RESEARCH

KEPORT

COMPUTER ANALYSIS OF ESSAY CONTENT FOR AUTOMATED SCORE PREDICTION

A PROTOTYPE AUTOMATED SCORING SYSTEM FOR GMAT ANALYTICAL WRITING ASSESSMENT ESSAYS

Jill Burstein, Lisa Braden-Harder, Martin Chodorow, Shuyi Hua, Bruce Kaplan, Karen Kukich, Chi Lu, James Nolan, Don Rock, and Susanne Wolff



Computer Analysis of Essay Content for Automated Score Prediction

Λ Prototype Automated Scoring System for GMAT Analytical Writing Assessment Essays

Jill Burstein, Lisa Braden-Harder, Martin Chodorow, Shuyi Hua, Bruce Kaplan, Karen Kukich, Chi Lu, James Nolan, Don Rock, and Susanne Wolff¹

¹ Authors are listed in alphabetical order. Lisa Braden-Harder is an independent consultant. Martin Chodorow is a consultant affiliated with Hunter College of the City University of New York. James Nolan is a consultant affiliated with Siena College in Loudenville, NY.

Abstract

This report discusses the development and evaluation of a research prototype system designed to automatically score essay responses to the GMAT Analytical Writing Assessments: (a). Analysis of an Argument (Argument essays) and (b). Analysis of an Issue (Issue essays) item types. The system, Electronic Essay Rater (e-rater), was designed to automatically analyze several features of an essay and score the essay based on the features of writing as specified in holistic rubrics. *E-rater* uses a hybrid feature methodology. It incorporates several variables that are derived statistically, extracted through NLP techniques, or achieved by simple "counting" procedures. The version of the e-rater described in this report uses five sets of critical feature variables to build the final linear regression model used for predicting scores. The same set of critical variables was used to fit models for the issue and argument training essays and the following results were achieved. For the set of 275 cross-validation data, exact or adjacent agreement with human rater scores reached 95%. For the 282 cross-validation issue essays exact or adjacent agreement with human rater scores achieved 93%. The rich feature variables used as score predictors in e-rater could potentially be used to generate explanation of score predictions, and diagnostic and instructional information.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	4
2. METHODOLOGY	5
2.1 Surface Features	6
2.2 STRUCTURAL FEATURES	7
2.3 RHETORICAL STRUCTURE ANALYSIS	8
2.4 CONTENT VECTOR ANALYSIS	11
2.5 ARGUMENT-CONTENT VECTOR ANALYSIS	13
3. Results	14
3.1 Interrater Reliabilities	16
4. NEURAL NETWORK APPROACHES	17
5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	18
References	20
APPENDIX A1: ANALYSIS OF AN ARGUMENT ITEM	22
APPENDIX A2: ANALYSIS OF AN ISSUE ITEM	24
APPENDIX B1: LEXICON (CLUELEX) USED BY APP	26
APPENDIX B2: RULES USED BY APP	28
APPENDIX C1: GRADE 6: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ARGUMENT ESSAYS	32
APPENDIX C2: GRADE 5: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ARGUMENT ESSAYS	35
APPENDIX C3: GRADE 4: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ARGUMENT ESSAYS	38
APPENDIX C4: GRADE 3: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ARGUMENT ESSAYS	41
APPENDIX C5: GRADE 2: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ARGUMENT ESSAYS	44
APPENDIX C6: GRADE 1: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ARGUMENT ESSAYS	47
APPENDIX C7: GRADE 6: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ISSUE ESSAYS	50
APPENDIX C8: GRADE 5: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ISSUE ESSAYS	53
APPENDIX C9: GRADE 4: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ISSUE ESSAYS	56
APPENDIX C10: GRADE 3: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ISSUE ESSAYS	59
APPENDIX C11: GRADE 2: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ISSUE ESSAYS	62
APPENDIX C12: GRADE 1: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ISSUE ESSAYS	65
APPENDIX D: SYSTEM FLOWCHART	68

1. Introduction

This report discusses the development and evaluation of a prototype system designed to automatically score essay responses to the GMAT Analytical Writing Assessments: (a). *Analysis of an Argument* (Argument essays) and (b). *Analysis of an Issue* (Issue essays) item types.² The system, Electronic Essay Rater (e-rater)³, was designed to automatically analyze several features of an essay and score the essay based on the features of writing as specified at each score interval in the 6-point holistic rubric (i.e., scoring guide).⁴

Previous work in automated essay scoring, such as Page and Petersen (1995), reports that predicting essay scores using surface feature variables, e.g., the fourth root of the length of an essay, shows correlations as high as .78 between a single human rater score and machine-based scores for a set of PRAXIS essays. A study done at ETS by Kaplan, et al (forthcoming), using the same set of essays, shows that using grammar checker variables in addition to word counts based on essay length yields up to 99% agreement between machine-based scores that match human rater scores within 1 point on a 6-point holistic rubric. Kaplan, et al's results using grammar checker variables have added value, since grammar checker variables may have substantive information about writing competency that might reflect rubric criteria such as, essay is free from errors in mechanics, usage and sentence structure.

This study was conducted to explore the extent to which essays could be automatically rated using features that reflected the 6-point holistic rubrics used by human raters to assign scores to essay. There were two primary goals underlying the development of this prototype. First, the system developed needed to be completely automated, so that it could be quickly moved into an operationally-ready mode. Secondly, it was highly desirable that the system use rubric-based features to evaluate the essay, such as rhetorical structure, topic and grammatical features. It is important that computer-based score predictions are supported by information in the rubrics that is used by human raters (see Burstein, et al (1997)). In addition, the rich feature information could potentially be used for explanation of scores, diagnostics and instructional information.

Literature in the field of discourse analysis points out that lexical (word) and structural (syntactic) features of discourse can be identified (Mann and Thompson (1988)) and represented in a machine, for computer-based analysis (Cohen (1984), Hovy, et al (1992), Hirschberg and Litman (1993), and Vander Linden and Martin (1995)). At a glance, lexical and structural features of rhetorical language did not appear in the set of responses from the issue essay item type. Rhetorical language information was obvious in the argument essays. Therefore, an information extraction program designed to identify rhetorical structure features in essays was based solely on the training response set from

² See Appendices A1 and A2 for test items used for this study.

³ See Appendix D for a flowchart of e-rater.

⁴ In the 6-point scoring guides, "1" was the lowest (worst) score and "6" was the highest (best) score.

the argument essay item type. Topic-based and syntactic structure features were identified using NLP techniques for issue and argument training responses sets. In addition, surface feature variables, such as number of words in the essay, were identified for issue and argument response sets. Rhetorical structure, topic, syntactic structure, and surface feature variables were all included in the linear regression analyses used for score prediction. Although the feature variables for rhetorical structure were specified based on the argument essays, variables from the set of rhetorical structures were also excellent predictors for responses in the issue essay item type. The same sets of critical features were used to fit linear regression analysis models to predict scores for responses to the argument and issue items. There were 403 training responses for the issue essays and 383 training responses for the argument essays. Using the same set of critical variables to fit models for the issue and argument training essays, the following results were achieved. For the set of 285 cross-validation data, exact or adjacent agreement with human rater scores reached 95%. For the 292 cross-validation issue essays exact or adjacent agreement with human rater scores achieved 93%. ^{5,6}

2. Methodology

E-rater uses a hybrid feature methodology. It incorporates several variables that are derived statistically, extracted through NLP techniques, or achieved by simple "counting" procedures. The version of the e-rater described in this report uses five sets of critical feature variables to build the final linear regression model used for predicting scores. These feature variable sets will henceforth be referred to as predictor variables. All predictor variables and counts of predictor variables were generated automatically by several independent computer programs. For both essay types, all relevant information about predictor variables was introduced into a stepwise linear regression in order to evaluate which variables accounted for most of the variation between essays at different score intervals. Predictor variables included in e-rater's final score prediction model for argument and issue essays were: (a) surface feature variables, (b) structural features, (c) rhetorical structure analyses, (d) content vector analyses, and (e) content vector analyses by argument (henceforth, argument vector analyses). A conceptual rationale and a description of how each predictor variable was generated is described below. Also the specific features from each predictor variable set used in the final linear regression analysis model is given for the training response sets of issue and argument essays.

⁵ For each essay type, human rater scores were provided for 60 essay responses at the beginning of the study. For the remaining responses in the cross-validation sets, human rater scores were provided only after machine scores predictions had been completed.

⁶ IntelliMetric developed by TRIA Systems, Inc. in Princeton, NJ yields comparable results on the same data set. However, the specific variables used by IntelliMetric for score predictions are not available. (see Elliot, et al (1997)).

2.1 Surface Features

Breland, et al (1995) showed correlations of up to .74 between the number of words written for the College Board's English Composition Tests essay and the essay score based on a 6-point holistic rubric. Studies by Page and Petersen (1995) and Kaplan, et al (forthcoming) support Breland, et al's observation. Page and Petersen show correlations of .78 between a human rater score and a machine score based on the fourth root of the number of words in an essay. Using the same essay sample from Page and Petersen, Kaplan, et al (forthcoming) pointed out that the fourth root of the number of words was a strong score predictor variable. Using only the fourth root of the length of an essay as a variable, agreement between the human rater score and a machine score was 99% on exact or adjacent scores. In this study, correlations between a human rater score and a machine predicted score the number of words in an essay were as high as .80. Simple counts of number of words in an essay, and transformations of number of words were calculated to find surface feature variables that could be used in the score prediction model. The surface feature variables used in *e-rater* to predict argument and issue essays are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Surface Feature Variables Used in e-rater to Predict Essay Scores

Argument Essays	 Square Root of the Total Number of Words (NN2)
Issue Essays	 Total Number of Words (NP1) Total Number of Words to the Fifth Power (NP5) Average Number of Sentences/Paragraph

Number of words in an essay (or some transformation thereof, such as the fourth root of the number of words) has been consistently shown to be a strong predictor of essay score. It should be kept in mind, that though it can be speculated that the more words a person writes might be related to an individual's verbal fluency, this has not been empirically established. In addition, the number of words written in an essay does not reflect any criterion in the holistic rubrics, and is therefore not a substantive predictor. Also, essay length is clearly an easily coachable variable. Number of words in an essay can be used as a variable to predict essay scores, but it should be used in combination with more substantive variables, less coachable variables. It is important to use additional, more substantive variables if richer information about scoring decisions is to be provided.

2.2 Structural Features

The scoring guides for both argument and issue essays indicate that one feature used to rate an essay is "syntactic variety." Syntactic structures in essays can be identified using NLP techniques. In this study, all sentences in the essays were parsed using the Microsoft Natural Language Processing tool (MSNLP). MSNLP takes a sentence string as input and returns a syntactically analyzed version of a sentence, as illustrated below in Figure 1. Examination of syntactic structures in essay responses yields information about the "syntactic variety" in an essay. For example, information about what types of clauses or verb types can reveal information about "syntactic variety."

```
Young people often express discontent with taxation levels to support the aged.
            DECL1
                     NP1
                                             "Young"
                             AJP1
                                    ADJ1*
                             NOUN1*
                                       "people"
                       AVP1
                               ADV1*
                                        "often"
                          VERB1* "express"
                     NP2
                            NOUN2*
                                      "discontent"
                       PP1
                              PP2
                                     PREP1* "with"
                           NP3
                                  NOUN3* "taxation"
                                NOUN4* "levels"
                        INFCL1 INFTO1 PREP2*
                                  VERB2*
                                           "support"
                            NP4
                                   DETP1
                                            ADJ2*
                                                     "the"
                                     NOUN5* "aged"
                                      " "
                             CHAR1
```

Figure 1: MSNLP's Syntactic Parse for a Sentence from an Issue Essay

A program was run on all the essays that counted the number of complement clauses, subordinate clauses, infinitive clauses, relative clauses and the subjunctive modal auxiliary verbs, would, could, should, might and may, for each sentence in an essay. The linear regression analysis selected the variables in Table 2 as predictors in the final score prediction model.

