

Distribution

X and Y are rvs with joint pdf $p_{XY}(x, y)$, then
 $p_{Y|X}(y|x) = P(Y = y|X = x) = \frac{P(X=x, Y=y)}{P(X=x)} = \frac{p_{XY}(x, y)}{p_X(x)}$ Function of rvs:
If X, Y are differentiable, 1 to 1 transformation, and $f_X(x)$ is the pdf of X , and $Y = g(X)$, where g is differentiable and strictly monotone, then

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(g^{-1}(y)) \left| \frac{dg^{-1}(y)}{dy} \right|$$

If $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is multivariate continuous and $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = g(X)$ where g is 1 to 1 i.e. $X = g^{-1}(Y) = w(Y)$ assume w have continuous partial derivatives, and let

$$J = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial w_1(y)}{\partial y_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial w_1(y)}{\partial y_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial w_n(y)}{\partial y_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial w_n(y)}{\partial y_n} \end{vmatrix}$$

then $f_Y(y) = f_X(g^{-1}(y))|J|$

Example: $Y = X_2/X_1$. Let $Y_1 = \frac{X_2}{X_1}$, $Y_2 = X_1$, then $X_2 = Y_1 Y_2$, then
 $w_1(y) = y_2, w_2(y) = y_1 y_2$, then $J = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & y_1 \\ y_2 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$ and
 $f_Y(y) = f_X(y)|J| = f_X(y_2, y_1 y_2)|J| = |f_X(y_2, y_1 y_2)| - y_2|$

the marginal pdf of y_1 is

$$f_{Y_1}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(y_2, y_1 y_2)| - y_2| dy_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x_1| f_X(x_1, x_1 y) dx_1$$

since $y_2 = x_1$
for $Z = X + Y$
 $f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(z-y) f_Y(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_Y(z-y) f_X(x) dx$

Moment

$$M_X(t) = \Phi(s) = E(e^{tX}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{tx} dx$$

Properties:

- $M_X^{(r)}(t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{d^r}{dt^r} e^{tX}\right] = \mathbb{E}[X^r e^{tX}] \quad M_X^{(r)}(0) = \mathbb{E}[X^r]$
- if $Y = aX + b$, then $M_Y(t) = e^{bt} M_X(at)$

E, Var, Cov

Under condition X , the mean of Y is $E(Y|X)$. Then we compute mean respect to X , we get the mean of Y . Which means

$$E(Y) = E_X(E(Y|X))$$

Then

$$E[Var(Y|X)] = E_X[E_Y(Y^2|X) - E_Y(Y|X)^2] = E(Y^2) - E[E(Y|X)^2]$$

$$Var[E(Y|X)] = E[(E(Y|X))^2] - (E(E(Y|X)))^2 = E[(E(Y|X))^2] - E(Y)^2$$

add the two equations

$$E[Var(Y|X)] + Var[E(Y|X)] = E(Y^2) - E(Y)^2 = Var(Y)$$

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E[(X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))] = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y)$$

Sample mean and Var

let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid such that $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, define

- Sample Mean: $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i$
- Sample Variance: $S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2$

Then we have

$$1. \bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) \text{ and } \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)/\sigma \sim N(0, 1)$$

2. \bar{X}, S_n^2 are independent

$$3. (n-1)S_n^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$

$$4. \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)/S_n \sim t_{n-1}$$

To calculate $I(f) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx$, we can generate X_1, \dots, X_n iid and $\sim U(0, 1)$ and compute

$$I(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum f(X_i)$$

By LLN, $\hat{I}(f)$ will be close to $\mathbb{E}[f(X)] = \int_0^1 f(x) \cdot 1 dx = I(f)$ as n is large enough

Let \bar{X}_n be the sample average and $T_n = n\bar{X}_n$ be the sum of data, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{T_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq x\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \leq x\right) = \Phi(x)$$

Common Distr

Uniform Distr:

$$\text{pdf: } f(x) = \frac{1}{b-a}, \text{ cdf: } F(x) = \frac{x-a}{b-a} \quad a \leq x \leq b$$

$$\text{mgf: } M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \int \frac{1}{b-a} e^{tx} dx = \frac{e^{tb} - e^{ta}}{t(b-a)}$$

