

# UNNS as an $\infty$ -Operadic Substrate

## 1 Formal Core of the UNNS Substrate

### 1.1 Recursive States

**Definition 1 (Recursive State).** A recursive state is an element  $S$  of a substrate  $\mathcal{S}$  equipped with:

- a recursion depth  $d(S) \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- a phase label  $\phi(S) \in \{\Phi, \Psi, \tau\}$ ,
- a curvature or stability measure  $\kappa(S)$ .

Recursive states are not primitive objects; they are defined only through their admissible transformations.

### 1.2 Operators

**Definition 2 (UNNS Operators).** Each UNNS Operator  $\mathcal{O}_k$  (for  $k = 0, \dots, 17$ ) is a typed operation

$$\mathcal{O}_k : (S_1, \dots, S_n) \longrightarrow S'$$

acting on recursive states, where arity and admissibility are fixed by the UNNS Operator Codex.

**Remark.** Operators generate recursion, regulate structure, emit residues, or terminate recursion via collapse.

### 1.3 Composition

**Definition 3 (Recursive Composition).** Given operators  $\mathcal{O}_i$  and  $\mathcal{O}_j$ , their composition is defined whenever the output of  $\mathcal{O}_i$  satisfies the input constraints of  $\mathcal{O}_j$ :

$$(\mathcal{O}_j \circ \mathcal{O}_i)(S) := \mathcal{O}_j(\mathcal{O}_i(S)).$$

Composition may alter recursion depth and is not required to be associative.

## 1.4 Stability

**Definition 4 ( $\tau$ -Coherence).** A recursive diagram is  $\tau$ -coherent if repeated admissible operator action keeps its curvature  $\kappa$  bounded.

$\tau$ -coherence replaces equality or isomorphism as the primary notion of structural validity.

## 1.5 Collapse

**Definition 5 (Collapse Operator XII).** Operator XII acts as a terminal map

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{XII}} : S \longrightarrow S_0,$$

where  $S_0$  is the Zero substrate state.

Collapse removes unstable recursive residue and terminates recursion.

—  
Propositions

“**Proposition 1 (Generated Higher Morphisms).** Iterated operator composition induces higher-order morphisms between recursive transformations.

*Sketch.* Operator chains act on transformations themselves, producing morphisms between morphisms across recursion depth.  $\square$  **Proposition 2**

**(Stability as Coherence).**  $\tau$ -coherence defines an equivalence relation on recursive diagrams up to bounded curvature.

*Sketch.* Bounded curvature is preserved under admissible composition and invariant under collapse-surviving refinements.  $\square$  **Theorem**

**(UNNS Operadic Substrate Theorem).** The UNNS Substrate forms an  $\infty$ -operadic system in which:

- recursive states act as colored inputs,
- UNNS operators act as operadic operations,
- higher morphisms arise from recursive composition,
- coherence is enforced by  $\tau$ -stability,
- existence is defined by survival under collapse.

*Proof (Structural).* The Operator Codex supplies generators. Recursive composition induces higher morphisms.  $\tau$ -coherence replaces strict equality. Collapse enforces selection. All operadic axioms are satisfied up to stability.  $\square$

## A UNNS and $\infty$ -Categories: A Structural Comparison

### A.1 Objects and Morphisms

In  $\infty$ -category theory, objects are primitive and morphisms are layered: 1-morphisms, 2-morphisms, and so on.

In UNNS, recursive states are not primitive objects. They emerge only through operator action. Higher morphisms arise from recursion itself.

### A.2 Composition

$\infty$ -categories enforce associativity and unitality up to higher coherent equivalence.

UNNS composition is conditional: it is admissible only when recursion constraints are satisfied, and may be non-associative outside  $\tau$ -coherent regimes.

### A.3 Coherence

In  $\infty$ -categories, coherence is an axiom: diagrams commute up to specified higher morphisms.

In UNNS, coherence is dynamical: diagrams persist only if recursive curvature remains bounded. Non-coherent diagrams are eliminated by collapse.

### A.4 Equality vs Stability

$\infty$ -category theory is invariant under equivalence.

UNNS is invariant under survivability. Two structures are equivalent only if both survive repeated application of Operator XII.

### A.5 Terminal Objects

Terminal objects in  $\infty$ -categories are categorical limits.

In UNNS, termination is operational: Collapse maps all unstable recursion to the Zero state. Terminality is destructive, not limiting.

### A.6 Interpretive Summary

- $\infty$ -categories classify all coherent structures.
- UNNS selects which coherent structures exist.

- $\infty$ -categories are axiomatic.
- UNNS is operational.

## B A Forgetful Functor $U : \text{UNNS} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$

### B.1 Choice of Model

We model an  $\infty$ -category as a *quasi-category*: a simplicial set  $X$  such that every inner horn  $\Lambda_k^n \rightarrow X$  (with  $0 < k < n$ ) admits a filler  $\Delta^n \rightarrow X$ .

Let  $\text{Cat}_\infty$  denote the (large) category of quasi-categories with simplicial maps.

