

The Hales–Jewett Theorem

Ujkan Sulejmani, Manuel Eberl, Katharina Kreuzer

September 1, 2022

Abstract

This document is a formalisation of a proof of the Hales–Jewett theorem presented in the textbook *Ramsey Theory* by Graham et al. [1].

The Hales–Jewett theorem is a result in Ramsey Theory which states that, for any non-negative integers r and t , there exists a minimal dimension N , such that any r -coloured M -dimensional cube over t elements (with $M \geq N$) contains a monochromatic line. This theorem generalises Van der Waerden’s Theorem, which has already been formalised in another AFP entry [2].

Contents

1	Preliminaries	3
1.1	The n -dimensional cube over t elements	3
1.2	Lines	4
1.3	Subspaces	6
1.4	Equivalence classes	7
2	Core proofs	18
2.1	Theorem 4	19
2.1.1	Base case of Theorem 4	19
2.1.2	Induction step of theorem 4	27
2.2	Theorem 5	45
2.3	Corollary 6	50
2.4	Main result	51
2.4.1	Edge cases and auxiliary lemmas	51
2.4.2	Main theorem	51

```

theory Hales-Jewett
  imports Main HOL-Library.Disjoint-Sets HOL-Library.FuncSet
begin

```

1 Preliminaries

The Hales–Jewett Theorem is at its core a statement about sets of tuples called the n -dimensional cube over t elements (denoted by C_t^n); i.e. the set $\{0, \dots, t-1\}^n$, where $\{0, \dots, t-1\}$ is called the base. We use functions $f : \{0, \dots, n-1\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, t-1\}$ instead of tuples because they’re easier to deal with. The set of tuples then becomes the function space $\{0, \dots, t-1\}^{\{0, \dots, n-1\}}$. Furthermore, r -colourings are denoted by mappings from the function space to the set $\{0, \dots, r-1\}$.

1.1 The n -dimensional cube over t elements

Function spaces in Isabelle are supported by the library component FuncSet. In essence, $f \in A \rightarrow_E B$ means $a \in A \implies f a \in B$ and $a \notin A \implies f a = \text{undefined}$

The (canonical) n -dimensional cube over t elements is defined in the following using the variables:

```

n:  nat    dimension
t:  nat    number of elements

```

definition *cube* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \text{ set}$
where *cube* $n\ t \equiv \{..<n\} \rightarrow_E \{..<t\}$

For any function f whose image under a set A is a subset of another set B , there’s a unique function g in the function space B^A that equals f everywhere in A . The function g is usually written as $f|_A$ in the mathematical literature.

lemma *PiE-uniqueness*: $f \text{ ‘ } A \subseteq B \implies \exists! g \in A \rightarrow_E B. \forall a \in A. g\ a = f\ a$
using *exI*[*of* $\lambda x. x \in A \rightarrow_E B \wedge (\forall a \in A. x\ a = f\ a)$ *restrict f A*] *PiE-ext PiE-iff*
by *fastforce*

Any prefix of length j of an n -tuple (i.e. element of C_t^n) is a j -tuple (i.e. element of C_t^j).

lemma *cube-restrict*:

```

assumes  $j < n$ 
and  $y \in \text{cube } n\ t$ 
shows  $(\lambda g \in \{..<j\}. y\ g) \in \text{cube } j\ t$  using assms unfolding cube-def by force

```

Narrowing down the obvious fact $B^A \subseteq C^A$ if $B \subseteq C$ to a specific case for cubes.

lemma *cube-subset*: $\text{cube } n\ t \subseteq \text{cube } n\ (t + 1)$

unfolding *cube-def* **using** *PiE-mono*[*of* $\{..<n\} \lambda x. \{..<t\} \lambda x. \{..<t+1\}$]
by *simp*

A simplifying definition for the 0-dimensional cube.

lemma *cube0-alt-def*: $\text{cube } 0 \ t = \{\lambda x. \text{undefined}\}$
unfolding *cube-def* **by** *simp*

The cardinality of the n -dimensional over t elements is simply a consequence of the overarching definition of the cardinality of function spaces (over finite sets)

lemma *cube-card*: $\text{card } (\{..<n::\text{nat}\} \rightarrow_E \{..<t::\text{nat}\}) = t \wedge n$
by (*simp add: card-PiE*)

A simplifying definition for the n -dimensional cube over a single element, i.e. the single n -dimensional point $(0, \dots, 0)$.

lemma *cube1-alt-def*: $\text{cube } n \ 1 = \{\lambda x \in \{..<n\}. 0\}$ **unfolding** *cube-def* **by** (*simp add: lessThan-Suc*)

1.2 Lines

The property of being a line in C_t^n is defined in the following using the variables:

L : $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ line
 n : nat dimension of cube
 t : nat the size of the cube's base

definition *is-line* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat})) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *is-line* $L \ n \ t \equiv (L \in \{..<t\} \rightarrow_E \text{cube } n \ t \wedge ((\forall j < n. (\forall x < t. \forall y < t. L \ x \ j = L \ y \ j) \vee (\forall s < t. L \ s \ j = s)) \wedge (\exists j < n. (\forall s < t. L \ s \ j = s))))$

We introduce an elimination rule to relate lines with the more general definition of a subspace (see below).

lemma *is-line-elim-t-1*:

assumes *is-line* $L \ n \ t$ **and** $t = 1$

obtains $B_0 \ B_1$

where $B_0 \cup B_1 = \{..<n\} \wedge B_0 \cap B_1 = \{\}$ $\wedge B_0 \neq \{\} \wedge (\forall j \in B_1. (\forall x < t. \forall y < t. L \ x \ j = L \ y \ j)) \wedge (\forall j \in B_0. (\forall s < t. L \ s \ j = s))$

proof –

define B_0 **where** $B_0 = \{..<n\}$

define B_1 **where** $B_1 = (\{\}::\text{nat set})$

have $B_0 \cup B_1 = \{..<n\}$ **unfolding** *B0-def* *B1-def* **by** *simp*

moreover have $B_0 \cap B_1 = \{\}$ **unfolding** *B0-def* *B1-def* **by** *simp*

moreover have $B_0 \neq \{\}$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *B0-def* *is-line-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have $(\forall j \in B_1. (\forall x < t. \forall y < t. L \ x \ j = L \ y \ j))$ **unfolding** *B1-def* **by** *simp*

moreover have $(\forall j \in B_0. (\forall s < t. L \ s \ j = s))$ **using** *assms*(1, 2) *cube1-alt-def* **unfolding** *B0-def* *is-line-def* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *that* **by** *simp*

qed

The next two lemmas are used to simplify proofs by enabling us to use the resulting facts directly. This avoids having to unfold the definition of *is-line* each time.

lemma *line-points-in-cube*:
assumes *is-line* $L\ n\ t$
and $s < t$
shows $L\ s \in \text{cube}\ n\ t$
using *assms* **unfolding** *cube-def is-line-def*
by *auto*

lemma *line-points-in-cube-unfolded*:
assumes *is-line* $L\ n\ t$
and $s < t$
and $j < n$
shows $L\ s\ j \in \{..<t\}$
using *assms line-points-in-cube* **unfolding** *cube-def* **by** *blast*

The incrementation of all elements of a set is defined in the following using the variables:

n : *nat* increment size
 S : *nat set* set

definition *set-incr* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat set* \Rightarrow *nat set*
where
 $\text{set-incr}\ n\ S \equiv (\lambda a. a + n)\ ` S$

lemma *set-incr-disjnt*:
assumes *disjnt* $A\ B$
shows *disjnt* ($\text{set-incr}\ n\ A$) ($\text{set-incr}\ n\ B$)
using *assms* **unfolding** *disjnt-def set-incr-def* **by** *force*

lemma *set-incr-disjoint-family*:
assumes *disjoint-family-on* $B\ \{..k\}$
shows *disjoint-family-on* $(\lambda i. \text{set-incr}\ n\ (B\ i))\ \{..k\}$
using *assms set-incr-disjnt* **unfolding** *disjoint-family-on-def* **by** (*meson disjoint-def*)

lemma *set-incr-altdef*: $\text{set-incr}\ n\ S = (+)\ n\ ` S$
by (*auto simp: set-incr-def*)

lemma *set-incr-image*:
assumes $(\bigcup i \in \{..k\}. B\ i) = \{..<n\}$
shows $(\bigcup i \in \{..k\}. \text{set-incr}\ m\ (B\ i)) = \{m..<m+n\}$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: set-incr-altdef add.commute flip: image-UN atLeast0LessThan*)

Each tuple of dimension $k + 1$ can be split into a tuple of dimension 1—the first entry—and a tuple of dimension k —the remaining entries.

lemma *split-cube*:

assumes $x \in \text{cube } (k+1) \ t$
shows $(\lambda y \in \{..<1\}. x \ y) \in \text{cube } 1 \ t$
and $(\lambda y \in \{..<k\}. x \ (y + 1)) \in \text{cube } k \ t$
using *assms* **unfolding** *cube-def* **by** *auto*

1.3 Subspaces

The property of being a k -dimensional subspace of C_t^n is defined in the following using the variables:

S : $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ the subspace
 k : nat the dimension of the subspace
 n : nat the dimension of the cube
 t : nat the size of the cube's base

definition *is-subspace*

where *is-subspace* $S \ k \ n \ t \equiv (\exists B \ f. \text{disjoint-family-on } B \ \{..k\} \wedge \bigcup (B \ ' \{..k\}) = \{..<n\} \wedge (\{ \} \notin B \ ' \{..<k\}) \wedge f \in (B \ k) \rightarrow_E \{..<t\} \wedge S \in (\text{cube } k \ t) \rightarrow_E (\text{cube } n \ t) \wedge (\forall y \in \text{cube } k \ t. (\forall i \in B \ k. S \ y \ i = f \ i) \wedge (\forall j < k. \forall i \in B \ j. (S \ y) \ i = y \ j)))$

A k -dimensional subspace can be thought of as an embedding of the k -dimensional cube C_t^k into C_t^n , akin to how a k -dimensional vector subspace of \mathbf{R}^n may be thought of as an embedding of \mathbf{R}^k into \mathbf{R}^n .

lemma *subspace-inj-on-cube*:

assumes *is-subspace* $S \ k \ n \ t$
shows *inj-on* $S \ (\text{cube } k \ t)$

proof

fix $x \ y$
assume $a: x \in \text{cube } k \ t \ y \in \text{cube } k \ t \ S \ x = S \ y$
from *assms* **obtain** $B \ f$ **where** *Bf-props*: *disjoint-family-on* $B \ \{..k\} \wedge \bigcup (B \ ' \{..k\}) = \{..<n\} \wedge (\{ \} \notin B \ ' \{..<k\}) \wedge f \in (B \ k) \rightarrow_E \{..<t\} \wedge S \in (\text{cube } k \ t) \rightarrow_E (\text{cube } n \ t) \wedge (\forall y \in \text{cube } k \ t. (\forall i \in B \ k. S \ y \ i = f \ i) \wedge (\forall j < k. \forall i \in B \ j. (S \ y) \ i = y \ j))$ **unfolding** *is-subspace-def* **by** *auto*
have $\forall i < k. x \ i = y \ i$
proof (*intro allI impI*)
fix j **assume** $j < k$
then have $B \ j \neq \{ \}$ **using** *Bf-props* **by** *auto*
then obtain i **where** *i-prop*: $i \in B \ j$ **by** *blast*
then have $y \ j = S \ y \ i$ **using** *Bf-props* $a(2) \ \langle j < k \rangle$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = S \ x \ i$ **using** a **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = x \ j$ **using** *Bf-props* $a(1) \ \langle j < k \rangle$ *i-prop* **by** *blast*
finally show $x \ j = y \ j$ **by** *simp*
qed
then show $x = y$ **using** $a(1,2)$ **unfolding** *cube-def* **by** (*meson PiE-ext lessThan-iff*)
qed

The following is required to handle base cases in the key lemmas.

lemma *dim0-subspace-ex*:

assumes $t > 0$
shows $\exists S. \text{is-subspace } S \ 0 \ n \ t$
proof–
define B **where** $B \equiv (\lambda x::\text{nat}. \text{undefined})(0:=\{..<n\})$

have $\{..<t\} \neq \{\}$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
then have $\exists f. f \in (B \ 0) \rightarrow_E \{..<t\}$
by (*meson PiE-eq-empty-iff all-not-in-conv*)
then obtain f **where** $f\text{-prop}: f \in (B \ 0) \rightarrow_E \{..<t\}$ **by** *blast*
define S **where** $S \equiv (\lambda x::(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}). \text{undefined})((\lambda x. \text{undefined}):=f)$

have *disjoint-family-on* $B \ \{..0\}$ **unfolding** *disjoint-family-on-def* **by** *simp*
moreover have $\bigcup (B \ ' \ \{..0\}) = \{..<n\}$ **unfolding** $B\text{-def}$ **by** *simp*
moreover have $(\{\} \notin B \ ' \ \{..<0\})$ **by** *simp*
moreover have $S \in (\text{cube } 0 \ t) \rightarrow_E (\text{cube } n \ t)$
using $f\text{-prop}$ *PiE-I* **unfolding** $B\text{-def}$ cube-def $S\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $(\forall y \in \text{cube } 0 \ t. (\forall i \in B \ 0. S \ y \ i = f \ i) \wedge (\forall j < 0. \forall i \in B \ j. (S \ y) \ i = y \ j))$ **unfolding** cube-def $S\text{-def}$ **by** *force*
ultimately have *is-subspace* $S \ 0 \ n \ t$ **using** $f\text{-prop}$ **unfolding** *is-subspace-def* **by** *blast*
then show $\exists S. \text{is-subspace } S \ 0 \ n \ t$ **by** *auto*
qed

1.4 Equivalence classes

Defining the equivalence classes of $\text{cube } n \ (t + 1)$: $\{\text{classes } n \ t \ 0, \dots, \text{classes } n \ t \ n\}$

definition *classes*

where $\text{classes } n \ t \equiv (\lambda i. \{x . x \in (\text{cube } n \ (t + 1)) \wedge (\forall u \in \{(n-i)..<n\}. x \ u = t) \wedge t \notin x \ ' \ \{..<(n-i)\}\})$

lemma *classes-subset-cube*: $\text{classes } n \ t \ i \subseteq \text{cube } n \ (t+1)$ **unfolding** classes-def **by** *blast*

definition *layered-subspace*

where $\text{layered-subspace } S \ k \ n \ t \ r \ \chi \equiv (\text{is-subspace } S \ k \ n \ (t + 1) \wedge (\forall i \in \{..k\}. \exists c < r. \forall x \in \text{classes } k \ t \ i. \chi \ (S \ x) = c)) \wedge \chi \in \text{cube } n \ (t + 1) \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}$

lemma *layered-eq-classes*:

assumes *layered-subspace* $S \ k \ n \ t \ r \ \chi$

shows $\forall i \in \{..k\}. \forall x \in \text{classes } k \ t \ i. \forall y \in \text{classes } k \ t \ i. \chi \ (S \ x) = \chi \ (S \ y)$

proof (*safe*)

fix $i \ x \ y$

assume $a: i \leq k \ x \in \text{classes } k \ t \ i \ y \in \text{classes } k \ t \ i$

then obtain c **where** $c < r \wedge \chi \ (S \ x) = c \wedge \chi \ (S \ y) = c$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *layered-subspace-def* **by** *fast*

then show $\chi \ (S \ x) = \chi \ (S \ y)$ **by** *simp*

qed

```

lemma dim0-layered-subspace-ex:
  assumes  $\chi \in (\text{cube } n \ (t + 1)) \rightarrow_E \{..<r::\text{nat}\}$ 
  shows  $\exists S. \text{layered-subspace } S \ (0::\text{nat}) \ n \ t \ r \ \chi$ 
proof-
  obtain  $S$  where  $S\text{-prop}$ : is-subspace  $S \ (0::\text{nat}) \ n \ (t+1)$  using dim0-subspace-ex
by auto
  have  $\text{classes } (0::\text{nat}) \ t \ 0 = \text{cube } 0 \ (t+1)$  unfolding classes-def by simp
  moreover have  $(\forall i \in \{..0::\text{nat}\}. \exists c < r. \forall x \in \text{classes } (0::\text{nat}) \ t \ i. \chi \ (S \ x) = c)$ 
  proof(safe)
    fix  $i$ 
    have  $\forall x \in \text{classes } 0 \ t \ 0. \chi \ (S \ x) = \chi \ (S \ (\lambda x. \text{undefined}))$  using cube0-alt-def
    using  $\langle \text{classes } 0 \ t \ 0 = \text{cube } 0 \ (t + 1) \rangle$  by auto
    moreover have  $S \ (\lambda x. \text{undefined}) \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1)$  using  $S\text{-prop}$  cube0-alt-def
  unfolding is-subspace-def by auto
    moreover have  $\chi \ (S \ (\lambda x. \text{undefined})) < r$  using assms calculation by auto
    ultimately show  $\exists c < r. \forall x \in \text{classes } 0 \ t \ 0. \chi \ (S \ x) = c$  by auto
  qed
  ultimately have layered-subspace  $S \ 0 \ n \ t \ r \ \chi$  using  $S\text{-prop}$  assms unfolding
layered-subspace-def by blast
  then show  $\exists S. \text{layered-subspace } S \ (0::\text{nat}) \ n \ t \ r \ \chi$  by auto
qed

```

Proving they are equivalence classes.

```

lemma disjoint-family-onI [intro]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge m \ n. m \in S \implies n \in S \implies m \neq n \implies A \ m \cap A \ n = \{\}$ 
  shows disjoint-family-on  $A \ S$ 
  using assms by (auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def)

```

```

lemma fun-ex:  $a \in A \implies b \in B \implies \exists f \in A \rightarrow_E B. f \ a = b$ 
proof-
  assume assms:  $a \in A \ b \in B$ 
  then obtain  $g$  where  $g\text{-def}$ :  $g \in A \rightarrow B \wedge g \ a = b$  by fast
  then have  $\text{restrict } g \ A \in A \rightarrow_E B \wedge (\text{restrict } g \ A) \ a = b$  using assms(1) by
auto
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma ex-bij-betw-nat-finite-2:
  assumes  $\text{card } A = n$ 
  and  $n > 0$ 
  shows  $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f \ A \ \{..<n\}$ 
  using assms ex-bij-betw-finite-nat[of A] atLeast0LessThan card-ge-0-finite by auto

```

```

lemma one-dim-cube-eq-nat-set:  $\text{bij-betw } (\lambda f. f \ 0) \ (\text{cube } 1 \ k) \ \{..<k\}$ 
proof (unfold bij-betw-def)
  have  $*$ :  $(\lambda f. f \ 0) \ \text{cube } 1 \ k = \{..<k\}$ 
  proof(safe)
    fix  $x \ f$ 

```



```

    assume  $f \in \text{cube } 1 \ k$ 
    then show  $f \ 0 < k$  unfolding cube-def by blast
next
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x < k$ 
  then have  $x \in \{..<k\}$  by simp
  moreover have  $0 \in \{..<1::\text{nat}\}$  by simp
  ultimately have  $\exists y \in \{..<1::\text{nat}\} \rightarrow_E \{..<k\}. y \ 0 = x$  using fun-ex[of 0
 $\{..<1::\text{nat}\} \ x \ \{..<k\}]$  by auto
  then show  $x \in (\lambda f. f \ 0) \text{ ` } \text{cube } 1 \ k$  unfolding cube-def by blast
qed
moreover
{
  have  $\text{card } (\text{cube } 1 \ k) = k$  using cube-card by (simp add: cube-def)
  moreover have  $\text{card } \{..<k\} = k$  by simp
  ultimately have  $\text{inj-on } (\lambda f. f \ 0) (\text{cube } 1 \ k)$  using  $*$  eq-card-imp-inj-on[of cube
 $1 \ k \ \lambda f. f \ 0]$  by force
}
ultimately show  $\text{inj-on } (\lambda f. f \ 0) (\text{cube } 1 \ k) \wedge (\lambda f. f \ 0) \text{ ` } \text{cube } 1 \ k = \{..<k\}$  by
simp
qed

```

An alternative introduction rule for the $\exists!x$ quantifier, which means "there exists exactly one x ".