Table 2: Grammatical Structural Variables Used in e-rater to Predict Essay Scores

Argument Essays	 Total Number of Modal Auxiliary Verbs Ratio of Complement Clauses Per Sentence
Issue Essays	 Total Number of Infinitive Clauses Total Number of Modal Auxiliary Verbs/Paragraph

2.3 Rhetorical Structure Analysis

In both the argument and issue essays, the scoring guides indicate that an essay will receive a score based on the examinee's demonstration of a well-developed essay. For the argument essay, the scoring guide states specifically that a "6" essay "develops ideas cogently, organizes them logically, and connects them with clear transitions." The correlate to this for the issue essay would appear to be that a "6" essay "...develops a position on the issue with insightful reasons..." and that the essay "is clearly well-organized." Nolan (1997) points out that language in holistic scoring guides, such as, in this case, "cogent", "logical," "insightful," and "well-organized" have "fuzzy" meaning, since they are based on imprecise observation. Nolan uses methods of "fuzzy logic" to automatically assign these kinds of "fuzzy" classifications to essays. In this study, we try to identify organization of an essay through automated analysis of the rhetorical (or argument) structure of the essay.

The linguistic literature about rhetorical structure (Cohen (1984) Hovy, et al (1992), Hirschberg and Litman (1993), and Vander Linden and Martin (1995)) points out that rhetorical (or discourse) structure can be characterized by words, terms and syntactic structures. For instance, words and terms that provide "clues" about where a new argument starts, or how it is being developed are discussed in the literature (not surprisingly) as "clue words". We adapted the conceptual framework of conjunctive relations from Quirk, et al (1985) in which terms, such as, "In summary" and "In conclusion," which we consider to be clue words, are classified as conjuncts used for summarizing. Clue words such as "perhaps," and "possibly" are considered to be "Belief" words used by the writer to express a belief in developing an argument in the essay. Words like "this" and "these" may often be used to flag that the writer has not changed topics (Sidner (1986)). It was also observed that in certain discourse contexts that structures such as infinitive clauses (INFCL) mark the beginning of a new argument, e.g., To experimentally support their argument Big Boards (INFCL) would have to do two things.

An automated **argument partitioning and annotation program (APA)** was implemented. APA output a file for each essay that was partitioned into *argument units*.

In addition, APA output a second file in which each sentence in the essay was annotated with a word, term or structure classification that denoted argument structure. A specialized dictionary (lexicon) is used by APA to identify relevant clue words and terms. APA is rule-based, and selects the dictionary-based clue words, terms, and structures based on these rules. The rules that APA uses to partition and annotate arguments denote the syntactic contexts in which clue word and terms, or specific structures denote new arguments and argument development. APA uses parsed essays to identify syntactic structures in essays. Descriptions of the rules used by APA appear in Appendix B2. Figure 2 illustrates original essay text and the text output by APA with corresponding argument partitioning annotations.

⁷ The lexicon used *e-rater* is in Appendix B1.

⁸ Parsed essays have been processed through MSNLP. Each sentence in the essay has a syntactic analysis.

⁹ Wording in argument unit annotations has been revised for comprehensibility.

Figure 2: Example of Automated Argument Partitions and Annotations

Essay Text

"...Another problem with the argument is found in the evidence used to support it. Big Boards takes responsibility for increasing River City's awareness of the marathon runner over the three-month period by putting her names on billboards, but they also stated that there was "extensive national publicity" of the woman during that time. The 30% increase in awareness of this women could have been a result of the national publicity. Big Boards did not necessarily have anything to do with River City learning the woman's name - they may have learned it from TV or magazines or newspapers..."

Argument Partitioned/Annotated Essay Text

<u>Another</u> problem with the argument is found in the evidence used to support it.

>Start Argument at Parallel Word: Another

Big Boards takes responsibility for increasing River City's awareness of the marathon runner over the three-month period by putting her names on billboards, but they also stated that there was "extensive national publicity" of the woman during that time.

- > Argument Development at Contrast Word: but
- > Argument Development at *Complement Clause*: that...

The 30% increase in awareness of this women <u>could</u> have been a result of the national publicity.

> Speculation at Auxiliary Word: could

Big Boards did not necessarily have anything to do with River City learning the woman's name - they may have learned it from TV or magazines or newspapers

> Speculation at Auxiliary Word: may

Table 3 shows the rhetorical variables were used by *e-rater* for predicting scores.

Table 3: Rhetorical Structure Variable Used to Predict Scores for Issue and Argument Essays

Argument Essays	 Total Occurrences of Independent Arguments in the Final Paragraph of the Essay Total Occurrences of Subjunctive Modal Verbs in the Final Paragraph of the Essay Total Occurrences of Parallel Words that Pagin an Independent Argument
	 that Begin an Independent Argument Total Occurrences of Argument Development Using Belief Words
Issue Essays	 Total Occurrences of Arguments Starting With a Summary Phrase Total Occurrences of Arguments Starting With an Infinitive Clause Total Occurrences of Arguments Starting With a Subordinate Clause Total Occurrences of Argument Development Using an Infinitive Clause Total Occurrences of Argument Development Using a Belief Word Total Number of Independent Arguments in the Body of the Essay, Excluding The First And Final Arguments/Paragraph

2.4 Content Vector Analysis

The scoring rubric suggests that certain ideas are expected in an essay by stating that the essay "effectively supports the main points of the critique" for argument essays and explores ideas and develops a position on the issue with insightful reasons and/or persuasive examples for the issue essays. Content vector analysis (CV) is a statistical weighting technique used to identify relationships between words and documents. With regard to the approximate specifications in the rubric about essay content, CV can used identify language (or, content words) in essays that appear to contribute to essay score.

Assigning one of six scores to a GMAT test essay is a standard type of classification problem. Statistical approaches to classification define each class (score) by the distribution of characteristics found in labeled training examples. Then, each test item is analyzed, and its distribution is compared to that of the known classes. The class which best matches the test item is selected.

For text, the characteristics may be physical (the number or length) of words, sentences, paragraphs, or documents), lexical (the particular words that occur), syntactic (the form, complexity, or variety of constructions), rhetorical (the number or type of arguments), logical (the propositional structure of the sentences), or a combination of these.

Standard content vector (CV) analysis characterizes each text document (essay) at the lexical (word) level. The document is transformed into a list of word-frequency pairs, where frequency is simply the number of times that the word appeared in the document. This list constitutes a vector which represents the lexical content of the document. Morphological analysis can optionally be used to combine the counts of inflectionally related forms so that "walks," "walked," and "walking" all contribute to the frequency of their stem, "walk." In this way, a degree of generalization is realized across morphological variants. To represent a whole class of documents, such as a score level for a set of essays, the documents in the class are concatenated and a single vector is generated to represent the class.

CV analysis actually refines this basic approach by assigning a weight to each word in the vector based on the word's salience. Salience is determined by the relative frequency of the word in the document (or class) and by the inverse of its frequency over all documents. For example, "the" may be very frequent in a given document, but its salience will be low because it appears in all documents. If the word "pterodactyl" appears even a few times in a document, it will likely have high salience because there are relatively few documents that contain this word.

A test item is compared to a class by computing a cosine correlation between their weighted vectors. The larger the value of the correlation, the closer the test item is to the class. The class which is closest to the test item is selected. These steps are summarized below.

- Vector construction for each document (or class):
 - Extract words from document (or combined documents)
 - Apply morphological analysis (optional)
 - Construct frequency vector
 - Assign weights to words to form weighted vector
- Testing:
 - Compute cosine correlation between test vector
 - and the vector of each class
 - Select class with highest correlation

As discussed in section 2.5, CV analysis can also be applied to units of text smaller than essays. For example, it can be used to evaluate the rhetorical arguments within an essay. In this case, each argument is treated like a mini-document and is compared to the classes independently of the other arguments. The result of testing is a vector of classes (scores), one for each argument in the essay.

A CV analysis computer program was written to automatically predict scores for both argument and issue essays. In the final prediction model, the scores assigned by the CV analysis are used as a predictor variable for the set of argument essays. ¹⁰

2.5 Argument-Content Vector Analysis

An important goal of this study was to be able to predict essay scores based on 'what the writer was saying.' CV, as it is used above, identifies word associations over the essay as a whole. It looks at words randomly in the essay. Though this tells us something about possible essay content, it is important to capture words in a more structured way, so that topic may be identified using closely clustered word groupings.

The rubric specifies that relevant essay content (or, relevant words used in an essay) should be well-organized and should address relevant content. Therefore, a revised version of the content vector analysis program was implemented and run on the "argument partitioned" training essays for argument and issue essays. The purpose was to identify the associations between 'the words in each argument of an essay' and 'the essay score assigned by the human rater.' By considering vocabulary information as it is distributed in each argument of the essay, the system is closer to extracting information about topic -- or relevant essay content. For each essay, a score was generated for each argument in an essay. The vector of argument scores, followed by the mode of the argument scores is illustrated in Figure 3. The mode of the argument score vector was used as a predictor variable for the argument and issue essays in the final score prediction model.

Figure 3: Argument Score Vectors from Argument-Content Vector Analysis

Candidate Identification	Arg	gume	nt Sc	ore V	ector	Mode
55555	3	5	5	5	4	5

¹⁰ The 100 most heavily weighted words in each of the six scores for the GMAT argument essays are given in Appendix B1 - B12.

3. Results

As is discussed in previous sections, the same set of critical variables was used to fit a model on an independent set of training data for the issue and argument essays used in this study. Table 4 indicates the summary set of predictor variables used for the score prediction models for the issue and argument essays.

Table 4: Summary Table of Variables Used for Score Prediction for Issue and Argument Essays

	Issuc Essay	Argument Essay
Surface Feature Variables Grammatical Structure Variables	 Total Number of Words in the Essay Number of Words in the Essays to the Fifth Power Average Number of Sentences/Paragraph Total Number of Infinitive Clauses Total Number of Subjunctive Modal Verbs/Paragraph 	 Square Root of the Total Number of Words in the Essay Total Occurrences of Subjunctive Modal Verbs Ratio of Complement
Rhetorical Information Variables	 Total Occurrences of Arguments Starting With a Summary Phrase Total Occurrences of Arguments Starting With an Infinitive Clause Total Occurrences of Arguments Starting With a Subordinate Clause Total Occurrences of Argument Development Using an Infinitive Clause Total Occurrences of Argument Development Using a Belief Word Total Number of Independent Arguments in the Body of the Essay (Excluding the first and final arguments) 	 Clauses/Sentence Total Occurrences of Independent Arguments in the Final Paragraph of the Essay Total Occurrences of Subjunctive Modal Verbs in the Final Paragraph of the Essay Total Occurrences of Parallel Words that Begin an Independent Argument Total Occurrences of Argument Development Using Belief Words
Content Vector Analysis Variable	NO	YES
MODE (of Vector of Argument Scores)	YES	YES

Table 5 below shows the Multiple Correlation-Squared (R²), and the percentage of agreement between two human rater scores and the machine score for the training data and the cross-validation data sets.

Table 5: Summary Results Table for Agreement Between Human Rater (HR) Scores and Machine Scores for Issue and Argument Data^l

	Training Data			Scored Cross- Validation Data		Unscored Cross- Validation Data	
	Issue Essay (n=403)	Argument Essay (n=383)	Issue Essay (n=60)	Argument Essay (n=60)	Issue Essay (n=232)	Argument Essay (n=225)	
R ²	.8646	.8175	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
% Agreement: HR1 and Machine	95.8	91.4	88.3	88.3	93.0	92.4	
% Agreement: HR2 and Machine	94.5	91.6	93.3	95.0	92.2	94.6	

Tables 6 and 7 below show the percentage agreement between human raters and *e-rater* for individual scores intervals.

Table 6: Percentage Agreement Between Human Raters and Machine Scores by Score Interval for Issue Essay Cross-Validation Sets

Score % Agreement		% Agreement	% Agreement	% Agreement
	HR1 - M (n=60)	HR2 - M (n=60)	HR1 - M (n = 232)	HR2 - M (n = 232)
1	77.8	100.0	100.0	100.0
2	77.8	80.0	98.6	92.6
3	88.9	99.0	86.0	84.7
4	90.0	88.2	90.0	95.1
5	92.2	99.0	93.0	97.7
6	100.0	100.0	93.0	92.2

¹¹ Human rater cores were provided for "scored cross-validation" at the beginning of the study. For the data set referred to as "unscored cross-validation data," human rater scores were provided only after machine generated score predictions were available.