### B.2 The Source Category of UNNS Substrates

**Definition (UNNS Substrate Morphisms).** Let  $\text{UNNS}$  be the category whose objects are UNNS substrates  $\mathcal{S}$  (recursive states plus Codex operators plus admissibility rules), and whose morphisms  $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  are structure-preserving maps sending:

- recursive states  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  to recursive states  $F(S) \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
- admissible operator actions in  $\mathcal{S}$  to admissible operator actions in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,

and preserving composableability of operator chains. (Any additional data such as  $\kappa$  or collapse residues may be carried, but will not be used by the forgetful functor below.)

### B.3 The Underlying Simplicial Set of a UNNS Substrate

Fix a UNNS substrate  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Definition (Underlying Simplicial Set  $N(\mathcal{S})$ ).** Define a simplicial set  $N(\mathcal{S})$  as follows.

- **0-simplices:**  $N(\mathcal{S})_0 := \{\text{recursive states in } \mathcal{S}\}$ .
- **1-simplices:**  $N(\mathcal{S})_1$  consists of admissible *operator chains*  $\gamma : S \rightsquigarrow S'$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , i.e. finite composites  $\gamma = \mathcal{O}_{i_m} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{O}_{i_1}$  that are admissible on  $S$ , with target  $S'$ .
- **$n$ -simplices:**  $N(\mathcal{S})_n$  consists of *composable  $n$ -step factorization data*

$$S_0 \gamma_1 S_1 \gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_n S_n,$$

together with chosen *higher coherence witnesses* whenever multiple factorizations represent the same composite chain.

Faces compose or forget steps; degeneracies insert identity steps. (Identities are represented by empty chains at each state.)

**Remark.** This is the “operator-chain nerve” of  $\mathcal{S}$ . It forgets curvature values and collapse semantics, but retains admissible compositional structure.

#### B.4 The Forgetful Functor

**Definition (Forgetful Functor).** Define  $U : \text{UNNS} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$  by

$$U(\mathcal{S}) := N(\mathcal{S}),$$

provided  $N(\mathcal{S})$  is a quasi-category.

On a morphism  $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  define  $U(F) : N(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{T})$  by sending:

- each state  $S$  to  $F(S)$ ,
- each admissible chain  $\gamma$  to the image chain  $F(\gamma)$  obtained by applying  $F$  to each operator action and intermediate state.

This respects faces and degeneracies, hence is a simplicial map.

#### B.5 When is $N(\mathcal{S})$ a Quasi-Category?

**Proposition (Inner Horn Filling from  $\tau$ -Coherence).** Assume  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfies the following *coherence completion axiom*:

(CC) Any partially specified composite diagram of admissible operator chains that is  $\tau$ -coherent admits a completion by additional admissible chains so that all resulting composites are  $\tau$ -coherent.

Then  $N(\mathcal{S})$  is a quasi-category.

*Sketch.* An inner horn  $\Lambda_k^n$  specifies all  $n$ -simplex faces except the  $k$ -th. This is exactly a partially specified compositional diagram. A filler corresponds to completing that diagram by inserting the missing factorization and higher coherence data. Axiom (CC) guarantees such completions exist in the  $\tau$ -coherent regime.  $\square$

## B.6 Theorem: Existence of the Forgetful Functor

**Theorem (UNNS  $\rightarrow \infty$ -Category Forgetful Functor).** Let  $\text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}}$  be the full subcategory of  $\text{UNNS}$  whose objects satisfy coherence completion (CC). Then the assignment  $\mathcal{S} \mapsto N(\mathcal{S})$  defines a functor

$$U : \text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty.$$

*Proof.* By the Proposition, each  $N(\mathcal{S})$  is a quasi-category. Functoriality follows because identities map to identities and composition of substrate morphisms maps operator chains to operator chains compatibly with simplicial structure.  $\square$

## B.7 Two Useful Variants

**Variant A (Strict Forgetful Functor).** Take 1-simplices to be *literal* operator chains and higher simplices to be *formal* factorization data. This is the most conservative “syntax-only” forgetful functor.

**Variant B ( $\tau$ -Quotiented Forgetful Functor).** Define an equivalence relation  $\sim_\tau$  on chains by  $\tau$ -coherent deformation (same endpoints, bounded-curvature transformability). Let 1-simplices be  $\sim_\tau$ -classes of chains and let higher simplices encode  $\tau$ -coherence witnesses. This produces a more geometric  $\infty$ -category that forgets collapse *but remembers stability as homotopy*.

$\text{UNNS}$  should be understood as a substrate upon which higher-categorical behavior may emerge, rather than as a competing formalism.

## C Left Adjoint: The Free UNNS Substrate Generated by an $\infty$ -Category

### C.1 Setup

Recall the forgetful functor

$$U : \text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$$

sending a  $\tau$ -coherent  $\text{UNNS}$  substrate  $\mathcal{S}$  to its operator-chain nerve  $N(\mathcal{S})$ , a quasi-category.