lemma *ex1I-alt*: $(\exists x. P \ x \wedge (\forall y. P \ y \longrightarrow x = y)) \implies (\exists!x. P \ x)$

by *auto*

lemma *nat-set-eq-one-dim-cube*: $\text{bij-betw } (\lambda x. \lambda y \in \{..<1::\text{nat}\}. x) \ \{..<k::\text{nat}\} \ (\text{cube } 1 \ k)$

proof (*unfold bij-betw-def*)

have $*$: $(\lambda x. \lambda y \in \{..<1::\text{nat}\}. x) \text{ ` } \{..<k\} = \text{cube } 1 \ k$

proof (*safe*)

fix $x \ y$

assume $y < k$

then show $(\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y) \in \text{cube } 1 \ k$ **unfolding** *cube-def* **by** *simp*

next

fix x

assume $x \in \text{cube } 1 \ k$

have $x = (\lambda z. \lambda y \in \{..<1::\text{nat}\}. z) \ (x \ 0::\text{nat})$

proof

fix j

consider $j \in \{..<1\} \mid j \notin \{..<1::\text{nat}\}$ **by** *linarith*

then show $x \ j = (\lambda z. \lambda y \in \{..<1::\text{nat}\}. z) \ (x \ 0::\text{nat}) \ j$ **using** $\langle x \in \text{cube } 1 \ k \rangle$

unfolding *cube-def* **by** *auto*

qed

moreover have $x \ 0 \in \{..<k\}$ **using** $\langle x \in \text{cube } 1 \ k \rangle$ **by** (*auto simp add: cube-def*)

ultimately show $x \in (\lambda z. \lambda y \in \{..<1\}. z) \text{ ` } \{..<k\}$ **by** *blast*

qed

moreover

{

```

    have card (cube 1 k) = k using cube-card by (simp add: cube-def)
    moreover have card {.. $k$ } = k by simp
    ultimately have inj-on ( $\lambda x. \lambda y \in \{.. $1::\text{nat}\}. x$ ) {.. $k$ } using * eq-card-imp-inj-on[of
{.. $k$ }  $\lambda x. \lambda y \in \{.. $1::\text{nat}\}. x$ ] by force
  }
  ultimately show inj-on ( $\lambda x. \lambda y \in \{.. $1::\text{nat}\}. x$ ) {.. $k$ }  $\wedge$  ( $\lambda x. \lambda y \in \{.. $1::\text{nat}\}.
x$ ) ' $\{.. $k$ \} = \text{cube } 1 k$  by blast
qed$$$$ 
```

A bijection f between domains A_1 and A_2 creates a correspondence between functions in $A_1 \rightarrow B$ and $A_2 \rightarrow B$.

```

lemma bij-domain-PiE:
  assumes bij-betw f A1 A2
  and  $g \in A2 \rightarrow_E B$ 
  shows (restrict (g  $\circ$  f) A1)  $\in A1 \rightarrow_E B$ 
  using bij-betwE assms by fastforce

```

The following three lemmas relate lines to 1-dimensional subspaces (in the natural way). This is a direct consequence of the elimination rule *is-line-elim* introduced above.

```

lemma line-is-dim1-subspace-t-1:
  assumes  $n > 0$ 
  and is-line L n 1
  shows is-subspace (restrict ( $\lambda y. L (y 0)$ ) (cube 1 1)) 1 n 1
proof -
  obtain  $B_0 B_1$  where B-props:  $B_0 \cup B_1 = \{.. $n$ \} \wedge B_0 \cap B_1 = \{\}$   $\wedge B_0 \neq \{\}$ 
 $\wedge (\forall j \in B_1. (\forall x < 1. \forall y < 1. L x j = L y j)) \wedge (\forall j \in B_0. (\forall s < 1. L s j = s))$  using
is-line-elim-t-1[of L n 1] assms by auto
  define B where  $B \equiv (\lambda i :: \text{nat}. \{i :: \text{nat} \text{ set}\})(0 := B_0, 1 := B_1)$ 
  define f where  $f \equiv (\lambda i \in B 1. L 0 i)$ 
  have *:  $L 0 \in \{.. $n$ \} \rightarrow_E \{.. $1$ \}$  using assms(2) unfolding cube-def is-line-def
by auto
  have disjoint-family-on B {.. $1$ } unfolding B-def using B-props
  by (simp add: Int-commute disjoint-family-onI)
  moreover have  $\bigcup (B \text{ ' } \{.. $1$ \}) = \{.. $n$ \}$  unfolding B-def using B-props by
auto
  moreover have  $\{\} \notin B \text{ ' } \{.. $1$ \}$  unfolding B-def using B-props by auto
  moreover have  $f \in B 1 \rightarrow_E \{.. $1$ \}$  using * calculation(2) unfolding f-def by
auto
  moreover have (restrict ( $\lambda y. L (y 0)$ ) (cube 1 1))  $\in \text{cube } 1 1 \rightarrow_E \text{cube } n 1$  using
assms(2) cube1-alt-def unfolding is-line-def by auto
  moreover have ( $\forall y \in \text{cube } 1 1. (\forall i \in B 1. (\text{restrict } (\lambda y. L (y 0)) (\text{cube } 1 1)) y
i = f i) \wedge (\forall j < 1. \forall i \in B j. (\text{restrict } (\lambda y. L (y 0)) (\text{cube } 1 1)) y i = y j))$  using
cube1-alt-def B-props * unfolding B-def f-def by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding is-subspace-def by blast
qed

```

```

lemma line-is-dim1-subspace-t-ge-1:
  assumes  $n > 0$ 

```

```

    and  $t > 1$ 
    and is-line  $L\ n\ t$ 
    shows is-subspace ( $\text{restrict } (\lambda y. L\ (y\ 0))\ (\text{cube } 1\ t))\ 1\ n\ t$ 
  proof -
    let  $?B1 = \{i::\text{nat} . i < n \wedge (\forall x < t. \forall y < t. L\ x\ i = L\ y\ i)\}$ 
    let  $?B0 = \{i::\text{nat} . i < n \wedge (\forall s < t. L\ s\ i = s)\}$ 
    define  $B$  where  $B \equiv (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \{\}::\text{nat set})(0:=?B0, 1:=?B1)$ 
    let  $?L = (\lambda y \in \text{cube } 1\ t. L\ (y\ 0))$ 
    have  $?B0 \neq \{\}$  using assms(3) unfolding is-line-def by simp

    have  $L1: ?B0 \cup ?B1 = \{..<n\}$  using assms(3) unfolding is-line-def by auto
    {
      have  $(\forall s < t. L\ s\ i = s) \longrightarrow \neg(\forall x < t. \forall y < t. L\ x\ i = L\ y\ i)$  if  $i < n$  for  $i$ 
    }
  using assms(2)
    using less-trans by auto
    then have  $*:i \notin ?B0$  if  $i \in ?B1$  for  $i$  using that by blast
  }
  moreover
  {
    have  $(\forall x < t. \forall y < t. L\ x\ i = L\ y\ i) \longrightarrow \neg(\forall s < t. L\ s\ i = s)$  if  $i < n$  for  $i$ 
    using that calculation by blast
    then have  $**:\forall i \in ?B0. i \notin ?B1$ 
    by blast
  }
  ultimately have  $L2: ?B0 \cap ?B1 = \{\}$  by blast

  let  $?f = (\lambda i. \text{if } i \in B\ 1 \text{ then } L\ 0\ i \text{ else undefined})$ 
  {
    have  $\{..1::\text{nat}\} = \{0, 1\}$  by auto
    then have  $\bigcup(B\ ' \{..1::\text{nat}\}) = B\ 0 \cup B\ 1$  by simp
    then have  $\bigcup(B\ ' \{..1::\text{nat}\}) = ?B0 \cup ?B1$  unfolding B-def by simp
    then have  $A1: \text{disjoint-family-on } B\ \{..1::\text{nat}\}$  using  $L2$ 
    by (simp add: B-def Int-commute disjoint-family-onI)
  }
  moreover
  {
    have  $\bigcup(B\ ' \{..1::\text{nat}\}) = B\ 0 \cup B\ 1$  unfolding B-def by auto
    then have  $\bigcup(B\ ' \{..1::\text{nat}\}) = \{..<n\}$  using  $L1$  unfolding B-def by simp
  }
  moreover
  {
    have  $\forall i \in \{..<1::\text{nat}\}. B\ i \neq \{\}$ 
    using  $\langle\{i. i < n \wedge (\forall s < t. L\ s\ i = s)\} \neq \{\}\rangle$  fun-upd-same lessThan-iff less-one
  }
  unfolding B-def by auto
    then have  $\{\} \notin B\ ' \{..<1::\text{nat}\}$  by blast
  }
  moreover
  {
    have  $?f \in (B\ 1) \rightarrow_E \{..<t\}$ 
  }

```

```

proof
  fix  $i$ 
  assume  $asm: i \in (B\ 1)$ 
  have  $L\ a\ b \in \{..<t\}$  if  $a < t$  and  $b < n$  for  $a\ b$  using  $assms(3)$  that unfolding
  is-line-def cube-def by auto
  then have  $L\ 0\ i \in \{..<t\}$  using  $assms(2)$   $asm$   $calculation(2)$  by blast
  then show  $?f\ i \in \{..<t\}$  using  $asm$  by presburger
  qed (auto)
}

moreover
{
  have  $L \in \{..<t\} \rightarrow_E (cube\ n\ t)$  using  $assms(3)$  by (simp add: is-line-def)
  then have  $?L \in (cube\ 1\ t) \rightarrow_E (cube\ n\ t)$ 
  using  $bij-domain-PiE[\lambda f. f\ 0] (cube\ 1\ t) \{..<t\} L\ cube\ n\ t]$  one-dim-cube-eq-nat-set[of
   $t]$  by auto
}
moreover
{
  have  $\forall y \in cube\ 1\ t. (\forall i \in B\ 1. ?L\ y\ i = ?f\ i) \wedge (\forall j < 1. \forall i \in B\ j. (?L\ y)\ i$ 
   $= y\ j)$ 
proof
  fix  $y$ 
  assume  $y \in cube\ 1\ t$ 
  then have  $y\ 0 \in \{..<t\}$  unfolding cube-def by blast

  have  $(\forall i \in B\ 1. ?L\ y\ i = ?f\ i)$ 
proof
  fix  $i$ 
  assume  $i \in B\ 1$ 
  then have  $?f\ i = L\ 0\ i$ 
  by meson
  moreover have  $?L\ y\ i = L\ (y\ 0)\ i$  using  $\langle y \in cube\ 1\ t \rangle$  by simp
  moreover have  $L\ (y\ 0)\ i = L\ 0\ i$ 
proof –
  have  $i \in ?B1$  using  $\langle i \in B\ 1 \rangle$  unfolding B-def fun-upd-def by presburger
  then have  $(\forall x < t. \forall y < t. L\ x\ i = L\ y\ i)$  by blast
  then show  $L\ (y\ 0)\ i = L\ 0\ i$  using  $\langle y\ 0 \in \{..<t\} \rangle$  by blast
  qed
  ultimately show  $?L\ y\ i = ?f\ i$  by simp
qed

moreover have  $(?L\ y)\ i = y\ j$  if  $j < 1$  and  $i \in B\ j$  for  $i\ j$ 
proof–
  have  $i \in B\ 0$  using that by blast
  then have  $i \in ?B0$  unfolding B-def by auto
  then have  $(\forall s < t. L\ s\ i = s)$  by blast
  moreover have  $y\ 0 < t$  using  $\langle y \in cube\ 1\ t \rangle$  unfolding cube-def by auto
  ultimately have  $L\ (y\ 0)\ i = y\ 0$  by simp

```

then show $?L \ y \ i = y \ j$ **using** *that using* $(y \in \text{cube } 1 \ t)$ **by force**
qed
ultimately show $(\forall i \in B \ 1. \ ?L \ y \ i = ?f \ i) \wedge (\forall j < 1. \ \forall i \in B \ j. \ (?L \ y) \ i =$
 $y \ j)$
by blast
qed
}
ultimately show *is-subspace* $?L \ 1 \ n \ t$ **unfolding** *is-subspace-def* **by blast**
qed

lemma *line-is-dim1-subspace*:

assumes $n > 0$
and $t > 0$
and *is-line* $L \ n \ t$
shows *is-subspace* $(\text{restrict } (\lambda y. L \ (y \ 0)) \ (\text{cube } 1 \ t)) \ 1 \ n \ t$
using *line-is-dim1-subspace-t-1[of n L]* *line-is-dim1-subspace-t-ge-1[of n t L]* *assms*
not-less-iff-gr-or-eq **by blast**

The key property of the existence of a minimal dimension N , such that for any r -colouring in $C_t^{N'}$ (for $N' \geq N$) there exists a monochromatic line is defined in the following using the variables:

r : *nat* the number of colours
 t : *nat* the size of of the base

definition *hj*

where $hj \ r \ t \equiv (\exists N > 0. \ \forall N' \geq N. \ \forall \chi. \ \chi \in (\text{cube } N' \ t) \rightarrow_E \{..<r::nat\} \rightarrow$
 $(\exists L. \ \exists c < r. \ \text{is-line } L \ N' \ t \wedge (\forall y \in L \ ' \ \{..<t\}. \ \chi \ y = c)))$

The key property of the existence of a minimal dimension N , such that for any r -colouring in $C_t^{N'}$ (for $N' \geq N$) there exists a layered subspace of dimension k is defined in the following using the variables:

r : *nat* the number of colours
 t : *nat* the size of of the base
 k : *nat* the dimension of the subspace

definition *lhj*

where $lhj \ r \ t \ k \equiv (\exists N > 0. \ \forall N' \geq N. \ \forall \chi. \ \chi \in (\text{cube } N' \ (t + 1)) \rightarrow_E \{..<r::nat\}$
 $\rightarrow (\exists S. \ \text{layered-subspace } S \ k \ N' \ t \ r \ \chi))$

We state some useful facts about 1-dimensional subspaces.

lemma *dim1-subspace-elims*:

assumes *disjoint-family-on* $B \ \{..1::nat\}$ **and** $\bigcup (B \ ' \ \{..1::nat\}) = \{..<n\}$ **and**
 $(\{\} \notin B \ ' \ \{..<1::nat\})$ **and** $f \in (B \ 1) \rightarrow_E \{..<t\}$ **and** $S \in (\text{cube } 1 \ t) \rightarrow_E (\text{cube } n$
 $t)$ **and** $(\forall y \in \text{cube } 1 \ t. \ (\forall i \in B \ 1. \ S \ y \ i = f \ i) \wedge (\forall j < 1. \ \forall i \in B \ j. \ (S \ y) \ i = y \ j))$
shows $B \ 0 \cup B \ 1 = \{..<n\}$
and $B \ 0 \cap B \ 1 = \{\}$
and $(\forall y \in \text{cube } 1 \ t. \ (\forall i \in B \ 1. \ S \ y \ i = f \ i) \wedge (\forall i \in B \ 0. \ (S \ y) \ i = y \ 0))$
and $B \ 0 \neq \{\}$

```

proof –
  have  $\{..1\} = \{0::nat, 1\}$  by auto
  then show  $B\ 0 \cup B\ 1 = \{..<n\}$  using assms(2) by simp
next
  show  $B\ 0 \cap B\ 1 = \{\}$  using assms(1) unfolding disjoint-family-on-def by simp
next
  show  $(\forall y \in \text{cube } 1\ t. (\forall i \in B\ 1. S\ y\ i = f\ i) \wedge (\forall i \in B\ 0. (S\ y)\ i = y\ 0))$  using
assms(6) by simp
next
  show  $B\ 0 \neq \{\}$  using assms(3) by auto
qed

```

We state some properties of cubes.

lemma *cube-props*:

```

assumes  $s < t$ 
shows  $\exists p \in \text{cube } 1\ t. p\ 0 = s$ 
  and  $(\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s)\ 0 = s$ 
  and  $(\lambda s \in \{..<t\}. S\ (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s))\ s = (\lambda s \in \{..<t\}. S\ (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s))\ ((\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s)\ 0)$ 
  and  $(\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s) \in \text{cube } 1\ t$ 
proof –
  show  $1: \exists p \in \text{cube } 1\ t. p\ 0 = s$  using assms unfolding cube-def by (simp add: fun-ex)
  show  $2: (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s)\ 0 = s$  using assms 1 someI-ex[of  $\lambda x. x \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge x\ 0 = s$ ] by blast
  show  $3: (\lambda s \in \{..<t\}. S\ (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s))\ s = (\lambda s \in \{..<t\}. S\ (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s))\ ((\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s)\ 0)$  using  $2$  by simp
  show  $4: (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s) \in \text{cube } 1\ t$  using  $1$  someI-ex[of  $\lambda p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s$ ] assms by blast
qed

```

The following lemma relates 1-dimensional subspaces to lines, thus establishing a bidirectional correspondence between the two together with *line-is-dim1-subspace*.

lemma *dim1-subspace-is-line*:

```

assumes  $t > 0$ 
and is-subspace  $S\ 1\ n\ t$ 
shows is-line  $(\lambda s \in \{..<t\}. S\ (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s))\ n\ t$ 
proof–
  define  $L$  where  $L \equiv (\lambda s \in \{..<t\}. S\ (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s))$ 
  have  $\{..1\} = \{0::nat, 1\}$  by auto
  obtain  $B\ f$  where Bf-props: disjoint-family-on  $B\ \{..1::nat\} \wedge \bigcup (B\ \{..1::nat\}) = \{..<n\} \wedge (\{\} \notin B\ \{..<1::nat\}) \wedge f \in (B\ 1) \rightarrow_E \{..<t\} \wedge S \in (\text{cube } 1\ t) \rightarrow_E (\text{cube } n\ t) \wedge (\forall y \in \text{cube } 1\ t. (\forall i \in B\ 1. S\ y\ i = f\ i) \wedge (\forall j < 1. \forall i \in B\ j. (S\ y)\ i = y\ j))$  using assms(2) unfolding is-subspace-def by auto
  then have  $1: B\ 0 \cup B\ 1 = \{..<n\} \wedge B\ 0 \cap B\ 1 = \{\}$  using dim1-subspace-elim( $1, 2$ )[of  $B\ n\ f\ t\ S$ ] by simp

  have  $L \in \{..<t\} \rightarrow_E \text{cube } n\ t$ 

```

```

proof
  fix s assume a: s ∈ {.. $t$ }
  then have L s = S (SOME p. p ∈ cube 1 t ∧ p 0 = s) unfolding L-def by simp
  moreover have (SOME p. p ∈ cube 1 t ∧ p 0 = s) ∈ cube 1 t using cube-props(1)
  a someI-ex[of λp. p ∈ cube 1 t ∧ p 0 = s] by blast
  moreover have S (SOME p. p ∈ cube 1 t ∧ p 0 = s) ∈ cube n t
    using assms(2) calculation(2) is-subspace-def by auto
  ultimately show L s ∈ cube n t by simp
next
  fix s assume a: s ∉ {.. $t$ }
  then show L s = undefined unfolding L-def by simp
qed
moreover have (∀ x < t. ∀ y < t. L x j = L y j) ∨ (∀ s < t. L s j = s) if j < n for j
proof-
  consider j ∈ B 0 | j ∈ B 1 using ⟨j < n⟩ 1 by blast
  then show (∀ x < t. ∀ y < t. L x j = L y j) ∨ (∀ s < t. L s j = s)
  proof (cases)
    case 1
    have L s j = s if s < t for s
    proof-
      have ∀ y ∈ cube 1 t. (S y) j = y 0 using Bf-props 1 by simp
      then show L s j = s using that cube-props(2,4) unfolding L-def by auto
    qed
    then show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case 2
    have L x j = L y j if x < t and y < t for x y
    proof-
      have *: S y j = f j if y ∈ cube 1 t for y using 2 that Bf-props by simp
      then have L y j = f j using that(2) cube-props(2,4) lessThan-iff restrict-apply
      unfolding L-def by fastforce
      moreover from * have L x j = f j using that(1) cube-props(2,4) lessThan-iff
      restrict-apply unfolding L-def by fastforce
      ultimately show L x j = L y j by simp
    qed
    then show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
moreover have (∃ j < n. ∀ s < t. (L s j = s))
proof -
  obtain j where j-prop: j ∈ B 0 ∧ j < n using Bf-props by blast
  then have (S y) j = y 0 if y ∈ cube 1 t for y using that Bf-props by auto
  then have L s j = s if s < t for s using that cube-props(2,4) unfolding L-def
  by auto
  then show ∃ j < n. ∀ s < t. (L s j = s) using j-prop by blast
qed
ultimately show is-line (λs ∈ {.. $t$ }. S (SOME p. p ∈ cube 1 t ∧ p 0 = s)) n t
unfolding L-def is-line-def by auto
qed

