

Here \tilde{h} denotes the continuous extension of $h/|h|$ to βX .

Defining $T_1 := M_{\tilde{h}}^{-1} \tilde{R} M_{\tilde{h}}$ we have by (2.9)

$$(2.10) \quad T_1 1 = M_{\tilde{h}}^{-1} \tilde{R} \tilde{h} = 1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.11) \quad |T_1 f| = |M_{\tilde{h}}^{-1} \tilde{R} M_{\tilde{h}} f| = |\tilde{R} M_{\tilde{h}} f| \leq \tilde{T} |M_{\tilde{h}} f| = \tilde{T} |f| \quad \text{for all } f.$$

Hence we have $\|T_1\| \leq \|\tilde{T}\| = 1$ (by (2.11), (2.9), (2.1)). Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that T_1 is a positive operator. Thus (2.11) implies that $0 \leq T_1 \leq \tilde{T}$ and therefore $\|\tilde{T} - T_1\| = \|(\tilde{T} - T_1)1\| = 0$ (by (2.10), (2.9), (2.1)).

□

We are now able to prove a result which in some sense is the key to cyclicity results for the spectrum. These general results will be proved by reducing the problem in such a way that the following theorem can be applied.

Theorem 2.4. (a) Let T be a positive linear operator on $C_0(X)$, let $h \in C_0(X)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| = 1$. If $Th = \lambda h$ and $T|h| = |h|$, then we have $Th^{[n]} = \lambda^n h^{[n]}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (cf. (2.4)).

If h does not have zeros in X , then $\lambda T = S_h^{-1} T S_h$.

(b) Suppose A is the generator of a positive semigroup, $h \in C_0(X)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Ah = (\alpha + i\beta)h$ and $A|h| = \alpha|h|$. Then we have $Ah^{[n]} = (\alpha + in\beta)h^{[n]}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If h does not have zeros then $S_h D(A) = D(A)$ and $i\beta + A = S_h^{-1} A S_h$.

Proof. (a) The closed principal ideal $\overline{E_h}$ which is canonically isomorphic to $C_0(X_1)$ with $X_1 = \{x \in X : h(x) \neq 0\}$, is T -invariant. We give an object a tilde when we consider it as an element of $\overline{E_h} \cong C_0(X_1)$. Defining $\tilde{R} := \lambda \tilde{T}$, then \tilde{T} , \tilde{R} , \tilde{h} satisfy (2.8), hence we have

$$(2.12) \quad \tilde{T} = S_{\tilde{h}}^{-1} \circ \tilde{R} \circ S_{\tilde{h}} = \bar{\lambda} \cdot S_{\tilde{h}}^{-1} \circ \tilde{T} \circ S_{\tilde{h}}$$

which by iteration yields

$$(2.13) \quad \tilde{T} = \bar{\lambda}^n \cdot S_{\tilde{h}}^{-n} \circ \tilde{T} \circ S_{\tilde{h}}^n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{T} \tilde{h}^{[n]} = \tilde{T} \circ S_{\tilde{h}}^n |\tilde{h}| = \lambda^n \cdot S_{\tilde{h}}^n \circ \tilde{T} |\tilde{h}| = \lambda^n \cdot S_{\tilde{h}}^n \tilde{h} = \lambda^n \cdot \tilde{h}^{[n]}$$

(see (2.7) and (2.12)), which is precisely $Th^{[n]} = \lambda^n h^{[n]}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If h does not have zeros, then $\overline{E_h} = E$, hence $T = \tilde{T}$, $h = \tilde{h}$ and the remaining assertion follows from (2.12).