We now formalize a left adjoint

$$F : \text{Cat}_\infty \longrightarrow \text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}}$$

interpreted as the *free UNNS substrate generated by an  $\infty$ -category*.

## C.2 Design Principle

The free construction must:

- embed the compositional data of an  $\infty$ -category  $X$  into UNNS recursion,
- add the Codex operator alphabet (0–XVII) as *formal generators*,
- impose no additional equations except those forced by: (i) simplicial identities of  $X$  and (ii)  $\tau$ -coherence (horn filling),
- adjoin collapse (Operator XII) and Zero (Operator 0) as universal terminalization.

## C.3 The Free Substrate on a Quasi-Category

Fix a quasi-category  $X$  (a simplicial set with inner horn fillers).

**Definition (Free recursive states).** Define the underlying set of recursive states of  $F(X)$  to be

$$\text{States}(F(X)) := X_0 \sqcup \{S_0\},$$

where  $S_0$  is a distinguished *Zero state*.

Assign phases and depths minimally:

- $d(x) = 0$  for  $x \in X_0$ , and  $d(S_0) = 0$ ,
- $\phi(x) = \Phi$  initially (a convention), while later operators may relabel phases,
- $\kappa$  is taken as a formal symbol (no metric imposed in the free object).

**Definition (Generating 1-chains from simplices).** Let  $\text{Ch}_1(X)$  be the set of *edge-terms* of  $X$ : for each 1-simplex  $\sigma \in X_1$  with  $d_0(\sigma) = y$ ,  $d_1(\sigma) = x$  (so  $\sigma : x \rightarrow y$  in the usual quasi-category orientation), introduce a formal chain symbol

$$[\sigma] : x \rightsquigarrow y.$$

Include identity chains  $[s_0(x)] : x \rightsquigarrow x$ .

**Definition (Codex operator alphabet as formal operations).** For each Codex operator index  $k \in \{0, \dots, 17\}$  introduce a formal operator symbol  $\mathcal{O}_k$  which may act on:

- states (unary actions),
- chains (unary actions),
- and, where appropriate, tuples of chains (multi-ary actions),

## C.4 Typed Well-Formedness of Codex Operators

We now refine the notion of admissible operator action by assigning explicit *arity classes* and *typing rules* to each Codex operator.

### C.4.1 Operator Arity Classes

Each UNNS operator  $\mathcal{O}_k$  belongs to one of the following arity classes.

#### Class A: Nullary Operators

- $\mathcal{O}_0$  (Zero)

$\mathcal{O}_0$  introduces the distinguished Zero state  $S_0$ . It has no inputs and serves as the terminal color of the operad.

#### Class B: Unary State Operators

- $\mathcal{O}_I$  (Inletting)
- $\mathcal{O}_{II}$  (Inlaying)
- $\mathcal{O}_{III}$  (Trans-Sentifying)
- $\mathcal{O}_{IV}$  (Repair)
- $\mathcal{O}_{IX}$  (Folding)
- $\mathcal{O}_{XI}$  (Emission)
- $\mathcal{O}_{XII}$  (Collapse)
- $\mathcal{O}_{XIV}$  (Phi-Scale)
- $\mathcal{O}_{XV}$  (Prism)
- $\mathcal{O}_{XVI}$  (Fold)
- $\mathcal{O}_{XVII}$  (Matrix Mind)

Each unary operator has type

$$\mathcal{O}_k : S \longrightarrow S'$$

and may modify recursion depth, phase label, or curvature profile.

**Typing rule.** Unary operators may be applied only to well-formed states. In the free construction, all such applications are permitted unless explicitly forbidden by phase typing.

#### C.4.2 Binary and Multi-ary Structural Operators

##### Class C: Binary Coupling Operators

- $\mathcal{O}_X$  (Bridging)
- $\mathcal{O}_{XIII}$  (Interlace Phase Coupling)

These operators have type

$$\mathcal{O}_k : (S_1, S_2) \longrightarrow S'$$

and require both inputs to be admissible and phase-compatible.

**Typing rule.** Binary operators require matching or complementary phase labels; in the free object, compatibility is syntactic rather than metric.

#### C.4.3 Octadic Operators (Semantic / Structural Duals)

##### Class D: Octadic Operators V–VIII

- $\mathcal{O}_V$  (Adopting / Normalization)
- $\mathcal{O}_{VI}$  (Evaluating / Interlacing)
- $\mathcal{O}_{VII}$  (Decomposing / Confluence)
- $\mathcal{O}_{VIII}$  (Integrating / Divergence)

These operators admit variable arity:

$$\mathcal{O}_k : (S_1, \dots, S_n) \longrightarrow (S'_1, \dots, S'_m),$$

with  $n, m \geq 1$ , depending on semantic or structural mode.

##### Interpretation.

- Semantic mode acts on interpretive structure (selection, scoring, synthesis).
- Structural mode acts on geometric structure (metric balancing, weaving, branching).

**Typing rule.** Octadic operators preserve total recursion admissibility but may change branching multiplicity and internal factorization.