If Y is a rv with cdf $F_Y(y)$, we let $W = F_Y(y)$, then the cdf of W is
 $F_W(w) = P(W \leq w) = P(F_Y(y) \leq w)$

since $F_Y(y)$ is strictly increasing, the it has inverse function, thus

$$P(W \leq w) = P(y \leq F_Y^{-1}(w)) = F_Y(F_Y^{-1}(w)) = w$$

which means $W \sim U(0, 1)$, this imply that any continouse rv can be transformed into uniform distri

Bernoulli Distribution, $X \sim B(p)$:

$$\text{pmf: } p(x) = p^x(1-p)^{1-x} \quad x = 0 \text{ or } 1$$

$$\text{mgf: } M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = pe^{1t} + (1-p)e^{0t} = pe^t + 1 - p$$

Binomial Distribution, $X \sim B(n, p)$:

$$\text{pmf: } P(X = k) = C_n^k p^k q^{n-k}$$

$$\text{mgf: } M_X(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n (pe^t + 1 - p) = (pe^t + 1 - p)^n$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = np, \text{Var}[X] = np(1-p)$$

Poisson Distr, $X \sim P(\lambda)$:

$$\text{pmf: } P(X = k) = \pi_k(\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \lambda^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^0, \text{mean: } \lambda, \text{Var: } \lambda$$

$$\text{mgf: } M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = e^{-\lambda} \sum \frac{\lambda^x e^{\lambda x}}{x!} = e^{-\lambda} \lambda e^{\lambda t} = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}$$

If $X_i \sim P(\lambda_i)$, let $Y = X_1 + \dots + X_k$, then $Y \sim P(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k)$

Negative Binomial Distribution, $X \sim NB(r, p)$:

X is said to be negative binomial random variable with parameters r and p if

$$P(X = x) = C_{x-1}^{x-r} p^r q^{x-r}$$

where $k = r, r+1, \dots, \infty$

The negative binomial distribution shows if we want to make the events occur r times, what is the prob if we make exactly k times trials

$$\text{mgf: } M_X(t) = \frac{p^r e^{rt}}{[1 - (1-p)e^t]^r}, \text{mean: } r/p, \text{Var: } r(1-p)/p^2$$

Exponential Distribution, $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$:

$$\text{pdf: } f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x \geq 0 \quad \text{cdf: } F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x \geq 0$$

$$\text{mean: } 1/\lambda, \text{Var: } 1/\lambda^2, \text{mgf: } M_X(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}$$

The memoryless property:

$$P(X - y > x | X > y) = P(X > x), \text{ for all } x \geq 0 \text{ and } y \geq 0$$

Gamma Distribution, $Y \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \lambda)$:

$$\text{pdf: } f(x) = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda x}, \text{mgf: } M_X(t) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}\right)^\alpha$$

$$E = \alpha/\lambda, \text{Var} = \alpha/\lambda^2, \quad \Gamma(n) = (n-1)! \quad \Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$$

Normal Distribution:

$$\text{pdf: } f(x) = \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}}, \text{mgf: } e^{\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2}}$$

Sample Distr

Chi-square Distribution, $X \sim \chi_n^2$:

If rvs X_1, \dots, X_n are iid and $X_i \sim N(0, 1)$. Then their square sum is a new rv X which follow Chi-square Distribution, denoted by $X \sim \chi_n^2$ or $X \sim \chi^2(n)$

$$\text{pdf: } f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)2^{n/2}} x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}, \text{mgf: } M_X(t) = \left(\frac{1}{1-2t}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

$$\text{mean: } n, \text{Var: } 2n, \quad \chi_n^2 = \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid and $X_i \sim \chi_{n_i}^2$, let $Y = X_1 + \dots + X_n$, then $Y \sim \chi_{n_1 + \dots + n_n}^2$

$$M_Y(t) = \prod M_{X_i}(t) = \prod \left(\frac{1}{1-2t}\right)^{\frac{n_i}{2}} = \left(\frac{1}{1-2t}\right)^{\sum n_i/2}$$

