7) \quad R(\lambda, A_0)g(t) = \int_t^0 e^{\lambda(t-s)} g(s) ds, \quad g \in E.$$

(b) For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $1 \in \rho(\phi_\lambda)$ we have $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ and

$$(3.8) \quad R(\lambda, A)g = R(\lambda, A_0)g + \varepsilon_\lambda \otimes R(1, \phi_\lambda) \Phi R(\lambda, A_0)g, \quad g \in E.$$

Proof. (a) $\rho(A_0) = \mathbb{C}$ follows directly from $(T_0(t))_{t \geq 0}$ being nilpotent (see A-III, Prop.1.1). For $g \in E$ we obtain $R(\lambda, A_0)g = f$ where f is a solution of $\lambda f - f' = g$.

Thus $R(\lambda, A_0)g(t) = \int_t^0 e^{\lambda(t-s)} g(s) ds + e^{\lambda t} \cdot x$ for some $x \in F$. The condition $f \in D(A_0)$ now implies $x = 0$ and Formula (3.7).

(b) The assertion $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ means that for every $g \in E$ the equation $\lambda f - f' = g$ has exactly one solution f in $D(A)$. As in case (a) we have $f(t) = \int_t^0 e^{\lambda(t-s)} g(s) ds + e^{\lambda t} \cdot x$ for some $x \in F$ and hence $R(\lambda, A)g = f = R(\lambda, A_0)g + \varepsilon_\lambda \otimes x$. The condition $R(\lambda, A)g \in D(A)$ implies $x - \phi_\lambda(x) = \Phi R(\lambda, A_0)g$. Hence $x = R(1, \phi_\lambda) \Phi R(\lambda, A_0)g$ if $1 \in \rho(\phi_\lambda)$ and thus (3.8) follows.

□

Proposition 3.6. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the following implications hold.

(a) If $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, then $1 \in \sigma(\phi_\lambda)$.

(b) If $1 \in P\sigma(\phi_\lambda)$, then $\lambda \in P\sigma(A)$.

If, in addition, $\Phi(D(A_0)) = F$ or if ϕ_λ is compact for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following equivalence holds:

(c) $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ if and only if $1 \in \sigma(\phi_\lambda)$.

Proof. (a) This implication follows immediately from Lemma 3.5(b).

(b) If $x \neq 0$ satisfies $x - \phi_\lambda(x) = 0$, then $f := \varepsilon_\lambda \otimes x \in D(A)$ and $\lambda f - f' = 0$.

(c) If $\Phi(D(A_0)) = F$, then the equation $x - \phi_\lambda x = \Phi R(\lambda, A_0)g$ has a