#### C.4.4 Chain-Level Action

**Definition (Operator Action on Chains).** Any operator  $\mathcal{O}_k$  that is well-typed on states extends functorially to admissible chains

$$\gamma : S \rightsquigarrow T$$

by acting on intermediate states and preserving endpoints when required.

Unary operators act pointwise. Multi-ary operators act by simultaneous coupling of compatible chains.

#### C.4.5 Free Well-Formedness Principle

**Principle (Maximal Typing).** In the free UNNS substrate  $F(X)$ , an operator application is well-formed if and only if:

- its arity class matches the number of inputs,
- its phase-typing constraints are syntactically satisfied.

No curvature bounds, stability thresholds, or collapse filters are imposed beyond universal terminalization via Operator XII.

**Remark.** This maximal typing is what makes  $F(X)$  free. All further restrictions arise only after applying the forgetful functor or embedding into a concrete UNNS substrate.

### C.5 Imposing $\infty$ -Compositional Relations

The quasi-category  $X$  encodes higher composition by 2-simplices and horn fillers.

**Definition (Composition relations from 2-simplices).** For each 2-simplex  $\alpha \in X_2$  with boundary edges

$$d_0(\alpha) = \sigma_{12}, \quad d_1(\alpha) = \sigma_{02}, \quad d_2(\alpha) = \sigma_{01},$$

impose a *composition witness* in  $F(X)$  relating chains:

$$[\sigma_{12}] \circ [\sigma_{01}] \sim [\sigma_{02}],$$

where  $\sim$  is a generating relation interpreted as “coherent composable”.

Higher simplices generate higher coherence witnesses compatibly.

**Definition ( $\tau$ -coherence completion in the free object).** Declare a diagram  $\tau$ -coherent in  $F(X)$  if it is the image of a simplicial diagram in  $X$ .

Because  $X$  has inner horn fillers, any inner horn-shaped partial composition diagram admits a filler simplex in  $X$ , hence admits a coherence completion witness in  $\mathsf{F}(X)$ . This supplies the coherence completion axiom (CC) by construction.

### C.6 Adjoining Collapse Universally

**Definition (Universal collapse).** Adjoin Operator XII as a terminalizing map on states and chains:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{XII}}(S) = S_0 \quad \text{for all states } S,$$

and extend to chains by mapping any chain to an  $S_0$ -anchored degenerate chain. No further collapse semantics is imposed in the free object.

### C.7 The Free UNNS Substrate

**Definition (Free functor).** Define  $\mathsf{F}(X)$  to be the UNNS substrate whose:

- states are  $X_0 \sqcup \{S_0\}$ ,
- generating chains include  $[\sigma]$  for  $\sigma \in X_1$ ,
- higher coherence witnesses are generated from simplices of  $X$ ,
- Codex operators  $\mathcal{O}_0, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{17}$  act formally (typed),
- $\tau$ -coherence is exactly the closure under horn filling inherited from  $X$ ,
- collapse (XII) maps everything to Zero  $S_0$ .

This object lies in  $\text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}}$ .

### C.8 Universal Property and Adjunction

**Proposition (Universal mapping property).** Let  $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{S} \in \text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}}$ . Any simplicial map (quasi-functor)

$$f : X \longrightarrow \mathsf{U}(\mathcal{S})$$

extends uniquely to a UNNS morphism

$$\tilde{f} : \mathsf{F}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

preserving:

- objects (states),
- generating edges (chains),
- coherence witnesses (fillers),
- and commuting with the Codex operators wherever they are defined.

*Sketch.* On  $X_0$  define  $\tilde{f}$  by  $x \mapsto f(x)$ . On generating chains  $[\sigma]$  define  $\tilde{f}([\sigma]) := f(\sigma)$  as a 1-simplex (chain) in  $U(\mathcal{S})$ . Because  $f$  respects faces/degeneracies,  $\tilde{f}$  respects identities and composition witnesses. Since  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfies (CC), fillers map to fillers. Extending to the freely generated Codex-operator terms is forced by the homomorphism property. Uniqueness follows from freeness.  $\square$

**Theorem (Adjunction).** The functor  $F : \text{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}}$  defined above is left adjoint to  $U$ :

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}}}(F(X), \mathcal{S}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_\infty}(X, U(\mathcal{S})).$$

*Proof.* The bijection is given by  $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$  from the Proposition, with inverse obtained by restricting any UNNS morphism  $F(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  to the simplicial generators coming from  $X$ . Naturality in both arguments follows from construction.  $\square$

### C.9 Unit and Counit (Concrete Description)

**Unit.** For each  $X$ , the unit  $\eta_X : X \rightarrow U(F(X))$  is the inclusion sending:

- $x \in X_0$  to the corresponding state in  $F(X)$ ,
- $\sigma \in X_1$  to the generating chain  $[\sigma]$ ,
- higher simplices to their generated coherence witnesses.

