

## Extended Notes for B-II.

### Section 4 Positive semigroups generated by elliptic operators on spaces of continuous functions

Important examples of semigroups on  $C_0(\Omega)$  or  $C(\bar{\Omega})$ , where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open, bounded, are generated by elliptic differential operators. In the following we put together a series of results starting with the Laplacian subject to Dirichlet and to Robin boundary conditions and ending with the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on  $C(\partial\Omega)$ . Each time we obtain a positive irreducible semigroup. We consider  $(K = \mathbb{R})$  throughout this section.

## The Laplacian

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be open and bounded.  
We say that  $\Omega$  is Dirichlet-regular if for every  $g \in C(\partial\Omega)$  there exists a (unique) function  $u \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = g.$$

This means that the Dirichlet problem is well-posed.

This property is very well understood and precise characterizations in terms of barriers or of capacity are known.

If  $\Omega$  has Lipschitz boundary, then  $\Omega$  is Dirichlet regular.

In dimension  $d=2$  it suffices that  $\Omega$  is simply connected.

We refer to Arendt - Nauhan [AN24, Section 6.9] or Gilbarg - Trudinger [GT83, Section 2.8] for further information on the Dirichlet Problem.

The Dirichlet Laplacian  $\Delta_0$

on  $C_0(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$\Delta_0 u := \Delta u$$

$$D(\Delta_0) := \{u \in C_0(\Omega) : \Delta u \in C_0(\Omega)\}.$$

Here  $\Delta u$  is to be understood in the sense of distributions.

Theorem 4.1 TFAE

- (a)  $\Omega$  is Dirichlet regular;
- (b)  $\Delta_0$  generates a positive semigroup  $T$  on  $C_0(\Omega)$ .

In that case the semigroup  $T$  is holomorphic of angle  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . Moreover

$T(t)$  is compact for all  $t > 0$  and

$\omega_0(\Delta_0) < 0$ . If  $\Omega$  is connected,

then the semigroup is irreducible.  
Moreover,  $\|T(t)\| \leq M e^{-\varepsilon t}$  ( $t \geq 0$ )  
for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $M \geq 1$ .

This result is due to

Arendt - Bémilam [ArBe99] besides  
irreducibility on which we com-  
ment later.

In Example C-II 1.5 e) the gene-  
ration result was obtained  
if  $\Omega$  has  $C^2$ - boundary.

The implication  $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$   
of Theorem 4.1 is proved below  
in order to show how the  
Dirichlet problem comes into  
play and leads to a result  
with minimal regularity assumptions  
on the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

We use the following abstract  
generation result which is  
of independent interest.

By C-II, Theorem 1.2 a densely  
defined operator  $A$  generates a  
contractive positive semigroup  
iff  $A$  is dispersive  
and  $(\lambda - A)$  is surjective  
for some  $\lambda > 0$ .  
We now describe the case  $\lambda = 0$ .

Theorem 4.2. Let  $A$  be a densely defined operator on a real or complex Banach lattice  $E$ .

T.F.A.E.

(a)  $A$  generates a positive, contractive semigroup and  $s(A) < 0$ .

(b)  $A$  is dispersive and surjective.

In particular, (b) implies that  $A$  is closed.

Dispersive operators are defined before

C-II, Theorem 1.2. A densely defined operator  $A$  on  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is dispersive iff for  $u \in D(A)$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$u(x_0) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x) > 0 \text{ implies } (Au)(x_0) \leq 0.$$

## Proof of Theorem 4.2. (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a)

Consider the equivalent norme

$$\|u\| := \|u^+\| + \|u^-\| \text{ on}$$

$E$ . Since  $A$  is dispersive it is dissipative with respect to this new norme as is easy to see. Now Theorem 4.5 of Arendt, Chalenda and Moletsane [ACM 24] implies that  $A$  generates a contraction semigroup  $J$  and  $A$  is invertible. Since  $A$  is dispersive, it follows from C-II, Theorem 1.2 that  $J$  is positive and contractive (with respect to the original norm). Since  $R(\lambda, A) \geq 0$  for  $\lambda > 0$ , it follows that  $-A^{-1} \geq 0$ . Now C-III, Theorem 1.1 (iii) implies that  $s(A) < 0$ .  
(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is obvious from C-II, Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)

The operator  $\Delta_0$  is dispersive by the maximum principle.

If  $\Omega$  is Dirichlet regular, then  $\Delta_0$  is surjective.

In fact, let  $f \in C_0(\Omega)$ .

Extend  $f$  by 0 to  $\mathbb{R}^n$

and let  $w = \Gamma * f$ ,

where  $\Gamma$  is the fundamental solution of Laplace's equa-

tion, see Gilbarg and Trudinger [GT 83, (2.12)]. Then  $w \in$

$C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\Delta w = f$

in the sense of distri-

butions. Let  $g = w|_{\partial\Omega}$

and let  $v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$

be the solution of the

Dirichlet problem; i.e.  $w|_{\partial\Omega} = g$

and  $\Delta w = 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Then

$u := w - v \in D(\Delta_0)$  and

$$\Delta u = f.$$

We have shown that  $\Delta_0$  satisfies condition (b) of

Theorem 4.2. Thus  $\Delta_0$  generates

a positive, contractive

$C_0$ -semigroup  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  on

$C_0(\Omega)$  and  $s(\Delta_0) < 0$ .