```

lemma *bij-unique-inv*:

assumes *bij-betw* *f* *A* *B*

and $x \in B$

shows $\exists! y \in A. (the_inv_into\ A\ f)\ x = y$

using *assms* **unfolding** *bij-betw-def* *inj-on-def* *the-inv-into-def*

by *blast*

lemma *inv-into-cube-props*:

assumes $s < t$

shows *the-inv-into* (*cube* 1 *t*) ($\lambda f. f\ 0$) $s \in cube\ 1\ t$

and *the-inv-into* (*cube* 1 *t*) ($\lambda f. f\ 0$) $s\ 0 = s$

using *assms* *bij-unique-inv* *one-dim-cube-eq-nat-set* *f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw*

by *fastforce+*

lemma *some-inv-into*:

assumes $s < t$

shows (*SOME* *p. p* $\in cube\ 1\ t \wedge p\ 0 = s$) = (*the-inv-into* (*cube* 1 *t*) ($\lambda f. f\ 0$) *s*)

using *inv-into-cube-props*[*of* *s* *t*] *one-dim-cube-eq-nat-set*[*of* *t*] *assms* **unfolding** *bij-betw-def* *inj-on-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *some-inv-into-2*:

assumes $s < t$

shows (*SOME* *p. p* $\in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s$) = (*the-inv-into* (*cube* 1 *t*) ($\lambda f. f\ 0$) *s*)

proof–

have *: (*SOME* *p. p* $\in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s$) $\in cube\ 1\ (t+1)$ **using** *cube-props* *assms* **by** *simp*

then have (*SOME* *p. p* $\in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s$) $0 = s$ **using** *cube-props* *assms* **by** *simp*

moreover

{

have (*SOME* *p. p* $\in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s$) ‘ $\{..<1\} \subseteq \{..<t\}$ ’ **using** *calculation* *assms* **by** *force*

then have (*SOME* *p. p* $\in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s$) $\in cube\ 1\ t$ **using** * **unfolding** *cube-def* **by** *auto*

}

moreover have *inj-on* ($\lambda f. f\ 0$) (*cube* 1 *t*) **using** *one-dim-cube-eq-nat-set*[*of* *t*] **unfolding** *bij-betw-def* *inj-on-def* **by** *auto*

ultimately show (*SOME* *p. p* $\in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s$) = (*the-inv-into* (*cube* 1 *t*) ($\lambda f. f\ 0$) *s*) **using** *the-inv-into-f-eq* [*of* $\lambda f. f\ 0$ *cube* 1 *t* (*SOME* *p. p* $\in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s$) *s*] **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *dim1-layered-subspace-as-line*:

assumes $t > 0$

and *layered-subspace* *S* 1 *n* *t* *r* χ

shows $\exists c1\ c2. c1 < r \wedge c2 < r \wedge (\forall s < t. \chi\ (S\ (SOME\ p. p \in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s)) = c1) \wedge \chi\ (S\ (SOME\ p. p \in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = t)) = c2$

proof –
 have $x\ u < t$ if $x \in \text{classes } 1\ t\ 0$ and $u < 1$ for $x\ u$
proof –
 have $x \in \text{cube } 1\ (t+1)$ using that unfolding classes-def by blast
 then have $x\ u \in \{..<t+1\}$ using that unfolding cube-def by blast
 then have $x\ u \in \{..<t\}$ using that
 using that less-Suc-eq unfolding classes-def by auto
 then show $x\ u < t$ by simp
qed
 then have $\text{classes } 1\ t\ 0 \subseteq \text{cube } 1\ t$ unfolding cube-def classes-def by auto
 moreover have $\text{cube } 1\ t \subseteq \text{classes } 1\ t\ 0$ using cube-subset[of 1 t] unfolding
 cube-def classes-def by auto
 ultimately have $X: \text{classes } 1\ t\ 0 = \text{cube } 1\ t$ by blast

 obtain $c1$ where $c1\text{-prop}: c1 < r \wedge (\forall x \in \text{classes } 1\ t\ 0. \chi(S\ x) = c1)$ using
 assms(2) unfolding layered-subspace-def by blast
 then have $(\chi(S\ x) = c1)$ if $x \in \text{cube } 1\ t$ for x using X that by blast
 then have $\chi(S\ (\text{the-inv-into } (\text{cube } 1\ t) (\lambda f. f\ 0)\ s)) = c1$ if $s < t$ for s using
 one-dim-cube-eq-nat-set[of t]
 by (meson that bij-betwE bij-betw-the-inv-into lessThan-iff)
 then have $K1: \chi(S\ (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s)) = c1$ if $s < t$ for s
 using that some-inv-into-2 by simp

 have *: $\exists c < r. \forall x \in \text{classes } 1\ t\ 1. \chi(S\ x) = c$ using assms(2) unfolding
 layered-subspace-def by blast

 have $x\ 0 = t$ if $x \in \text{classes } 1\ t\ 1$ for x using that unfolding classes-def by
 simp
 moreover have $\exists! x \in \text{cube } 1\ (t+1). x\ 0 = t$ using one-dim-cube-eq-nat-set[of
 $t+1$] unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def
 using inv-into-cube-props(1) inv-into-cube-props(2) by force
 moreover have **: $\exists! x. x \in \text{classes } 1\ t\ 1$ unfolding classes-def using calcu-
 lation(2) by simp
 ultimately have $\text{the-inv-into } (\text{cube } 1\ (t+1)) (\lambda f. f\ 0)\ t \in \text{classes } 1\ t\ 1$ using
 inv-into-cube-props[of t t+1] unfolding classes-def by simp

 then have $\exists c2. c2 < r \wedge \chi(S\ (\text{the-inv-into } (\text{cube } 1\ (t+1)) (\lambda f. f\ 0)\ t)) = c2$
 using * ** by blast
 then have $K2: \exists c2. c2 < r \wedge \chi(S\ (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = t)) = c2$
 using some-inv-into by simp

 from $K1\ K2$ show ?thesis
 using c1-prop by blast
qed

lemma dim1-layered-subspace-mono-line:
 assumes $t > 0$
 and layered-subspace $S\ 1\ n\ t\ r\ \chi$
 shows $\forall s < t. \forall l < t. \chi(S\ (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s)) = \chi(S\ (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = l))$

$p. p \in \text{cube } 1 (t+1) \wedge p \ 0 = l)) \wedge \chi (S (SOME \ p. p \in \text{cube } 1 (t+1) \wedge p \ 0 = s)) < r$
using *dim1-layered-subspace-as-line*[of $t \ S \ n \ r \ \chi$] *assms* **by** *auto*

definition *join* :: $(nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$
where

$\text{join } f \ g \ n \ m \equiv (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \{..<n\} \text{ then } f \ x \text{ else (if } x \in \{n..<n+m\} \text{ then } g \ (x - n) \text{ else undefined}))$

lemma *join-cubes*:

assumes $f \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1)$
and $g \in \text{cube } m \ (t+1)$
shows $\text{join } f \ g \ n \ m \in \text{cube } (n+m) \ (t+1)$
proof (*unfold cube-def; intro PiE-I*)
fix i
assume $i \in \{..<n+m\}$
then consider $i < n \mid i \geq n \wedge i < n+m$ **by** *fastforce*
then show $\text{join } f \ g \ n \ m \ i \in \{..<t+1\}$
proof (*cases*)
case 1
then have $\text{join } f \ g \ n \ m \ i = f \ i$ **unfolding** *join-def* **by** *simp*
moreover have $f \ i \in \{..<t+1\}$ **using** *assms(1)* 1 **unfolding** *cube-def* **by** *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
case 2
then have $\text{join } f \ g \ n \ m \ i = g \ (i - n)$ **unfolding** *join-def* **by** *simp*
moreover have $i - n \in \{..<m\}$ **using** 2 **by** *auto*
moreover have $g \ (i - n) \in \{..<t+1\}$ **using** *calculation(2)* *assms(2)* **unfolding** *cube-def* **by** *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
next
fix i
assume $i \notin \{..<n+m\}$
then show $\text{join } f \ g \ n \ m \ i = \text{undefined}$ **unfolding** *join-def* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *subspace-elems-embed*:

assumes *is-subspace* $S \ k \ n \ t$
shows $S \ '(\text{cube } k \ t) \subseteq \text{cube } n \ t$
using *assms* **unfolding** *cube-def is-subspace-def* **by** *blast*

2 Core proofs

The numbering of the theorems has been borrowed from the textbook [1].

2.1 Theorem 4

2.1.1 Base case of Theorem 4

lemma *hj-imp-lhj-base*:

fixes $r\ t$
assumes $t > 0$
and $\bigwedge_{r'}. hj\ r'\ t$
shows $lhj\ r\ t\ 1$

proof–

from *assms*(2) **obtain** N **where** $N\text{-def}$: $N > 0 \wedge (\forall N' \geq N. \forall \chi. \chi \in (\text{cube } N' t) \rightarrow_E \{..<r::nat\} \longrightarrow (\exists L. \exists c < r. \text{is-line } L\ N' t \wedge (\forall y \in L\ ' \{..<t\}. \chi\ y = c)))$
unfolding *hj-def* **by** *blast*

have $(\exists S. \text{is-subspace } S\ 1\ N' (t + 1) \wedge (\forall i \in \{..1\}. \exists c < r. (\forall x \in \text{classes } 1\ t\ i. \chi\ (S\ x) = c)))$ **if** *asm*: $N' \geq N\ \chi \in (\text{cube } N' (t + 1)) \rightarrow_E \{..<r::nat\}$ **for** $N'\ \chi$
proof–

have $N'\text{-props}$: $N' > 0 \wedge (\forall \chi. \chi \in (\text{cube } N' t) \rightarrow_E \{..<r::nat\} \longrightarrow (\exists L. \exists c < r. \text{is-line } L\ N' t \wedge (\forall y \in L\ ' \{..<t\}. \chi\ y = c)))$ **using** *asm* $N\text{-def}$ **by** *simp*

let $?chi\text{-}t = \lambda x \in \text{cube } N' t. \chi\ x$

have $?chi\text{-}t \in \text{cube } N' t \rightarrow_E \{..<r::nat\}$ **using** *cube-subset asm* **by** *auto*

then obtain L **where** $L\text{-def}$: $\text{is-line } L\ N' t \wedge (\exists c < r. (\forall y \in L\ ' \{..<t\}. ?chi\text{-}t\ y = c))$ **using** $N'\text{-props}$ **by** *blast*

have *is-subspace* $(\text{restrict } (\lambda y. L\ (y\ 0))\ (\text{cube } 1\ t))\ 1\ N' t$ **using** *line-is-dim1-subspace* $N'\text{-props } L\text{-def}$

using *assms*(1) **by** *auto*

then obtain $B\ f$ **where** $Bf\text{-defs}$: $\text{disjoint-family-on } B\ \{..1\} \wedge \bigcup (B\ ' \{..1\}) = \{..<N'\} \wedge (\{\} \notin B\ ' \{..<1\}) \wedge f \in (B\ 1) \rightarrow_E \{..<t\} \wedge (\text{restrict } (\lambda y. L\ (y\ 0))\ (\text{cube } 1\ t)) \in (\text{cube } 1\ t) \rightarrow_E (\text{cube } N' t) \wedge (\forall y \in \text{cube } 1\ t. (\forall i \in B\ 1. (\text{restrict } (\lambda y. L\ (y\ 0))\ (\text{cube } 1\ t))\ y\ i = f\ i) \wedge (\forall j < 1. \forall i \in B\ j. ((\text{restrict } (\lambda y. L\ (y\ 0))\ (\text{cube } 1\ t))\ y)\ i = y\ j))$ **unfolding** *is-subspace-def* **by** *auto*

have $\{..1::nat\} = \{0, 1\}$ **by** *auto*

then have $B\text{-props}$: $B\ 0 \cup B\ 1 = \{..<N'\} \wedge (B\ 0 \cap B\ 1 = \{\})$ **using** $Bf\text{-defs}$
unfolding *disjoint-family-on-def* **by** *auto*

define L' **where** $L' \equiv L(t := (\lambda j. \text{if } j \in B\ 1 \text{ then } L\ (t - 1)\ j \text{ else } (\text{if } j \in B\ 0 \text{ then } t \text{ else undefined})))$

$S1$ is the corresponding 1-dimensional subspace of L' .

define $S1$ **where** $S1 \equiv \text{restrict } (\lambda y. L'\ (y\ (0::nat)))\ (\text{cube } 1\ (t + 1))$

have *line-prop*: $\text{is-line } L'\ N' (t + 1)$

proof–

have $A1$: $L' \in \{..<t+1\} \rightarrow_E \text{cube } N' (t + 1)$

proof

fix x

assume *asm*: $x \in \{..<t + 1\}$

then show $L'\ x \in \text{cube } N' (t + 1)$

proof (*cases* $x < t$)

case *True*

```

    then have  $L' x = L x$  by (simp add:  $L'$ -def)
    then have  $L' x \in \text{cube } N' t$  using  $L$ -def True unfolding is-line-def by
auto
    then show  $L' x \in \text{cube } N' (t + 1)$  using cube-subset by blast
next
case False
then have  $x = t$  using asm by simp
show  $L' x \in \text{cube } N' (t + 1)$ 
proof(unfold cube-def, intro PiE-I)
  fix  $j$ 
  assume  $j \in \{..<N'\}$ 
  have  $j \in B\ 1 \vee j \in B\ 0 \vee j \notin (B\ 0 \cup B\ 1)$  by blast
  then show  $L' x j \in \{..<t + 1\}$ 
  proof (elim disjE)
    assume  $j \in B\ 1$ 
    then have  $L' x j = L (t - 1) j$ 
      by (simp add:  $\langle x = t \rangle$   $L'$ -def)
    have  $L (t - 1) \in \text{cube } N' t$  using line-points-in-cube  $L$ -def
      by (meson assms(1) diff-less less-numeral-extra(1))
    then have  $L (t - 1) j < t$  using  $\langle j \in \{..<N'\} \rangle$  unfolding cube-def
by auto
    then show  $L' x j \in \{..<t + 1\}$  using  $\langle L' x j = L (t - 1) j \rangle$  by simp
  next
    assume  $j \in B\ 0$ 
    then have  $j \notin B\ 1$  using Bf-defs unfolding disjoint-family-on-def by
auto
    then have  $L' x j = t$  by (simp add:  $\langle j \in B\ 0 \rangle$   $\langle x = t \rangle$   $L'$ -def)
    then show  $L' x j \in \{..<t + 1\}$  by simp
  next
    assume  $a: j \notin (B\ 0 \cup B\ 1)$ 
    have  $\{..1::\text{nat}\} = \{0, 1\}$  by auto
    then have  $B\ 0 \cup B\ 1 = (\bigcup (B\ ' \{..1::\text{nat}\}))$  by simp
    then have  $B\ 0 \cup B\ 1 = \{..<N'\}$  using Bf-defs unfolding partition-on-def
by simp
    then have  $\neg(j \in \{..<N'\})$  using  $a$  by simp
    then have False using  $\langle j \in \{..<N'\} \rangle$  by simp
    then show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
fix  $j$ 
assume  $j \notin \{..<N'\}$ 
then have  $j \notin (B\ 0) \wedge j \notin B\ 1$  using Bf-defs unfolding partition-on-def
by auto
    then show  $L' x j = \text{undefined}$  using  $\langle x = t \rangle$  by (simp add:  $L'$ -def)
  qed
qed
next
fix  $x$ 
assume asm:  $x \notin \{..<t+1\}$ 

```

```

then have  $x \notin \{..<t\} \wedge x \neq t$  by simp
then show  $L' x = \text{undefined}$  using L-def unfolding L'-def is-line-def by
auto
qed
have A2:  $(\exists j < N'. (\forall s < (t + 1). L' s j = s))$ 
proof (cases  $t = 1$ )
case True
obtain  $j$  where  $j\text{-prop}$ :  $j \in B\ 0 \wedge j < N'$  using Bf-defs by blast
then have  $L' s j = L s j$  if  $s < t$  for  $s$  using that by (auto simp: L'-def)
moreover have  $L s j = 0$  if  $s < t$  for  $s$  using that True L-def j-prop
line-points-in-cube-unfolded[of L N' t] by simp
moreover have  $L' s j = s$  if  $s < t$  for  $s$  using True calculation that by
simp
moreover have  $L' t j = t$  using  $j\text{-prop}$  B-props by (auto simp: L'-def)
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding L'-def using  $j\text{-prop}$  by auto
next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof-
have  $(\exists j < N'. (\forall s < t. L' s j = s))$  using L-def unfolding is-line-def by
(auto simp: L'-def)
then obtain  $j$  where  $j\text{-def}$ :  $j < N' \wedge (\forall s < t. L' s j = s)$  by blast
have  $j \notin B\ 1$ 
proof
assume  $a:j \in B\ 1$ 
then have  $(\text{restrict } (\lambda y. L (y\ 0)) (cube\ 1\ t))\ y\ j = f\ j$  if  $y \in cube\ 1\ t$ 
for  $y$  using Bf-defs that by simp
then have  $L (y\ 0)\ j = f\ j$  if  $y \in cube\ 1\ t$  for  $y$  using that by simp
moreover have  $\exists! i. i < t \wedge y\ 0 = i$  if  $y \in cube\ 1\ t$  for  $y$  using that
one-dim-cube-eq-nat-set[of t] unfolding bij-betw-def by blast
moreover have  $\exists! y. y \in cube\ 1\ t \wedge y\ 0 = i$  if  $i < t$  for  $i$ 
proof (intro ex1I-alt)
define  $y$  where  $y \equiv (\lambda x::nat. \lambda y \in \{..<1::nat\}. x)$ 
have  $y\ i \in (cube\ 1\ t)$  using that unfolding cube-def y-def by simp
moreover have  $y\ i\ 0 = i$  unfolding y-def by simp
moreover have  $z = y\ i$  if  $z \in cube\ 1\ t$  and  $z\ 0 = i$  for  $z$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
assume  $z \neq y\ i$ 
then obtain  $l$  where  $l\text{-prop}$ :  $z\ l \neq y\ i\ l$  by blast
consider  $l \in \{..<1::nat\} \mid l \notin \{..<1::nat\}$  by blast
then show False
proof cases
case 1
then show ?thesis using  $l\text{-prop that}(2)$  unfolding y-def by auto
next
case 2
then have  $z\ l = \text{undefined}$  using that unfolding cube-def by blast
moreover have  $y\ i\ l = \text{undefined}$  unfolding y-def using 2 by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using  $l\text{-prop}$  by presburger

