

# Student Information

Full Name : Ugur Duzel

Id Number : 2171569

## Answer 1

Table 1: Question 1.1

$p$	$q$	$\neg q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$	$\neg p$	$(\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$
T	F	T	F	F	F	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Table 2: Question 1.2

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee r$	$(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)$	$q \vee r$	$((p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$
T	T	T	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	F	F	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	F	F	T

## Answer 2

$$\begin{aligned} (p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r) &\equiv (\neg p \vee q) \vee (p \rightarrow r) && \text{Using Table 7} \\ &\equiv (\neg p \vee q) \vee (\neg p \vee r) && \text{Using Table 7} \\ &\equiv (q \vee r) \vee (\neg p \vee \neg p) && \text{Commutative Laws} \\ &\equiv (q \vee r) \vee \neg p && \text{Idempotent Laws} \\ &\equiv \neg(q \vee r) \rightarrow \neg p && \text{Using Table 7} \\ &\equiv (\neg q \wedge \neg r) \rightarrow \neg p && \text{De Morgan's Laws} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

## Answer 3

### Question 3.1

- a) Every cat is friend with at least one dog.
- b) There exists at least one cat that is friend with all dogs.

### Question 3.2

- a)  $\forall x \forall y \{ [Eats(x, y) \rightarrow Meal(y)] \rightarrow Customer(x) \}$
- b)  $\neg \forall x \{ Chef(x) \rightarrow \forall y [Meal(y) \rightarrow Cooks(x, y)] \}$
- c)  $\exists x \{ Customer(x) \wedge \exists y [Chef(y) \wedge \forall z ((Cooks(y, z) \rightarrow Meal(z)) \rightarrow Eats(x, z))] \}$
- d)  $\forall x \{ Chef(x) \rightarrow \exists y [Knows(x, y) \wedge Chef(y) \wedge \forall z ((Cooks(y, z) \rightarrow Meal(z)) \rightarrow \neg Cooks(x, z))] \}$

## Answer 4

Table 3: Question 4

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg q$
T	T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F	T
<b>F</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>F</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>

Considering the last two lines of the Table 3, we can see that when  $\neg p$  is true, as given in the premise,  $\neg q$  can either be true or false. In conclusion, false implies anything. That's why given entailment cannot be a deduction rule in a sound deductive system.

## Answer 5

Table 4: Question 5

1	$p \rightarrow q$	<i>premise</i>
2	$q \rightarrow r$	<i>premise</i>
3	$r \rightarrow p$	<i>premise</i>
4	$q$	<i>assumption</i>
5	$r$	$\rightarrow$ e 2, 4
6	$p$	$\rightarrow$ e 3, 5
7	$q \rightarrow p$	$\rightarrow$ i 4 – 6
8	$p \iff q$	$\iff$ i 1, 7
9	$p$	<i>assumption</i>
10	$q$	$\rightarrow$ e 1, 9
11	$r$	$\rightarrow$ e 2, 10
12	$p \rightarrow r$	$\rightarrow$ i 9 – 11
13	$p \iff r$	$\iff$ i 3, 12
14	$(p \iff q) \wedge (p \iff r)$	$\wedge$ i 8, 13

## Answer 6

Table 5: Question 6

1	$\forall x(Q(x) \rightarrow R(x))$	<i>premise</i>
2	$\exists x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$	<i>premise</i>
3	$\forall xP(x)$	<i>premise</i>
4	$P(c) \rightarrow Q(c)$	<i>assumption</i>
5	$Q(c) \rightarrow R(c)$	$\forall$ e 1
6	$P(c)$	$\forall$ e 3
7	$Q(c)$	$\rightarrow$ e 4, 6
8	$R(c)$	$\rightarrow$ e 5, 7
9	$P(c) \wedge R(c)$	$\wedge$ i 6, 8
10	$\exists x(P(x) \wedge R(x))$	$\exists$ i 9
11	$\exists x(P(x) \wedge R(x))$	$\exists$ e 2, 4 – 10