

dix of Schaefer (1966)) to the expansion given in (2.11) one can conclude that R has an extension to the halfplane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$. This shows that without loss of generality one can assume that the domain of a positive pseudo-resolvent contains the halfplane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$.

Proposition 2.7. Suppose $R : \Delta \rightarrow L(E)$ is a positive pseudo-resolvent on a Banach lattice E and $\Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$.

If for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $h \in E$ we have

$$\lambda R(\lambda + i\beta)h = h \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda R(\lambda) |h| = |h| \quad (\lambda \in \Delta), \quad \text{then}$$

$$\lambda R(\lambda + in\beta)h^{[n]} = h^{[n]} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \in \Delta.$$

Proof. At first we prove the following domination property which is the extension of (1.5) to pseudo-resolvents.

$$(2.13) \quad |R(\lambda)f| \leq R(\operatorname{Re} \lambda) |f| \quad \text{for every } \lambda \in \Delta, f \in E.$$

To do this we fix $\lambda \in \Delta$. Then there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $|r - \lambda| < r$ whenever $r > r_0$. Therefore $R(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r - \lambda)^n R(r)^{n+1}$ for $r > r_0$, which implies for $f \in E$

$$|R(\lambda)f| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |r - \lambda|^n R(r)^{n+1} |f| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r - (r - |r - \lambda|))^n R(r)^{n+1} |f| \\ = R(r - |r - \lambda|) |f|. \quad \text{Since } \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (r - |r - \lambda|) = \operatorname{Re} \lambda, \text{ we obtain (2.13).}$$

As a consequence of (2.13) and the assumption $rR(r)|h| = |h|$ we have that the principal ideal $E_{|h|}$ is $\{R(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Delta}$ -invariant. Identifying, according to the Kakutani-Krein Theorem $E_{|h|}$ with a space $C(K)$, K compact, and by restricting the operators $R(\lambda)$ to $E_{|h|} \cong C(K)$ we obtain a positive pseudo-resolvent $\tilde{R} : \Delta \rightarrow L(C(K))$. Then we have for every $\alpha > 0$ and $f \in E$:

$$\alpha \tilde{R}(\alpha + i\beta)h = h, \quad \alpha \tilde{R}(\alpha) |h| = |h| = 1_K, \quad \alpha |\tilde{R}(\alpha + i\beta)f| \leq \alpha \tilde{R}(\alpha) |f|.$$

Applying B-III, Lemma 2.3 we obtain $\tilde{R}(\alpha) = S_{\tilde{h}}^{-1} \tilde{R}(\alpha + i\beta) S_{\tilde{h}}$ for every $\alpha > 0$ and using the uniqueness theorem for holomorphic functions we get $\tilde{R}(z) = S_{\tilde{h}}^{-1} \tilde{R}(z + i\beta) S_{\tilde{h}}$ for every $z \in \Delta$. Iterating this identity we obtain:

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{R}(z) = S_{\tilde{h}}^{-n} \tilde{R}(z + in\beta) S_{\tilde{h}}^n \quad \text{for all } z \in \Delta, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{In particular, } S_{\tilde{h}}^n |h| = S_{\tilde{h}}^{-n} z \tilde{R}(z) |h| = z \tilde{R}(z + in\beta) S_{\tilde{h}}^n |h|.$$

In terms of the initial space this means precisely

$$h^{[n]} = z R(z + in\beta) h^{[n]}, \quad \text{and the proposition is proved.}$$

□

We will prove cyclicity of the boundary spectrum under a growth condition which is stated in the following definition.