Since by C-IV Theorem 1.1 (i)

$s(\Delta_0) = \omega_0(\Delta_0)$ , it is exponen-

tially stable.

We refer to Arendt and Bémilau

[ArBe 99] for the proof of (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).

□

We want to add two further comments on the Dirichlet Laplacian  $\Delta_0$  on  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

The first concerns its domain

$$D(\Delta_0) = \{ u \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) : \Delta u \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \}$$

This distributional domain is not contained in  $C^2(\mathbb{R})$  for any open set  $\emptyset \neq S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , see Arendt-Urbani [Aru24, Theorem 6.60].

Our second comment concerns the proof of holomorphy. It can be given via Gaussian estimates (see the Extended Notes for C-II)

In our context, a short proof based on Kato's inequality of C-II, Section 2 is

more appealing (see  
Arendt - Batty [Ar-Ba 92]).

Finally, we comment on irreducibility. On  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  it is a strong property. By C-II, Theorem 3.2 (ii) it means that for  $0 < f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $f \neq 0$ ,

$$(T(t)f)(x) > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0.$$

On  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  irreducibility is much weaker (meaning that  $(T(t)f)(x) > 0$   $x$ -a.c.),

but easy to prove (see the Extended Notes to C-II).

In the paper Arendt, ter Elst, Glück [AEG 20] an argument

based on Banach lattice techniques  
shows how irreducibility on  
 $L^2(\Omega)$  can be carried over  
to  $C_0(\Omega)$  or even to  $C(\bar{\Omega})$   
in the case of Robin boundary conditions which we consider  
now.

By  $H^1(\Omega) := \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \partial_j u \in L^2(\Omega)$   
for  $j=1, \dots, n\}$

we denote the first Sobolev space.

We assume that  $\Omega$  has Lipschitz boundary. Then there exists a unique bounded operator  $\text{tr} : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega)$  such that  $\text{tr } u = u|_{\partial\Omega}$  for all  $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ . It is called the trace operator.

Here the space  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$  is defined with respect to the surface measure (i.e. the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure) on  $\partial\Omega$ .

The normal derivative  $\partial_\nu u$  of  $u$  is defined as follows.

Let  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

Let  $h \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ .

We say that  $h$  is the (outer) normal derivative of  $u$  and write  $\partial_\nu u = h$  if

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u v + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v = \int_{\partial\Omega} h v$$

for all  $v \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ .

If  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)$  we say  $\partial_\nu u \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$  if there exist  $h \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$  such that  $\partial_\nu u = h$ .

Remark: Since  $\Omega$  has Lipschitz boundary the outer normal  $\nu(z)$  exists for almost all  $z \in \partial\Omega$  and  $\nu \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ . But we do not use this outer normal and rather define  $\partial_\nu u$  weakly by the validity of

Green's formula.

Let  $\beta \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ . We define the Laplacian  $\Delta^\beta$  with Robin boundary conditions as follows

$$D(\Delta^\beta) := \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : \Delta u \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \partial_\nu u + \beta u = 0\}$$

$$\Delta^\beta u := \Delta u.$$

We call  $\Delta^\beta$  briefly the Robin-Laplacian. Note that for  $\beta = 0$ , we obtain Neumann boundary conditions, and  $\Delta^0 := \Delta^0$  is the Neumann Laplacian.

The following result is valid.

Theorem 4.3. Assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is bounded, open, connected with Lipschitz boundary and let  $\beta \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ . Then  $\Delta^\beta$  generates a positive, irreducible, holomorphic semigroup

$$T = (T(t))_{t \geq 0} \text{ on } C(\bar{\Omega}).$$

Moreover,  $T(t)$  is compact for all  $t > 0$ .

The generation property on  $C(\bar{\Omega})$  is due to Nittka [Nitt]. A major point is to show that the resolvent of the corresponding operator on  $L^2(\Omega)$  leaves  $C(\bar{\Omega})$  invariant. Given  $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$u - \Delta u = f, \quad \partial u + \beta u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Theorem 4.8. Assume (4.2) and (4.4). Then  $\Delta - V$  generates a positive, irreducible semigroup on  $C(\partial\Omega)$ . If  $V \geq 0$ , then the semigroup is contractive.

If  $\Sigma$  is  $C^\infty$  similar results have been obtained by Escher [Es 94] and Engel [En 03]. Under the very general conditions here, Theorem 4.8 is due to Arendt and ter Elst [A+E 20]. There it is shown that  $N_V$  is resolvent-