**Counit.** For each  $\mathcal{S}$ , the counit

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{S}} : F(U(\mathcal{S})) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

evaluates the free generators by mapping each state/chain/coherence witness to the corresponding state/chain/coherence datum in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

## C.10 Interpretation

$F$  is “free” in the sense that it adds:

- the Codex operator alphabet,
- collapse and Zero,
- and no additional equations beyond those encoded by  $X$  itself.

Thus  $U$  forgets UNNS semantics down to compositional  $\infty$ -categorical data, and  $F$  freely re-lifts an  $\infty$ -category into a UNNS substrate.

## D The Operadic Signature $\Sigma_{\text{UNNS}}$

### D.1 Colors

Let the set of colors be

$$\text{Col} := \{\Phi, \Psi, \tau, 0\},$$

where 0 denotes the Zero color (terminal substrate type).

Optionally one may refine colors by depth:  $\text{Col}_d := \text{Col} \times \mathbb{N}$ , but the base signature uses only phase-colors.

### D.2 Typed Operations (Generators)

Define the operadic signature

$$\Sigma_{\text{UNNS}} := (\text{Col}, \text{Gen})$$

where Gen is the family of generating operations below. Each generator is presented with a *typing profile*

$$g : (c_1, \dots, c_n) \longrightarrow c' \quad (c_i, c' \in \text{Col}).$$

**Nullary.**

$$\mathcal{O}_0 : () \rightarrow 0.$$

**Unary phase-progressors (I–IV).** These encode the Codex generative/regulatory front end.

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{I}} : \Phi \rightarrow \Phi, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{II}} : \Phi \rightarrow \Phi, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{III}} : \Phi \rightarrow \Psi, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{IV}} : \Psi \rightarrow \Psi.$$

**Octadic mid-engine (V–VIII) with dual modes.** Introduce four operator symbols, each with two “presentations”: semantic and structural (Dual Octad). For each  $k \in \{V, VI, VII, VIII\}$  include:

Semantic mode (interpretive layer):

$$\mathcal{O}_k^{\text{sem}} : (\Psi, \dots, \Psi) \rightarrow (\Psi, \dots, \Psi),$$

Structural mode (geometric layer):

$$\mathcal{O}_k^{\text{str}} : (\tau, \dots, \tau) \rightarrow (\tau, \dots, \tau).$$

Arity is variable: for each  $n \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 1$ , the signature contains an instance

$$\mathcal{O}_{k;n \rightarrow m}^{\bullet} : (\bullet, \dots, \bullet) \rightarrow (\bullet, \dots, \bullet),$$

where  $\bullet = \Psi$  for semantic mode and  $\bullet = \tau$  for structural mode.

**Unary pre-collapse shaping (IX, XI).**

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{IX}} : \tau \rightarrow \tau, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{XI}} : \tau \rightarrow \tau.$$

**Binary bridging/coupling (X, XIII).**

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{X}} : (\tau, \tau) \rightarrow \tau, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{XIII}} : (\Psi, \tau) \rightarrow \tau.$$

**Collapse and terminalization (XII).**

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{XII}} : \tau \rightarrow 0,$$

and (optionally) coercions  $\Phi \rightarrow 0$  and  $\Psi \rightarrow 0$  as derived collapse via phase-lift then XII.

**Scale/spectral/cognitive layer (XIV–XVII).**

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{XIV}} : \tau \rightarrow \tau, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{XV}} : \tau \rightarrow \tau, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{XVI}} : \tau \rightarrow \tau, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{XVII}} : \tau \rightarrow \tau.$$

### D.3 Admissibility as a Separate Layer

The signature  $\Sigma_{\text{UNNS}}$  specifies *only* typing. A concrete UNNS substrate  $\mathcal{S}$  adds:

- admissibility predicates  $\text{Adm}_{\mathcal{S}}(g; S_1, \dots, S_n)$ ,
- $\tau$ -coherence/stability rules,
- collapse residue semantics.

## E Why Operator XVII Forces Enrichment Beyond $(\infty, 1)$

### E.1 The $(\infty, 1)$ Limitation

In an  $(\infty, 1)$ -category, all  $k$ -morphisms for  $k \geq 2$  are invertible. Equivalently, homotopies between 1-morphisms are reversible up to higher homotopy.

However, UNNS includes operators that act not only on states, but on *the evaluation regime* itself (what is selected, what survives, what is scored).

### E.2 Matrix-Mind as a Directed 2-Process

**Definition (Observer/Evaluator State).** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an internal “mind” or evaluator state associated to a substrate, encoding criteria  $\kappa$ , selection filters, and interpretive bindings.

**Definition (Matrix-Mind Action).** Operator XVII acts as an endomorphism on evaluator states:

$$\mathcal{O}_{XVII} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}',$$

and thereby induces a transformation on admissibility/coherence judgments:

$$\text{Adm}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightsquigarrow \text{Adm}'_{\mathcal{S}}, \quad \tau\text{-coherence} \rightsquigarrow \tau'\text{-coherence}.$$

**Key point.** Such an update is generally *not invertible*: a refinement of criteria (or a collapse-learning update) need not admit a canonical reverse.