Table 7: Percentage Agreement Between Human Raters and Machine Scores by Score Interval for Argument Essay Cross-Validation Sets

Score	% Agreement	% Agreement	% Agreement	% Agreement
	HR1 - M (n=60)	HR2 - M (n=60)	HR1 - M (n = 225)	HR2 - M (n = 225)
1	76.6	75.0	100.0	86.9
2	100.0	100.0	89.4	91.6
3	75.0	91.6	86.6	95.4
4	83.3	100.0	100.0	91.8
5	100.0	100.0	97.2	97.5
6	100.0	100.0	92.4	100.0

3.1 Interrater Reliabilities

The following table reports summary statistics that show the percentage agreement, reliabilities (Fisher (1970)) and correlations between human rater scores, and between human rater and machine scores for the combined cross-validation data sets for issue and argument essays. The summary statistics for interrater and human rater-machine reliabilities report on exact and adjacent scores between human raters and between human raters and the machine predicted scores. These statistics suggest that agreement, reliabilities and correlations between two human raters, or between a human rater and the machine are comparable.

Table 8: Summary Statistics of Interrater Reliabilities, and Human Rater (IIR) and Machine (M) Interreliabilities, Interrater Correlations and Human-Machine Correlations

	Issue Essay (n=292)			Argument Essay (n=285)		
	HR1-HR2	HR1-M	HR2-M	HR1- HR2	HR1-M	HR2-M
% Agreement (Exact Score)	56.1	40.7	47.2	56.4	43.8	48.0
% Agreement (Adjacent Score)	92.8	92.1	92.4	94.3	91.5	94.7
Reliability	0.860	0.808	0.823	0.879	0.822	0.860
Correlations	0.864	0.879	0.880	0.853	0.848	0.857

Table 9 shows the relative contribution of individual features sets as predictor variables. R² values in the table below show the amount of variation that can be explained by each feature set if it is used as the only predictor variable as opposed to using all the predictor variables for scoring, as is done in *e-rater*.

Table 9: R^2 for individual feature sets and the "model" for issue and argument prompts

Feature or Feature Set	R ² - Issue Item	R ² - Argument Item
Model (all predictor	.86	.82
variables)		
Model - Length (all	.85	.81
predictor variables		
excluding Length)		
Rhetorical	.80	.73
Length	.73	.68
CVA	.64	.63
CV	.60	.62
Structure	.48	.61

4. Neural Network Approaches

During the course of this study we experimented with using neural networks to predict essay scores. Our preliminary successes using in artificial neural networks (ANN) for essay score prediction warrant further examination of ANN approaches for essay scoring.

ANN's can be described as an approximate computer representation of neurophysiological structures found in the human brain. The principle unit of an ANN is a neuron which is also the basic building block of the human brain. ANN systems try to model features resembling how the neuron operates in the human brain. Attributes of ANN's are that they can (a) handle noisy or incomplete data inputs and (b) draw inferences and make classifications based on non-overt relationships in data input. A natural application for an ANN is to use the argument score vectors in Figure 3 to predict essay scores. On the surface, the patterns of scores in the argument score vectors do not reveal any overt patterns from which one would be able to predict an essay score. For experimentation purposes, a simple neural network architecture was built to predict scores for the training and 'scored' cross validation data sets for the argument and issue essays.

A three-layer backpropogation network was used (Hecht-Nielsen (1989)). The input consisted of 7 input neurons -- one for each of the first 7 argument scores in the argument score vectors (for each candidate). Only the first 7 argument scores were used, since during training it was revealed that argument scores beyond the seventh in the vector did not seem to make a difference for score prediction. If an argument vector had fewer than 7 argument scores, the remaining places in the vector were zero-filled. The hidden layer of the network had 24 neurons which was the minimum necessary. The output layer had 1 neuron. This simple architecture is used in over 85% of working neural net applications.

The neural net was trained using the training data sets for argument essays (n=383) and issue essays (n=403). The neural net weights used in training were saved for predicting scores on both cross-validation sets for the argument and issue essays and a second cross-validation set for the argument essays and the issue essays. Accuracy results for scores predicted by the neural net are in Table 10. Final ANN predicted scores were a direct result of the neural net, and were independent from the linear regression analyses models. These results are promising and suggest that using a neural network architecture to predict scores based on the argument score vectors. Neural network approaches should be explored further using the score predictor variables used in *e-rater* to train a neural network to generate score predictions for argument and issue essays.

Table 10: ANN Score Predictions

Cross-Validation	ANN Score Prediction Agreement	ANN Score Prediction Agreement		
Data	With Human Rater 1 <= 1 point	With Human Rater 2 <= 1 point		
	(Correct Classification)	(Correct Classification)		
Argument Essays (n=60)	90.6	90.6		
Issue Essays (n=60)	91.7	91.7		
Argument Essays (n=225)	82.2	83.1		
Issue Essays (n=232)	86.2	83.6		

5. Discussion and Conclusions

The development of *e-rater* positively demonstrates an automated capability for scoring general essay item types. The results of the study show that a e-rater can predict exact or adjacent scores with as high as 95% agreement with human rater scores. In addition, it is indicated that score reliability statistics are comparable between two human raters, and between a single human rater and a machine score. High reliabilities such as these suggest that machine score predictions might be used for quality reassurance purposes amongst raters. One of the primary benefits of e-rater is that it is designed to consider features of essays writing specified by holistic rubric that are used by human raters. As does a human rater, e-rater considers features, such as rhetorical language and structure, syntactic structure, and relevant topic content for score prediction. A second benefit of erater is that the variety of sophisticated variables that e-rater uses for score prediction would make it far less coachable than a system that uses only surface feature variables for score prediction. Another highlight of this system is that the rhetorical, structural, and topic features used for scoring can also be used for the automated generation of explanation of score predictions and diagnostic and instructional commentary. In some preliminary conversations with colleagues, some possibilities for diagnostics have been suggested, such as the generation of outlines of examinee essays based on rhetorical features, diagnostic comments about sentence complexity or vocabulary content in

essays. Further research needs to be done in order to appropriately design an extension to *e-rater* for generation of explanatory outputs that would be meaningful to test-takers.

Though *e-rater* has not been evaluated on additional argument and issue essay prompts, the method generalized across item types to two different stimuli. These results would suggest that the method is generalizable across prompts. To be certain, however, the system must be tested on responses from additional prompts of both argument and issue essay item types. It is important to bear in mind, however, that the set of GMAT essays used in this study were from a paper-and-pencil administration. The handwritten essays were manually transcribed and typed into electronic files. Future GMAT argument and issue essays will come from computer-delivered administrations. Essays that are a product of computer-delivered administrations may introduce new types of error, as well as additional factors that are currently unforeseeable.

Overall, *e-rater* shows extremely positive implications for computer-based writing tests and research in this area should continue in order to thoroughly explore all of the potential. Alternative approaches, such as neural networks, not currently used in *e-rater*, need to also be explored.

References

- Breland, H. M., Bonner, M. W., & Kubota, M. Y. (1996). Factors in Performance on Brief, Impromptu Essay Examinations (College Board Rep. No. 95-4 and ETS Research Report 95-41). New York, NY: College Entrance Examination Board.
- Burstein, J., Wolff, S., Lu, C., & Kaplan, R. (1997). An Automatic Scoring System for Advanced Placement Biology Essays. <u>Proceedings of the Fifth Conference on Applied Natural Language Processing</u> (pp. 174-181). Washington D.C.: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Cohen, R. (1984). A computational theory of the function of clue words in argument understanding. <u>Proceedings of 1984 International Computational Linguistics</u> Conference (pp. 251-255). California.
- Elliot, S., Burnham, W., Chernoff, M., & Kern, K. (1997). Computerized Scoring of Open-Ended Bar Examination Questions. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL.
- Fisher, R.A.(1970) Statistical Methods for Research Workers(14th edition) New York: Hafner Press.
- Hirschberg, J., & Litman, D. (1993). Empirical Studies on the Disambiguation of Cue Phrases. Computational Linguistics 19 (3), 501-530.
- Hecht-Nielsen, R. (1989). <u>NEUROCOMPUTING</u>. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Hovy, E., Lavid, J., Maier, E., Mittal, V., & Paris, C. (1992). Employing Knowledge Resources in a New Text Planner Architecture. In Dale, Hovy, Rosner & Stoch (Eds), <u>Aspects of Automated NL Generation</u>, (pp. 57-72). Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in AI no.587.
- Kaplan, R., Wolff, S., Burstein, J., Rock, D., Kaplan, B., & Lu, C. (in press). Scoring Essays Automatically Using Essay Features (Graduate Record Exam Monograph). Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Mann, W. C., & Thompson, S.A. (1988). Rhetorical Structure Theory: Toward a functional theory of text organization. Text 8(3), 243-281.
- Nolan, J. (in press). The Architecture of a Hybrid Knowledge-Based System for Evaluating Writing Samples. Niku-Lari, A. (Ed.) Expert Systems Applications and

Artificial Intelligence Technology Transfer Scries, EXPERSYS-97. Gournay S/M, France: IITT International.

- Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartik, J. (1985). <u>A Comprehensive</u> Grammar of the English Language. New York, NY: Longman.
- Page, E. B., & Petersen, N. (1995). The computer moves into essay grading: updating the ancient test. Phi Delta Kappan, March, 561-565.
- Sidner, C. (1986). Focusing in the Comprehension of Definite Anaphora. In B. Grosz, K. Sparck Jones, & B. L. Webber (Eds.), <u>Readings in Natural Language</u> Processing (pp. 363-394). Los Altos, CA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.

Vander Linden, K., & Martin, J.H. (1995). Expressing Rhetorical Relations in Instructional Text: A Case Study in Purpose Relation. <u>Computational Linguistics 21</u> (1), 29-57.

Appendix A1: Analysis of An Argument Item

ANALYSIS OF AN ARGUMENT

Time - 30 minutes

<u>Directions:</u> In this section you will be asked to write a critique of the argument presented below. You are not being asked to present your own views on the subject.

Read the argument and the instructions that follow it, and then make any notes in your test booklet that will help you plan your response. Begin writing your response on the separate answer document. Make sure that you use the answer document that goes with this writing task.

The following is from a campaign by Big Boards, Inc., to convince companies in River City that their sales will increase if they use Big Boards billboards for advertising their locally manufactured products.

"The potential of Big Boards to increase sales of your products can be seen from an experiment we conducted last year. We increased public awareness of the name of the current national women's marathon champion by publishing her picture and her name on billboards in River City for a period of three months. Before this time, although the champion had just won her title and was receiving extensive national publicity, only five percent of 15,000 randomly surveyed residents of River City could correctly name the champion when shown her picture; after the three-month advertising experiment, 35 percent of respondents from a second survey could supply her name."

Discuss how well reasoned you find this argument. In your discussion be sure to analyze the line of reasoning and the use of evidence in the argument. For example, you may need to consider what questionable assumptions underlie the thinking and what alternative explanations or counterexamples might weaken the conclusion. You can also discuss what sort of evidence would strengthen or refute the argument, what changes in the argument would make it more sound and persuasive, and what, if anything, would help you better evaluate its conclusion.

NOTES

Use the space below or on the facing page to plan your response. Any writing on these pages will not be evaluated.

STOP

IF YOU FINISH BEFORE TIME IS CALLED, YOU MAY CHECK YOUR WORK ON THIS SECTION ONLY. DO NOT TURN TO ANY OTHER SECTION IN THE TEST.

Appendix A2: Analysis of An Issue Item

ANALYSIS OF AN ISSUE

Time - 30 minutes

<u>Directions</u>: In this section you will need to analyze the issue presented below and explain your views on it. The question has no "correct" answer. Instead, you should consider various perspectives as you develop your own position on the issue.