```

qed
 qed
 ultimately show $\exists y. (y \in \text{cube } 1 \ t \wedge y \ 0 = i) \wedge (\forall ya. ya \in \text{cube } 1 \ t \wedge ya \ 0 = i \longrightarrow y = ya)$ by blast
 qed

 moreover have $L \ i \ j = f \ j$ if $i < t$ for i using that calculation by blast
 moreover have $(\exists j < N'. (\forall s < t. L \ s \ j = s))$ using $\langle (\exists j < N'. (\forall s < t. L' \ s \ j = s)) \rangle$ by (auto simp: L'-def)
 ultimately show False using False
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) L'-def assms(1) fun-upd-apply j-def less-one nat-neg-iff)
 qed
 then have $j \in B \ 0$ using $\langle j \notin B \ 1 \rangle$ j-def B-props by auto

 then have $L' \ t \ j = t$ using $\langle j \notin B \ 1 \rangle$ by (auto simp: L'-def)
 then have $L' \ s \ j = s$ if $s < t + 1$ for s using j-def that by (auto simp: L'-def)
 then show ?thesis using j-def by blast
 qed
 qed
 have A3: $(\forall x < t+1. \forall y < t+1. L' \ x \ j = L' \ y \ j) \vee (\forall s < t+1. L' \ s \ j = s)$ if $j < N'$ for j
 proof-
 consider $j \in B \ 1 \mid j \in B \ 0$ using $\langle j < N' \rangle$ B-props by auto
 then show $(\forall x < t+1. \forall y < t+1. L' \ x \ j = L' \ y \ j) \vee (\forall s < t+1. L' \ s \ j = s)$
 proof (cases)
 case 1
 then have $(\text{restrict } (\lambda y. L \ (y \ 0)) \ (\text{cube } 1 \ t)) \ y \ j = f \ j$ if $y \in \text{cube } 1 \ t$ for y using that Bf-defs by simp
 moreover have $\exists! i. i < t \wedge y \ 0 = i$ if $y \in \text{cube } 1 \ t$ for y using that one-dim-cube-eq-nat-set[of t] unfolding bij-betw-def by blast
 moreover have $\exists! y. y \in \text{cube } 1 \ t \wedge y \ 0 = i$ if $i < t$ for i
 proof (intro ex1I-alt)
 define y where $y \equiv (\lambda x::\text{nat}. \lambda y \in \{..<1::\text{nat}\}. x)$
 have $y \ i \in (\text{cube } 1 \ t)$ using that unfolding cube-def y-def by simp
 moreover have $y \ i \ 0 = i$ unfolding y-def by auto
 moreover have $z = y \ i$ if $z \in \text{cube } 1 \ t$ and $z \ 0 = i$ for z
 proof (rule ccontr)
 assume $z \neq y \ i$
 then obtain l where l-prop: $z \ l \neq y \ i \ l$ by blast
 consider $l \in \{..<1::\text{nat}\} \mid l \notin \{..<1::\text{nat}\}$ by blast
 then show False
 proof cases
 case 1
 then show ?thesis using l-prop that(2) unfolding y-def by auto
 next
 case 2
 then have $z \ l = \text{undefined}$ using that unfolding cube-def by blast

```

    moreover have  $y \ i \ l = \text{undefined}$  unfolding  $y\text{-def}$  using 2 by auto
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $l\text{-prop}$  by presburger
  qed
  qed
  ultimately show  $\exists y. (y \in \text{cube } 1 \ t \wedge y \ 0 = i) \wedge (\forall ya. ya \in \text{cube } 1 \ t \wedge$ 
 $ya \ 0 = i \longrightarrow y = ya)$  by blast

  qed
  moreover have  $L \ i \ j = f \ j$  if  $i < t$  for  $i$  using calculation that by force
  moreover have  $L \ i \ j = L \ x \ j$  if  $x < t \ i < t$  for  $x \ i$  using that calculation
by simp
  moreover have  $L' \ x \ j = L \ x \ j$  if  $x < t$  for  $x$  using that fun-upd-other[of x
 $t \ L \ \lambda j. \text{if } j \in B \ 1 \text{ then } L \ (t - 1) \ j \text{ else if } j \in B \ 0 \text{ then } t \text{ else undefined}]$  unfolding
 $L'\text{-def}$  by simp
  ultimately have  $*$ :  $L' \ x \ j = L' \ y \ j$  if  $x < t \ y < t$  for  $x \ y$  using that by
presburger

  have  $L' \ t \ j = L' \ (t - 1) \ j$  using  $\langle j \in B \ 1 \rangle$  by (auto simp: L'-def)
  also have  $\dots = L' \ x \ j$  if  $x < t$  for  $x$  using  $*$  by (simp add: assms(1) that)
  finally have  $**$ :  $L' \ t \ j = L' \ x \ j$  if  $x < t$  for  $x$  using that by auto
  have  $L' \ x \ j = L' \ y \ j$  if  $x < t + 1 \ y < t + 1$  for  $x \ y$ 
  proof-
    consider  $x < t \wedge y = t \mid y < t \wedge x = t \mid x = t \wedge y = t \mid x < t \wedge y < t$ 
using  $\langle x < t + 1 \rangle \langle y < t + 1 \rangle$  by linarith
    then show  $L' \ x \ j = L' \ y \ j$ 
    proof cases
      case 1
        then show  $?thesis$  using  $**$  by auto
      next
        case 2
        then show  $?thesis$  using  $**$  by auto
      next
        case 3
        then show  $?thesis$  by simp
      next
        case 4
        then show  $?thesis$  using  $*$  by auto
    qed
  qed
  then show  $?thesis$  by blast
next
case 2
  then have  $\forall y \in \text{cube } 1 \ t. ((\text{restrict } (\lambda y. L \ (y \ 0)) \ (\text{cube } 1 \ t)) \ y) \ j = y \ 0$ 
using  $\langle j \in B \ 0 \rangle Bf\text{-defs}$  by auto
  then have  $\forall y \in \text{cube } 1 \ t. L \ (y \ 0) \ j = y \ 0$  by auto
  moreover have  $\exists! y. y \in \text{cube } 1 \ t \wedge y \ 0 = i$  if  $i < t$  for  $i$ 
  proof (intro ex1I-alt)
    define  $y$  where  $y \equiv (\lambda x::\text{nat}. \lambda y \in \{..<1::\text{nat}\}. x)$ 
    have  $y \ i \in (\text{cube } 1 \ t)$  using that unfolding  $\text{cube-def } y\text{-def}$  by simp

```

```

moreover have  $y \ i \ 0 = i$  unfolding  $y\text{-def}$  by  $auto$ 
moreover have  $z = y \ i$  if  $z \in \text{cube } 1 \ t$  and  $z \ 0 = i$  for  $z$ 
proof ( $rule \ ccontr$ )
  assume  $z \neq y \ i$ 
  then obtain  $l$  where  $l\text{-prop}: z \ l \neq y \ i \ l$  by  $blast$ 
  consider  $l \in \{..<1::nat\} \mid l \notin \{..<1::nat\}$  by  $blast$ 
  then show  $False$ 
proof  $cases$ 
  case  $1$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $l\text{-prop}$  that( $2$ ) unfolding  $y\text{-def}$  by  $auto$ 
  next
  case  $2$ 
    then have  $z \ l = \text{undefined}$  using  $that$  unfolding  $\text{cube-def}$  by  $blast$ 
    moreover have  $y \ i \ l = \text{undefined}$  unfolding  $y\text{-def}$  using  $2$  by  $auto$ 
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $l\text{-prop}$  by  $\text{presburger}$ 
  qed
qed
ultimately show  $\exists y. (y \in \text{cube } 1 \ t \wedge y \ 0 = i) \wedge (\forall ya. ya \in \text{cube } 1 \ t \wedge$ 
 $ya \ 0 = i \longrightarrow y = ya)$  by  $blast$ 

qed
ultimately have  $L \ s \ j = s$  if  $s < t$  for  $s$  using  $that$  by  $blast$ 
then have  $L' \ s \ j = s$  if  $s < t$  for  $s$  using  $that$  by ( $auto \ simp: L'\text{-def}$ )
moreover have  $L' \ t \ j = t$  using  $2 \ B\text{-props}$  by ( $auto \ simp: L'\text{-def}$ )
ultimately have  $L' \ s \ j = s$  if  $s < t+1$  for  $s$  using  $that$  by ( $auto \ simp:$ 
 $L'\text{-def}$ )
then show  $?thesis$  by  $blast$ 
qed
qed
from  $A1 \ A2 \ A3$  show  $?thesis$  unfolding  $\text{is-line-def}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed
then have  $F1: \text{is-subspace } S1 \ 1 \ N' \ (t+1)$  unfolding  $S1\text{-def}$  using  $\text{line-is-dim1-subspace[of}$ 
 $N' \ t+1]$   $N'\text{-props}$   $\text{assms}(1)$  by  $\text{force}$ 
moreover have  $F2: \exists c < r. (\forall x \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ i. \chi \ (S1 \ x) = c)$  if  $i \leq 1$  for  $i$ 
proof–
  have  $\exists c < r. (\forall y \in L' \ ' \ \{..<t\}. ?chi\text{-}t \ y = c)$  unfolding  $L'\text{-def}$  using  $L\text{-def}$ 
by  $\text{fastforce}$ 
  have  $\forall x \in (L \ ' \ \{..<t\}). x \in \text{cube } N' \ t$  using  $L\text{-def}$ 
  using  $\text{line-points-in-cube}$  by  $blast$ 
  then have  $\forall x \in (L' \ ' \ \{..<t\}). x \in \text{cube } N' \ t$  by ( $auto \ simp: L'\text{-def}$ )
  then have  $*:\forall x \in (L' \ ' \ \{..<t\}). \chi \ x = ?chi\text{-}t \ x$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  then have  $?chi\text{-}t \ ' \ (L' \ ' \ \{..<t\}) = \chi \ ' \ (L' \ ' \ \{..<t\})$  by  $\text{force}$ 
  then have  $\exists c < r. (\forall y \in L' \ ' \ \{..<t\}. \chi \ y = c)$  using  $\langle \exists c < r. (\forall y \in L' \ ' \ \{..<t\}. ?chi\text{-}t \ y = c) \rangle$  by  $\text{fastforce}$ 
  then obtain  $\text{linecol}$  where  $lc\text{-def}: \text{linecol} < r \wedge (\forall y \in L' \ ' \ \{..<t\}. \chi \ y =$ 
 $\text{linecol})$  by  $blast$ 
  consider  $i = 0 \mid i = 1$  using  $\langle i \leq 1 \rangle$  by  $\text{linarith}$ 
  then show  $\exists c < r. (\forall x \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ i. \chi \ (S1 \ x) = c)$ 
proof ( $cases$ )

```



```

case 1
assume  $i = 0$ 
have *:  $\forall a \ t. a \in \{..<t+1\} \wedge a \neq t \longleftrightarrow a \in \{..<(t::nat)\}$  by auto
from  $\langle i = 0 \rangle$  have classes 1 t 0 =  $\{x . x \in (\text{cube } 1 \ (t + 1)) \wedge (\forall u \in \{((1::nat) - 0)..<1\}. xu = t) \wedge t \notin x \ ' \{..<(1 - (0::nat))\}\}$  using classes-def by simp
also have ... =  $\{x . x \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1) \wedge t \notin x \ ' \{..<(1::nat)\}\}$  by simp
also have ... =  $\{x . x \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1) \wedge (x \ 0 \neq t)\}$  by blast
also have ... =  $\{x . x \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1) \wedge (x \ 0 \in \{..<t+1\} \wedge x \ 0 \neq t)\}$ 
unfolding cube-def by blast
also have ... =  $\{x . x \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1) \wedge (x \ 0 \in \{..<t\})\}$  using * by simp
finally have redef: classes 1 t 0 =  $\{x . x \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1) \wedge (x \ 0 \in \{..<t\})\}$ 
by simp
have  $\{x \ 0 \mid x . x \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ 0\} \subseteq \{..<t\}$  using redef by auto
moreover have  $\{..<t\} \subseteq \{x \ 0 \mid x . x \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ 0\}$ 
proof
fix  $x$  assume  $x: x \in \{..<t\}$ 
hence  $\exists a \in \text{cube } 1 \ t. a \ 0 = x$ 
unfolding cube-def by (intro fun-ex) auto
then show  $x \in \{x \ 0 \mid x . x \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ 0\}$ 
using x cube-subset unfolding redef by auto
qed
ultimately have *:  $\{x \ 0 \mid x . x \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ 0\} = \{..<t\}$  by blast

have  $\chi \ (S1 \ x) = \text{linecol}$  if  $x \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ 0$  for  $x$ 
proof-
have  $x \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1)$  unfolding classes-def using that redef by blast
then have  $S1 \ x = L' \ (x \ 0)$  unfolding S1-def by simp
moreover have  $x \ 0 \in \{..<t\}$  using * using  $\langle x \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ 0 \rangle$  by blast
ultimately show  $\chi \ (S1 \ x) = \text{linecol}$  using lc-def using fun-upd-triv
image-eqI by blast
qed
then show ?thesis using lc-def  $\langle i = 0 \rangle$  by auto
next
case 2
assume  $i = 1$ 
have classes 1 t 1 =  $\{x . x \in (\text{cube } 1 \ (t + 1)) \wedge (\forall u \in \{0::nat..<1\}. xu = t) \wedge t \notin x \ ' \{..<0\}\}$  unfolding classes-def by simp
also have ... =  $\{x . x \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1) \wedge (\forall u \in \{0\}. xu = t)\}$  by simp
finally have redef: classes 1 t 1 =  $\{x . x \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1) \wedge (x \ 0 = t)\}$  by auto
have  $\forall s \in \{..<t+1\}. \exists !x \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1). (\lambda p. \lambda y \in \{..<1::nat\}. p) \ s = x$ 
using nat-set-eq-one-dim-cube[of t+1]
unfolding bij-betw-def by blast
then have  $\exists !x \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1). (\lambda p. \lambda y \in \{..<1::nat\}. p) \ t = x$  by auto
then obtain  $x$  where x-prop: x  $\in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1)$  and  $(\lambda p. \lambda y \in \{..<1::nat\}. p) \ t = x$  and  $\forall z \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1). (\lambda p. \lambda y \in \{..<1::nat\}. p) \ t = z \longrightarrow z = x$  by blast
then have  $(\lambda p. \lambda y \in \{0\}. p) \ t = x \wedge (\forall z \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1). (\lambda p. \lambda y \in \{0\}. p) \ t = z \longrightarrow z = x)$  by force

```

then have $\ast:((\lambda p. \lambda y \in \{0\}. p) \ t) \ 0 = x \ 0 \wedge (\forall z \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1). (\lambda p. \lambda y \in \{0\}. p) \ t = z \longrightarrow z = x)$
using $x\text{-prop}$ **by** force

then have $\exists! y \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t + 1). y \ 0 = t$
proof (intro ex1I-alt)
define y **where** $y \equiv (\lambda x::\text{nat}. \lambda y \in \{..<1::\text{nat}\}. x)$
have $y \ t \in (\text{cube } 1 \ (t + 1))$ **unfolding** $\text{cube-def } y\text{-def}$ **by** simp
moreover have $y \ t \ 0 = t$ **unfolding** $y\text{-def}$ **by** auto
moreover have $z = y \ t$ **if** $z \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t + 1)$ **and** $z \ 0 = t$ **for** z
proof (rule ccontr)
assume $z \neq y \ t$
then obtain l **where** $l\text{-prop}: z \ l \neq y \ t \ l$ **by** blast
consider $l \in \{..<1::\text{nat}\} \mid l \notin \{..<1::\text{nat}\}$ **by** blast
then show False
proof cases
case 1
then show $?thesis$ **using** $l\text{-prop that}(2)$ **unfolding** $y\text{-def}$ **by** auto
next
case 2
then have $z \ l = \text{undefined}$ **using** $\text{that unfolding cube-def}$ **by** blast
moreover have $y \ t \ l = \text{undefined}$ **unfolding** $y\text{-def}$ **using** 2 **by** auto
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $l\text{-prop}$ **by** presburger
qed
qed
ultimately show $\exists y. (y \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t + 1) \wedge y \ 0 = t) \wedge (\forall ya. ya \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t + 1) \wedge ya \ 0 = t \longrightarrow y = ya)$ **by** blast
qed
then have $\exists! x \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ 1. \text{True}$ **using** redef **by** simp
then obtain x **where** $x\text{-def}: x \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ 1 \wedge (\forall y \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ 1. x = y)$ **by** auto

have $\chi \ (S1 \ y) < r$ **if** $y \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ 1$ **for** y
proof–
have $y = x$ **using** $x\text{-def that}$ **by** auto
then have $\chi \ (S1 \ y) = \chi \ (S1 \ x)$ **by** auto
moreover have $S1 \ x \in \text{cube } N' \ (t+1)$ **unfolding** $S1\text{-def is-line-def}$ **using** $\text{line-prop line-points-in-cube redef } x\text{-def}$ **by** fastforce
ultimately show $\chi \ (S1 \ y) < r$ **using** $\text{asm unfolding cube-def}$ **by** auto
qed
then show $?thesis$ **using** $lc\text{-def } \langle i = 1 \rangle$ **using** $x\text{-def}$ **by** fast
qed

qed
ultimately show $(\exists S. \text{is-subspace } S \ 1 \ N' \ (t + 1) \wedge (\forall i \in \{..1\}. \exists c < r. (\forall x \in \text{classes } 1 \ t \ i. \chi \ (S \ x) = c)))$ **by** blast
qed
then show $?thesis$ **using** $N\text{-def unfolding layered-subspace-def lhj-def}$ **by** auto

qed

2.1.2 Induction step of theorem 4

The proof has four parts:

1. We obtain two layered subspaces of dimension 1 and k (respectively), whose existence is guaranteed by the assumption lhj (i.e. the induction hypothesis). Additionally, we prove some useful facts about these.
2. We construct a $k+1$ -dimensional subspace with the goal of showing that it is layered.
3. We prove that our construction is a subspace in the first place.
4. We prove that it is a layered subspace.

lemma *hj-imp-lhj-step*:

fixes $r\ k$
assumes $t > 0$
and $k \geq 1$
and $True$
and $(\bigwedge r\ k'.\ k' \leq k \implies lhj\ r\ t\ k')$
and $r > 0$
shows $lhj\ r\ t\ (k+1)$

proof–

obtain m **where** m -props: $(m > 0 \wedge (\forall M' \geq m. \forall \chi. \chi \in (cube\ M'\ (t+1)) \rightarrow_E \{..<r::nat\} \longrightarrow (\exists S. layered-subspace\ S\ k\ M'\ t\ r\ \chi)))$ **using** *assms(4)* [*of* $k\ r$]
unfolding *lhj-def* **by** *blast*

define s **where** $s \equiv r \wedge ((t+1) \wedge m)$

obtain n' **where** n' -props: $(n' > 0 \wedge (\forall N \geq n'. \forall \chi. \chi \in (cube\ N\ (t+1)) \rightarrow_E \{..<s::nat\} \longrightarrow (\exists S. layered-subspace\ S\ 1\ N\ t\ s\ \chi)))$ **using** *assms(2)* *assms(4)* [*of* $1\ s$]
unfolding *lhj-def* **by** *auto*

have $(\exists T. layered-subspace\ T\ (k+1)\ (M')\ t\ r\ \chi)$ **if** χ -prop: $\chi \in cube\ M'\ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}$ **and** M' -prop: $M' \geq n' + m$ **for** $\chi\ M'$

proof –

define d **where** $d \equiv M' - (n' + m)$

define n **where** $n \equiv n' + d$

have $n \geq n'$ **unfolding** *n-def* *d-def* **by** *simp*

have $n + m = M'$ **unfolding** *n-def* *d-def* **using** M' -prop **by** *simp*

have *line-subspace-s*: $\exists S. layered-subspace\ S\ 1\ n\ t\ s\ \chi \wedge is-line\ (\lambda s \in \{..<t+1\}. S\ (SOME\ p. p \in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s))\ n\ (t+1)$ **if** $\chi \in (cube\ n\ (t+1)) \rightarrow_E \{..<s::nat\}$ **for** χ

proof–

have $\exists S. layered-subspace\ S\ 1\ n\ t\ s\ \chi$ **using** *that* n' -props $\langle n \geq n' \rangle$ **by** *blast*

then obtain L **where** *layered-subspace* $L\ 1\ n\ t\ s\ \chi$ **by** *blast*

then have *is-subspace* $L\ 1\ n\ (t+1)$ **unfolding** *layered-subspace-def* **by** *simp*

then have *is-line* $(\lambda s \in \{..<t+1\}. L\ (SOME\ p. p \in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s))\ n\ (t+1)$ **using** *dim1-subspace-is-line* [*of* $t+1\ L\ n$] *assms(1)* **by** *simp*

then show $\exists S. \text{layered-subspace } S \ 1 \ n \ t \ s \ \chi \wedge \text{is-line } (\lambda s \in \{..<t+1\}). S$
 $(\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1) \wedge p \ 0 = s)) \ n \ (t+1) \text{ using } \langle \text{layered-subspace } L \ 1 \ n$
 $t \ s \ \chi \rangle \text{ by auto}$
qed

Part 1: Obtaining the subspaces L and S

Recall that *lhj* claims the existence of a layered subspace for any colouring (of a fixed size, where the size of a colouring refers to the number of colours). Therefore, the colourings have to be defined first, before the layered subspaces can be obtained. The colouring χL here is χ^* in the book [1], an s -colouring; see the fact *s-coloured* a couple of lines below.

