$$(2.19)$$
  $\langle |h_1|, \phi_1 \rangle > 0$ .

For arbitrary  $f_1 \in E_1$ ,  $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$  we have  $\langle |R_1(\lambda)f_1|, \phi_1 \rangle \leq \langle R_1(\text{Re}\lambda)|f_1|, \phi_1 \rangle = \langle |f_1|, R_1(\text{Re}\lambda)|\phi_1 \rangle = (\text{Re}\lambda)^{-1} \langle |f_1|, \phi_1 \rangle$ . Therefore the ideal  $I := \{f_1 \in E_1 : \langle |f_1|, \phi_1 \rangle = 0\}$  is invariant under  $\{(R_1(\lambda)\}_{\text{Re}\lambda > 0} : \text{Furthermore we have (see (2.17), (2.18)), } \langle |R_1(r)|h_1| - |h_1|, \phi_1 \rangle = \langle |R_1(r)|h_1| - |h_1|, \phi_1 \rangle = \langle |h_1|, R_1(r)|\phi_1 - \phi_1 \rangle = 0$  for r > 0

which implies

(2.20) 
$$rR_1(r)|h_1| - |h_1| \in I (r > 0).$$

Denoting by E<sub>2</sub> the quotient space E<sub>1</sub>/I and by  $\{(R_2(\lambda))_{Re\lambda>0}\}$  the pseudo-resolvent on E<sub>2</sub> induced by  $\{(R_1(\lambda))_{Re\lambda>0}\}$  in the canonical way, then h<sub>2</sub> := h<sub>1</sub> + I  $\neq$  0 (by (2.19)). Moreover,  $\lambda R_2(\lambda+i\beta)h_2 = h_2$  (by (2.16)) and  $\lambda R_2(\lambda)|h_2| = |h_2|$  (by (2.20) and Prop.2.6(a)). Now we apply Prop.2.7(b) and obtain

(2.21) 
$$\lambda R_2(\lambda + in\beta) h_2^{[n]} = h_2^{[n]}$$
 for Re  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

In particular, we have  $\|R_2(r+in\beta)\| \ge \frac{1}{r}$ , thus  $\|R(r+in\beta,A)\| = \|R_1(r+in\beta)\| \ge \|R_2(r+in\beta)\| \ge \frac{1}{r}$  for r>0. This finally implies that  $in\beta \in \sigma(A)$  for  $n\in \mathbb{Z}$ .

To prove cyclicity of the boundary spectrum in case s(A) is a pole (of arbitrary order) one applies B-III, Lemma 2.8 to reduce the problem to the case of first order poles. Actually, B-III, Lemma 2.8 is true for arbitrary Banach lattices and the proof given in chapter B-III works in the general case as well. For completeness we recall this result.

<u>Proposition</u> 2.11. Let A be the generator of a positive semigroup  $\mathcal{T}$  on a Banach lattice E and suppose that the spectral bound s(A) is a pole of the resolvent of order k. Then there is a sequence

(2.22) 
$$I_{-1} := \{0\} \subset I_0 \neq I_1 \neq \dots \neq I_k := E$$

of T-invariant closed ideals with the following properties: If  $A_n$  is the generator of the semigroup induced by T on the quotient  $I_n/I_{n-1}$ , then we have

- (a)  $s(A_O) < s(A)$ ;
- (b) If  $n \ge 1$  then  $s(A_n) = s(A)$  is a first order pole of the resolvent  $R(.,A_n)$ . The corresponding residue is a strictly positive operator.