T Distribution

If $X \sim N(0, 1)$ and $Y \sim \chi_n^2$, then we say $T = X/\sqrt{Y/n}$ follows T Distribution, denoted by $T \sim t_n$

$$\mathbb{E}[T] = 0, \text{Var}[T] = \frac{n}{n-2}$$

F Distribution

Let $U \sim \chi_m^2, V \sim \chi_n^2$, be indepentent Chi-square Distribution, then F distribution is defined as

$$Y = \frac{U/m}{V/n} \sim F_{m,n}$$

Let $X \sim t_n$, then $Y = X^2 \sim F_{1,n}$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{n}{n-2}, \quad n > 2, \text{ and } \text{Var}[Y] = \frac{2n^2(m+n-2)}{m(n-2)^2(n-4)}$$

Estimation

A **point estimate** is a function $\hat{\theta} = g(X)$ of the observation vector/population $X = [x_1, \dots, x_n]$. The corresponding rv $\hat{\theta} = g(X)$ is the point estimate of θ

Bias of an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is defined by

$$\text{bias}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}] - \theta$$

and we say $\hat{\theta}$ is an **unbiased estimator** of θ if $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$

MSE is defined by

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2] = [\text{bias}(\hat{\theta})]^2 + \text{Var}[\hat{\theta}]$$

If $\hat{\theta} - \theta$ tend to 0 in prob as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\hat{\theta}$ is called **consistent estimator**

The k th **moment** of the distribution is $\mu_k = \mathbb{E}[X^k]$

and the k th **sample moment** is defined by $m_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^k$

Method of moments estimator (MME) of the parameter(s) is obtained by solving the equation(s)

$$\hat{\mu}_k = m_k \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots$$

the number of equations is equal to the unknown parameters

Likelihood Function $L(\theta|x)$ of parameter θ of random sample X_1, \dots, X_n is defined by

$$L(\theta|x) = P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \prod P(X_i = x_i|\theta)$$

for continuous:

$$L(\theta|x) = \prod f(x_i|\theta)$$

Invariance: Suppose that θ is the para associated with a distri. $g(\theta)$ is a function of θ . If $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE of θ , then the MLE of $g(\theta)$ is given by $g(\hat{\theta})$, i.e.

$$\hat{\theta} = \operatorname{argmax} L(\theta; X)$$

if $\phi = g(\theta)$, then the MLE of ϕ is

$$\hat{\phi} = g(\hat{\theta})$$

Consistency: Under appriate smoothness conditions of pdf f , the MLE $\hat{\theta}$ is consistent (by Law of Large Numbers), i.e.

$$\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{p} \theta \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Asymptotic Normality: Under appriate smoothness conditions of pdf f , the prob distri of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)$ ($\hat{\theta} - \theta_0$) tends to standard normal (by Central Limit Theorem). That is

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_0 - \theta_0) \sim N(0, 1)$$

approximately for large n , where θ_0 is the true value of para θ . $I(\theta)$ is the Fisher Information

Best Unbiased Estimator: If the MLE $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased, it would be the **best (minimum variance) unbiased estimator (or most efficient estimator)** when n is large

Since the asymptotic variance of a MLE is equal to the lower bound, maximum likelihood estimates are said to be asymptotically efficient

Fisher Information is defined by

$$I(\theta) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X|\theta) \right)^2 \right] = -\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X|\theta) \right]$$

where $f(X|\theta)$ is the pdf of X under given parameter θ

Cramer-Rao Lower Bound: Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid sample with pdf $f(x|\theta)$ and $T = T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be an unbiased estimator of θ . Then under appropriate smoothness conditions

$$\text{Var}[T] \geq \frac{1}{nI(\theta)}$$

where $I(\theta)$ is Fisher Information

confidence interval

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a random sample with pdf $f(x|\theta)$, an interval estimator of θ with coverage prob $1 - \alpha$ is a random interval $(\hat{\theta}_L(\mathbf{X}), \hat{\theta}_U(\mathbf{X}))$, where

$$P(\hat{\theta}_L(\mathbf{X}) < \theta < \hat{\theta}_U(\mathbf{X})) = 1 - \alpha$$

the random interval is called an $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ is referred as **confidence level**

Let $Q(X, \theta)$ be a function of random sample. $Q(X, \theta)$ is a **pivot** if distri of $Q(X, \theta)$ is free of θ suppose $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

