## Løsningsforslag oppgave 1 – mappeoppgave 2 vår 2025

# Linear Hotelling Model with Two Firms

### Setup:

- The market is the unit interval [0, 1] of consumers.
- Firm 1 is located at 0, Firm 2 is located at 1.
- Transportation cost is t > 0 per unit distance.
- Firms have constant marginal costs  $c_1 > c_2$ .
- Each firm sets a price p<sub>i</sub> to maximize profit.
- Consumers buy from the firm offering the lower delivered price (price + travel cost).

Consumer Indifference Point: A consumer at  $x \in [0,1]$  is indifferent if

$$p_1 + tx = p_2 + t(1-x),$$

which solves to

$$x^* = \frac{p_2 - p_1 + t}{2t}.$$

Then

$$Q_1 = x^*, \quad Q_2 = 1 - x^*.$$

Profits:

$$\pi_1 = (p_1 - c_1) Q_1, \quad \pi_2 = (p_2 - c_2) Q_2.$$

#### Del 2 a) Sequential Price Setting (Stackelberg) - Firm 2 Leads and Firm 1 follows

Firm 1's Best Response: If Firm 2 sets  $p_2$ , then

$$p_1 = \frac{p_2 + t + c_1}{2}.$$

Firm 2's Profit (Anticipating  $p_1$ ):

$$Q_2 = \frac{p_1 + t - p_2}{2t} \quad \text{with} \quad p_1 = \frac{p_2 + t + c_1}{2} \implies Q_2 = \frac{-p_2 + 3t + c_1}{4t}.$$

$$\pi_2 = (p_2 - c_2) Q_2 = (p_2 - c_2) \frac{-p_2 + 3t + c_1}{4t}.$$

Firm 2's Optimal Price:

$$\frac{d}{dp_2} \big[ (p_2 - c_2) \, (-p_2 + 3t + c_1) \big] = 0 \implies p_2^* = \frac{3t + c_1 + c_2}{2}.$$

Firm 1's Price in Subgame-Perfect Equilibrium:

$$p_1^* = \frac{p_2^* + t + c_1}{2} = \frac{\frac{3t + c_1 + c_2}{2} + t + c_1}{2} = \frac{5t + 3c_1 + c_2}{4}.$$

Hence

$$p_2^* = \frac{3t + c_1 + c_2}{2}, \quad p_1^* = \frac{5t + 3c_1 + c_2}{4}.$$

Note that

$$p_1^* - p_2^* = \frac{c_1 - c_2 - t}{4}$$

so that

- If  $c_1 c_2 > t$  then  $p_1^* > p_2^*$ .
- If  $c_1 c_2 < t$  then  $p_1^* < p_2^*$ .

The relative size of the cost difference and the travel cost determines which firm has the higher price.

#### Del 2 b) Simultaneous Price Setting

Demands:

$$Q_1 = \frac{p_2 - p_1 + t}{2t}, \quad Q_2 = \frac{p_1 + t - p_2}{2t}.$$

Profits:

$$\pi_1 = (p_1 - c_1) \frac{p_2 - p_1 + t}{2t}, \quad \pi_2 = (p_2 - c_2) \frac{p_1 + t - p_2}{2t}.$$

Best Responses:

Firm 1: 
$$p_1 = \frac{p_2 + t + c_1}{2}$$
,

Firm 2: 
$$p_2 = \frac{p_1 + t + c_2}{2}$$
.

Nash Equilibrium: Solve simultaneously:

$$p_1^* = \frac{3t + 2c_1 + c_2}{3}, \quad p_2^* = \frac{3t + c_1 + 2c_2}{3}.$$

Note that  $c_1 > c_2$  implies  $p_1^* > p_2^*$  so that the high cost firm sets the higher price in Nash equilibrium.