<u>Proof.</u> Without loss of generality we may assume r=1 , hence  $\alpha=0$  and  $T(\tau)h_O=h_O$  .

(a) Defining

(1.14) 
$$h := \int_0^{\tau} T(s) h_0 ds$$

then for  $0 \le t \le \tau$  we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{T}(t) \, \mathbf{h} &= \, \int_0^\tau \, \mathbf{T}(s + t) \, \mathbf{h}_o \, \, \mathrm{d}s \, = \, \int_t^\tau \, \mathbf{T}(s) \, \mathbf{h}_o \, \, \mathrm{d}s \, + \, \int_\tau^{\tau + t} \, \mathbf{T}(s - \tau) \, \mathbf{T}(\tau) \, \mathbf{h}_o \, \, \mathrm{d}s \, = \\ &= \, \int_t^\tau \, \mathbf{T}(s) \, \mathbf{h}_o \, \, \mathrm{d}s \, + \, \int_0^t \, \mathbf{T}(s) \, \mathbf{T}(\tau) \, \mathbf{h}_o \, \, \mathrm{d}s \, = \, \mathbf{h} \, \, \, . \end{split}$$

It follows that Ah = lim  $t^{-1}(T(t)h - h) = 0$ . So far, positivity was not used. The point is that in general, h may be zero. But if (T(t)) is positive and  $h_0 \ge 0$ , then  $s \to (T(s)h_0)(x)$  is a continuous positive function, hence  $0 < h_0(x_0) = (T(0)h_0)(x_0)$  implies  $h(x_0) = \int_0^\tau (T(s)h_0)(x_0) ds > 0$ . (b) Defining  $\phi := \int_0^\tau T(s)'\phi_0 ds$ , one can proceed as in (a) to obtain the desired result.

We use Prop.1.5 to prove an analogue of the famous Krein-Rutman result. For the sake of completeness we include the proof of this classical result, which states that the spectral radius of a positive operator T on C(K) (or more generally on an order unit space) is an eigenvalue of the adjoint T' (see the Corollary of Thm.2.6 in the appendix of Schaefer (1966)).

Theorem 1.6. Suppose K is compact and  $(T(t))_{t \ge 0}$  is a positive semigroup with generator A . Then there exists a positive probability measure  $\phi \in D(A')$  such that  $A'\phi = \omega(A)\phi$ .

<u>Proof.</u> Consider T:=T(1),  $r:=r(T)=e^{\omega(A)}$ . In view of Prop.1.5 it is enough to show that r is an eigenvalue of T' with a positive eigenvector. Given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\lambda| > r$  and  $f \in C(K)$  we have  $|R(\lambda,T)f|=|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n-1} T^n f| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{-n-1} T^n |f| = R(|\lambda|,T)|f|$ . It follows that  $|R(\lambda,T)| \leq |R(|\lambda|,T)|$  and therefore

$$(1.15) \quad \lim_{\lambda \downarrow r} ||R(\lambda,T)|| = \infty .$$

By the uniform boundedness principle there exist a sequence  $(\lambda_n)$ ,  $\lambda_n+r$  and a positive  $\Psi\in M(K)$  such that  $\|R(\lambda_n,T)^{\dagger}\Psi\|\to\infty$ . Defining  $\Psi_n:=\|R(\lambda_n,T)^{\dagger}\Psi\|^{-1}R(\lambda_n,T)^{\dagger}\Psi$  we have