1. if σ^2 is known, then $Q(X, \mu) = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$ is pivot

2. if σ^2 is unknown, then $Q(X, \mu, \sigma) = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{S} \sim t_{n-1}$ is pivot

3. if σ is known, then $Q(X, \mu) = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_n^2$ is pivot

Recall the Asymptotic Normality property. Then an approximate $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval is

$$1 - \alpha \approx P\left(-z(\alpha/2) < \sqrt{nI(\hat{\theta})}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) < z(\alpha/2)\right)$$

where $z(\alpha/2)$ is the upper $\alpha/2$ quantile in $N(0, 1)$

Sufficient Statistic

A Statistic $T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is said to be **sufficient** for parameter θ if the conditional distri of X_1, \dots, X_n given $T = t$ dose not depend on θ for any value of t
Factorization Theorem: A necessary sufficient condition for $T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ to be sufficient for a parameter θ is that the joint pdf or pmf factors in the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) = g(T(x_1, \dots, x_n), \theta)h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

If T is sufficient for θ , then the MLE for θ is a function of T

Hypothesis Testing

We want to find a test to minimize the probability of Type II error, equivalently to maximize the **power**

$$\text{power} = 1 - P(\text{Type II Error}) = 1 - \beta$$

The probability distribution of the test statistic when the **null hypothesis** is true, is referred to as **Null Distribution**
If null and alternative hypotheses each completely specify the probability distribution, then we call them **simple hypotheses**, otherwise it's **composite** (parameter is not a certain value)

Neyman-Pearson Lemma/Paradigm:

Considering Hypothesis Testing $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0, H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ where the pdf or pmf corresponding to θ_i is $f(x|\theta_i), i=0, 1$. Then the **Most Powerful Test** is to reject H_0 if $\Lambda(x) < C$ where $\Lambda(x) = f(x|\theta_0)/f(x|\theta_1)$ is the Likelihood Ratio and constant $C > 0$. Furthermore the size of the test is given by

$$\alpha = \int_R f(x|\theta_0) dx$$

where $R = \{x : \Lambda(x) < C\}$

That is to say, if the likelihood ratio is small to some threshold C , then we reject H_0 . When $\Lambda(x) < C$, we can solve it for x and know the region where type I error happen, then we integral in this area to compute the prob α of type I error happening. In practice, we first choose α and then determine C

In **Neyman-Pearson Paradigm**, we ask H_0, H_1 to be **simple hypotheses**.

In practice, we often consider the testing $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0, H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$, where H_1 is a composite hypothesis

If a test of $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ is a most powerful test for every $\theta_1 > \theta_0$ among all test size of α , then the test is **uniformly most powerful**, UMP for testing $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$

The alternatives $H_1 : \theta < \theta_0$ and $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$ are called one-sided alternative. The alternative $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$ is a two-sided alternative

p-value: Let T be a test statistic and t_{obs} is the realized value of T , the **p-value** is defined by

$$\text{p-value} = P(T \text{ is as or more extreme than } t_{obs} | H_0)$$

A small p-value means the data are not consistent with H_0 , and so is regarded as evidence against H_0

Suppose the observation $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ have a joint distri $f(X|\theta)$. H_0 may specify that $\theta \in \omega_0$ where ω_0 is a subset of the set of all possible values of θ . Similarly, H_1 may specify $\theta \in \omega_1$. Let $\Omega = \omega_1 \cup \omega_0$. If the hypotheses are composite, each likelihood is evaluated at that value of θ that maximizes it, yielding the **generalized likelihood ratio**

$$\Lambda = \max_{\theta \in \omega_0} [\ln(\Lambda(\theta))] / \max_{\theta \in \Omega} [\ln(\Lambda(\theta))]$$

The asymptotic null distribution of $-2\log(\Lambda)$ is $\chi^2_{r-r_0}$ distribution. where r, r_0 is the number of free parameters in Ω, ω_0 , and we reject H_0 when $-2\log(\Lambda) > \chi^2_{r-r_0}(\alpha)$ where $\chi^2_n(\alpha)$ is the upper quantile

Example

suppose X represents a **single** observation from a population:

$$f(x | \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} 2xe^{-x^2/\theta} & x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