Read the statement and the instructions that follow it, and then make any notes in your test booklet that will help you plan your response. Begin writing your response on the separate answer document. Make sure that you use the answer document that goes with this writing task.

"Everywhere, it seems, there are clear and positive signs that people are becoming more respectful of one another's differences."

In your opinion, how accurate is the view expressed above? Use reasons and/or examples from your own experience, observations, or reading to develop your position.

NOTES

Use the space below or on the facing page to plan your response. Any writing on these pages will not be evaluated.

STOP

IF YOU FINISH BEFORE TIME IS CALLED, YOU MAY CHECK YOUR WORK ON THIS SECTION ONLY. DO NOT TURN TO ANY OTHER SECTION IN THE TEST.

Appendix B1: Lexicon (CLUELEX) used by APP

argument content#ALTERNATIVE :: or, either

```
argument development class#BELIEF word:: certainly, clearly, obviously, plainly,
possibly, perhaps, potentially, probably, fortunately, generally, maybe, presumably,
unless, albeit, luckily, unluckily, normally, for sure, apparently, herein, likely, surely,
ideally, undoubtedly, naturally
argument development class#BELIEF phrase:: for certain, for sure, of course,
to some extent, above all, if only, in order to, in order for, so that, so as to
argument initialization class#CLAIM N:: argument, arguments, assumption,
assumptions, claim, claims, issue, issues, evidence, evidences, idea, ideas, flaw, flaws,
study, studies, point, points, position, positions, leap of logic, conclusion, conclusions,
omission, generalization, indication, indications, deduction, passage, factor, factors,
problem, problems, statement, statements, discussion, discussions, question, questions,
example, examples, counterexample, counterexamples, reason, reasons
argument initialization class#CLAIM phraseRO:: I, we
argument initialization class#CLAIM THAT:: that
argument development class#CONTRAST word:: otherwise, conversely, however,
nonetheless, though, yet, meanwhile, while, but, instead, although, still, notwithstanding,
anyway, unlike
argument development class#CONTRAST phrase:: on the contrary, in contrast,
by comparison, in any case, at any rate, in spite of, rather than, on the other hand,
even then, even if, even though, even wordith, apart from, instead of
argument development class#DETAIL word:: if, specifically, particularly, when,
namely
argument development class#DETAIL phrase:: for example, for instance, e.g.,
in this case, in that case, such that, as well as, in that, such as, about how,
in addition, in addition to
argument development class#DISBELIEF_word:: unfortunately
argument development class#EVIDENCE word:: since, because, actually
argument development class#EVIDENCE phrase:: in fact, after all,
as a matter of fact, because of
argument development class#INFERENCE word:: accordingly, consequently, hence,
thus, ultimately, so, thereby, then, therefore, following, after, afterward, afterwards
argument development class#INFERENCE phrase:: as a consequence, as a result,
if so, if not, as such, according to, in turn, right after
argument initialization class#PARALLEL word:: firstly, essentially, additionally,
first, second, another, third, secondly, thirdly, fourth, next, finally, final, last, lastly,
moreover, too, also, likewise, similarly, initially, further, furthermore
argument initialization class#PARALLEL phrase:: first of all,
in the first phraselace, for one thing, for a start, second of all, many times,
more importantly, most importantly
```

argument development class#REFORMULATION_word:: alternatively, alternately
argument development class#REFORMULATION_phrase:: that_is, in_other_words,
i.e., briefly
argument development class#RHETORICAL_word:: ?, suppose, supposedly,
supposing
argument development class#RHETORICAL_phrase:: what_if
argument initialization class#SUMMARY_word:: altogether, overall
argument initialization class#SUMMARY_phrase:: all_in_all, in_conclusion, in_sum,
in_summary, in_summation, in_short, on_the_whole
arg_auxiliary_verb#SPECULATE_word:: might, may, should, could, would
argument initialization class#TRANSITION_phrase:: let_us

Appendix B2: Rules Used By APP

I. "AFTER" RULE

A. Extracts "after", "after", and "afterwards" if they occur sentence initially as conjunction.

II. "ALSO" RULE

A. Constrains argument extraction for "also", classified in the lexicon as arginit#Parallel, and for additional adverbs classified as arg_dev#Belief such that all are extracted if they appear in sentence initial position or if they modify the main verb of the sentence (defined as the first verb that occurs in the second column of the parse tree).

III. LEXICALLY-BASED RULE FOR BEGINNING AN ARGUMENT

- A. Constrains the extraction of nouns and pronouns classified as arginit#CLAIM words in the lexicon to main clause subject NPs and in sentences beginning with "There", to the position after a form of the verb "to be".
- IV.
- A. Controls the extraction and labeling of Nouns in arg_init position that are modified by "this" or "these" that are labeled arg_dev#SAME_TOPIC when they occur in the second or later sentence of a paragraph.
- B. If "This", "These" or "It" occur as a pronoun in the first noun phrase of the parse tree of sentences that are not paragraph-initial, they are output with the label arg_dev#SAME_TOPIC. This label is generated dynamically. "This, "these" and "it" are not stored in the lexicon

V. "BUT" RULE

A. Extracts "but" if it is labeled as a conjunction.

VI. COMPLEMENT CLAUSE RULE

A. Extracts complement clauses introduced by "that" as well as complement clauses that do not begin with "that."

- B. Labels complement clause as arg_init#CLAIM_THAT* when it is the first or only sentence of a paragraph, otherwise it is labeled as arg_dev#CLAIM_THAT*
- C. Extracts the conjunction "that" if it occurs in a complement clause, or a complement clause not introduced by "that" under the following conditions:
 - 1. the complement clause is not embedded in another COMPCL or SUBCL
 - 2. the complement clause is not further embedded than the third column of the parse tree

VII. SUBORDINATE CLAUSE" RULE FOR BEGINNING AN ARGUMENT

A. If the very first sentence of a paragraph begins with a subordinate clause, extract the noun or pronoun from the main clause NP and consider it to be the beginning of a new argument. The noun or pronoun extracted is labeled arg_init#D-SPECIFIC if it is not listed in the lexicon.

VIII. "FIRST" RULE

- Λ. Constrains words listed in lexicon that are classified as arg_init#Parallel words.
- B. All words of this category in sentence initial position are extracted (cf. ALSO RULE).
- C. If the word is not sentence-initial one of the following conditions must be satisfied.
 - 1. It must be in the first constituent of the parse tree, provided that the first constituent is not a subordinate clause and that it is not further embedded in the parse tree than the third column.
 - 2. It must be the first NP following a sentence-initial adverb.
 - 3. If the first constituent is the pronoun "I"followed by a verb, then the "FIRST" item must be immediately following the verb.

IX. "FURTHER" RULE

A. Extracts "further" "overall" or "altogether" if they occur sentence-initially and do not modify another constituent.

X. INFINITIVE CLAUSE RULE

A. Extracts an infinitival clause that is not further embedded than the third column of the parse tree and either follows or precedes the main verb of the sentence. The clause is not embedded in a subordinate clause or a complement clause. Infinitival clauses that are extracted are labeled as

arg_init#To-INFL if it is the first or only sentence of a paragraph, otherwise arg_dev#To_INFL.

XI. RULE FOR BEGINNING AN ARGUMENT AT A NEW PARAGRAPH

A. If a paragraph has no lexical or structural "argument initializations" then a label arg init#NEW PARAGRAPH is applied..

XII. "OR" RULE

A. Extracts the conjunctions "or" and "either" when they occur in the second column of the parse tree, and the node immediately following the conjunction is a verb phrase.

XIII. PARALLEL TERM RULE

A. Prevents the extraction of arg_init#Parallel lexical entries terms if they modify a verb or a noun at any level of embedding. (cf also FIRST.DOC)

XIV. "SHOULD" RULE

- A. The words, would, should, might, may, and could are be picked up for each essay. They are classified as arg_aux#SPECULATE in the lexicon.
- B. These words occur in parse trees in the structure
- C. AUXP VERB "___ "

XV. "SO" RULE

A. Extracts the conjunction so if it occurs initially in a subordinate clause or if it is a sentence-initial adverb

XVI. "THEN" RULE

A. Extracts "then" if it occurs as an adverb or a conjunction that is not further embedded than the second column of the parse tree.

XVII. VERB+ING RULE

- A. Extracts sentence-initial nouns and verbs ending in "-ing", as well as "-ing" verbs that immediately follow a prepositional phrase or an adverb that is in the second column of a parse tree. These extracted "-ing" words are labeled as arg_init#CLAIM_Ving if in the first or only sentence of a paragraph, and arg_dev#CLAIM_Ving otherwise.
- B. If the base form of the verb is "do", then the label will be arg dev#Inference.

XVIII. "WHEN" RULE

- Λ. Extracts all occurrences of "when" in the following structure
 - 1. ABBCL* CONJUNCTION PHRASE* CONJUNCTION* "when"

if this structure occurs no further embedded than the fourth column of the parse.

XIX. "WHILE" RULE

- A. Extracts "while" under the following conditions.
 - 1. It is the first constituent of a sentence
 - 2. It is a conjunction in a subordinate clause that is not further embedded than the third column