define χL **where** $\chi L \equiv (\lambda x \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1). (\lambda y \in \text{cube } m \ (t+1). \chi \ (join \ x \ y \ n \ m)))$
have $A: \forall x \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1). \forall y \in \text{cube } m \ (t+1). \chi \ (join \ x \ y \ n \ m) \in \{..<r\}$
proof(*safe*)
fix $x \ y$
assume $x \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1) \ y \in \text{cube } m \ (t+1)$
then have $join \ x \ y \ n \ m \in \text{cube } (n+m) \ (t+1) \text{ using } join\text{-cubes}[of \ x \ n \ y \ m]$
by *simp*
then show $\chi \ (join \ x \ y \ n \ m) < r \text{ using } \chi\text{-prop } \langle n + m = M \rangle \text{ by blast}$
qed
have $\chi L\text{-prop}: \chi L \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \text{cube } m \ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \{..<r\} \text{ using } A \text{ by}$
 $(auto \ simp: \chi L\text{-def})$

have $card \ (\text{cube } m \ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}) = (card \ \{..<r\}) \wedge (card \ (\text{cube } m \ (t+1)))$
using *card-PiE*[*of cube m (t+1) λ-. {..<r}*] **by** (*simp add: cube-def finite-PiE*)
also have $... = r \wedge (card \ (\text{cube } m \ (t+1))) \text{ by simp}$
also have $... = r \wedge ((t+1) \wedge m) \text{ using cube-card unfolding cube-def by simp}$
finally have $card \ (\text{cube } m \ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}) = r \wedge ((t+1) \wedge m) .$
then have *s-coloured*: $card \ (\text{cube } m \ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}) = s \text{ unfolding s-def}$
by *simp*
have $s > 0 \text{ using } asms(5) \text{ unfolding s-def by simp}$
then obtain $\varphi \text{ where } \varphi\text{-prop}: \text{bij-betw } \varphi \ (\text{cube } m \ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}) \ \{..<s\}$
using *asms(5) ex-bij-betw-nat-finite-2*[*of cube m (t+1) →_E {..<r} s*] *s-coloured*
by *blast*

define $\chi L\text{-s}$ **where** $\chi L\text{-s} \equiv (\lambda x \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1). \varphi \ (\chi L \ x))$
have $\chi L\text{-s} \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \{..<s\}$
proof
fix x **assume** $a: x \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1)$
then have $\chi L\text{-s } x = \varphi \ (\chi L \ x) \text{ unfolding } \chi L\text{-s-def by simp}$
moreover have $\chi L \ x \in (\text{cube } m \ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}) \text{ using } a \ \chi L\text{-def } \chi L\text{-prop}$
unfolding $\chi L\text{-def}$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $\varphi \ (\chi L \ x) \in \{..<s\} \text{ using } \varphi\text{-prop calculation(2) unfolding}$
 $\text{bij-betw-def by blast}$
ultimately show $\chi L\text{-s } x \in \{..<s\} \text{ by auto}$
qed (*auto simp: \chi L-s-def*)

L is the layered line which we obtain from the monochromatic line guaran-

teed to exist by the assumption $hj\ s\ t$.

then obtain L where L -prop: layered-subspace $L\ 1\ n\ t\ s\ \chi L$ -s using $line$ -subspace- s by $blast$
define L -line where L -line $\equiv (\lambda s \in \{..<t+1\}. L\ (SOME\ p. p \in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = s))$
have L -line-base-prop: $\forall s \in \{..<t+1\}. L$ -line $s \in cube\ n\ (t+1)$ using $assms(1)$ $dim1$ -subspace-is-line[$of\ t+1\ L\ n$] L -prop $line$ -points-in-cube[$of\ L$ -line $n\ t+1$] **unfolding $layered$ -subspace-def L -line-def by $auto$**

Here, χS is χ^{**} in the book [1], an r -colouring.

define χS where $\chi S \equiv (\lambda y \in cube\ m\ (t+1). \chi\ (join\ (L$ -line $0)\ y\ n\ m))$
have $\chi S \in (cube\ m\ (t+1)) \rightarrow_E \{..<r::nat\}$
proof
fix x assume $a: x \in cube\ m\ (t+1)$
then have $\chi S\ x = \chi\ (join\ (L$ -line $0)\ x\ n\ m)$ **unfolding χS -def by $simp$**
moreover have L -line $0 = L\ (SOME\ p. p \in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = 0)$ using L -prop $assms(1)$ **unfolding L -line-def by $simp$**
moreover have $(SOME\ p. p \in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = 0) \in cube\ 1\ (t+1)$ using $cube$ -props(4)[$of\ 0\ t+1$] using $assms(1)$ by $auto$
moreover have $L \in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \rightarrow_E cube\ n\ (t+1)$ using L -prop **unfolding $layered$ -subspace-def is -subspace-def by $blast$**
moreover have $L\ (SOME\ p. p \in cube\ 1\ (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = 0) \in cube\ n\ (t+1)$ using $calculation\ (3,4)$ **unfolding $cube$ -def by $auto$**
moreover have $join\ (L$ -line $0)\ x\ n\ m \in cube\ (n+m)\ (t+1)$ using $join$ -cubes $a\ calculation(2, 5)$ by $auto$
ultimately show $\chi S\ x \in \{..<r\}$ using $A\ a$ by $fastforce$
qed (auto simp: χS -def)

S is the k -dimensional layered subspace that arises as a consequence of the induction hypothesis. Note that the colouring is χS , an r -colouring.

then obtain S where S -prop: layered-subspace $S\ k\ m\ t\ r\ \chi S$ using $assms(4)$ m -props by $blast$

Remark: L -Line i returns the i -th point of the line.

Part 2: Constructing the $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspace T

Below, $Tset$ is the set as defined in the book [1]. It represents the $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspace. In this construction, subspaces (e.g. T) are functions whose image is a set. See the fact im - T -eq- $Tset$ below.

Having obtained our subspaces S and L , we define the $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspace very straightforwardly. Namely, $T = L \times S$. Since we represent tuples by function sets, we need an appropriate operator that mirrors the Cartesian product \times for these. We call this $join$ and define it for elements of a function set.

define $Tset$ where $Tset \equiv \{join\ (L$ -line $i)\ s\ n\ m \mid i\ s. i \in \{..<t+1\} \wedge s \in S\ (cube\ k\ (t+1))\}$

define T' **where** $T' \equiv (\lambda x \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1). \lambda y \in \text{cube } k \ (t+1). \text{join } (L\text{-line } (x \ 0)) \ (S \ y) \ n \ m)$
have $T'\text{-prop}$: $T' \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \text{cube } k \ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \text{cube } (n + m) \ (t+1)$
proof
fix x **assume** a : $x \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1)$
show $T' \ x \in \text{cube } k \ (t + 1) \rightarrow_E \text{cube } (n + m) \ (t + 1)$
proof
fix y **assume** b : $y \in \text{cube } k \ (t+1)$
then have $T' \ x \ y = \text{join } (L\text{-line } (x \ 0)) \ (S \ y) \ n \ m$ **using** a **unfolding** $T'\text{-def}$
by simp
moreover have $L\text{-line } (x \ 0) \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1)$ **using** a $L\text{-line-base-prop}$
unfolding cube-def **by** blast
moreover have $S \ y \in \text{cube } m \ (t+1)$ **using** $\text{subspace-elems-embed}$ [of $S \ k \ m \ t+1$] $S\text{-prop } b$ **unfolding** $\text{layered-subspace-def}$ **by** blast
ultimately show $T' \ x \ y \in \text{cube } (n + m) \ (t + 1)$ **using** join-cubes **by** presburger
next
qed ($\text{unfold } T'\text{-def}$; $\text{use } a$ **in** simp)
qed ($\text{auto simp: } T'\text{-def}$)

define T **where** $T \equiv (\lambda x \in \text{cube } (k + 1) \ (t+1). T' (\lambda y \in \{..<1\}. x \ y) (\lambda y \in \{..<k\}. x \ (y + 1)))$
have $T\text{-prop}$: $T \in \text{cube } (k+1) \ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \text{cube } (n+m) \ (t+1)$
proof
fix x **assume** a : $x \in \text{cube } (k+1) \ (t+1)$
then have $T \ x = T' (\lambda y \in \{..<1\}. x \ y) (\lambda y \in \{..<k\}. x \ (y + 1))$ **unfolding** $T\text{-def}$ **by** auto
moreover have $(\lambda y \in \{..<1\}. x \ y) \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1)$ **using** a **unfolding** cube-def **by** auto
moreover have $(\lambda y \in \{..<k\}. x \ (y + 1)) \in \text{cube } k \ (t+1)$ **using** a **unfolding** cube-def **by** auto
moreover have $T' (\lambda y \in \{..<1\}. x \ y) (\lambda y \in \{..<k\}. x \ (y + 1)) \in \text{cube } (n + m) \ (t+1)$ **using** $T'\text{-prop}$ calculation **unfolding** $T'\text{-def}$ **by** blast
ultimately show $T \ x \in \text{cube } (n + m) \ (t+1)$ **by** argo
qed ($\text{auto simp: } T\text{-def}$)

have $\text{im-}T\text{-eq-}T\text{set}$: $T \text{ ' cube } (k+1) \ (t+1) = T\text{set}$
proof
show $T \text{ ' cube } (k + 1) \ (t + 1) \subseteq T\text{set}$
proof
fix x **assume** $x \in T \text{ ' cube } (k+1) \ (t+1)$
then obtain y **where** $y\text{-prop}$: $y \in \text{cube } (k+1) \ (t+1) \wedge x = T \ y$ **by** blast
then have $T \ y = T' (\lambda i \in \{..<1\}. y \ i) (\lambda i \in \{..<k\}. y \ (i + 1))$ **unfolding** $T\text{-def}$ **by** simp
moreover have $(\lambda i \in \{..<1\}. y \ i) \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1)$ **using** $y\text{-prop}$ **unfolding** cube-def **by** auto
moreover have $(\lambda i \in \{..<k\}. y \ (i + 1)) \in \text{cube } k \ (t+1)$ **using** $y\text{-prop}$ **unfolding** cube-def **by** auto
moreover have $T' (\lambda i \in \{..<1\}. y \ i) (\lambda i \in \{..<k\}. y \ (i + 1)) = \text{join}$

$(L\text{-line } ((\lambda i \in \{..<1\}. y\ i)\ 0))\ (S\ (\lambda i \in \{..<k\}. y\ (i + 1)))\ n\ m$ **using** *calculation*
unfolding $T'\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have $*$: $T\ y = \text{join } (L\text{-line } ((\lambda i \in \{..<1\}. y\ i)\ 0))\ (S\ (\lambda i \in \{..<k\}. y\ (i + 1)))\ n\ m$ **by** *simp*

have $(\lambda i \in \{..<1\}. y\ i)\ 0 \in \{..<t+1\}$ **using** *y-prop* **unfolding** *cube-def* **by** *auto*
moreover have $S\ (\lambda i \in \{..<k\}. y\ (i + 1)) \in S\ ' (cube\ k\ (t+1))$
using $(\lambda i \in \{..<k\}. y\ (i + 1)) \in cube\ k\ (t + 1)$ **by** *blast*
ultimately have $T\ y \in Tset$ **using** $*$ **unfolding** $Tset\text{-def}$ **by** *blast*
then show $x \in Tset$ **using** *y-prop* **by** *simp*
qed

show $Tset \subseteq T\ ' cube\ (k + 1)\ (t + 1)$
proof
fix x **assume** $x \in Tset$
then obtain $i\ sx\ sxinv$ **where** *isx-prop*: $x = \text{join } (L\text{-line } i)\ sx\ n\ m \wedge i \in \{..<t+1\} \wedge sx \in S\ ' (cube\ k\ (t+1)) \wedge sxinv \in cube\ k\ (t+1) \wedge S\ sxinv = sx$
unfolding $Tset\text{-def}$ **by** *blast*
let $?f1 = (\lambda j \in \{..<1::nat\}. i)$
let $?f2 = sxinv$
have $?f1 \in cube\ 1\ (t+1)$ **using** *isx-prop* **unfolding** *cube-def* **by** *simp*
moreover have $?f2 \in cube\ k\ (t+1)$ **using** *isx-prop* **by** *blast*
moreover have $x = \text{join } (L\text{-line } (?f1\ 0))\ (S\ ?f2)\ n\ m$ **by** (*simp add: isx-prop*)
ultimately have $*$: $x = T'\ ?f1\ ?f2$ **unfolding** $T'\text{-def}$ **by** *simp*

define f **where** $f \equiv (\lambda j \in \{1..<k+1\}. ?f2\ (j - 1))(0:=i)$
have $f \in cube\ (k+1)\ (t+1)$
proof (*unfold cube-def; intro PiE-I*)
fix j **assume** $j \in \{..<k+1\}$
then consider $j = 0 \mid j \in \{1..<k+1\}$ **by** *fastforce*
then show $f\ j \in \{..<t+1\}$
proof (*cases*)
case 1
then have $f\ j = i$ **unfolding** *f-def* **by** *simp*
then show $?thesis$ **using** *isx-prop* **by** *simp*
next
case 2
then have $j - 1 \in \{..<k\}$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $f\ j = ?f2\ (j - 1)$ **using** 2 **unfolding** *f-def* **by** *simp*
moreover have $?f2\ (j - 1) \in \{..<t+1\}$ **using** *calculation*(1) *isx-prop*
unfolding *cube-def* **by** *blast*
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *simp*
qed
qed (*auto simp: f-def*)
have $?f1 = (\lambda j \in \{..<1\}. f\ j)$ **unfolding** *f-def* **using** *isx-prop* **by** *auto*
moreover have $?f2 = (\lambda j \in \{..<k\}. f\ (j+1))$ **using** *calculation* *isx-prop*
unfolding *cube-def* *f-def* **by** *fastforce*

ultimately have $T' \text{ ?}f1 \text{ ?}f2 = T f$ using $\langle f \in \text{cube } (k+1) (t+1) \rangle$ unfolding
 T -def by simp
 then show $x \in T \text{ ' cube } (k+1) (t+1)$ using *
 using $\langle f \in \text{cube } (k+1) (t+1) \rangle$ by blast
 qed

qed
 have $Tset \subseteq \text{cube } (n+m) (t+1)$
 proof
 fix x assume $a: x \in Tset$
 then obtain $i \text{ } sx$ where $isx\text{-props}: x = \text{join } (L\text{-line } i) \text{ } sx \text{ } n \text{ } m \wedge i \in \{..<t+1\}$
 $\wedge sx \in S \text{ ' cube } k (t+1)$ unfolding $Tset\text{-def}$ by blast
 then have $L\text{-line } i \in \text{cube } n (t+1)$ using $L\text{-line-base-prop}$ by blast
 moreover have $sx \in \text{cube } m (t+1)$ using $\text{subspace-elems-embed}[of \text{ } S \text{ } k \text{ } m \text{ } t+1]$ $S\text{-prop}$ $isx\text{-props}$ unfolding $\text{layered-subspace-def}$ by blast
 ultimately show $x \in \text{cube } (n+m) (t+1)$ using $\text{join-cubes}[of \text{ } L\text{-line } i \text{ } n \text{ } t \text{ } sx \text{ } m]$ $isx\text{-props}$ by simp
 qed

Part 3: Proving that T is a subspace

To prove something is a subspace, we have to provide the B and f satisfying the subspace properties. We construct BT and fT from BS , fS and BL , fL , which correspond to the k -dimensional subspace S and the 1-dimensional subspace (i.e. line) L , respectively.

obtain $BS \text{ } fS$ where $BfS\text{-props}: \text{disjoint-family-on } BS \{..k\} \cup (BS \text{ ' } \{..k\}) = \{..<m\} \{ \} \notin BS \text{ ' } \{..<k\} \} fS \in (BS \text{ } k) \rightarrow_E \{..<t+1\} S \in (\text{cube } k (t+1)) \rightarrow_E (\text{cube } m (t+1)) (\forall y \in \text{cube } k (t+1). (\forall i \in BS \text{ } k. S \text{ } y \text{ } i = fS \text{ } i) \wedge (\forall j < k. \forall i \in BS \text{ } j. (S \text{ } y) \text{ } i = y \text{ } j))$ using $S\text{-prop}$ unfolding $\text{layered-subspace-def}$ $is\text{-subspace-def}$ by auto

obtain $BL \text{ } fL$ where $BfL\text{-props}: \text{disjoint-family-on } BL \{..1\} \cup (BL \text{ ' } \{..1\}) = \{..<n\} \{ \} \notin BL \text{ ' } \{..<1\} \} fL \in (BL \text{ } 1) \rightarrow_E \{..<t+1\} L \in (\text{cube } 1 (t+1)) \rightarrow_E (\text{cube } n (t+1)) (\forall y \in \text{cube } 1 (t+1). (\forall i \in BL \text{ } 1. L \text{ } y \text{ } i = fL \text{ } i) \wedge (\forall j < 1. \forall i \in BL \text{ } j. (L \text{ } y) \text{ } i = y \text{ } j))$ using $L\text{-prop}$ unfolding $\text{layered-subspace-def}$ $is\text{-subspace-def}$ by auto

define $Bstat$ where $Bstat \equiv \text{set-incr } n (BS \text{ } k) \cup BL \text{ } 1$
 define $Bvar$ where $Bvar \equiv (\lambda i::nat. (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } BL \text{ } 0 \text{ else } \text{set-incr } n (BS (i - 1))))$
 define BT where $BT \equiv (\lambda i \in \{..<k+1\}. Bvar \text{ } i)((k+1):=Bstat)$
 define fT where $fT \equiv (\lambda x. (\text{if } x \in BL \text{ } 1 \text{ then } fL \text{ } x \text{ else } (\text{if } x \in \text{set-incr } n (BS \text{ } k) \text{ then } fS (x - n) \text{ else undefined})))$

have $\text{fact1}: \text{set-incr } n (BS \text{ } k) \cap BL \text{ } 1 = \{ \}$ using $BfL\text{-props}$ $BfS\text{-props}$ unfolding set-incr-def by auto
 have $\text{fact2}: BL \text{ } 0 \cap (\bigcup i \in \{..<k\}. \text{set-incr } n (BS \text{ } i)) = \{ \}$ using $BfL\text{-props}$ $BfS\text{-props}$ unfolding set-incr-def by auto


```

have fact3:  $\forall i \in \{..<k\}. BL\ 0 \cap set-incr\ n\ (BS\ i) = \{\}$  using BfL-props
BfS-props unfolding set-incr-def by auto
have fact4:  $\forall i \in \{..<k+1\}. \forall j \in \{..<k+1\}. i \neq j \longrightarrow set-incr\ n\ (BS\ i) \cap$ 
 $set-incr\ n\ (BS\ j) = \{\}$  using set-incr-disjoint-family[of BS k] BfS-props unfolding
disjoint-family-on-def by simp
have fact5:  $\forall i \in \{..<k+1\}. Bvar\ i \cap Bstat = \{\}$ 
proof
  fix i assume a:  $i \in \{..<k+1\}$ 
  show  $Bvar\ i \cap Bstat = \{\}$ 
  proof (cases i)
    case 0
    then have  $Bvar\ i = BL\ 0$  unfolding Bvar-def by simp
    moreover have  $BL\ 0 \cap BL\ 1 = \{\}$  using BfL-props unfolding dis-
joint-family-on-def by simp
    moreover have  $set-incr\ n\ (BS\ k) \cap BL\ 0 = \{\}$  using BfL-props BfS-props
unfolding set-incr-def by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis unfolding Bstat-def by blast
  next
  case (Suc nat)
  then have  $Bvar\ i = set-incr\ n\ (BS\ nat)$  unfolding Bvar-def by simp
  moreover have  $set-incr\ n\ (BS\ nat) \cap BL\ 1 = \{\}$  using BfS-props BfL-props
a Suc unfolding set-incr-def by auto
  moreover have  $set-incr\ n\ (BS\ nat) \cap set-incr\ n\ (BS\ k) = \{\}$  using a Suc
fact4 by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding Bstat-def by blast
qed
qed

```

The facts $F1, \dots, F5$ are the disjuncts in the subspace definition.

```

have Bvar '  $\{..<k+1\} = BL\ ' \{..<1\} \cup Bvar\ ' \{1..<k+1\}$  unfolding Bvar-def
by force
also have ... =  $BL\ ' \{..<1\} \cup \{set-incr\ n\ (BS\ i) \mid i . i \in \{..<k\}\}$  unfolding
Bvar-def by fastforce
moreover have  $\{\} \notin BL\ ' \{..<1\}$  using BfL-props by auto
moreover have  $\{\} \notin \{set-incr\ n\ (BS\ i) \mid i . i \in \{..<k\}\}$  using BfS-props(2,
3) set-incr-def by fastforce
ultimately have  $\{\} \notin Bvar\ ' \{..<k+1\}$  by simp
then have F1:  $\{\} \notin BT\ ' \{..<k+1\}$  unfolding BT-def by simp
moreover
{
  have F2-aux: disjoint-family-on Bvar  $\{..<k+1\}$ 
  proof (unfold disjoint-family-on-def; safe)
    fix m n x assume a:  $m < k + 1\ n < k + 1\ m \neq n\ x \in Bvar\ m\ x \in Bvar\ n$ 
    show  $x \in \{\}$ 
    proof (cases n)
      case 0
      then show ?thesis using a fact3 unfolding Bvar-def by auto
    next
    case (Suc nnat)

