

necessary. [For example, let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  such that  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  is positive and let  $B = -A$ . Then  $A + B$  generates a positive semigroup, but  $(e^{tB})_{t \geq 0}$  is positive only if  $A \in \mathcal{Z}(E)$ .] The situation is different when  $A$  generates a lattice semigroup.

**Theorem 5.18.** Let  $E$  be a real Banach lattice with order continuous norm and  $A$  be the generator of a lattice semigroup. Let  $B \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ . The semigroup generated by  $A + B$  is positive if and only if  $(e^{tB})_{t \geq 0}$  is positive. The semigroup generated by  $A + B$  is a lattice semigroup if and only if  $B \in \mathcal{Z}(E)$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $A + B$  generates a positive semigroup. Let  $f \in D(A)_+$ ,  $\phi \in E'_+$  such that  $\langle f, \phi \rangle = 0$ . Since  $A$  is local, it follows that  $\langle Af, \phi \rangle = 0$ . But  $\langle (A+B)f, \phi \rangle \geq 0$  by Prop.1.7. Hence  $\langle Bf, \phi \rangle \geq 0$ . We have shown that  $B|_{D(A)}$  satisfies the positive minimum principle (Def.1.6). Since  $D(A)$  is a sublattice of  $E$  (by Cor.5.9), it follows from Thm.1.8 that  $(e^{tB})_{t \geq 0}$  is positive. By Cor.5.9 the operator  $A + B$  generates a lattice semigroup if and only if  $A + B$  is local. Since  $A$  is local, this is equivalent to  $B|_{D(A)}$  being local. By Lemma 5.17 this is true if and only if  $B \in \mathcal{Z}(E)$ .

□

## NOTES.

**Section 1.** The notion of dispersiveness is due to Phillips (1962) who uses a semi-scalar product instead of the subdifferential of the canonical half-norm. Our approach follows Arendt-Chernoff-Kato (1982). Bounded generators of positive semigroups on a special class of ordered Banach spaces (which includes Banach lattices and  $C^*$ -algebras) were characterized by the positive minimum principle by Evans and Hanche-Olsen (1979). The equivalence of (i) and (iv) in Theorem 1.10 is due to Nagel-Uhlig (1981). Theorem 1.8 has been obtained independently by Arendt (1984a) and van Casteren (1984).

**Section 2.** The classical distributional Kato's inequality for the Laplacian is due to Kato (1973). It is a most elegant tool to prove essential selfadjointness of Schrödinger operators with domain  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (cf. Example 4.7). The relation between Kato's inequality and positivity of  $e^{tA}$  was first pointed out by Simon (1977). A criterion for a formnegative operator on a space  $L^2$  to generate a positive semigroup is given by Beurling-Deny (1958), see also Reed-Simon (1978), Vol. IV, Sec.XIII.12. It was a conjecture of Nagel that some abstract version of