Appendix C1: GRADE 6: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ARGUMENT ESSAYS

```
4
                        5
                            *6*
             3
0.000 0.038 0.063 0.187 0.412 0.690 RECOGNITION
0.047 0.114 0.107 0.195 0.160 0.317 LOCAL
0.000 0.007 0.071 0.098 0.178 0.315 CLAIM
0.229 0.163 0.207 0.207 0.295 0.304 SURVEY
0.070 0.118 0.134 0.304 0.198 0.294 CAMPAIGN
0.000 0.050 0.071 0.172 0.232 0.268 ASSUMPTION
0.000 0.057 0.045 0.109 0.141 0.243 BEEN
0.028 0.161 0.249 0.244 0.211 0.241 ARGUMENT
0.000 0.029 0.085 0.086 0.088 0.232 ATHLET
0.081 0.192 0.212 0.217 0.219 0.224 AWARENES
0.000 0.052 0.053 0.089 0.114 0.220 ASSUM
0.087 0.215 0.197 0.206 0.211 0.212 BILLBOARD
0.036 0.059 0.078 0.117 0.112 0.208 SAMPL
0.086 0.081 0.098 0.161 0.089 0.205 PUBLICITY
0.022 0.120 0.155 0.090 0.230 0.203 EVIDENC
0.000 0.027 0.081 0.096 0.126 0.198 DATA
0.000 0.070 0.138 0.076 0.095 0.197 SUPPORT
0.032 0.092 0.159 0.104 0.217 0.196 RESULT
0.180 0.138 0.129 0.163 0.138 0.184 NATIONAL
0.000 0.048 0.042 0.119 0.103 0.182 QUESTION
0.000 0.057 0.020 0.034 0.096 0.181 BOARD'
0.000 0.000 0.017 0.176 0.252 0.180 RUNNER
0.129 0.096 0.144 0.153 0.127 0.178 SECOND
0.090 0.142 0.123 0.141 0.171 0.174 SAL
0.089 0.174 0.236 0.256 0.202 0.171 INC
0.044 0.124 0.074 0.080 0.154 0.170 CONCLUSION
0.076 0.095 0.144 0.188 0.145 0.164 INFORMATION
0.041 0.000 0.019 0.147 0.092 0.163 EXPOSUR
0.110 0.096 0.079 0.072 0.119 0.163 POTENTIAL
0.000 0.061 0.072 0.084 0.094 0.162 ADDRES
0.000 0.023 0.000 0.035 0.116 0.161 STUDY
0.027 0.144 0.187 0.136 0.175 0.160 EXAMPL
0.157 0.092 0.056 0.084 0.146 0.158 THEN
0.102 0.206 0.195 0.158 0.137 0.158 PUBLIC
0.186 0.236 0.159 0.107 0.142 0.158 MARKET
0.000 0.054 0.069 0.134 0.202 0.155 PROVID
0.000 0.050 0.089 0.060 0.069 0.154 FAIL
0.000 0.031 0.027 0.061 0.062 0.153 CAUS
0.091 0.147 0.080 0.159 0.200 0.153 BILL
0.051 0.055 0.091 0.184 0.141 0.151 CONDUCT
```

```
0.099 0.099 0.094 0.055 0.152 0.149 EVEN
0.089 0.102 0.118 0.148 0.120 0.149 FIRST
0.000 0.010 0.036 0.084 0.055 0.149 LEAD
0.130 0.125 0.190 0.093 0.118 0.148 SOME
0.122 0.148 0.151 0.143 0.141 0.148 OTHER
0.063 0.076 0.037 0.063 0.058 0.148 CASE
0.227 0.250 0.224 0.201 0.155 0.148 CHAMPION
0.061 0.179 0.149 0.110 0.154 0.147 WHO
0.000 0.034 0.050 0.017 0.052 0.146 WHIL
0.173 0.218 0.217 0.154 0.275 0.146 RIVER
0.138 0.081 0.132 0.107 0.114 0.144 SAME
0.000 0.054 0.088 0.054 0.104 0.144 DUE
0.000 0.060 0.038 0.058 0.079 0.143 FINALLY
0.237 0.167 0.113 0.143 0.207 0.142 BUSINES
0.026 0.076 0.074 0.187 0.191 0.142 DID
0.000 0.068 0.052 0.044 0.082 0.141 WHETHER
0.231 0.277 0.242 0.173 0.207 0.140 COMPANY
0.000 0.000 0.000 0.044 0.054 0.138 CORRELATION
0.000 0.010 0.026 0.167 0.186 0.137 POPULATION
0.121 0.106 0.101 0.049 0.168 0.136 MIGHT
0.028 0.098 0.121 0.125 0.191 0.136 PRESENT
0.000 0.067 0.112 0.151 0.125 0.136 FACTOR
0.000 0.047 0.131 0.081 0.178 0.136 NEED
0.161 0.165 0.158 0.138 0.136 0.135 MARATHON
0.395 0.240 0.273 0.146 0.184 0.135 PEOPL
0.032 0.078 0.100 0.104 0.126 0.134 PROV
0.000 0.014 0.000 0.021 0.064 0.133 STATISTICAL
0.000 0.000 0.032 0.064 0.084 0.132 FIGUR
0.000 0.022 0.010 0.033 0.117 0.132 INTO
0.000 0.017 0.085 0.111 0.174 0.131 FLAW
0.037 0.118 0.170 0.140 0.162 0.131 CONVINC
0.000 0.100 0.110 0.075 0.076 0.131 NUMBER
0.123 0.066 0.106 0.079 0.091 0.130 RECEIV
0.000 0.072 0.027 0.100 0.111 0.129 CONSUMER
0.137 0.089 0.079 0.094 0.209 0.129 RECOGNIZ
0.108 0.109 0.090 0.076 0.072 0.127 WELL
0.153 0.212 0.211 0.159 0.250 0.127 CITY
0.064 0.139 0.092 0.091 0.126 0.126 SPORT
0.143 0.085 0.143 0.092 0.124 0.125 LOCALLY
0.153 0.224 0.167 0.157 0.128 0.125 PRODUCT
0.000 0.000 0.018 0.070 0.174 0.125 NECESSARILY
0.078 0.147 0.211 0.152 0.144 0.125 MANUFACTUR
0.236 0.109 0.117 0.099 0.159 0.125 TIME
0.000 0.046 0.062 0.113 0.080 0.125 FACE
```

0.148 0.229 0.159 0.135 0.095 0.123 ABOUT
0.244 0.150 0.129 0.116 0.086 0.123 AFTER
0.074 0.130 0.124 0.146 0.229 0.123 WHAT
0.000 0.036 0.000 0.000 0.014 0.122 AUTHOR'
0.040 0.075 0.076 0.040 0.107 0.121 METHOD
0.029 0.134 0.154 0.142 0.193 0.121 MEDIA
0.169 0.130 0.080 0.082 0.094 0.121 BEFOR
0.000 0.020 0.009 0.075 0.107 0.121 PERHAP
0.000 0.000 0.036 0.051 0.000 0.120 RAIS
0.000 0.012 0.042 0.036 0.064 0.119 APPEAR
0.000 0.017 0.059 0.025 0.026 0.119 AUTHOR
0.077 0.097 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.118 BOARD
0.026 0.110 0.085 0.134 0.163 0.118 USED
0.227 0.130 0.169 0.109 0.105 0.118 RESPONDENT
0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.068 0.089 0.118 COVERAG

Appendix C2: GRADE 5: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ARGUMENT ESSAYS

1	2	3	4	*5*	6	
0.000	0.038	0.063	0.187	0.412	0.690	RECOGNITION
0.229	0.163	0.207	0.207	0.295	0.304	SURVEY
0.173	0.218	0.217	0.154	0.275	0.146	RIVER
0.000	0.000	0.017	0.176	0.252	0.180	RUNNER
0.153	0.212	0.211	0.159	0.250	0.127	CITY
0.000	0.050	0.071	0.172	0.232	0.268	ASSUMPTION
0.022	0.120	0.155	0.090	0.230	0.203	EVIDENC
0.074	0.130	0.124	0.146	0.229	0.123	WHAT
0.081	0.192	0.212	0.217	0.219	0.224	AWARENES
0.032	0.092	0.159	0.104	0.217	0.196	RESULT
0.087	0.215	0.197	0.206	0.211	0.212	BILLBOARD
0.028	0.161	0.249	0.244	0.211	0.241	ARGUMENT
0.137	0.089	0.079	0.094	0.209	0.129	RECOGNIZ
0.237	0.167	0.113	0.143	0.207	0.142	BUSINES
0.231	0.277	0.242	0.173	0.207	0.140	COMPANY
0.152	0.132	0.258	0.279	0.205	0.150	EXPERIMENT
0.000	0.054	0.069	0.134	0.202	0.155	PROVID
0.089	0.174	0.236	0.256	0.202	0.171	INC
0.091	0.147	0.080	0.159	0.200	0.153	BILL
0.070	0.118	0.134	0.304	0.198	0.294	CAMPAIGN
0.029	0.134	0.154	0.142	0.193	0.121	MEDIA
0.028	0.098	0.121	0.125	0.191	0.136	PRESENT
0.026	0.076	0.074	0.187	0.191	0.142	DID
						MANUFACTURER
						POPULATION
						ABILITY
						PEOPL
						BETTER
						REASON
						NEED
						CLAIM
						EXAMPL
						FLAW
						NECESSARILY
	0.142					
						ADVERTISEMEN
						MIGHT
0.169	0.196	0.165	0.198	0.167	0.106	SHOULD

```
0.000 0.013 0.024 0.040 0.165 0.045 LINE
0.026 0.110 0.085 0.134 0.163 0.118 USED
0.000 0.107 0.069 0.094 0.163 0.057 MENTION
0.037 0.118 0.170 0.140 0.162 0.131 CONVINC
0.488 0.444 0.188 0.189 0.162 0.101 YOU
0.124 0.167 0.127 0.161 0.161 0.112 US
0.039 0.010 0.074 0.055 0.160 0.109 GROUP
0.049 0.104 0.093 0.093 0.160 0.111 HOWEVER
0.047 0.114 0.107 0.195 0.160 0.317 LOCAL
0.000 0.000 0.000 0.021 0.160 0.081 RESPONSIBL
0.236 0.109 0.117 0.099 0.159 0.125 TIME
0.120 0.097 0.048 0.048 0.157 0.031 SEEN
0.000 0.050 0.104 0.144 0.156 0.098 ANOTHER
0.227 0.250 0.224 0.201 0.155 0.148 CHAMPION
0.061 0.179 0.149 0.110 0.154 0.147 WHO
0.044 0.124 0.074 0.080 0.154 0.170 CONCLUSION
0.000 0.084 0.056 0.032 0.153 0.061 DONE
0.099 0.099 0.094 0.055 0.152 0.149 EVEN
0.351 0.207 0.156 0.085 0.149 0.092 RESIDENT
0.157 0.092 0.056 0.084 0.146 0.158 THEN
0.076 0.095 0.144 0.188 0.145 0.164 INFORMATION
0.090 0.073 0.102 0.145 0.144 0.116 THAN
0.078 0.147 0.211 0.152 0.144 0.125 MANUFACTUR
0.041 0.098 0.048 0.065 0.142 0.045 RELAT
0.030 0.202 0.086 0.085 0.142 0.084 EFFECTIV
0.186 0.236 0.159 0.107 0.142 0.158 MARKET
0.057 0.054 0.061 0.092 0.141 0.115 FACT
0.122 0.148 0.151 0.143 0.141 0.148 OTHER
0.000 0.057 0.045 0.109 0.141 0.243 BEEN
0.051 0.055 0.091 0.184 0.141 0.151 CONDUCT
0.034 0.028 0.057 0.090 0.141 0.104 GIVEN
0.182 0.191 0.217 0.087 0.140 0.102 BECAUS
0.180 0.138 0.129 0.163 0.138 0.184 NATIONAL
0.102 0.206 0.195 0.158 0.137 0.158 PUBLIC
0.161 0.165 0.158 0.138 0.136 0.135 MARATHON
0.000 0.071 0.063 0.054 0.133 0.085 ISSU
0.151 0.068 0.108 0.096 0.130 0.113 SUCH
0.000 0.147 0.080 0.042 0.130 0.085 STATEMENT
0.153 0.224 0.167 0.157 0.128 0.125 PRODUCT
0.129 0.096 0.144 0.153 0.127 0.178 SECOND
0.116 0.141 0.055 0.135 0.126 0.065 MUCH
0.000 0.027 0.081 0.096 0.126 0.198 DATA
0.032 0.078 0.100 0.104 0.126 0.134 PROV
0.064 0.139 0.092 0.091 0.126 0.126 SPORT
0.129 0.035 0.069 0.084 0.126 0.115 MAK
```

```
0.000 0.034 0.069 0.097 0.125 0.100 STRENGTHEN
0.096 0.026 0.076 0.161 0.125 0.118 STAT
0.077 0.097 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.118 BOARD
0.000 0.067 0.112 0.151 0.125 0.136 FACTOR
0.143 0.085 0.143 0.092 0.124 0.125 LOCALLY
0.000 0.043 0.115 0.057 0.124 0.081 AWAR
0.000 0.025 0.033 0.065 0.123 0.072 IDENTIFY
0.040 0.021 0.028 0.048 0.122 0.093 POSSIBL
0.553 0.171 0.208 0.086 0.122 0.076 PICTUR
0.062 0.184 0.067 0.088 0.122 0.045 TRY
0.000 0.053 0.066 0.080 0.122 0.080 SELL
0.117 0.095 0.161 0.142 0.121 0.102 BUY
0.089 0.102 0.118 0.148 0.120 0.149 FIRST
0.000 0.000 0.000 0.015 0.120 0.057 ATHELET
0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.120 0.098 POLL
0.000 0.033 0.030 0.150 0.120 0.070 FORM
```

0.000 0.044 0.085 0.098 0.120 0.098 TWO

Appendix C3: GRADE 4: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ARGUMENT ESSAYS