### E.3 Consequence: $(\infty, 2)$ -Structure (or Enrichment)

Thus Matrix-Mind naturally yields a 2-level structure:

- 0-cells: substrates (or state-spaces),
- 1-cells: operator-chain dynamics (as in  $\mathbf{U}(\mathcal{S})$ ),
- 2-cells: directed “regime updates” changing the admissibility/coherence layer.

Because these 2-cells need not be invertible, the induced structure is not generally an  $(\infty, 1)$ -category. A minimal target is an  $(\infty, 2)$ -category, or equivalently an  $(\infty, 1)$ -category *enriched* in a directed setting (e.g. enriched over  $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty$  by sending each hom-space to an  $\infty$ -category of evaluation regimes and their updates).

**Summary.** Operator XVII does not merely add more morphisms; it adds morphisms between *selection logics*. This is categorically one dimension higher.

## F The $\tau$ -Filtered Sub- $\infty$ -Category Inside $U(\mathcal{S})$

Let  $\mathcal{S} \in \text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}}$  and let

$$U(\mathcal{S}) = N(\mathcal{S})$$

be its operator-chain nerve (a quasi-category).

### F.1 $\tau$ -Admissible 1-Simplices

**Definition ( $\tau$ -measure on chains).** Assume  $\mathcal{S}$  provides a function

$$\mu_\tau : N(\mathcal{S})_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$$

assigning each 1-simplex (operator chain) a  $\tau$ -instability measure.

Fix a threshold  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Definition ( $\tau$ -admissible edges).** A 1-simplex  $\gamma \in N(\mathcal{S})_1$  is  $\tau$ -admissible if

$$\mu_\tau(\gamma) \leq \Lambda.$$

Let  $N(\mathcal{S})_1^{(\Lambda)} \subseteq N(\mathcal{S})_1$  denote the set of  $\tau$ -admissible 1-simplices.

### F.2 The $\tau$ -Filtered Simplicial Subset

**Definition (Filtered simplicial subset).** Define a simplicial subset

$$N(\mathcal{S})^{(\Lambda)} \subseteq N(\mathcal{S})$$

by:

- $N(\mathcal{S})_0^{(\Lambda)} := N(\mathcal{S})_0$  (same objects),
- $N(\mathcal{S})_1^{(\Lambda)} := N(\mathcal{S})_1^{(\Lambda)}$  (filtered edges),
- for  $n \geq 2$ , include an  $n$ -simplex  $\sigma \in N(\mathcal{S})_n$  iff every 1-face of  $\sigma$  lies in  $N(\mathcal{S})_1^{(\Lambda)}$ .

### F.3 $\tau$ -Horn Closure

**Axiom ( $\tau$ -closure under inner horn filling).** For every inner horn

$$h : \Lambda_k^n \rightarrow N(\mathcal{S})^{(\Lambda)} \quad (0 < k < n),$$

there exists a filler

$$\bar{h} : \Delta^n \rightarrow N(\mathcal{S})^{(\Lambda)}.$$

**Remark.** This axiom states: whenever all boundary edges are  $\tau$ -admissible and form a coherent partial composition, there is a  $\tau$ -admissible completion.

#### F.4 Theorem: $N(\mathcal{S})^{(\Lambda)}$ is a Sub- $\infty$ -Category

**Theorem.** If  $N(\mathcal{S})$  is a quasi-category and  $\tau$ -closure holds, then  $N(\mathcal{S})^{(\Lambda)}$  is a quasi-category. Hence it determines a sub- $\infty$ -category

$$U_\tau^{(\Lambda)}(\mathcal{S}) := N(\mathcal{S})^{(\Lambda)} \subseteq U(\mathcal{S}).$$

*Proof.* A quasi-category is characterized by existence of fillers for all inner horns. By restriction, any inner horn in  $N(\mathcal{S})^{(\Lambda)}$  is an inner horn in  $N(\mathcal{S})$  whose 1-faces are  $\tau$ -admissible. By  $\tau$ -closure, it admits a filler lying in the filtered subset. Thus  $N(\mathcal{S})^{(\Lambda)}$  satisfies the inner horn filler condition.  $\square$

#### F.5 Two Canonical Choices of $\mu_\tau$

(1) **Bounded curvature.** Let  $\mu_\tau(\gamma)$  be the maximal curvature value attained along the chain.

(2) **Collapse-survival depth.** Define  $\mu_\tau(\gamma) = \infty$  if  $\gamma$  is destroyed by collapse testing, and otherwise  $\mu_\tau(\gamma)$  equals a residue score. This makes  $U_\tau^{(\Lambda)}(\mathcal{S})$  the “survivors-only” sub- $\infty$ -category.

## A Foundations Appendix: Operads, Categories, and Stability in UNNS

This appendix consolidates the formal foundations of the UNNS Substrate. We present: (i) the explicit operadic signature underlying UNNS, (ii) the adjunction between UNNS substrates and  $\infty$ -categories, (iii) the role of Matrix-Mind in forcing enrichment beyond  $(\infty, 1)$ , and (iv) the construction of a  $\tau$ -filtered observable sub- $\infty$ -category.