1. Show that the random variable X^2 has an exponential distribution with parameter $\theta/2$. Find the uniformly most powerful test for testing $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0, H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$ consider a test $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0, H_1^* : \theta = \theta_1$ and $\theta_1 > \theta_0$, the likelihood ratio is

$$\Lambda(X) = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_0} \exp \left\{ -\sum X^2 \left(\frac{1}{\theta_0} - \frac{1}{\theta_1} \right) \right\}$$

by L-P lemma we have the MP test is to reject H_0 when $\Lambda(X) < c$ for some c . since $\theta_1 > \theta_0$, $\Lambda(X)$ is monotone decreasing w.r.t X , thus

$$\Lambda(X) < c \Leftrightarrow X^2 > c_0$$

for some constant c_0 , since $X^2 \sim EXP(1/\theta)$, then

$$\alpha = P(X^2 > c_0 | H_0) = e^{-c_0/\theta_0} \implies c_0 = -\theta_0 \ln \alpha$$

thus the reject region is

$$R = \{X^2 : X^2 > -\theta_0 \ln \alpha\}$$

which is free of θ_1 , thus the test with reject region R is the UMP test for $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0, H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$

Example

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim EXP(1/\tau)$ with pdf

$$f(x|\tau) = 1/\tau \exp\{-x/\tau\}$$

1. find MLE of τ , $\hat{\tau}$
2. What is the exact sampling distribution of $\hat{\tau}$
3. show $\hat{\tau}$ is unbiased, and find its exact variance
4. Find an unbiased estimator $\bar{\tau}$ based on $X_{(1)}$
5. distinguish which is better
6. Find the form of an approximate confidence interval for τ
7. Find the form of an exact confidence interval for τ

8. A theoretical model suggests that the time to breakdown of an insulating fluid between electrodes at a particular voltage has an exponential distribution with parameter λ . A random sample of $n = 10$ breakdown times yields the following sample data: 41.53, 18.73, 2.99, 30.34, 12.33, 117.52, 73.02, 223.63, 4.00, 26.78. We want to obtain an exact 95% confidence interval for λ and the average breakdown time $\tau = 1/\lambda$

9. Find the most powerful test of $H_0 : \tau = \tau_0$ vs $H_1 : \tau = \tau_1$, where $\tau_1 > \tau_0$. Give the rejection region EXPLICITLY in terms of a distribution function.
10. Explain how you would compute the power of this test for $\tau = 2$, assuming $\tau_0 = 1$ at level $\alpha = 0.05$

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim EXP(1/\tau)$ with pdf

$$L(\tau|x) = 1/\tau^n \exp\{-1/\tau \sum x_i\} \implies l(\tau|x) = -n \ln \tau - \sum x_i/\tau$$

$$\implies -n/\tau + \sum x_i/\tau^2 = 0 \implies \tau = \sum x_i/n \implies \hat{\tau} = \bar{X}$$

The mgf of X_i is $M_{X_i}(t) = (1 - \tau t)^{-1}$, then $M_{\sum X_i}(t) = (1 - \tau t)^{-n}$,

$$M_{\tau}(t) = M_{\sum X_i/n}(t) = M_{\sum X_i}(t/n) = \left(\frac{n/\tau}{n/\tau - t} \right)^n$$

then $\hat{\tau} \sim \Gamma(n, n/\tau)$

$$M'_{\tau}(t) = n(n/\tau)^n (n/\tau - t)^{-n-1} \implies M'(0) = \tau$$

$$M''_{\tau}(t) = n(n+1)(n/\tau)^n (n/\tau - t)^{-n-2} \implies M''(0) = (n+1)\tau^2/n$$

$$\text{Var}[\hat{\tau}] = (n+1)\tau^2/n - \tau^2 = \tau^2/n$$

consider

$$P(X_{(1)} > c) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i > c) = \prod \exp\{-c/\tau\} = \exp\{-nc/\tau\}$$