2. 3 5 6 1 0.070 0.118 0.134 0.304 0.198 0.294 CAMPAIGN 0.089 0.174 0.236 0.256 0.202 0.171 INC 0.028 0.161 0.249 0.244 0.211 0.241 ARGUMENT 0.508 0.253 0.242 0.241 0.052 0.046 PERCENT 0.081 0.192 0.212 0.217 0.219 0.224 AWARENES 0.229 0.163 0.207 0.207 0.295 0.304 SURVEY 0.087 0.215 0.197 0.206 0.211 0.212 BILLBOARD 0.051 0.000 0.134 0.205 0.021 0.017 30 0.227 0.250 0.224 0.201 0.155 0.148 CHAMPION 0.169 0.196 0.165 0.198 0.167 0.106 SHOULD 0.047 0.114 0.107 0.195 0.160 0.317 LOCAL 0.243 0.252 0.108 0.189 0.100 0.109 YOUR 0.488 0.444 0.188 0.189 0.162 0.101 YOU 0.076 0.095 0.144 0.188 0.145 0.164 INFORMATION 0.000 0.038 0.063 0.187 0.412 0.690 RECOGNITION 0.026 0.076 0.074 0.187 0.191 0.142 DID 0.051 0.055 0.091 0.184 0.141 0.151 CONDUCT 0.000 0.000 0.040 0.180 0.080 0.081 & 0.000 0.000 0.017 0.176 0.252 0.180 RUNNER 0.000 0.058 0.064 0.173 0.077 0.078 ARGUEMENT 0.231 0.277 0.242 0.173 0.207 0.140 COMPANY 0.000 0.050 0.071 0.172 0.232 0.268 ASSUMPTION 0.130 0.315 0.194 0.170 0.080 0.069 CUSTOMER 0.000 0.010 0.026 0.167 0.186 0.137 POPULATION 0.180 0.138 0.129 0.163 0.138 0.184 NATIONAL 0.086 0.081 0.098 0.161 0.089 0.205 PUBLICITY 0.096 0.026 0.076 0.161 0.125 0.118 STAT 0.124 0.167 0.127 0.161 0.161 0.112 US 0.091 0.147 0.080 0.159 0.200 0.153 BILL 0.153 0.212 0.211 0.159 0.250 0.127 CITY 0.102 0.206 0.195 0.158 0.137 0.158 PUBLIC 0.460 0.181 0.067 0.157 0.015 0.040 GET 0.153 0.224 0.167 0.157 0.128 0.125 PRODUCT 0.173 0.218 0.217 0.154 0.275 0.146 RIVER 0.129 0.096 0.144 0.153 0.127 0.178 SECOND 0.078 0.147 0.211 0.152 0.144 0.125 MANUFACTUR 0.000 0.067 0.112 0.151 0.125 0.136 FACTOR 0.000 0.033 0.030 0.150 0.120 0.070 FORM

```
0.177 0.135 0.106 0.149 0.116 0.079 PERSON
0.089 0.102 0.118 0.148 0.120 0.149 FIRST
0.041 0.000 0.019 0.147 0.092 0.163 EXPOSUR
0.074 0.130 0.124 0.146 0.229 0.123 WHAT
0.167 0.015 0.013 0.146 0.023 0.031 COMMUNITY
0.395 0.240 0.273 0.146 0.184 0.135 PEOPL
0.090 0.073 0.102 0.145 0.144 0.116 THAN
0.108 0.019 0.095 0.145 0.059 0.109 SUCCES
0.000 0.050 0.104 0.144 0.156 0.098 ANOTHER
0.237 0.167 0.113 0.143 0.207 0.142 BUSINES
0.122 0.148 0.151 0.143 0.141 0.148 OTHER
0.104 0.140 0.124 0.142 0.180 0.107 REASON
0.117 0.095 0.161 0.142 0.121 0.102 BUY
0.029 0.134 0.154 0.142 0.193 0.121 MEDIA
0.090 0.142 0.123 0.141 0.171 0.174 SAL
0.145 0.207 0.149 0.141 0.069 0.092 VERY
0.037 0.118 0.170 0.140 0.162 0.131 CONVINC
0.161 0.165 0.158 0.138 0.136 0.135 MARATHON
0.091 0.024 0.065 0.137 0.056 0.051 KNEW
0.027 0.144 0.187 0.136 0.175 0.160 EXAMPL
0.116 0.141 0.055 0.135 0.126 0.065 MUCH
0.148 0.229 0.159 0.135 0.095 0.123 ABOUT
0.000 0.054 0.069 0.134 0.202 0.155 PROVID
0.026 0.110 0.085 0.134 0.163 0.118 USED
0.097 0.078 0.092 0.130 0.093 0.069 OUT
0.234 0.189 0.148 0.129 0.107 0.113 ADVERTIS
0.159 0.192 0.123 0.128 0.033 0.031 REMEMBER
0.028 0.098 0.121 0.125 0.191 0.136 PRESENT
0.036 0.049 0.070 0.125 0.082 0.110 BE
0.077 0.097 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.118 BOARD
0.000 0.083 0.042 0.124 0.018 0.044 SOUND
0.097 0.061 0.069 0.123 0.066 0.101 WHER
0.000 0.071 0.072 0.121 0.054 0.072 SAY
0.071 0.190 0.186 0.121 0.087 0.075 COST
0.106 0.076 0.101 0.121 0.036 0.067 TITL
0.000 0.048 0.042 0.119 0.103 0.182 OUESTION
0.154 0.141 0.110 0.118 0.038 0.055 THEREFOR
0.036 0.059 0.078 0.117 0.112 0.208 SAMPL
0.244 0.150 0.129 0.116 0.086 0.123 AFTER
0.000 0.046 0.062 0.113 0.080 0.125 FACE
0.000 0.074 0.056 0.111 0.040 0.079 SINC
0.000 0.017 0.085 0.111 0.174 0.131 FLAW
0.068 0.000 0.065 0.110 0.112 0.111 ASK
0.409 0.269 0.227 0.110 0.168 0.094 ADVERTISEMEN
0.061 0.179 0.149 0.110 0.154 0.147 WHO
```

```
0.000 0.057 0.045 0.109 0.141 0.243 BEEN
```

- 0.139 0.154 0.129 0.105 0.100 0.113 JUST
- 0.000 0.047 0.031 0.105 0.188 0.093 MANUFACTURER
- 0.044 0.082 0.031 0.105 0.072 0.073 WOMAN
- 0.032 0.092 0.159 0.104 0.217 0.196 RESULT
- 0.032 0.078 0.100 0.104 0.126 0.134 PROV
- 0.137 0.055 0.107 0.104 0.078 0.058 NEWSPAPER

^{0.202 0.181 0.105 0.109 0.056 0.060} LIKE

^{0.227 0.130 0.169 0.109 0.105 0.118} RESPONDENT

^{0.186 0.183 0.158 0.108 0.085 0.109} THRE

^{0.138 0.081 0.132 0.107 0.114 0.144} SAME

^{0.000 0.044 0.059 0.107 0.067 0.069} WEAK

^{0.000 0.094 0.028 0.105 0.048 0.013} ADVERTIZ

^{0.087 0.085 0.172 0.105 0.089 0.084} DIFFERENT

Appendix C4: GRADE 3: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ARGUMENT ESSAYS

1	2	*3*	4	5	6	
0.395	0.240	0.273	0.146	0.184	0.135	PEOPL
0.152	0.132	0.258	0.279	0.205	0.150	EXPERIMENT
0.028	0.161	0.249	0.244	0.211	0.241	ARGUMENT
0.508	0.253	0.242	0.241	0.052	0.046	PERCENT
0.231	0.277	0.242	0.173	0.207	0.140	COMPANY
0.089	0.174	0.236	0.256	0.202	0.171	INC
0.409	0.269	0.227	0.110	0.168	0.094	ADVERTISEMEN
0.227	0.250	0.224	0.201	0.155	0.148	CHAMPION
0.182	0.191	0.217	0.087	0.140	0.102	BECAUS
0.173	0.218	0.217	0.154	0.275	0.146	RIVER
0.081	0.192	0.212	0.217	0.219	0.224	AWARENES
0.078	0.147	0.211	0.152	0.144	0.125	MANUFACTUR
0.153	0.212	0.211	0.159	0.250	0.127	CITY
0.553	0.171	0.208	0.086	0.122	0.076	PICTUR
0.229	0.163	0.207	0.207	0.295	0.304	SURVEY
	0.012					
						PUBLISH
0.087			0.206			BILLBOARD
0.102	0.206	0.195	0.158	0.137	0.158	PUBLIC
0.130	0.315	0.194	0.170	0.080	0.069	CUSTOMER
						FAMOU
0.130	0.125	0.190	0.093	0.118	0.148	SOME
0.328	0.203	0.188	0.033	0.020	0.040	THINK
	0.444	0.188	0.189	0.162	0.101	YOU
0.102	0.064	0.187	0.062	0.056	0.076	GO
						EXAMPL
0.071			0.121			COST
0.087						DIFFERENT
						CONVINC
						RESPONDENT
						PRODUCT
	0.110					
	0.078					
						SHOULD
	0.151					CURRENT
	0.095					
						ABOV
	0.130					
0.186	0.236	0.159	0.107	0.142	0.158	MARKET

```
0.032 0.092 0.159 0.104 0.217 0.196 RESULT
0.148 0.229 0.159 0.135 0.095 0.123 ABOUT
0.186 0.183 0.158 0.108 0.085 0.109 THRE
0.161 0.165 0.158 0.138 0.136 0.135 MARATHON
0.207 0.167 0.157 0.101 0.096 0.114 MONTH
0.351 0.207 0.156 0.085 0.149 0.092 RESIDENT
0.022 0.120 0.155 0.090 0.230 0.203 EVIDENC
0.029 0.134 0.154 0.142 0.193 0.121 MEDIA
0.250 0.190 0.154 0.096 0.086 0.065 WOMEN'
0.135 0.181 0.153 0.068 0.062 0.076 WORK
0.122 0.148 0.151 0.143 0.141 0.148 OTHER
0.196 0.228 0.150 0.101 0.098 0.080 SO
0.061 0.179 0.149 0.110 0.154 0.147 WHO
0.145 0.207 0.149 0.141 0.069 0.092 VERY
0.219 0.098 0.148 0.051 0.060 0.069 INTEREST
0.234 0.189 0.148 0.129 0.107 0.113 ADVERTIS
0.129 0.096 0.144 0.153 0.127 0.178 SECOND
0.076 0.095 0.144 0.188 0.145 0.164 INFORMATION
0.143 0.085 0.143 0.092 0.124 0.125 LOCALLY
0.130 0.091 0.143 0.084 0.096 0.099 MOST
0.091 0.049 0.140 0.036 0.084 0.030 TOO
0.126 0.068 0.140 0.059 0.017 0.047 LOT
0.206 0.120 0.139 0.069 0.078 0.069 TH
0.000 0.070 0.138 0.076 0.095 0.197 SUPPORT
0.038 0.071 0.136 0.069 0.086 0.064 BECOM
0.444 0.327 0.134 0.094 0.115 0.086 GOOD
0.051 0.000 0.134 0.205 0.021 0.017 30
0.070 0.118 0.134 0.304 0.198 0.294 CAMPAIGN
0.000 0.116 0.133 0.095 0.053 0.058 DOESN'T
0.335 0.170 0.133 0.075 0.023 0.017 35
0.138 0.081 0.132 0.107 0.114 0.144 SAME
0.194 0.119 0.132 0.056 0.085 0.105 IMPORTANT
0.000 0.047 0.131 0.081 0.178 0.136 NEED
0.244 0.150 0.129 0.116 0.086 0.123 AFTER
0.180 0.138 0.129 0.163 0.138 0.184 NATIONAL
0.139 0.154 0.129 0.105 0.100 0.113 JUST
0.142 0.183 0.129 0.074 0.082 0.102 000
0.124 0.167 0.127 0.161 0.161 0.112 US
0.077 0.097 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.118 BOARD
0.038 0.071 0.125 0.045 0.070 0.076 COMPAR
0.000 0.250 0.125 0.023 0.000 0.013 SOCIETY
0.074 0.130 0.124 0.146 0.229 0.123 WHAT
0.104 0.140 0.124 0.142 0.180 0.107 REASON
0.195 0.105 0.124 0.085 0.080 0.106 POINT
0.325 0.184 0.124 0.085 0.027 0.044 DON'T
```

0.090 0.142 0.123 0.141 0.171 0.174 SAL
0.159 0.192 0.123 0.128 0.033 0.031 REMEMBER
0.028 0.098 0.121 0.125 0.191 0.136 PRESENT
0.184 0.086 0.120 0.009 0.094 0.021 IT'S
0.031 0.127 0.120 0.070 0.116 0.091 THOS
0.089 0.102 0.118 0.148 0.120 0.149 FIRST
0.000 0.000 0.117 0.000 0.029 0.008 SPECIFY
0.236 0.109 0.117 0.099 0.159 0.125 TIME
0.000 0.043 0.115 0.057 0.124 0.081 AWAR
0.030 0.120 0.114 0.066 0.104 0.067 SHOW
0.113 0.091 0.114 0.062 0.093 0.082 PERIOD
0.000 0.070 0.114 0.053 0.090 0.088 SERVIC
0.237 0.167 0.113 0.143 0.207 0.142 BUSINES