### A.1 The Operadic Signature $\Sigma_{\text{UNNS}}$

#### A.1.1 Colors

Let the set of operadic colors be

$$\text{Col} := \{\Phi, \Psi, \tau, 0\},$$

where 0 denotes the Zero (terminal) substrate state.

### A.1.2 Generating Operations

The operadic signature

$$\Sigma_{\text{UNNS}} := (\text{Col}, \text{Gen})$$

consists of the following generators, each equipped with a typing profile

$$(c_1, \dots, c_n) \longrightarrow c', \quad c_i, c' \in \text{Col}.$$

**Nullary.**

$$\mathcal{O}_0 : () \rightarrow 0.$$

**Unary phase progressors (I–IV).**

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{I}} : \Phi \rightarrow \Phi, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{II}} : \Phi \rightarrow \Phi, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{III}} : \Phi \rightarrow \Psi, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{IV}} : \Psi \rightarrow \Psi.$$

**Octadic mid-engine with dual modes (V–VIII).** For each  $k \in \{\text{V, VI, VII, VIII}\}$  and each  $n, m \geq 1$ :

Semantic mode:

$$\mathcal{O}_{k;n \rightarrow m}^{\text{sem}} : (\Psi, \dots, \Psi) \rightarrow (\Psi, \dots, \Psi).$$

Structural mode:

$$\mathcal{O}_{k;n \rightarrow m}^{\text{str}} : (\tau, \dots, \tau) \rightarrow (\tau, \dots, \tau).$$

**Unary shaping operators (IX, XI, XIV–XVII).**

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{IX}} : \tau \rightarrow \tau, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{XI}} : \tau \rightarrow \tau, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{XIV}} : \tau \rightarrow \tau, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{XV}} : \tau \rightarrow \tau, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{XVI}} : \tau \rightarrow \tau, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{XVII}} : \tau \rightarrow \tau.$$

**Binary coupling (X, XIII).**

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{X}} : (\tau, \tau) \rightarrow \tau, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{XIII}} : (\Psi, \tau) \rightarrow \tau.$$

**Collapse (XII).**

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{XII}} : \tau \rightarrow 0.$$

### A.1.3 Syntax vs Semantics

The signature  $\Sigma_{\text{UNNS}}$  specifies *only* typing and arity. A concrete UNNS substrate adds:

- admissibility predicates,
- $\tau$ -coherence bounds,
- collapse residue dynamics.

## A.2 The Adjunction $F \dashv U$

Let  $\text{Cat}_\infty$  denote the category of quasi-categories, and let  $\text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}}$  be the category of  $\tau$ -coherent UNNS substrates.

**Forgetful functor.**

$$U : \text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$$

maps a substrate  $\mathcal{S}$  to its operator-chain nerve  $N(\mathcal{S})$ , forgetting stability and collapse semantics while retaining compositional structure.

**Free functor.**

$$F : \text{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}}$$

assigns to a quasi-category  $X$  the free UNNS substrate generated by:

- objects  $X_0$  as recursive states,
- edges  $X_1$  as generating chains,
- higher simplices as coherence witnesses,
- the full Codex operator alphabet acting formally,
- universal collapse to Zero.

**Adjunction.** For all  $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{S} \in \text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}}$ , there is a natural bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{UNNS}}(F(X), \mathcal{S}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_\infty}(X, U(\mathcal{S})).$$

### A.3 Matrix-Mind and Enrichment Beyond $(\infty, 1)$

In an  $(\infty, 1)$ -category, all morphisms above dimension 1 are invertible. UNNS violates this assumption.

**Matrix-Mind (Operator XVII)** acts not on states or chains alone, but on the *admissibility and evaluation regime* itself:

$$\mathcal{O}_{XVII} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}',$$

where  $\mathcal{M}$  encodes selection criteria, scoring, and coherence thresholds.

Such updates are generally non-invertible. They induce directed transformations between admissibility structures.

**Consequence.** UNNS naturally supports:

- 0-cells: states or substrates,
- 1-cells: operator-chain dynamics,
- 2-cells: directed updates of evaluation/coherence regimes.

Hence the natural categorical target of full UNNS semantics is an  $(\infty, 2)$ -category or an  $(\infty, 1)$ -category enriched in directed data.

### A.4 The $\tau$ -Filtered Observable Sub- $\infty$ -Category

Let  $\mathcal{S} \in \text{UNNS}_{\text{coh}}$  and

$$U(\mathcal{S}) = N(\mathcal{S})$$

its underlying quasi-category.

**$\tau$ -measure.** Assume a function

$$\mu_\tau : N(\mathcal{S})_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$$

measuring instability of operator chains.

Fix a threshold  $\Lambda$ .