PDF is $F_{X_{(1)}} = 1 - \exp\{-nx/\tau\} \implies X_{(1)} \sim EXP(n/\tau)$

thus $E[X_{(1)}] = \tau/n$, so we let $\tilde{\tau} = nX_{(1)}$, then $E[\tilde{\tau}] = \tau$
the fisher information is

$$I(\tau) = -E \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} l \right] = -\frac{n}{\tau^2} + \frac{n}{\tau^3} \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_i^2]}{\mathbb{E}[X_i]} = -\frac{n}{\tau^2} + \frac{n}{\tau^3} \left(2\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}\theta + \frac{\theta\pi}{2} \right) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}$$

thus the CR-lower bound is $1/I(\tau) = \tau^2/n$, which is equal to $\text{Var}[\hat{\tau}]$
By Asymptotic Normality

$$\sqrt{I(\tau)}(\hat{\tau} - \tau) \sim N(0, 1) \implies \hat{\tau} \sim N\left(\tau, \frac{\tau^2}{n}\right)$$

thus $(1-\alpha)100\%$ asymptotic C.I. is $(\hat{\tau} \pm z(\alpha/2)\hat{\tau}/\sqrt{n})$
since $\sum X_i \sim \Gamma(n, 1/\tau)$, let $Y = 2 \sum X_i/\tau \sim \Gamma(n, 1/2) = \chi^2_{2n}$ not depend on τ , using pivot Y , then

$$1 - \alpha = P(\chi^2_{2n, 1-\alpha/2} \leq Y \leq \chi^2_{2n, \alpha/2})$$

$$= P\left(\frac{2 \sum X_i}{\chi^2_{2n, \alpha/2}} \leq \tau \leq \frac{2 \sum X_i}{\chi^2_{2n, 1-\alpha/2}}\right)$$

$\chi^2_{20, 0.975} = 9.59, \chi^2_{20, 0.025} = 34.17, \sum X_i = 550.87$, the C.I. is $(32.24, 114.87)$, for $\lambda = 1/\tau$, the C.I. is $(1/114.87, 1/32.24) = (0.0087, 0.0310)$

$$\Lambda(X) = \frac{L(\tau_0)}{L(\tau_1)} = \left(\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_0} \right)^n \exp\left\{ -\sum X_i \left(\frac{1}{\tau_0} - \frac{1}{\tau_1} \right) \right\}$$

since $\tau_1 > \tau_0$, the $\Lambda(X)$ is a monotone decreasing function of $\sum X_i$. By N-P lemma, the MP test has reject region $R = \{X : \Lambda(X) < c_0\} = \{X : \sum X_i > c\}$. Under H_0 , $2 \sum X_i/\tau_0 \sim \chi^2_{2n}$, then

$$\alpha = P\left(2 \sum X_i/\tau_0 > \chi^2_{2n, \alpha}\right)$$

$$= P\left(\sum X_i > \tau_0 \chi^2_{2n, \alpha}/2\right) \implies c = \frac{\tau_0 \chi^2_{2n, \alpha}}{2}$$

$$= P\left(\sum X_i < \frac{1}{2} \chi^2_{2n, \alpha} | \tau = 2\right) = P\left(\frac{2 \sum X_i}{\tau} < \frac{\chi^2_{2n, \alpha}}{\tau} | \tau = 2\right)$$

$$= F\left(\frac{1}{2} \chi^2_{2n, \alpha}\right)$$

where F is the CDF of χ^2_{2n}

Example

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid and $\mathbb{E}[X] = \sqrt{\theta\pi/2}, \text{Var}[X] = (2 - \pi/2)\theta$

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{x}{\theta} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{\theta}\right\}, x > 0$$

1. Find a sufficient statistic for θ
2. Find the MLE $\hat{\theta}$ for θ
3. Use the Cramer-Rao lower bound to justify if $\hat{\theta}$ is the minimum unbiased estimator of θ
4. Specify asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\theta}$
5. Based on the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\theta}$ obtained in part 4, find the form of an approximate $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for θ
6. Suppose from a random sample of 400 random variables you obtain $\sum_{i=1}^{400} x_i^2 = 3360$, Find the Likelihood Ratio test statistic for testing $H_0 : \theta = 4, H_1 : \theta \neq 4$. specify the distribution and verify the null hypothesis at level $\alpha = 0.05$

$$L(\theta|x) = \frac{\prod x_i}{\theta^n} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta}\right\} \rightarrow l(\theta|x) = -n \ln \theta - \sum x_i^2 / 2\theta$$

by factorization theorem, $g(T, \theta) = \theta^{-n} \exp\{-\sum x_i^2 / 2\theta\}, h(x) = \prod x_i$, then $\sum x_i^2$ is sufficient for θ