```

```

then have *:  $n = \text{Suc } \text{nnat}$  by simp
then show ?thesis
proof (cases  $m$ )
  case 0
    then show ?thesis using a fact3 unfolding Bvar-def by auto
  next
    case ( $\text{Suc } \text{mnat}$ )
      then show ?thesis using a fact4 * unfolding Bvar-def by fastforce
    qed
  qed
qed

have F2: disjoint-family-on  $BT \{..k+1\}$ 
proof
  fix  $m\ n$  assume  $a: m \in \{..k+1\} \ n \in \{..k+1\} \ m \neq n$ 
  have  $\forall x. x \in BT\ m \cap BT\ n \longrightarrow x \in \{\}$ 
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix  $x$  assume  $b: x \in BT\ m \cap BT\ n$ 
    have  $m < k + 1 \wedge n < k + 1 \vee m = k + 1 \wedge n = k + 1 \vee m < k + 1 \wedge$ 
 $n = k + 1 \vee m = k + 1 \wedge n < k + 1$  using a le-eq-less-or-eq by auto
    then show  $x \in \{\}$ 
    proof (elim disjE)
      assume  $c: m < k + 1 \wedge n < k + 1$ 
      then have  $BT\ m = Bvar\ m \wedge BT\ n = Bvar\ n$  unfolding BT-def by
simp
      then show  $x \in \{\}$  using a  $b\ c$  fact4 F2-aux unfolding Bvar-def
disjoint-family-on-def by auto
      qed (use a  $b$  fact5 in  $\langle \text{auto simp: } BT\text{-def} \rangle$ )
    qed
    then show  $BT\ m \cap BT\ n = \{\}$  by auto
  qed
}
moreover have F3:  $\bigcup (BT \text{ ` } \{..k+1\}) = \{..<n + m\}$ 
proof
  show  $\bigcup (BT \text{ ` } \{..k + 1\}) \subseteq \{..<n + m\}$ 
  proof
    fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \bigcup (BT \text{ ` } \{..k + 1\})$ 
    then obtain  $i$  where  $i\text{-prop}: i \in \{..k+1\} \wedge x \in BT\ i$  by blast
    then consider  $i = k + 1 \mid i \in \{..<k+1\}$  by fastforce
    then show  $x \in \{..<n + m\}$ 
    proof (cases)
      case 1
        then have  $x \in Bstat$  using  $i\text{-prop}$  unfolding BT-def by simp
        then have  $x \in BL\ 1 \vee x \in \text{set-incr } n\ (BS\ k)$  unfolding Bstat-def by
blast
        then have  $x \in \{..<n\} \vee x \in \{n..<n+m\}$  using BfL-props BfS-props(2)
set-incr-image[of BS k m n] by blast
        then show ?thesis by auto
      next

```

```

    case 2
    then have  $x \in Bvar\ i$  using  $i\text{-prop}$  unfolding  $BT\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
    then have  $x \in BL\ 0 \vee x \in set\text{-incr}\ n\ (BS\ (i - 1))$  unfolding  $Bvar\text{-def}$ 
by  $presburger$ 
    then show  $?thesis$ 
    proof (elim  $disjE$ )
      assume  $x \in BL\ 0$ 
      then have  $x \in \{.. $n\}$  using  $BfL\text{-props}$  by  $auto$ 
      then show  $x \in \{.. $n + m\}$  by  $simp$ 
    next
      assume  $a: x \in set\text{-incr}\ n\ (BS\ (i - 1))$ 
      then have  $i - 1 \leq k$ 
      by (meson  $atMost\text{-iff}\ i\text{-prop}\ le\text{-diff}\ conv$ )
      then have  $set\text{-incr}\ n\ (BS\ (i - 1)) \subseteq \{n.. $n + m\}$  using  $set\text{-incr}\text{-image}[of\ BS\ k\ m\ n]\ BfS\text{-props}$  by  $auto$ 
      then show  $x \in \{.. $n + m\}$  using  $a$  by  $auto$ 
    qed
  qed
qed
next
show  $\{.. $n + m\} \subseteq \bigcup (BT\ ' \{.. $k + 1\})$ 
proof
  fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \{.. $n + m\}$ 
  then consider  $x \in \{.. $n\} \mid x \in \{n.. $n + m\}$  by  $fastforce$ 
  then show  $x \in \bigcup (BT\ ' \{.. $k + 1\})$ 
  proof (cases)
    case 1
    have  $*: \{.. $1::nat\} = \{0, 1::nat\}$  by  $auto$ 
    from 1 have  $x \in \bigcup (BL\ ' \{.. $1::nat\})$  using  $BfL\text{-props}$  by  $simp$ 
    then have  $x \in BL\ 0 \vee x \in BL\ 1$  using  $*$  by  $simp$ 
    then show  $?thesis$ 
    proof (elim  $disjE$ )
      assume  $x \in BL\ 0$ 
      then have  $x \in Bvar\ 0$  unfolding  $Bvar\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
      then have  $x \in BT\ 0$  unfolding  $BT\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
      then show  $x \in \bigcup (BT\ ' \{.. $k + 1\})$  by  $auto$ 
    next
      assume  $x \in BL\ 1$ 
      then have  $x \in Bstat$  unfolding  $Bstat\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
      then have  $x \in BT\ (k + 1)$  unfolding  $BT\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
      then show  $x \in \bigcup (BT\ ' \{.. $k + 1\})$  by  $auto$ 
    qed
  next
    case 2
    then have  $x \in (\bigcup_{i \leq k} set\text{-incr}\ n\ (BS\ i))$  using  $set\text{-incr}\text{-image}[of\ BS\ k\ m\ n]\ BfS\text{-props}$  by  $simp$ 
    then obtain  $i$  where  $i\text{-prop}: i \leq k \wedge x \in set\text{-incr}\ n\ (BS\ i)$  by  $blast$ 
    then consider  $i = k \mid i < k$  by  $fastforce$ 
    then show  $?thesis$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$ 
```

```

proof (cases)
  case 1
    then have  $x \in Bstat$  unfolding Bstat-def using i-prop by auto
    then have  $x \in BT\ (k+1)$  unfolding BT-def by simp
    then show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case 2
      then have  $x \in Bvar\ (i + 1)$  unfolding Bvar-def using i-prop by simp
      then have  $x \in BT\ (i + 1)$  unfolding BT-def using 2 by force
      then show ?thesis using 2 by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
qed

moreover have  $F4: fT \in (BT\ (k+1)) \rightarrow_E \{..<t+1\}$ 
proof
  fix  $x$  assume  $x \in BT\ (k+1)$ 
  then have  $x \in Bstat$  unfolding BT-def by simp
  then have  $x \in BL\ 1 \vee x \in set-incr\ n\ (BS\ k)$  unfolding Bstat-def by auto
  then show  $fT\ x \in \{..<t+1\}$ 
  proof (elim disjE)
    assume  $x \in BL\ 1$ 
    then have  $fT\ x = fL\ x$  unfolding fT-def by simp
    then show  $fT\ x \in \{..<t+1\}$  using BfL-props  $\langle x \in BL\ 1 \rangle$  by auto
  next
    assume  $a: x \in set-incr\ n\ (BS\ k)$ 
    then have  $fT\ x = fS\ (x - n)$  using fact1 unfolding fT-def by auto
    moreover have  $x - n \in BS\ k$  using a unfolding set-incr-def by auto
    ultimately show  $fT\ x \in \{..<t+1\}$  using BfS-props by auto
  qed
qed(auto simp: BT-def Bstat-def fT-def)
moreover have  $F5: ((\forall i \in BT\ (k + 1). T\ y\ i = fT\ i) \wedge (\forall j < k+1. \forall i \in BT\ j. (T\ y)\ i = y\ j))$  if  $y \in cube\ (k + 1)\ (t + 1)$  for  $y$ 
proof(intro conjI allI impI ballI)
  fix  $i$  assume  $i \in BT\ (k + 1)$ 
  then have  $i \in Bstat$  unfolding BT-def by simp
  then consider  $i \in set-incr\ n\ (BS\ k) \mid i \in BL\ 1$  unfolding Bstat-def by
blast
  then show  $T\ y\ i = fT\ i$ 
  proof (cases)
    case 1
      then have  $\exists s < m. i = n + s$  unfolding set-incr-def using BfS-props(2)
by auto
    then obtain  $s$  where  $s-prop: s < m \wedge i = n + s$  by blast
    then have  $*$ :  $i \in \{n..<n+m\}$  by simp
    have  $i \notin BL\ 1$  using 1 fact1 by auto
    then have  $fT\ i = fS\ (i - n)$  using 1 unfolding fT-def by simp
    then have  $**$ :  $fT\ i = fS\ s$  using s-prop by simp

```

have $XX: (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1)) \in \text{cube } k (t+1)$ **using** *split-cube that by simp*
 have $XY: s \in BS\ k$ **using** *s-prop 1 unfolding set-incr-def by auto*

 from *that* have $T\ y\ i = (T' (\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y\ z) (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1)))\ i$
unfolding *T-def by auto*
 also have $\dots = (\text{join } (L\text{-line } ((\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y\ z)\ 0))\ (S (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1))))\ n\ m)\ i$ **using** *split-cube that unfolding T'-def by simp*
 also have $\dots = (\text{join } (L\text{-line } (y\ 0))\ (S (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1))))\ n\ m)\ i$ **by simp**
 also have $\dots = (S (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1)))\ s$ **using** ** s-prop unfolding join-def by simp*
 also have $\dots = fS\ s$ **using** *XX XY BfS-props(6) by blast*
 finally **show** *?thesis* **using** *** by simp*
next
 case 2
 have $XZ: y\ 0 \in \{..<t+1\}$ **using** *that unfolding cube-def by auto*
 have $XY: i \in \{..<n\}$ **using** *2 BfL-props(2) by blast*
 have $XX: (\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y\ z) \in \text{cube } 1 (t+1)$ **using** *that split-cube by simp*

 have *some-eq-restrict*: $(SOME\ p. p \in \text{cube } 1 (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = ((\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y\ z)\ 0)) = (\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y\ z)$
proof
 show $\text{restrict } y\ \{..<1\} \in \text{cube } 1 (t + 1) \wedge \text{restrict } y\ \{..<1\}\ 0 = \text{restrict } y\ \{..<1\}\ 0$ **using** *XX by simp*
next
 fix p
 assume $p \in \text{cube } 1 (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = \text{restrict } y\ \{..<1\}\ 0$
 moreover have $p\ u = \text{restrict } y\ \{..<1\}\ u$ **if** $u \notin \{..<1\}$ **for** u **using** *that calculation XX unfolding cube-def using PiE-arb[of restrict y {..<1} {..<1} λx. {..<t + 1} u] PiE-arb[of p {..<1} λx. {..<t + 1} u] by simp*
 ultimately **show** $p = \text{restrict } y\ \{..<1\}$ **by auto**
qed

 from *that* have $T\ y\ i = (T' (\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y\ z) (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1)))\ i$
unfolding *T-def by auto*
 also have $\dots = (\text{join } (L\text{-line } ((\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y\ z)\ 0))\ (S (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1))))\ n\ m)\ i$ **using** *split-cube that unfolding T'-def by simp*
 also have $\dots = (L\text{-line } ((\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y\ z)\ 0))\ i$ **using** *XY unfolding join-def by simp*
 also have $\dots = L\ (SOME\ p. p \in \text{cube } 1 (t+1) \wedge p\ 0 = ((\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y\ z)\ 0))\ i$ **using** *XZ unfolding L-line-def by auto*
 also have $\dots = L\ (\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y\ z)\ i$ **using** *some-eq-restrict by simp*
 also have $\dots = fL\ i$ **using** *BfL-props(6) XX 2 by blast*
 also have $\dots = fT\ i$ **using** *2 unfolding fT-def by simp*
 finally **show** *?thesis* .
qed
next

```

fix j i assume j < k + 1 i ∈ BT j
then have i-prop: i ∈ Bvar j unfolding BT-def by auto
consider j = 0 | j > 0 by auto
then show T y i = y j
proof cases
  case 1
  then have i ∈ BL 0 using i-prop unfolding Bvar-def by auto
  then have XY: i ∈ {.. $n$ } using 1 BfL-props(2) by blast
  have XX: ( $\lambda z \in \{.. $1$ \}. y z$ ) ∈ cube 1 (t+1) using that split-cube by simp
  have XZ: y 0 ∈ {.. $t+1$ } using that unfolding cube-def by auto

  have some-eq-restrict: (SOME p. p ∈ cube 1 (t+1) ∧ p 0 = (( $\lambda z \in \{.. $1$ \}. y z$ ) 0)) = ( $\lambda z \in \{.. $1$ \}. y z$ )
  proof
    show restrict y {.. $1$ } ∈ cube 1 (t + 1) ∧ restrict y {.. $1$ } 0 = restrict y {.. $1$ } 0 using XX by simp
  next
    fix p
    assume p ∈ cube 1 (t+1) ∧ p 0 = restrict y {.. $1$ } 0
    moreover have p u = restrict y {.. $1$ } u if u ∉ {.. $1$ } for u using that
    calculation XX unfolding cube-def using PiE-arb[of restrict y {.. $1$ } {.. $1$ }  $\lambda x$ . {.. $t + 1$ } u] PiE-arb[of p {.. $1$ }  $\lambda x$ . {.. $t + 1$ } u] by simp
    ultimately show p = restrict y {.. $1$ } by auto
  qed

  from that have T y i = (T' ( $\lambda z \in \{.. $1$ \}. y z$ ) ( $\lambda z \in \{.. $k$ \}. y (z + 1)$ )) i
  unfolding T-def by auto
  also have ... = (join (L-line (( $\lambda z \in \{.. $1$ \}. y z$ ) 0)) (S ( $\lambda z \in \{.. $k$ \}. y (z + 1)$ )) n m) i using split-cube that unfolding T'-def by simp
  also have ... = (L-line (( $\lambda z \in \{.. $1$ \}. y z$ ) 0)) i using XY unfolding join-def by simp
  also have ... = L (SOME p. p ∈ cube 1 (t+1) ∧ p 0 = (( $\lambda z \in \{.. $1$ \}. y z$ ) 0)) i using XZ unfolding L-line-def by auto
  also have ... = L ( $\lambda z \in \{.. $1$ \}. y z$ ) i using some-eq-restrict by simp
  also have ... = ( $\lambda z \in \{.. $1$ \}. y z$ ) j using BfL-props(6) XX 1 (i ∈ BL 0)
  by blast
  also have ... = ( $\lambda z \in \{.. $1$ \}. y z$ ) 0 using 1 by blast
  also have ... = y 0 by simp
  also have ... = y j using 1 by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
next
case 2
then have i ∈ set-incr n (BS (j - 1)) using i-prop unfolding Bvar-def
by simp
then have  $\exists s < m. n + s = i$  using BfS-props(2) (j < k + 1) unfolding set-incr-def by force
then obtain s where s-prop: s < m i = s + n by auto
then have *: i ∈ {.. $n+m$ } by simp

```

have $XX: (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1)) \in \text{cube } k (t+1)$ **using** *split-cube that by simp*
have $XY: s \in BS (j - 1)$ **using** *s-prop 2* $\langle i \in \text{set-incr } n (BS (j - 1)) \rangle$
unfolding *set-incr-def* **by** *force*

from that have $T y i = (T' (\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y z) (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1))) i$
unfolding *T-def* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\text{join } (L\text{-line } ((\lambda z \in \{..<1\}. y z) 0)) (S (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1))) n m) i$ **using** *split-cube that unfolding T'-def by simp*
also have $\dots = (\text{join } (L\text{-line } (y 0)) (S (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1))) n m) i$ **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = (S (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1))) s$ **using** ** s-prop unfolding join-def by simp*
also have $\dots = (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y (z + 1)) (j-1)$ **using** *XX XY BfS-props(6) 2* $\langle j < k + 1 \rangle$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = y j$ **using** *2* $\langle j < k + 1 \rangle$ **by** *force*
finally show *?thesis* .
qed
qed

ultimately have *subspace-T: is-subspace T (k+1) (n+m) (t+1)* **unfolding** *is-subspace-def* **using** *T-prop by metis*

Part 4: Proving T is layered

The following redefinition of the classes makes proving the layered property easier.

define *T-class* **where** $T\text{-class} \equiv (\lambda j \in \{..k\}. \{ \text{join } (L\text{-line } i) s n m \mid i s . i \in \{..<t\} \wedge s \in S' (\text{classes } k t j) \}) (k+1) := \{ \text{join } (L\text{-line } t) (SOME s. s \in S' (\text{cube } m (t+1))) n m \}$
have *classprop: T-class j = T' classes (k + 1) t j* **if** *j-prop: j ≤ k* **for** *j*
proof
show $T\text{-class } j \subseteq T' \text{ classes } (k + 1) t j$
proof
fix x **assume** $x \in T\text{-class } j$
from that have $T\text{-class } j = \{ \text{join } (L\text{-line } i) s n m \mid i s . i \in \{..<t\} \wedge s \in S' (\text{classes } k t j) \}$ **unfolding** *T-class-def* **by** *simp*
then obtain $i s$ **where** *is-defs: x = join (L-line i) s n m* $\wedge i < t \wedge s \in S' (\text{classes } k t j)$ **using** $\langle x \in T\text{-class } j \rangle$ **unfolding** *T-class-def* **by** *auto*
moreover have $*: \text{classes } k t j \subseteq \text{cube } k (t+1)$ **unfolding** *classes-def* **by** *simp*
moreover have $\exists! y. y \in \text{classes } k t j \wedge s = S y$ **using** *subspace-inj-on-cube[of S k m t+1] S-prop inj-onD[of S cube k (t+1)] calculation* **unfolding** *layered-subspace-def inj-on-def* **by** *blast*
ultimately obtain y **where** *y-prop: y ∈ classes k t j* $\wedge s = S y \wedge (\forall z \in \text{classes } k t j. s = S z \longrightarrow y = z)$ **by** *auto*

define p **where** $p \equiv \text{join } (\lambda g \in \{..<1\}. i) y 1 k$
have $(\lambda g \in \{..<1\}. i) \in \text{cube } 1 (t+1)$ **using** *is-defs* **unfolding** *cube-def* **by**

simp
then have $p\text{-in-cube}$: $p \in \text{cube } (k+1) (t+1)$ **using** $\text{join-cubes}[of (\lambda g \in \{..<1\}. i) 1 t y k]$ $y\text{-prop}$ * **unfolding** $p\text{-def}$ **by** auto
then have **: $p\ 0 = i \wedge (\forall l < k. p\ (l+1) = y\ l)$ **unfolding** $p\text{-def}$ join-def **by** simp

have $t \notin y$ ‘ $\{..<(k-j)\}$ **using** $y\text{-prop}$ **unfolding** classes-def **by** simp
then have $\forall u < k-j. y\ u \neq t$ **by** auto
then have $\forall u < k-j. p\ (u+1) \neq t$ **using** ** **by** simp
moreover have $p\ 0 \neq t$ **using** is-defs ** **by** simp
moreover have $\forall u < k-j+1. p\ u \neq t$ **using** calculation **by** $(\text{auto } \text{simp} : \text{algebra-simps } \text{less-Suc-eq-0-disj})$
ultimately have $\forall u < (k+1) - j. p\ u \neq t$ **using** that **by** auto
then have $A1$: $t \notin p$ ‘ $\{..<((k+1) - j)\}$ **by** blast

have $p\ u = t$ **if** $u \in \{k-j+1..<k+1\}$ **for** u
proof –
from that **have** $u-1 \in \{k-j..<k\}$ **by** auto
then have $y\ (u-1) = t$ **using** $y\text{-prop}$ **unfolding** classes-def **by** blast
then show $p\ u = t$ **using** ** $\langle u-1 \in \{k-j..<k\} \rangle$ **by** auto
qed
then have $A2$: $\forall u \in \{(k+1) - j..<k+1\}. p\ u = t$ **using** that **by** auto

from $A1\ A2\ p\text{-in-cube}$ **have** $p \in \text{classes } (k+1) t j$ **unfolding** classes-def **by** blast

moreover have $x = T\ p$
proof–
have $\text{loc-useful} : (\lambda y \in \{..<k\}. p\ (y+1)) = (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y\ z)$ **using** **
by auto
have $T\ p = T' (\lambda y \in \{..<1\}. p\ y) (\lambda y \in \{..<k\}. p\ (y+1))$ **using** $p\text{-in-cube}$ **unfolding** $T\text{-def}$ **by** auto

