- (2.11) Given $\lambda_{O} \in D$ then for $\mu \in D$ with $|\mu \lambda_{O}| < \|R(\lambda_{O})\|^{-1}$ we have $R(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_{O} \mu)^{n} R(\lambda_{O})^{n+1}$
- (2.12) $\lambda \to R(\lambda)$ is a locally holomorphic function defined on $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with values in L(G).

We only sketch the proof of these assertions: (2.12) follows from (2.11) and the latter is a consequence of (2.10). The identity stated in (2.10) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \left(1-\left(\lambda_{O}^{-\mu}\right)R\left(\lambda_{O}\right)\right)\left(1-\left(\mu^{-\lambda_{O}}\right)S\right) &=& 1=\left(1-\left(\mu^{-\lambda_{O}}\right)S\right)\left(1-\left(\lambda_{O}^{-\mu}\right)R\left(\lambda_{O}\right)\right) \\ \text{Thus} &S=\left(\mu^{-\lambda_{O}}\right)^{-1}\left(1-\left(1-\left(\lambda_{O}^{-\mu}\right)R\left(\lambda_{O}\right)\right)^{-1}\right) & \text{has to be unique.} \end{array}$$

It follows from (2.11) and (2.12) that every pseudo-resolvent has a unique maximal extension.

Further properties of pseudo-resovents are given in the following two propositions.

<u>Proposition</u> 2.6. Suppose G is a Banach space, $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and $R : D \to L(G)$ is a pseudo-resolvent.

- (a) Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $x \in G$ one has $(\lambda \alpha)R(\lambda)x = x$ either for all $\lambda \in D$ or for none.
- (b) Suppose $\mu\in\bar{\mathbb{D}}\backslash\mathbb{D}$. Then R can be extended to an open set containing μ if and only if there exists a sequence $(\lambda_n)\subset\mathbb{D}$ converging to μ such that $\|R(\lambda_n)\|$ is bounded.

<u>Proof.</u>(a) Suppose that $(\lambda - \alpha)R(\lambda)x = x$ for some fixed $\lambda \in D$, $x \in G$. Then using (2.8) we have for $\mu \in D$: $(\mu - \lambda)R(\mu)x = (\lambda - \alpha)(\mu - \lambda)R(\mu)R(\lambda)x = (\lambda - \alpha)(R(\lambda)x - R(\mu)x) = x - (\lambda - \alpha)R(\mu)x$.

It follows that $(\mu-\alpha)R(\mu)x=x$ for all $\mu\in D$.

(b) If there exists an extension, then $\|R(\lambda_n)\|$ is bounded for every sequence (λ_n) converging to μ by (2.12). On the other hand assuming that $\|R(\lambda_n)\|$ is bounded by M for a fixed sequence $(\lambda_n) \subset D$ with $\lambda_n \to \mu$ (M ≥ 0), we have

$$\begin{split} &\| R(\lambda_n) - R(\lambda_m) \| = |\lambda_n - \lambda_m| \, \| R(\lambda_n) R(\lambda_m) \| \leq M^2 |\lambda_n - \lambda_m| \\ &\text{which shows that} \quad (R(\lambda_n)) \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } L(G) \text{ , hence } \\ &\text{S} := \lim_{n \to \infty} R(\lambda_n) \quad \text{exists. The assertion now follows from (2.10) and } \\ &(2.11) \; . \end{split}$$

In the next proposition we consider a <u>positive pseudo-resolvent</u> R on a Banach lattice E; i.e., we assume that the domain D of R contains the positive real axis and that $R(\mu)$ is a positive operator for every $\mu > 0$. Applying Pringsheim's Theorem (see Thm.2.1 in the appen-