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} l = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \sum \frac{x_i^2}{2\theta^2} = 0 \rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2n}$$

the Fisher information is

$$I(\theta) = -E \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} l \right] = -\frac{n}{\theta^2} + \sum \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_i^2]}{\theta^3} = -\frac{n}{\theta^2} + \frac{n}{\theta^3} \left(2\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}\theta + \frac{\theta\pi}{2} \right) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}$$

Let $Y = X^2$, then $X = \sqrt{Y}$, then

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(\sqrt{y}) \left| \frac{d\sqrt{y}}{dy} \right| = \frac{1}{\theta} \sqrt{y} e^{-y/2\theta} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} = \frac{1}{2\theta} e^{-y/2\theta}$$

which shows $Y \sim EXP(1/2\theta)$, then $\text{Var}[X^2] = \text{Var}[Y] = (2\theta)^2$, thus $\text{Var}[\hat{\theta}] = \text{Var}[\sum X_i^2/2n] = \sum \text{Var}[X_i^2]/4n^2 = n4\theta^2/4n^2 = \theta^2/n = 1/I(\theta)$

therefore $\hat{\theta}$ is the unbiased estimator and achieve CR lower bound
By Asymptotic Normality, $\sqrt{I(\theta)}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) = \sqrt{n}/\theta(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \sim N(0, 1)$, thus $\hat{\theta} \sim N(\theta, \theta^2/n)$

a $(1-\alpha)100\%$ confidence interval can be obtained by

$$1 - \alpha \approx P(-z(\alpha/2) < \sqrt{n}/\hat{\theta}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) < z(\alpha/2))$$

$$= P(\hat{\theta} - \theta z(\alpha/2)/\sqrt{n} < \theta < \hat{\theta} + \theta z(\alpha/2)/\sqrt{n})$$

where $z(\alpha/2)$ satisfies $\alpha/2 = P(Z > z(\alpha/2)|Z \sim N(0, 1))$

The likelihood ratio is

$$\Lambda(X) = \frac{L(\theta|X)}{L(\hat{\theta}|X)} = \frac{\theta^n}{\hat{\theta}^n} \exp\left\{-\sum X_i^2 \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}} \right)\right\}$$

and $-2 \ln \Lambda \sim \chi^2_{2n}$, then

$$-2 \ln \Lambda = 2n \ln \frac{\theta}{\hat{\theta}} + \sum X_i \left(\frac{1}{\theta_0} - \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}} \right)$$

the decision rule is reject H_0 when $-2 \ln \Lambda > \chi^2_{2n, 0.95} = 3.84$, with $\hat{\theta} = \sum x_i^2/2n = 3360/800 = 4.2$ and $\theta = 4$, we have

$$-2 \ln \Lambda = 2 \times 400 \times \ln \frac{4}{4.2} + 3360 \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4.2} \right) = 0.9676 < 3.84$$

thus we accept H_0

Example

if $X_1 \dots X_n$ are iid with pdf

$$f(x|\theta) = \theta x^{-2}, x >= \theta$$

- a. Find a sufficient statistic for θ .
- b. Find the MLE of θ .
- c. Find the method of moments estimate of θ .

$$f(\mathbf{x} | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta x_i^{-2} I(x_i \geq \theta) = \theta^n I(x_{(1)} \geq \theta) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-2} = g(T(\mathbf{x}), \theta) \cdot h(\mathbf{x})$$

where $T(\mathbf{x}) = X_{(1)}$ is sufficient statistic

$$L(\mathbf{x} | \vec{x}) = \theta^n I(x_{(1)} \geq \theta) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-2} \right) = \begin{cases} \theta^n \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-2}) & x_{(1)} \geq \theta \\ 0 & x_{(1)} < \theta \end{cases}$$

The maximum is achieved when $\theta \leq x_{(1)}$, so MLE $\hat{\theta} = x_{(1)}$ $E(X) = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} x \theta x^{-2} dx = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \theta x^{-1} dx = \theta \log x |_{\theta}^{\infty} = \infty$, thus MME does not exist