have $T' (\lambda y \in \{..<1\}. p\ y) (\lambda y \in \{..<k\}. p\ (y+1)) = \text{join } (L\text{-line } ((\lambda y \in \{..<1\}. p\ y)\ 0)) (S (\lambda y \in \{..<k\}. p\ (y+1)))\ n\ m$ **using** $\text{split-cube } p\text{-in-cube}$ **unfolding** $T'\text{-def}$ **by** simp
also have $\dots = \text{join } (L\text{-line } (p\ 0)) (S (\lambda y \in \{..<k\}. p\ (y+1)))\ n\ m$ **by** simp
also have $\dots = \text{join } (L\text{-line } i) (S (\lambda y \in \{..<k\}. p\ (y+1)))\ n\ m$ **by** $(\text{simp } \text{add: **})$
also have $\dots = \text{join } (L\text{-line } i) (S (\lambda z \in \{..<k\}. y\ z))\ n\ m$ **using** loc-useful **by** simp
also have $\dots = \text{join } (L\text{-line } i) (S\ y)\ n\ m$ **using** $y\text{-prop}$ * **unfolding** cube-def **by** auto
also have $\dots = x$ **using** $\text{is-defs } y\text{-prop}$ **by** simp
finally show $x = T\ p$
using $\langle T\ p = T' (\text{restrict } p\ \{..<1\}) (\lambda y \in \{..<k\}. p\ (y+1)) \rangle$ **by** presburger
qed


```

    ultimately show  $x \in T \text{ ' classes } (k + 1) \ t \ j$  by blast
  qed
next
show  $T \text{ ' classes } (k + 1) \ t \ j \subseteq T\text{-class } j$ 
proof
  fix  $x$  assume  $x \in T \text{ ' classes } (k+1) \ t \ j$ 
  then obtain  $y$  where  $y\text{-prop}: y \in \text{classes } (k+1) \ t \ j \wedge T \ y = x$  by blast
  then have  $y\text{-props}: (\forall u \in \{(k+1)-j \dots k+1\}. y \ u = t) \wedge t \notin y \text{ ' } \{ \dots (k+1) - j \}$ 
  unfolding classes-def by blast

  define  $z$  where  $z \equiv (\lambda v \in \{ \dots k \}. y \ (v+1))$ 
  have  $z \in \text{cube } k \ (t+1)$  using  $y\text{-prop}$  classes-subset-cube[of  $k+1 \ t \ j$ ] unfolding
  z-def cube-def by auto
  moreover
  {
    have  $z \text{ ' } \{ \dots k - j \} = y \text{ ' } ((+) \ 1 \text{ ' } \{ \dots k - j \})$  unfolding z-def by fastforce
    also have  $\dots = y \text{ ' } \{ 1 \dots k - j + 1 \}$  by (simp add: atLeastLessThanSuc-atLeastAtMost
  image-Suc-lessThan)
    also have  $\dots = y \text{ ' } \{ 1 \dots (k+1) - j \}$  using  $j\text{-prop}$  by auto
    finally have  $z \text{ ' } \{ \dots k - j \} \subseteq y \text{ ' } \{ \dots (k+1) - j \}$  by auto
    then have  $t \notin z \text{ ' } \{ \dots k - j \}$  using  $y\text{-props}$  by blast
  }
  moreover have  $\forall u \in \{ k - j \dots k \}. z \ u = t$  unfolding z-def using  $y\text{-props}$ 
  by auto
  ultimately have  $z\text{-in-classes}: z \in \text{classes } k \ t \ j$  unfolding classes-def by
  blast

  have  $y \ 0 \neq t$ 
  proof-
    from that have  $0 \in \{ \dots k + 1 - j \}$  by simp
    then show  $y \ 0 \neq t$  using  $y\text{-props}$  by blast
  qed
  then have  $tr: y \ 0 < t$  using  $y\text{-prop}$  classes-subset-cube[of  $k+1 \ t \ j$ ] unfolding
  cube-def by fastforce

  have  $(\lambda g \in \{ \dots 1 \}. y \ g) \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1)$  using  $y\text{-prop}$  classes-subset-cube[of
   $k+1 \ t \ j$ ] cube-restrict[of  $1 \ (k+1) \ y \ t+1$ ] assms(2) by auto
  then have  $T \ y = T' \ (\lambda g \in \{ \dots 1 \}. y \ g) \ z$  using  $y\text{-prop}$  classes-subset-cube[of
   $k+1 \ t \ j$ ] unfolding T-def z-def by auto
  also have  $\dots = \text{join } (L\text{-line } ((\lambda g \in \{ \dots 1 \}. y \ g) \ 0)) \ (S \ z) \ n \ m$  unfolding
  T'-def using  $\langle (\lambda g \in \{ \dots 1 \}. y \ g) \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1) \rangle \langle z \in \text{cube } k \ (t+1) \rangle$  by auto
  also have  $\dots = \text{join } (L\text{-line } (y \ 0)) \ (S \ z) \ n \ m$  by simp
  also have  $\dots \in T\text{-class } j$  using  $tr \ z\text{-in-classes}$  that unfolding T-class-def
  by force
  finally show  $x \in T\text{-class } j$  using  $y\text{-prop}$  by simp
  qed
qed

```

The core case $i \leq k$. The case $i = k + 1$ is trivial since $k + 1$ has only one

point.

have $\chi x = \chi y \wedge \chi x < r$ **if** $a: i \leq k \ x \in T \text{ ' classes } (k+1) \ t \ i \ y \in T \text{ ' classes } (k+1) \ t \ i$ **for** $i \ x \ y$

proof–

from a **have** $*$: $T \text{ ' classes } (k+1) \ t \ i = T\text{-class } i$ **by** (*simp add: classprop*)

then have $x \in T\text{-class } i$ **using** *that* **by** *simp*

moreover have $**$: $T\text{-class } i = \{ \text{join } (L\text{-line } l) \ s \ n \ m \mid l \ s \ . \ l \in \{..<t\} \wedge s \in S \text{ ' (classes } k \ t \ i) \}$ **using** a **unfolding** $T\text{-class-def}$ **by** *simp*

ultimately obtain $xs \ xi$ **where** $xdefs$: $x = \text{join } (L\text{-line } xi) \ xs \ n \ m \wedge xi < t \wedge xs \in S \text{ ' (classes } k \ t \ i)$ **by** *blast*

from $**$ **obtain** $ys \ yi$ **where** $ydefs$: $y = \text{join } (L\text{-line } yi) \ ys \ n \ m \wedge yi < t \wedge ys \in S \text{ ' (classes } k \ t \ i)$ **using** a **by** *auto*

have $(L\text{-line } xi) \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1)$ **using** $L\text{-line-base-prop}$ $xdefs$ **by** *simp*

moreover have $xs \in \text{cube } m \ (t+1)$ **using** $xdefs$ $S\text{-prop subspace-elems-embed imageE image-subset-iff mem-Collect-eq}$ **unfolding** $\text{layered-subspace-def classes-def}$ **by** *blast*

ultimately have $AA1$: $\chi x = \chi L \ (L\text{-line } xi) \ xs$ **using** $xdefs$ **unfolding** $\chi L\text{-def}$ **by** *simp*

have $(L\text{-line } yi) \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1)$ **using** $L\text{-line-base-prop}$ $ydefs$ **by** *simp*

moreover have $ys \in \text{cube } m \ (t+1)$ **using** $ydefs$ $S\text{-prop subspace-elems-embed imageE image-subset-iff mem-Collect-eq}$ **unfolding** $\text{layered-subspace-def classes-def}$ **by** *blast*

ultimately have $AA2$: $\chi y = \chi L \ (L\text{-line } yi) \ ys$ **using** $ydefs$ **unfolding** $\chi L\text{-def}$ **by** *simp*

have $\forall s < t. \forall l < t. \chi L\text{-s } (L \ (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1) \wedge p \ 0 = s)) = \chi L\text{-s } (L \ (\text{SOME } p. p \in \text{cube } 1 \ (t+1) \wedge p \ 0 = l))$ **using** $\text{dim1-layered-subspace-mono-line}[of \ t \ L \ n \ s \ \chi L\text{-s}] \ L\text{-prop assms}(1)$ **by** *blast*

then have key-aux : $\chi L\text{-s } (L\text{-line } s) = \chi L\text{-s } (L\text{-line } l)$ **if** $s \in \{..<t\} \ l \in \{..<t\}$ **for** $s \ l$ **using** *that* **unfolding** $L\text{-line-def}$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) add.commute lessThan-iff less-Suc-eq plus-1-eq-Suc restrict-apply*)

have key : $\chi L \ (L\text{-line } s) = \chi L \ (L\text{-line } l)$ **if** $s < t \ l < t$ **for** $s \ l$

proof–

have $L1$: $\chi L \ (L\text{-line } s) \in \text{cube } m \ (t + 1) \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}$ **unfolding** $\chi L\text{-def}$ **using** $A \ L\text{-line-base-prop } \langle s < t \rangle$ **by** *simp*

have $L2$: $\chi L \ (L\text{-line } l) \in \text{cube } m \ (t + 1) \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}$ **unfolding** $\chi L\text{-def}$ **using** $A \ L\text{-line-base-prop } \langle l < t \rangle$ **by** *simp*

have $\varphi \ (\chi L \ (L\text{-line } s)) = \chi L\text{-s } (L\text{-line } s)$ **unfolding** $\chi L\text{-s-def}$ **using** $\langle s < t \rangle \ L\text{-line-base-prop}$ **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = \chi L\text{-s } (L\text{-line } l)$ **using** $\text{key-aux } \langle s < t \rangle \langle l < t \rangle$ **by** *blast*

also have $\dots = \varphi \ (\chi L \ (L\text{-line } l))$ **unfolding** $\chi L\text{-s-def}$ **using** $L\text{-line-base-prop } \langle l < t \rangle$ **by** *simp*

finally have $\varphi \ (\chi L \ (L\text{-line } s)) = \varphi \ (\chi L \ (L\text{-line } l))$ **by** *simp*

then show $\chi L \ (L\text{-line } s) = \chi L \ (L\text{-line } l)$ **using** $\varphi\text{-prop } L\text{-line-base-prop } L1 \ L2$ **unfolding** $\text{bij-betw-def inj-on-def}$ **by** *blast*

qed
then have χL (L-line xi) $xs = \chi L$ (L-line 0) xs **using** $xdefs$ $assms(1)$ **by** *metis*
also have $\dots = \chi S$ xs **unfolding** χS -def χL -def **using** $xdefs$ L -line-base-prop **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \chi S$ ys **using** $xdefs$ $ydefs$ $layered$ -eq-classes[of S k m t r χS] S -prop a **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = \chi L$ (L-line 0) ys **unfolding** χS -def χL -def **using** $xdefs$ L -line-base-prop **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \chi L$ (L-line yi) ys **using** $ydefs$ key $assms(1)$ **by** *metis*
finally have $core$ -prop: χL (L-line xi) $xs = \chi L$ (L-line yi) ys **by** *simp*
then have $\chi x = \chi y$ **using** $AA1$ $AA2$ **by** *simp*
then show $\chi x = \chi y \wedge \chi x < r$ **using** $xdefs$ $AA1$ key $assms(1)$ A $\langle L$ -line $xi \in cube\ n\ (t + 1) \rangle \langle xs \in cube\ m\ (t + 1) \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed
then have $\exists c < r. \forall x \in T$ ‘ $classes\ (k+1)\ t\ i. \chi x = c$ **if** $i \leq k$ **for** i
using $that\ assms(5)$ **by** *blast*

moreover have $\exists c < r. \forall x \in T$ ‘ $classes\ (k+1)\ t\ (k+1). \chi x = c$
proof –
have $\forall x \in classes\ (k+1)\ t\ (k+1). \forall u < k + 1. xu = t$ **unfolding** $classes$ -def **by** *auto*
have $(\lambda u. t)$ ‘ $\{.. < k + 1\} \subseteq \{.. < t + 1\}$ **by** *auto*
then have $\exists! y \in cube\ (k+1)\ (t+1). (\forall u < k + 1. y\ u = t)$ **using** PiE -uniqueness[of $(\lambda u. t)\ \{.. < k+1\}\ \{.. < t+1\}$] **unfolding** $cube$ -def **by** *auto*
then have $\exists! y \in classes\ (k+1)\ t\ (k+1). (\forall u < k + 1. y\ u = t)$ **unfolding** $classes$ -def **using** $classes$ -subset-cube[of $k+1\ t\ k+1$] **by** *auto*
then have $\exists! y. y \in classes\ (k+1)\ t\ (k+1)$ **using** $\langle \forall x \in classes\ (k+1)\ t\ (k+1). \forall u < k + 1. xu = t \rangle$ **by** *auto*
have $\exists c < r. \forall y \in classes\ (k+1)\ t\ (k+1). \chi(T\ y) = c$
proof –
have $\forall y \in classes\ (k+1)\ t\ (k+1). T\ y \in cube\ (n+m)\ (t+1)$ **using** T -prop $classes$ -subset-cube **by** *blast*
then have $\forall y \in classes\ (k+1)\ t\ (k+1). \chi(T\ y) < r$ **using** χ -prop
unfolding n -def d -def **using** M' -prop **by** *auto*
then show $\exists c < r. \forall y \in classes\ (k+1)\ t\ (k+1). \chi(T\ y) = c$ **using** $\langle \exists! y. y \in classes\ (k+1)\ t\ (k+1) \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed
then show $\exists c < r. \forall x \in T$ ‘ $classes\ (k+1)\ t\ (k+1). \chi x = c$ **by** *blast*
qed
ultimately have $\exists c < r. \forall x \in T$ ‘ $classes\ (k+1)\ t\ i. \chi x = c$ **if** $i \leq k + 1$ **for** i **using** $that$ **by** (*metis* Suc -eq-plus1 le - Suc -eq)
then have $\exists c < r. \forall x \in classes\ (k+1)\ t\ i. \chi(T\ x) = c$ **if** $i \leq k + 1$ **for** i **using** $that$ **by** *simp*
then have $layered$ -subspace $T\ (k+1)\ (n + m)\ t\ r\ \chi$ **using** $subspace$ - T $that(1)$ $\langle n + m = M' \rangle$ **unfolding** $layered$ -subspace-def **by** *blast*
then show $?thesis$ **using** $\langle n + m = M' \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed
then show $?thesis$ **unfolding** lhj -def **using** m -props exI [of $\lambda M. \forall M' \geq M. \forall \chi.$

$\chi \in \text{cube } M' (t + 1) \rightarrow_E \{..<r\} \longrightarrow (\exists S. \text{layered-subspace } S (k + 1) M' t r \chi) m]$
 by *blast*
 qed

theorem *hj-imp-lhj*:
 fixes *k*
 assumes $\bigwedge r'. \text{hj } r' t$
 shows $\text{hj } r t k$
proof (*induction k arbitrary: r rule: less-induct*)
 case (*less k*)
 consider $k = 0 \mid k = 1 \mid k \geq 2$ by *linarith*
 then show ?*case*
proof (*cases*)
 case 1
 then show ?*thesis* using *dim0-layered-subspace-ex unfolding hj-def* by *auto*
 next
 case 2
 then show ?*thesis*
proof (*cases t > 0*)
 case *True*
 then show ?*thesis* using *hj-imp-lhj-base[of t] assms 2* by *blast*
 next
 case *False*
 then show ?*thesis* using *assms unfolding hj-def lhj-def cube-def* by *fastforce*
 qed
 next
 case 3
 note *less*
 then show ?*thesis*
proof (*cases t > 0 \wedge r > 0*)
 case *True*
 then show ?*thesis* using *hj-imp-lhj-step[of t k-1 r]*
 using *assms less.IH 3 One-nat-def Suc-pred* by *fastforce*
 next
 case *False*
 then consider $t = 0 \mid t > 0 \wedge r = 0 \mid t = 0 \wedge r = 0$ by *fastforce*
 then show ?*thesis*
proof *cases*
 case 1
 then show ?*thesis* using *assms unfolding hj-def lhj-def cube-def* by
fastforce
 next
 case 2
 then obtain *N* where *N-props*: $N > 0 \forall N' \geq N. \forall \chi \in \text{cube } N' t \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}. (\exists L c. c < r \wedge \text{is-line } L N' t \wedge (\forall y \in L. \{..<t\}. \chi y = c))$ using *assms[of r]*
unfolding hj-def by *force*
 have $\text{cube } N' (t + 1) \rightarrow_E \{..<r\} = \{\}$ if $N' \geq N$ for *N'*
proof–
 have $\text{cube } N' t \neq \{\}$ using *N-props(2) that 2* by *fastforce*

```

    then have cube  $N' (t + 1) \neq \{\}$  using cube-subset[of  $N' t$ ] by blast
    then show ?thesis using 2 by blast
  qed
  then show ?thesis unfolding lhj-def using N-props(1) by blast
next
  case 3
  then have  $(\exists L \ c. \ c < r \wedge \text{is-line } L \ N' \ t \wedge (\forall y \in L \ ' \{..<t\}. \ \chi \ y = c)) \implies$ 
  False for  $N' \ \chi$  by blast
  then have False using assms 3 unfolding hj-def cube-def by fastforce
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed

qed
qed
qed

```

2.2 Theorem 5

We provide a way to construct a monochromatic line in C_{t+1}^n from a k -dimensional k -coloured layered subspace S in C_{t+1}^n . The idea is to rely on the fact that there are $k + 1$ classes in S , but only k colours. It thus follows from the Pigeonhole Principle that two classes must share the same colour. The way classes are defined allows for a straightforward construction of a line that contains points in both classes. Thus we have our monochromatic line.

theorem *layered-subspace-to-mono-line:*

```

  assumes layered-subspace  $S \ k \ n \ t \ k \ \chi$ 
  and  $t > 0$ 
  shows  $(\exists L. \ \exists c < k. \ \text{is-line } L \ n \ (t+1) \wedge (\forall y \in L \ ' \{..<t+1\}. \ \chi \ y = c))$ 
proof-
  define  $x$  where  $x \equiv (\lambda i \in \{..k\}. \ \lambda j \in \{..<k\}. \ (\text{if } j < k - i \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } t))$ 

  have  $A: x \ i \in \text{cube } k \ (t + 1)$  if  $i \leq k$  for  $i$  using that unfolding cube-def  $x$ -def
  by simp
  then have  $S \ (x \ i) \in \text{cube } n \ (t+1)$  if  $i \leq k$  for  $i$  using that assms(1) unfolding
  layered-subspace-def is-subspace-def by fast

  have  $\chi \in \text{cube } n \ (t + 1) \rightarrow_E \{..<k\}$  using assms unfolding layered-subspace-def
  by linarith
  then have  $\chi \ ' (\text{cube } n \ (t+1)) \subseteq \{..<k\}$  by blast
  then have  $\text{card } (\chi \ ' (\text{cube } n \ (t+1))) \leq \text{card } \{..<k\}$ 
  by (meson card-mono finite-lessThan)
  then have  $*: \text{card } (\chi \ ' (\text{cube } n \ (t+1))) \leq k$  by auto
  have  $k > 0$  using assms(1) unfolding layered-subspace-def by auto
  have inj-on  $x \ \{..k\}$ 
  proof -
    have  $*: x \ i1 \ (k - i2) \neq x \ i2 \ (k - i2)$  if  $i1 \leq k \ i2 \leq k \ i1 \neq i2 \ i1 < i2$  for  $i1 \ i2$ 
    using that assms(2) unfolding  $x$ -def by auto
  