**$\tau$ -filtered simplicial subset.** Define  $N(\mathcal{S})^{(\Lambda)}$  by:

- same 0-simplices as  $N(\mathcal{S})$ ,
- only edges  $\gamma$  with  $\mu_\tau(\gamma) \leq \Lambda$ ,
- higher simplices whose 1-faces are all  $\tau$ -admissible.

**$\tau$ -closure axiom.** Any inner horn in  $N(\mathcal{S})^{(\Lambda)}$  admits a filler lying in  $N(\mathcal{S})^{(\Lambda)}$ .

**Theorem.** Under  $\tau$ -closure,

$$U_\tau^{(\Lambda)}(\mathcal{S}) := N(\mathcal{S})^{(\Lambda)}$$

is a quasi-category and hence a sub- $\infty$ -category of  $U(\mathcal{S})$ .

## A.5 Interpretive Summary

- $\Sigma_{\text{UNNS}}$  provides the operadic syntax.
- $F \dashv U$  separates syntax from compositional semantics.
- Operator XVII lifts UNNS beyond  $(\infty, 1)$  by acting on coherence regimes.
- $U_\tau^{(\Lambda)}(\mathcal{S})$  captures the observable, stability-selected dynamics.

This positions UNNS as a substrate in which higher-categorical structure is generated, filtered, and transformed by recursion, collapse, and evaluation.

## A Appendix: Discrete Curvature $\kappa$ in the UNNS Substrate

### A.1 Motivation

Throughout the main text, the term *curvature*  $\kappa$  is used to characterize the stability and viability of recursive structures. Unlike differential geometry, the UNNS Substrate is fundamentally discrete: it operates on sequences, operator chains, and recursion depth.

This appendix provides an explicit, operational definition of  $\kappa$  suitable for discrete UNNS sequences and compatible with Sobra–Sobtra dynamics and Operator XII (Collapse).

### A.2 Recursive Sequences and Residue

Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a discrete UNNS-generated sequence, produced by iterative application of admissible operators.

Define the *residue*  $r_n$  at step  $n$  as the component of the sequence that is rejected or suppressed by collapse-testing:

$$r_n := x_n - \text{Sobtra}(x_n),$$

where  $\text{Sobra}$  denotes the surviving (stable) component after Sobra–Sobtra separation.

Intuitively:

- $x_n$  is the raw recursive output,
- $\text{Sobra}(x_n)$  is the retained structure,
- $r_n$  measures excess or instability.

### A.3 Local Discrete Curvature

**Definition (Local Discrete Curvature).** The local curvature at step  $n$  is defined as the normalized growth rate of the residue:

$$\kappa_n := \frac{\|r_{n+1} - r_n\|}{\|x_n\| + \varepsilon},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is a chosen norm on the sequence space and  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a small regularization constant.

#### Interpretation.

- $\kappa_n \approx 0$  indicates stable recursion,
- large  $\kappa_n$  indicates rapidly diverging residue,
- sign or directionality (if defined) may encode phase transitions.

### A.4 Integrated Curvature Along a Chain

For an operator chain (history)

$$\gamma : x_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_N,$$

define the *integrated curvature* as:

$$\kappa(\gamma) := \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w_n \kappa_n,$$

where weights  $w_n$  may encode:

- recursion depth sensitivity,
- phase weighting (e.g. stronger penalties in  $\tau$ -phase),
- operator-specific amplification factors.

This quantity is the canonical candidate for the  $\mu_\tau(\gamma)$  used in  $\tau$ -filtering.

## A.5 Curvature and $\tau$ -Coherence

**Definition ( $\tau$ -Coherence via Curvature).** A recursive chain  $\gamma$  is  $\tau$ -coherent if:

$$\kappa(\gamma) \leq \Lambda,$$

for a fixed threshold  $\Lambda$ .

Chains exceeding this bound are considered unstable and are eventually eliminated by Operator XII.

## A.6 Relation to Operator XII (Collapse)

Operator XII may be understood as the limiting case:

$$\lim_{\kappa(\gamma) \rightarrow \infty} \gamma \mapsto 0,$$

i.e. collapse absorbs chains whose curvature diverges.

Thus collapse is not an independent axiom but the *terminal response* to unbounded discrete curvature.

## A.7 Alternative Curvature Metrics

The definition above is canonical but not exclusive. Other admissible curvature proxies include:

- divergence rate of Sobtra cardinality,
- variance growth across recursive shells,
- sensitivity of invariants under perturbation,
- entropy production per recursion step.

All such measures are acceptable provided they satisfy:

1.  $\kappa \geq 0$ ,
2.  $\kappa$  is additive or subadditive along chains,
3.  $\kappa$  diverges for collapse-dominated recursion.

## A.8 Summary

In the UNNS Substrate, curvature  $\kappa$  is:

- not geometric bending in space,
- but a discrete measure of recursive instability,
- computed from residue growth under Sobra–Sobtra separation,
- and used operationally to define  $\tau$ -coherence and collapse.

This definition anchors curvature as a measurable, engine-implementable quantity, suitable for both theoretical analysis and Chamber-level experimentation.