0.034 0.063 0.113 0.102 0.104 0.079 ORDER 0.040 0.085 0.113 0.048 0.033 0.075 CHAMPION' 0.000 0.067 0.112 0.151 0.125 0.136 FACTOR

43

Appendix C5: GRADE 2: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ARGUMENT ESSAYS

1 3 4 5 0.488 0.444 0.188 0.189 0.162 0.101 YOU 0.291 0.360 0.090 0.041 0.048 0.078 WAY 0.444 0.327 0.134 0.094 0.115 0.086 GOOD 0.130 0.315 0.194 0.170 0.080 0.069 CUSTOMER 0.231 0.277 0.242 0.173 0.207 0.140 COMPANY 0.409 0.269 0.227 0.110 0.168 0.094 ADVERTISEMEN 0.508 0.253 0.242 0.241 0.052 0.046 PERCENT 0.243 0.252 0.108 0.189 0.100 0.109 YOUR 0.000 0.250 0.125 0.023 0.000 0.013 SOCIETY 0.227 0.250 0.224 0.201 0.155 0.148 CHAMPION 0.395 0.240 0.273 0.146 0.184 0.135 PEOPL 0.186 0.236 0.159 0.107 0.142 0.158 MARKET 0.148 0.229 0.159 0.135 0.095 0.123 ABOUT 0.196 0.228 0.150 0.101 0.098 0.080 SO 0.173 0.218 0.217 0.154 0.275 0.146 RIVER 0.087 0.215 0.197 0.206 0.211 0.212 BILLBOARD 0.000 0.208 0.017 0.014 0.000 0.024 PUBLICATION 0.351 0.207 0.156 0.085 0.149 0.092 RESIDENT 0.145 0.207 0.149 0.141 0.069 0.092 VERY 0.102 0.206 0.195 0.158 0.137 0.158 PUBLIC 0.328 0.203 0.188 0.033 0.020 0.040 THINK 0.030 0.202 0.086 0.085 0.142 0.084 EFFECTIV 0.169 0.196 0.165 0.198 0.167 0.106 SHOULD 0.000 0.194 0.091 0.038 0.117 0.072 PROMOT 0.120 0.194 0.048 0.057 0.058 0.090 MY 0.081 0.192 0.212 0.217 0.219 0.224 AWARENES 0.182 0.191 0.217 0.087 0.140 0.102 BECAUS 0.071 0.190 0.186 0.121 0.087 0.075 COST 0.250 0.190 0.154 0.096 0.086 0.065 WOMEN' 0.234 0.189 0.148 0.129 0.107 0.113 ADVERTIS 0.144 0.186 0.110 0.058 0.065 0.087 15 0.062 0.184 0.067 0.088 0.122 0.045 TRY 0.186 0.183 0.158 0.108 0.085 0.109 THRE 0.142 0.183 0.129 0.074 0.082 0.102 000 0.135 0.181 0.153 0.068 0.062 0.076 WORK 0.460 0.181 0.067 0.157 0.015 0.040 GET

```
0.084 0.181 0.080 0.076 0.043 0.019 SALE
0.202 0.181 0.105 0.109 0.056 0.060 LIKE
0.056 0.180 0.027 0.034 0.011 0.031 ATTRACT
0.000 0.180 0.071 0.090 0.054 0.058 KNOWN
0.222 0.179 0.106 0.095 0.103 0.056 THEM
0.061 0.179 0.149 0.110 0.154 0.147 WHO
0.089 0.174 0.236 0.256 0.202 0.171 INC
0.000 0.172 0.000 0.000 0.016 0.000 BIGBOARD
0.553 0.171 0.208 0.086 0.122 0.076 PICTUR
0.335 0.170 0.133 0.075 0.023 0.017 35
0.237 0.167 0.113 0.143 0.207 0.142 BUSINES
0.124 0.167 0.127 0.161 0.161 0.112 US
0.207 0.167 0.157 0.101 0.096 0.114 MONTH
0.265 0.166 0.074 0.018 0.027 0.030 KIND
0.161 0.165 0.158 0.138 0.136 0.135 MARATHON
0.229 0.163 0.207 0.207 0.295 0.304 SURVEY
0.050 0.162 0.024 0.010 0.041 0.090 OUR
0.028 0.161 0.249 0.244 0.211 0.241 ARGUMENT
0.352 0.158 0.084 0.077 0.084 0.069 SEE
0.000 0.156 0.055 0.012 0.012 0.026 ALWAY
0.036 0.155 0.069 0.051 0.045 0.089 5%
0.139 0.154 0.129 0.105 0.100 0.113 JUST
0.066 0.151 0.111 0.087 0.075 0.056 ENOUGH
0.237 0.151 0.162 0.089 0.049 0.026 CURRENT
0.244 0.150 0.129 0.116 0.086 0.123 AFTER
0.000 0.148 0.047 0.055 0.056 0.092 PROBLEM
0.050 0.148 0.048 0.020 0.072 0.017 AROUND
0.069 0.148 0.057 0.090 0.106 0.085 HELP
0.122 0.148 0.151 0.143 0.141 0.148 OTHER
0.055 0.147 0.026 0.011 0.022 0.018 EASILY
0.000 0.147 0.052 0.011 0.056 0.012 RIGHT
0.000 0.147 0.080 0.042 0.130 0.085 STATEMENT
0.091 0.147 0.080 0.159 0.200 0.153 BILL
0.078 0.147 0.211 0.152 0.144 0.125 MANUFACTUR
0.000 0.146 0.000 0.014 0.000 0.023 FIELD
0.000 0.146 0.071 0.030 0.041 0.017 DEPEND
0.039 0.146 0.055 0.070 0.032 0.104 COMPANY'
0.465 0.145 0.105 0.099 0.096 0.088 WHEN
0.027 0.144 0.187 0.136 0.175 0.160 EXAMPL
0.090 0.142 0.123 0.141 0.171 0.174 SAL
0.203 0.142 0.068 0.033 0.058 0.041 SUPPLY
0.000 0.142 0.050 0.021 0.011 0.030 HAND
0.154 0.141 0.110 0.118 0.038 0.055 THEREFOR
0.116 0.141 0.055 0.135 0.126 0.065 MUCH
```

0.104 0.140 0.124 0.142 0.180 0.107 REASON

```
0.064 0.139 0.092 0.091 0.126 0.126 SPORT
```

0.000 0.128 0.047 0.064 0.082 0.058 ABLE

^{0.000 0.139 0.037 0.042 0.096 0.012} FAMILIAR

^{0.180 0.138 0.129 0.163 0.138 0.184} NATIONAL

^{0.177 0.135 0.106 0.149 0.116 0.079} PERSON

^{0.037 0.130 0.160 0.037 0.084 0.054} TV

^{0.227 0.130 0.169 0.109 0.105 0.118} RESPONDENT

^{0.169 0.130 0.080 0.082 0.094 0.121} BEFOR

^{0.074 0.130 0.124 0.146 0.229 0.123} WHAT

^{0.131 0.129 0.031 0.088 0.081 0.029} MAYB

^{0.368 0.129 0.202 0.030 0.038 0.012} PUBLISH

Appendix C6: GRADE 1: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ARGUMENT ESSAYS

```
*1*
      2 3 4 5
                            6
0.553 0.171 0.208 0.086 0.122 0.076 PICTUR
0.508 0.253 0.242 0.241 0.052 0.046 PERCENT
0.488 0.444 0.188 0.189 0.162 0.101 YOU
0.465 0.145 0.105 0.099 0.096 0.088 WHEN
0.460 0.181 0.067 0.157 0.015 0.040 GET
0.444 0.327 0.134 0.094 0.115 0.086 GOOD
0.431 0.129 0.023 0.068 0.020 0.043 READ
0.409 0.269 0.227 0.110 0.168 0.094 ADVERTISEMEN
0.395 0.240 0.273 0.146 0.184 0.135 PEOPL
0.368 0.129 0.202 0.030 0.038 0.012 PUBLISH
0.361 0.119 0.096 0.032 0.066 0.018 CORRECTLY
0.352 0.158 0.084 0.077 0.084 0.069 SEE
0.351 0.207 0.156 0.085 0.149 0.092 RESIDENT
0.335 0.170 0.133 0.075 0.023 0.017 35
0.328 0.203 0.188 0.033 0.020 0.040 THINK
0.326 0.125 0.067 0.037 0.067 0.021 IDEA
0.291 0.360 0.090 0.041 0.048 0.078 WAY
0.290 0.067 0.040 0.042 0.077 0.051 WHY
0.281 0.000 0.033 0.000 0.029 0.016 UNDERSTAND
0.272 0.097 0.108 0.027 0.037 0.015 EVERY
0.271 0.018 0.065 0.014 0.000 0.008 SOMETIM
0.265 0.166 0.074 0.018 0.027 0.030 KIND
0.256 0.052 0.031 0.013 0.013 0.000 FUTUR
0.255 0.041 0.036 0.051 0.010 0.034 5
0.253 0.126 0.086 0.058 0.045 0.053 RANDOMLY
0.250 0.190 0.154 0.096 0.086 0.065 WOMEN'
0.246 0.121 0.108 0.074 0.034 0.028 FIVE
0.244 0.150 0.129 0.116 0.086 0.123 AFTER
0.243 0.252 0.108 0.189 0.100 0.109 YOUR
0.240 0.022 0.000 0.016 0.016 0.000 AWAY
0.237 0.167 0.113 0.143 0.207 0.142 BUSINES
0.237 0.151 0.162 0.089 0.049 0.026 CURRENT
0.236 0.109 0.117 0.099 0.159 0.125 TIME
0.234 0.189 0.148 0.129 0.107 0.113 ADVERTIS
0.233 0.075 0.055 0.094 0.048 0.052 QUALITY
```

```
0.232 0.047 0.014 0.070 0.036 0.007 EASY
```

- 0.229 0.163 0.207 0.207 0.295 0.304 SURVEY
- 0.227 0.250 0.224 0.201 0.155 0.148 CHAMPION
- 0.227 0.130 0.169 0.109 0.105 0.118 RESPONDENT
- 0.222 0.179 0.106 0.095 0.103 0.056 THEM
- 0.219 0.098 0.148 0.051 0.060 0.069 INTEREST
- 0.211 0.038 0.000 0.028 0.000 0.016 FAVOR
- 0.211 0.076 0.017 0.014 0.029 0.000 BILLBORD
- 0.207 0.022 0.040 0.050 0.034 0.102 RANDOM
- 0.207 0.167 0.157 0.101 0.096 0.114 MONTH
- 0.206 0.120 0.139 0.069 0.078 0.069 TH
- 0.203 0.142 0.068 0.033 0.058 0.041 SUPPLY
- 0.202 0.181 0.105 0.109 0.056 0.060 LIKE
- 0.198 0.096 0.095 0.080 0.057 0.036 PUT
- 0.197 0.035 0.000 0.080 0.014 0.007 GOT
- 0.196 0.228 0.150 0.101 0.098 0.080 SO
- 0.195 0.105 0.124 0.085 0.080 0.106 POINT
- 0.187 0.100 0.000 0.038 0.038 0.007 ADVERTISMENT
- 0.187 0.000 0.015 0.038 0.051 0.007 APPROACH
- 0.186 0.236 0.159 0.107 0.142 0.158 MARKET
- 0.186 0.183 0.158 0.108 0.085 0.109 THRE
- 0.184 0.086 0.120 0.009 0.094 0.021 IT'S
- 0.182 0.191 0.217 0.087 0.140 0.102 BECAUS
- 0.180 0.138 0.129 0.163 0.138 0.184 NATIONAL
- 0.177 0.135 0.106 0.149 0.116 0.079 PERSON
- 0.171 0.138 0.190 0.011 0.012 0.006 FAMOU
- 0.171 0.046 0.000 0.046 0.012 0.038 RESPOND
- 0.169 0.196 0.165 0.198 0.167 0.106 SHOULD
- 0.169 0.130 0.080 0.082 0.094 0.121 BEFOR
- 0.167 0.015 0.013 0.146 0.023 0.031 COMMUNITY
- 0.164 0.044 0.013 0.022 0.034 0.037 GREAT
- 0.164 0.053 0.086 0.066 0.081 0.096 ALTHOUGH
- 0.161 0.165 0.158 0.138 0.136 0.135 MARATHON
- 0.160 0.000 0.000 0.016 0.000 0.009 SAFE
- 0.159 0.192 0.123 0.128 0.033 0.031 REMEMBER
- 0.157 0.092 0.056 0.084 0.146 0.158 THEN
- 0.156 0.059 0.074 0.081 0.038 0.098 EXTENSIV
- 0.155 0.084 0.049 0.021 0.021 0.041 MIND
- 0.155 0.084 0.074 0.031 0.053 0.017 PROMOTION
- 0.154 0.141 0.110 0.118 0.038 0.055 THEREFOR
- 0.153 0.212 0.211 0.159 0.250 0.127 CITY

