

So it follows from (5.9) and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_h \theta(f), \phi \rangle &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} 1/t \langle |f + th| - |f|, \phi \rangle \\ &= \int_K \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{sign}(\bar{f}(x))h(x)) \, d\mu(x) = \langle \operatorname{Re}((\operatorname{sign} \bar{f})h), \phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(the last identity holds since by the definition of $\operatorname{sign} \bar{f} \in L(E)$,

we have $(\operatorname{sign} \bar{f})h \in E_{|f|} = C(K)$ whenever $h \in C(K)$ and

$$((\operatorname{sign} \bar{f})h)(x) = (\operatorname{sign} \bar{f}(\bar{x}))h(x) \quad (\text{see C-I, Sec. 8}).$$

Consequently, $D_h \theta(f) = \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{sign} \bar{f})h$ whenever $h \in E_{|f|}$. Since

$D_h \theta(f)$ is continuous in h (in fact, $|D_h \theta(f) - D_k \theta(f)| \leq |h - k|$ for all $h, k \in E$) and $E_{|f|}$ is dense in $\{f\}^{dd}$, it follows that (5.8) holds for all $h \in \{f\}^{dd}$.

□

Remark 5.7. a) By the same argument as given in the proof one sees that θ is left-sided Gateaux differentiable and

$$D_g^- \theta(f) = \operatorname{Re}((\operatorname{sign} \bar{f})g) - P_f^d |g|$$

for all $f, g \in E$, where $D_g^- \theta(f) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} 1/t(\theta(f + tg) - \theta(f))$ and P_f^d denotes the band projection onto $\{f\}^{dd}$. In particular,

$$(5.10) \quad D_g^+ \theta(f) = D_g^- \theta(f) \quad \text{whenever } g \in \{f\}^{dd}.$$

b) The proof of Prop. 5.6 shows that every convex function $\theta : E \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{R}}$ (where E is a Banach lattice with order continuous norm) is right- (and left-) sided Gateaux differentiable (cf. Arendt (1982)).

Proof of Theorem 5.5. Assume that (i) holds. Let $f \in D(B)$. Then $S(t)f$ is differentiable in t . By the chain rule B-II, Prop. 2.3, $T(t)|f| = |S(t)f|$ is also differentiable and $d/dt|_{t=0} T(t)|f| = d/dt|_{t=0} |S(t)f| = \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{sign} \bar{f})Bf$ (by Prop. 5.6). Hence $|f| \in D(A)$ and $A|f| = \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{sign} \bar{f})Bf$.

Conversely, assume that (ii) holds. Let $s > 0$, $f \in E$. We show that $|S(s)f| = T(s)|f|$. This implies that $S(s)$ is disjointness preserving and $|S(s)| = T(s)$ (by Proposition 5.1). Since $D(B)$ is dense we can assume that $f \in D(B)$. Let $\xi(t) = T(s-t)|S(t)f|$ ($t \in [0, s]$). Since by assumption $|S(t)f| \in D(A)$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} d/dt \, \xi(t) &= -AT(s-t)|S(t)f| + T(s-t)d/dr|_{r=t} |S(r)f| \\ &= -AT(s-t)|S(t)f| + T(s-t)(\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{sign} \overline{S(t)f})BS(t)f) \\ &\quad (\text{by Prop. 5.6 and the chain rule B-II, Prop. 2.3}) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{by the assumption (ii).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\xi(0) = \xi(s)$; i.e., $|S(s)f| = T(s)|f|$.

□