```

```

have  $\exists j < k. x \ i1 \ j \neq x \ i2 \ j$  if  $i1 \leq k \ i2 \leq k \ i1 \neq i2$  for  $i1 \ i2$ 
proof (cases  $i1 \leq i2$ )
  case True
    then have  $k - i2 < k$ 
      using  $\langle 0 < k \rangle$  that(3) by linarith
    then show ?thesis using that *
      by (meson True nat-less-le)
  next
    case False
    then have  $i2 < i1$  by simp
    then show ?thesis using that *[of  $i2 \ i1$ ]  $\langle k > 0 \rangle$ 
      by (metis diff-less gr-implies-not0 le0 nat-less-le)
qed
then have  $x \ i1 \neq x \ i2$  if  $i1 \leq k \ i2 \leq k \ i1 \neq i2 \ i1 < i2$  for  $i1 \ i2$  using that by
fastforce
  then show ?thesis unfolding inj-on-def by (metis atMost-iff linorder-cases)
qed
then have  $\text{card } (x \ ' \ \{..k\}) = \text{card } \{..k\}$  using card-image by blast
then have  $B: \text{card } (x \ ' \ \{..k\}) = k+1$  by simp
have  $x \ ' \ \{..k\} \subseteq \text{cube } k \ (t+1)$  using A by blast
then have  $S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\} \subseteq S \ ' \ \text{cube } k \ (t+1)$  by fast
also have  $\dots \subseteq \text{cube } n \ (t+1)$ 
  by (meson assms(1) layered-subspace-def subspace-elems-embed)
finally have  $S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\} \subseteq \text{cube } n \ (t+1)$  by blast
then have  $\chi \ ' \ S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\} \subseteq \chi \ ' \ \text{cube } n \ (t+1)$  by auto
then have  $\text{card } (\chi \ ' \ S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\}) \leq \text{card } (\chi \ ' \ \text{cube } n \ (t+1))$ 
  by (simp add: card-mono cube-def finite-PiE)
also have  $\dots \leq k$  using * by blast
also have  $\dots < k + 1$  by auto
also have  $\dots = \text{card } \{..k\}$  by simp
also have  $\dots = \text{card } (x \ ' \ \{..k\})$  using B by auto
also have  $\dots = \text{card } (S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\})$  using subspace-inj-on-cube[of  $S \ k \ n \ t+1$ ]
card-image[of  $S \ x \ ' \ \{..k\}$ ] inj-on-subset[of  $S \ \text{cube } k \ (t+1) \ x \ ' \ \{..k\}$ ] assms(1)  $\langle x \ ' \ \{..k\} \subseteq \text{cube } k \ (t+1) \rangle$  unfolding layered-subspace-def by simp
finally have  $\text{card } (\chi \ ' \ S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\}) < \text{card } (S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\})$  by blast
then have  $\neg \text{inj-on } \chi \ (S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\})$  using pigeonhole[of  $\chi \ S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\}$ ] by blast
then have  $\exists a \ b. a \in S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\} \wedge b \in S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\} \wedge a \neq b \wedge \chi \ a = \chi \ b$ 
unfolding inj-on-def by auto
then obtain  $ax \ bx$  where  $ab\text{-props}: ax \in S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\} \wedge bx \in S \ ' \ x \ ' \ \{..k\} \wedge ax \neq bx \wedge \chi \ ax = \chi \ bx$  by blast
then have  $\exists u \ v. u \in \{..k\} \wedge v \in \{..k\} \wedge u \neq v \wedge \chi \ (S \ (x \ u)) = \chi \ (S \ (x \ v))$  by
blast
then obtain  $u \ v$  where  $uv\text{-props}: u \in \{..k\} \wedge v \in \{..k\} \wedge u < v \wedge \chi \ (S \ (x \ u)) = \chi \ (S \ (x \ v))$ 
by (metis linorder-cases)

let ?f =  $\lambda s. (\lambda i \in \{..<k\}. \text{if } i < k - v \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\text{if } i < k - u \text{ then } s \text{ else } t))$ 
define y where  $y \equiv (\lambda s \in \{..t\}. S \ (?f \ s))$ 

have line1:  $?f \ s \in \text{cube } k \ (t+1)$  if  $s \leq t$  for s unfolding cube-def using that by

```

auto

have $f\text{-cube}$: $?f j \in \text{cube } k (t+1)$ **if** $j < t+1$ **for** j **using** *line1* **that** **by** *simp*
have $f\text{-classes-}u$: $?f j \in \text{classes } k t u$ **if** $j\text{-prop}$: $j < t$ **for** j
using *that j-prop uv-props f-cube unfolding classes-def* **by** *auto*
have $f\text{-classes-}v$: $?f j \in \text{classes } k t v$ **if** $j\text{-prop}$: $j = t$ **for** j
using *that j-prop uv-props assms(2) f-cube unfolding classes-def* **by** *auto*

obtain $B f$ **where** $Bf\text{-props}$: *disjoint-family-on* $B \{..k\} \cup (B \text{ ' } \{..k\}) = \{..<n\}$
 $(\{ \} \notin B \text{ ' } \{..<k\}) f \in (B k) \rightarrow_E \{..<t+1\} S \in (\text{cube } k (t+1)) \rightarrow_E (\text{cube } n (t+1))$
 $(\forall y \in \text{cube } k (t+1). (\forall i \in B k. S y i = f i) \wedge (\forall j < k. \forall i \in B j. (S y) i = y j))$
using *assms(1) unfolding layered-subspace-def is-subspace-def* **by** *auto*

have $y \in \{..<t+1\} \rightarrow_E \text{cube } n (t+1)$ **unfolding** $y\text{-def}$ **using** *line1* $\langle S \text{ ' } \text{cube } k (t + 1) \subseteq \text{cube } n (t + 1) \rangle$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $(\forall u < t+1. \forall v < t+1. y u j = y v j) \vee (\forall s < t+1. y s j = s)$ **if**
 $j\text{-prop}$: $j < n$ **for** j
proof-
show $(\forall u < t+1. \forall v < t+1. y u j = y v j) \vee (\forall s < t+1. y s j = s)$
proof -
consider $j \in B k \mid \exists ii < k. j \in B ii$ **using** $Bf\text{-props}(2)$ $j\text{-prop}$
by (*metis UN-E atMost-iff le-neq-implies-less lessThan-iff*)
then have $y a j = y b j \vee y s j = s$ **if** $a < t + 1 \wedge b < t + 1 \wedge s < t + 1$ **for** $a b s$
proof cases
case 1
then have $y a j = S (?f a) j$ **using** *that(1) unfolding y-def* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = f j$ **using** $Bf\text{-props}(6)$ $f\text{-cube } 1$ *that(1)* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = S (?f b) j$ **using** $Bf\text{-props}(6)$ $f\text{-cube } 1$ *that(2)* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = y b j$ **using** *that(2) unfolding y-def* **by** *simp*
finally show $?thesis$ **by** *simp*
next
case 2
then obtain ii **where** $ii\text{-prop}$: $ii < k \wedge j \in B ii$ **by** *blast*
then consider $ii < k - v \mid ii \geq k - v \wedge ii < k - u \mid ii \geq k - u \wedge ii < k$
using *not-less* **by** *blast*
then show $?thesis$
proof cases
case 1
then have $y a j = S (?f a) j$ **using** *that(1) unfolding y-def* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (?f a) ii$ **using** $Bf\text{-props}(6)$ $f\text{-cube } 1$ *that(1)* $ii\text{-prop}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = 0$ **using** *1* **by** (*simp add: ii-prop*)
also have $\dots = (?f b) ii$ **using** *1* **by** (*simp add: ii-prop*)
also have $\dots = S (?f b) j$ **using** $Bf\text{-props}(6)$ $f\text{-cube } 1$ *that(2)* $ii\text{-prop}$ **by**
auto
also have $\dots = y b j$ **using** *that(2) unfolding y-def* **by** *auto*
finally show $?thesis$ **by** *simp*
next
case 2
then have $y s j = S (?f s) j$ **using** *that(3) unfolding y-def* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (?f\ s)\ ii$ using $Bf\text{-props}(6)$ $f\text{-cube}$ $that(3)$ $ii\text{-prop}$ by $auto$
 also have $\dots = s$ using 2 by $(simp\ add: ii\text{-prop})$
 finally show $?thesis$ by $simp$
 next
 case 3
 then have $y\ a\ j = S\ (?f\ a)\ j$ using $that(1)$ unfolding $y\text{-def}$ by $auto$
 also have $\dots = (?f\ a)\ ii$ using $Bf\text{-props}(6)$ $f\text{-cube}$ $that(1)$ $ii\text{-prop}$ by $auto$
 also have $\dots = t$ using $3\ uv\text{-props}$ by $auto$
 also have $\dots = (?f\ b)\ ii$ using $3\ uv\text{-props}$ by $auto$
 also have $\dots = S\ (?f\ b)\ j$ using $Bf\text{-props}(6)$ $f\text{-cube}$ $that(2)$ $ii\text{-prop}$ by
 $auto$
 also have $\dots = y\ b\ j$ using $that(2)$ unfolding $y\text{-def}$ by $auto$
 finally show $?thesis$ by $simp$
 qed
 qed
 then show $?thesis$ by $blast$
 qed
 qed
 moreover have $\exists j < n. \forall s < t+1. y\ s\ j = s$
 proof –
 have $k > 0$ using $uv\text{-props}$ by $simp$
 have $k - v < k$ using $uv\text{-props}$ by $auto$
 have $k - v < k - u$ using $uv\text{-props}$ by $auto$
 then have $B\ (k - v) \neq \{\}$ using $Bf\text{-props}(3)$ $uv\text{-props}$ by $auto$
 then obtain j where $j\text{-prop}: j \in B\ (k - v) \wedge j < n$ using $Bf\text{-props}(2)$ $uv\text{-props}$
 by $force$
 then have $y\ s\ j = s$ if $s < t+1$ for s
 proof
 have $y\ s\ j = S\ (?f\ s)\ j$ using $that$ unfolding $y\text{-def}$ by $auto$
 also have $\dots = (?f\ s)\ (k - v)$ using $Bf\text{-props}(6)$ $f\text{-cube}$ $that\ j\text{-prop}\ \langle k - v <$
 $k \rangle$ by $fast$
 also have $\dots = s$ using $that\ j\text{-prop}\ \langle k - v < k - u \rangle$ by $simp$
 finally show $?thesis$.
 qed
 then show $\exists j < n. \forall s < t+1. y\ s\ j = s$ using $j\text{-prop}$ by $blast$
 qed
 ultimately have $Z1: is\text{-line}\ y\ n\ (t+1)$ unfolding $is\text{-line}\text{-def}$ by $blast$
 moreover
 {
 have $k\text{-colour}: \chi\ e < k$ if $e \in y\ ' \{..<t+1\}$ for e using $\langle y \in \{..<t+1\} \rightarrow_E$
 $cube\ n\ (t+1) \rangle \langle \chi \in cube\ n\ (t+1) \rightarrow_E \{..<k\} \rangle$ that by $auto$
 have $\chi\ e1 = \chi\ e2 \wedge \chi\ e1 < k$ if $e1 \in y\ ' \{..<t+1\}$ $e2 \in y\ ' \{..<t+1\}$ for $e1\ e2$
 proof
 from $that$ obtain $i1\ i2$ where $i\text{-props}: i1 < t+1\ i2 < t+1\ e1 = y\ i1\ e2$
 $= y\ i2$ by $blast$
 from $i\text{-props}(1,2)$ have $\chi\ (y\ i1) = \chi\ (y\ i2)$
 proof (induction $i1\ i2$ rule: $linorder\text{-wlog}$)
 case (le $a\ b$)
 then show $?case$


```

proof (cases  $a = b$ )
  case True
    then show ?thesis by blast
next
  case False
    then have  $a < b$  using le by linarith
    then consider  $b = t \mid b < t$  using le.premis(2) by linarith
    then show ?thesis
    proof cases
      case 1
        then have  $y \ b \in S \text{ ' classes } k \ t \ v$ 
        proof –
          have  $y \ b = S \text{ (?f } b)$  unfolding y-def using  $\langle b = t \rangle$  by auto
          moreover have  $?f \ b \in \text{classes } k \ t \ v$  using  $\langle b = t \rangle$  f-classes-v by blast
          ultimately show  $y \ b \in S \text{ ' classes } k \ t \ v$  by blast
        qed
        moreover have  $x \ u \in \text{classes } k \ t \ u$ 
        proof –
          have  $x \ u \ \text{cord} = t$  if  $\text{cord} \in \{k - u..<k\}$  for cord using uv-props that
unfolding x-def by simp
          moreover
            {
              have  $x \ u \ \text{cord} \neq t$  if  $\text{cord} \in \{..<k - u\}$  for cord using uv-props that
assms(2) unfolding x-def by auto
              then have  $t \notin x \ u \text{ ' } \{..<k - u\}$  by blast
            }
          ultimately show  $x \ u \in \text{classes } k \ t \ u$  unfolding classes-def
            using  $\langle x \text{ ' } \{..k\} \subseteq \text{cube } k \ (t + 1) \rangle$  uv-props by blast
          qed
          moreover have  $x \ v \in \text{classes } k \ t \ v$ 
          proof –
            have  $x \ v \ \text{cord} = t$  if  $\text{cord} \in \{k - v..<k\}$  for cord using uv-props that
unfolding x-def by simp
            moreover
              {
                have  $x \ v \ \text{cord} \neq t$  if  $\text{cord} \in \{..<k - v\}$  for cord using uv-props that
assms(2) unfolding x-def by auto
                then have  $t \notin x \ v \text{ ' } \{..<k - v\}$  by blast
              }
            ultimately show  $x \ v \in \text{classes } k \ t \ v$  unfolding classes-def
              using  $\langle x \text{ ' } \{..k\} \subseteq \text{cube } k \ (t + 1) \rangle$  uv-props by blast
            qed
            moreover have  $\chi \ (y \ b) = \chi \ (S \ (x \ v))$  using assms(1) calculation(1, 3)
unfolding layered-subspace-def
              by (metis imageE uv-props)
            moreover have  $y \ a \in S \text{ ' classes } k \ t \ u$ 
            proof –
              have  $y \ a = S \text{ (?f } a)$  unfolding y-def using  $\langle a < b \rangle$  1 by simp
              moreover have  $?f \ a \in \text{classes } k \ t \ u$  using  $\langle a < b \rangle$  1 f-classes-u by blast

```

```

      ultimately show  $y \ a \in S \text{ ' classes } k \ t \ u$  by blast
    qed
    moreover have  $\chi \ (y \ a) = \chi \ (S \ (x \ u))$  using assms(1) calculation(2, 5)
  unfolding layered-subspace-def
    by (metis imageE uv-props)
    ultimately have  $\chi \ (y \ a) = \chi \ (y \ b)$  using uv-props by simp
    then show ?thesis by blast
  next
  case 2
  then have  $a < t$  using  $\langle a < b \rangle$  less-trans by blast
  then have  $y \ a \in S \text{ ' classes } k \ t \ u$ 
  proof -
    have  $y \ a = S \ (?f \ a)$  unfolding y-def using  $\langle a < t \rangle$  by auto
    moreover have  $?f \ a \in \text{classes } k \ t \ u$  using  $\langle a < t \rangle$  f-classes-u by blast
    ultimately show  $y \ a \in S \text{ ' classes } k \ t \ u$  by blast
  qed
  moreover have  $y \ b \in S \text{ ' classes } k \ t \ u$ 
  proof -
    have  $y \ b = S \ (?f \ b)$  unfolding y-def using  $\langle b < t \rangle$  by auto
    moreover have  $?f \ b \in \text{classes } k \ t \ u$  using  $\langle b < t \rangle$  f-classes-u by blast
    ultimately show  $y \ b \in S \text{ ' classes } k \ t \ u$  by blast
  qed
  ultimately have  $\chi \ (y \ a) = \chi \ (y \ b)$  using assms(1) uv-props unfolding
layered-subspace-def by (metis imageE)
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
next
case (sym a b)
then show ?case by presburger
qed
then show  $\chi \ e1 = \chi \ e2$  using i-props(3,4) by blast
qed (use that(1) k-colour in blast)
then have Z2:  $\exists c < k. \forall e \in y \text{ ' } \{..<t+1\}. \chi \ e = c$ 
  by (meson image-eqI lessThan-iff less-add-one)
}
ultimately show  $\exists L \ c. c < k \wedge \text{is-line } L \ n \ (t + 1) \wedge (\forall y \in L \text{ ' } \{..<t + 1\}. \chi \ y$ 
 $= c)$  by blast
qed

```

2.3 Corollary 6

corollary *lhj-imp-hj*:

```

  assumes ( $\bigwedge r \ k. \text{lhj } r \ t \ k$ )
  and  $t > 0$ 
  shows ( $\text{hj } r \ (t+1)$ )
  using assms(1)[of r r] assms(2) unfolding lhj-def hj-def using layered-subspace-to-mono-line[of
-  $r \ - \ t$ ] by metis

```

2.4 Main result

2.4.1 Edge cases and auxiliary lemmas

lemma *single-point-line*:

assumes $N > 0$

shows *is-line* $(\lambda s \in \{..<1\}. \lambda a \in \{..<N\}. 0) \ N \ 1$

using *assms* **unfolding** *is-line-def cube-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *single-point-line-is-monochromatic*:

assumes $\chi \in \text{cube } N \ 1 \rightarrow_E \{..<r\} \ N > 0$

shows $(\exists c < r. \text{is-line } (\lambda s \in \{..<1\}. \lambda a \in \{..<N\}. 0) \ N \ 1 \wedge (\forall i \in \{..<1\}. \lambda a \in \{..<N\}. 0) \ ' \{..<1\}. \chi \ i = c))$

proof –

have *is-line* $(\lambda s \in \{..<1\}. \lambda a \in \{..<N\}. 0) \ N \ 1$ **using** *assms*(2) *single-point-line* **by** *blast*

moreover **have** $\exists c < r. \chi ((\lambda s \in \{..<1\}. \lambda a \in \{..<N\}. 0) \ j) = c$ **if** $(j::\text{nat}) < 1$ **for** *j* **using** *assms* *line-points-in-cube* *calculation* **that** **unfolding** *cube-def* **by** *blast* **ultimately show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *hj-r-nonzero-t-0*:

assumes $r > 0$

shows *hj* $r \ 0$

proof–

have $(\exists L \ c. \ c < r \wedge \text{is-line } L \ N' \ 0 \wedge (\forall y \in L \ ' \{..<0::\text{nat}\}. \chi \ y = c))$ **if** $N' \geq 1$ $\chi \in \text{cube } N' \ 0 \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}$ **for** $N' \ \chi$

using *assms* *is-line-def* *that*(1) **by** *fastforce*

then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *hj-def* **by** *auto*

qed

Any cube over 1 element always has a single point, which also forms the only line in the cube. Since it's a single point line, it's trivially monochromatic. We show the result for dimension 1.

lemma *hj-t-1*: *hj* $r \ 1$

unfolding *hj-def*

proof–

let $?N = 1$

have $\exists L \ c. \ c < r \wedge \text{is-line } L \ N' \ 1 \wedge (\forall y \in L \ ' \{..<1\}. \chi \ y = c)$ **if** $N' \geq ?N$ $\chi \in \text{cube } N' \ 1 \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}$ **for** $N' \ \chi$ **using** *single-point-line-is-monochromatic*[of $\chi \ N' \ r$] **that** **by** *force*

then show $\exists N > 0. \forall N' \geq N. \forall \chi. \chi \in \text{cube } N' \ 1 \rightarrow_E \{..<r\} \longrightarrow (\exists L \ c. \ c < r \wedge \text{is-line } L \ N' \ 1 \wedge (\forall y \in L \ ' \{..<1\}. \chi \ y = c))$ **by** *blast*

qed

2.4.2 Main theorem

We state the main result *hj* $r \ t$. The explanation for the choice of assumption is offered subsequently.

```

theorem hales-jewett:
  assumes  $\neg(r = 0 \wedge t = 0)$ 
  shows  $hj\ r\ t$ 
  using assms
proof (induction t arbitrary: r)
  case 0
  then show ?case using hj-r-nonzero-t-0[of r] by blast
next
  case (Suc t)
  then show ?case using hj-t-1[of r] hj-imp-lhj[of t] lhj-imp-hj[of t r] by auto
qed

```

We offer a justification for having excluded the special case $r = t = 0$ from the statement of the main theorem $\neg (?r = 0 \wedge ?t = 0) \implies hj\ ?r\ ?t$. The exclusion is a consequence of the fact that colourings are defined as members of the function set $cube\ n\ t \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}$, which for $r = t = 0$ means there's a dummy colouring $\lambda\cdot$. *undefined*, even though $cube\ n\ 0 = \{\}$ for $n > 0$. Hence, in this case, no line exists at all (let alone one monochromatic under the aforementioned colouring). This means $hj\ 0\ 0 \implies False$, but only because of the quirky behaviour of the FuncSet $cube\ n\ t \rightarrow_E \{..<r\}$. This could have been circumvented by letting colourings χ be arbitrary functions with only the constraint $\chi\ 'cube\ n\ t \subseteq \{..<r\}$. We avoided this in order to have consistency with the cube's definition, for which FuncSets were crucial because the proof makes use of the cardinality of the cube—the constraint $x\ ' \{..<n\} \subseteq \{..<t\}$ for elements x of C_t^n would not have sufficed there, as there are infinitely many functions over the naturals satisfying it.

end

References

- [1] R. L. Graham, B. L. Rothschild, and J. H. Spencer. *Ramsey Theory, 2nd Edition*. Wiley-Interscience, hardcover edition, 3 1990.
- [2] K. Kreuzer and M. Eberl. Van der waerden's theorem. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, June 2021. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Van_der_Waerden.html, Formal proof development.