R-3	R-2	R-1.5	R-1	R-0
X,Y, aspect	X, Y	X, Y	X or Y	Absense of arguments/ no comparison
Cats are smarter than dogs	Cats are better than dogs	I prefer cats than dogs	Cats do not require a lot of time	~~I like cats~~ I bought a cat?
	Indirect comparison	Personal opinion / future past / misc	• Useful information about one object • Superlative form	The argument is in a question form, e.g. "Does **pistol** powder burn faster than **rifle** powder?"
It should mention both objects X and Y. It is okay if there are other objects present in the sentence as long as it does not skip X and Y and they are compared against each other. E.g. For example, if (X, Y) => **Windows 8** vs. **Windows XP**, then the following argument is relevant: *"Meanwhile, though **Windows 8** is significantly at greater risk (1.73 percent) compared to Windows 8.1, according to Redmond's report, it's still significantly safer than Windows 7, **Windows XP**, or Windows Vista."*	Sometimes, the argument can be a personal opinion or a reference to some other source, which is okay as far as it is relevant as per the points mentioned above.	An argument is in superlative form, so implicitly covers one object even if is not mentioned.	It mentions some objects that are not exactly X and Y but related to them. For example, if (X, Y) => **Virginia** vs. **Georgia**, then West Virginia is not the same as Virginia because it is a different state	
It contains some information or aspect of the objects that is useful to compare them, for example, if the comparison is between	Sometimes aspects can be missing, but mark the argument as relevant if does mention if one is better or worse than the other, e.g.	An argument can be in the past/future tense, but consider it relevant as long as it is relevant as per the points	It only mentions one object (either X or Y), e.g. "Many users say that **Windows** is faster."	

Apple and Google, then anything that is not meant to compare them should be skipped	"Samsung is better than Apple"	mentioned above.		
	Sometimes argument can be really tricky if multiple objects in the same context are compared, and it may not compare X and Y directly, but they are compared indirectly, e.g. if (X, Y) => **Oregon** vs. **Georgia**, then the following argument is relevant "Bama beat **Georgia** and LSU... Clearly both better teams than Stanford, Oklahoma, **Oregon** State, and Kansas State." There is an indirect comparison here which says **Georgia** is better than **Oregon.**	A specific or subtype of juice as given objects are super-type (hypernym or umbrella term)	No direct comparison between X and Y, but they are separately compared with other objects. For example, if (X, Y) => **Windows** 8 vs. **Windows XP**, then the following argument is irrelevant: "But that aside, Windows 10 is still easier to navigate than Mac OS X and **Windows 8**, has better access to files than in Android, and is faster, more flexible and more capable than **Windows XP** and the Home and Enterprise editions of Windows 7."	

		It is not clear whether the argument favors one of the objects or not, e.g. "The most ignorant thing to say is that **volleyball** is harder than **football**."		

It talks about the same objects in the context and not some other object with the same name, for example, if a comparison is between Java and Python, then it is about programming languages and not some animals. **How to decide if objects are the same?** Let's say (**X, Y**) => **Microsoft** vs. **Apple.** There is an ambiguity here, these objects can be tech companies, or their products like smartphones, software, laptops, etc. All arguments that discuss them as companies or products should be considered relevant. It does not matter if one argument is comparing smartphones and the other is about their employee benefits, consider them as aspects of X and Y. Another example can be: (X, Y) => Virginia vs. Georgia. In such cases, the arguments can be related to climate, infrastructure, living costs, football teams, etc.

Some examples:

- **fox vs. espn:** "I do know I've officially decided that the ESPN broadcasters are worse than FOX." --> a personal opinion on an aspect of both channels 1.5
- **softball vs. baseball:** "Though they undoubtedly tried, softball performed even worse than baseball did over the weekend." --> not about the objects, but someone performed worse in one game than the other 0
- **juice vs. milk:** "Barley grass juice is known to have 11x greater calcium content than milk." --> A specific or subtype of juice as given objects are super-type (hypernym or umbrella term) 1.5
- **Oregon vs. Georgia:** "Stanford's @ Oregon win is absolutely better than Georgia's neutral win over Florida." --> No direct or indirect comparison, seems like Stanford is compared to Georgia 1
- **milk vs. beer:** "Not smacking with flavor, but does show off some nicer milk chocolate notes than would, say, an Irish Dry." --> no mention of milk or beer 0