^{0.231 0.277 0.242 0.173 0.207 0.140} COMPANY

- 0.153 0.093 0.091 0.046 0.079 0.047 SHOWN
- 0.152 0.020 0.000 0.000 0.016 0.017 PARTY
- 0.152 0.020 0.036 0.000 0.000 0.009 IMPORTANC
- 0.151 0.068 0.108 0.096 0.130 0.113 SUCH
- 0.150 0.027 0.024 0.020 0.041 0.056 BEGIN
- 0.148 0.229 0.159 0.135 0.095 0.123 ABOUT
- 0.146 0.039 0.000 0.029 0.000 0.000 ONE'
- 0.146 0.000 0.017 0.000 0.030 0.008 PUSH
- 0.145 0.207 0.149 0.141 0.069 0.092 VERY
- 0.144 0.107 0.060 0.058 0.097 0.073 AREA
- 0.144 0.090 0.057 0.048 0.010 0.043 REALLY
- 0.144 0.186 0.110 0.058 0.065 0.087 15
- 0.143 0.085 0.143 0.092 0.124 0.125 LOCALLY
- 0.142 0.038 0.068 0.076 0.107 0.026 EACH
- 0.142 0.183 0.129 0.074 0.082 0.102 000
- 0.140 0.000 0.067 0.014 0.000 0.008 SPECIAL
- 0.140 0.088 0.067 0.056 0.038 0.031 BEST
- 0.139 0.154 0.129 0.105 0.100 0.113 JUST

Appendix C7: GRADE 6: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ISSUE ESSAYS

1 3 4 5 *6* 0.017 0.095 0.113 0.205 0.237 0.366 OUR 0.000 0.007 0.027 0.188 0.110 0.284 & 0.035 0.117 0.072 0.163 0.145 0.282 SOCIETY 0.022 0.035 0.079 0.171 0.285 0.268 GROUP 0.000 0.014 0.032 0.086 0.138 0.253 RELIGIOU 0.021 0.006 0.090 0.095 0.165 0.251 WOMEN 0.000 0.021 0.031 0.116 0.139 0.239 ETHNIC 0.013 0.039 0.054 0.072 0.155 0.228 THOS 0.000 0.006 0.028 0.069 0.124 0.228 INTOLERANC 0.000 0.028 0.056 0.038 0.124 0.223 POLITICAL 0.014 0.027 0.093 0.096 0.218 0.220 AMERICAN 0.000 0.000 0.042 0.041 0.121 0.219 TOLERANC 0.000 0.031 0.067 0.130 0.150 0.217 STAT 0.000 0.012 0.012 0.106 0.021 0.215 MINORITY 0.015 0.044 0.084 0.125 0.224 0.214 BLACK 0.028 0.041 0.113 0.127 0.132 0.211 ISSU 0.000 0.017 0.028 0.144 0.124 0.211 DIVERSITY 0.009 0.027 0.060 0.116 0.200 0.211 BEEN 0.000 0.000 0.033 0.048 0.100 0.208 RECENT 0.089 0.065 0.145 0.227 0.181 0.195 CULTUR 0.000 0.018 0.027 0.055 0.099 0.192 INTO 0.000 0.009 0.036 0.125 0.224 0.192 INCREAS 0.000 0.015 0.020 0.098 0.091 0.191 WHIL 0.039 0.005 0.032 0.053 0.123 0.191 CONTINU 0.054 0.070 0.098 0.126 0.154 0.190 EXAMPL 0.000 0.027 0.027 0.042 0.093 0.189 ACTION 0.000 0.000 0.090 0.079 0.198 0.189 RACIAL 0.000 0.004 0.009 0.070 0.229 0.188 CHURCH 0.000 0.005 0.048 0.065 0.092 0.187 NATION 0.067 0.090 0.112 0.166 0.136 0.186 WORLD 0.059 0.104 0.193 0.120 0.116 0.186 COUNTRY 0.000 0.000 0.022 0.056 0.090 0.184 OFTEN 0.023 0.056 0.059 0.132 0.141 0.183 SUCH 0.012 0.036 0.066 0.063 0.116 0.182 HOWEVER 0.040 0.086 0.111 0.072 0.189 0.182 STIL 0.000 0.010 0.058 0.086 0.146 0.181 COMMUNITY 0.014 0.065 0.073 0.095 0.072 0.180 FEEL 0.012 0.030 0.057 0.175 0.190 0.178 BE 0.000 0.021 0.050 0.189 0.202 0.177 INDIVIDUAL

```
0.000 0.020 0.055 0.151 0.117 0.177 BELIEF
0.000 0.019 0.053 0.085 0.146 0.177 OVER
0.000 0.010 0.046 0.131 0.083 0.177 CULTURAL
0.048 0.023 0.078 0.131 0.145 0.172 TODAY
0.019 0.020 0.055 0.090 0.123 0.170 LACK
0.000 0.000 0.012 0.047 0.099 0.169 MOVEMENT
0.036 0.048 0.048 0.141 0.175 0.168 CHILDREN
0.000 0.000 0.020 0.030 0.132 0.165 AFRICAN
0.059 0.107 0.110 0.130 0.178 0.164 RESPECT
0.020 0.027 0.033 0.061 0.089 0.164 GOVERNMENT
0.023 0.050 0.099 0.101 0.185 0.162 YEAR
0.000 0.018 0.032 0.118 0.151 0.160 TOWARD
0.038 0.016 0.026 0.070 0.091 0.160 GROW
0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.055 0.160 AFFIRMATIV
0.000 0.069 0.078 0.184 0.146 0.160 ACCEPT
0.014 0.079 0.057 0.131 0.119 0.157 US
0.092 0.075 0.121 0.116 0.067 0.156 OPINION
0.027 0.011 0.105 0.125 0.139 0.156 RACE
0.046 0.053 0.109 0.073 0.128 0.156 VIEW
0.095 0.128 0.202 0.203 0.165 0.155 DIFFERENT
0.025 0.007 0.033 0.067 0.132 0.155 LAWS
0.048 0.043 0.051 0.154 0.140 0.155 SCHOOL
0.032 0.017 0.074 0.097 0.081 0.154 LESS
0.051 0.234 0.138 0.072 0.118 0.153 HE
0.044 0.056 0.060 0.110 0.146 0.153 EVEN
0.000 0.020 0.068 0.103 0.131 0.151 UNIT
0.030 0.096 0.069 0.095 0.106 0.151 THAN
0.091 0.061 0.100 0.090 0.129 0.150 SEEM
0.036 0.063 0.048 0.054 0.085 0.150 MUCH
0.013 0.060 0.091 0.067 0.177 0.149 RIGHT
0.016 0.038 0.081 0.152 0.204 0.148 RELIGION
0.017 0.028 0.056 0.042 0.148 0.148 ALTHOUGH
0.000 0.013 0.020 0.110 0.054 0.148 HATE
0.031 0.008 0.054 0.116 0.092 0.147 WHER
0.072 0.124 0.100 0.112 0.173 0.147 WHO
0.000 0.028 0.022 0.050 0.124 0.147 WAR
0.024 0.006 0.051 0.043 0.090 0.145 MEN
0.000 0.000 0.000 0.052 0.036 0.145 NATIONAL
0.000 0.030 0.035 0.124 0.088 0.145 EXIST
0.000 0.024 0.052 0.048 0.156 0.144 SEEN
0.025 0.054 0.109 0.160 0.115 0.143 STATEMENT
0.000 0.000 0.015 0.025 0.044 0.141 CITIZEN
0.000 0.010 0.026 0.093 0.091 0.141 BOTH
0.018 0.038 0.048 0.037 0.078 0.140 WELL
0.046 0.105 0.118 0.182 0.149 0.140 EACH
```

```
0.000 0.006 0.017 0.052 0.108 0.139 TOLERANT 0.026 0.007 0.000 0.032 0.041 0.139 ATTEMPT 0.016 0.043 0.065 0.054 0.097 0.138 POINT 0.125 0.111 0.061 0.095 0.115 0.137 POSITIV 0.071 0.065 0.100 0.104 0.068 0.137 UNDERSTAND 0.022 0.018 0.012 0.034 0.070 0.136 FEW 0.000 0.044 0.068 0.055 0.171 0.136 WHIT 0.023 0.019 0.044 0.043 0.089 0.134 CONFLICT 0.000 0.000 0.033 0.043 0.136 0.134 FORC 0.036 0.101 0.104 0.197 0.091 0.133 WORK 0.116 0.054 0.094 0.149 0.139 0.133 BELIEV 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.002 0.002 0.000 0.132 INCREASINGLY 0.000 0.040 0.079 0.094 0.093 0.131 AMERICA
```

0.073 0.079 0.054 0.088 0.057 0.130 PROBLEM

0.065 0.116 0.091 0.059 0.082 0.130 OWN

Appendix C8: GRADE 5: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ISSUE ESSAYS

0.022 0.035 0.079 0.171 0.285 0.268 GROUP 0.017 0.095 0.113 0.205 0.237 0.366 OUR 0.000 0.004 0.009 0.070 0.229 0.188 CHURCH 0.000 0.009 0.036 0.125 0.224 0.192 INCREAS 0.015 0.044 0.084 0.125 0.224 0.214 BLACK 0.014 0.027 0.093 0.096 0.218 0.220 AMERICAN 0.016 0.038 0.081 0.152 0.204 0.148 RELIGION 0.000 0.021 0.050 0.189 0.202 0.177 INDIVIDUAL 0.009 0.027 0.060 0.116 0.200 0.211 BEEN 0.000 0.000 0.090 0.079 0.198 0.189 RACIAL 0.012 0.030 0.057 0.175 0.190 0.178 BE 0.040 0.086 0.111 0.072 0.189 0.182 STIL 0.023 0.050 0.099 0.101 0.185 0.162 YEAR 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.081 0.183 0.105 BURN 0.089 0.065 0.145 0.227 0.181 0.195 CULTUR 0.059 0.107 0.110 0.130 0.178 0.164 RESPECT 0.013 0.060 0.091 0.067 0.177 0.149 RIGHT 0.036 0.048 0.048 0.141 0.175 0.168 CHILDREN 0.072 0.124 0.100 0.112 0.173 0.147 WHO 0.000 0.007 0.014 0.016 0.171 0.116 PROGRES 0.000 0.044 0.068 0.055 0.171 0.136 WHIT 0.021 0.006 0.090 0.095 0.165 0.251 WOMEN 0.095 0.128 0.202 0.203 0.165 0.155 DIFFERENT 0.000 0.000 0.024 0.161 0.161 0.104 DISRESPECT 0.000 0.024 0.052 0.048 0.156 0.144 SEEN 0.013 0.039 0.054 0.072 0.155 0.228 THOS 0.092 0.177 0.189 0.142 0.154 0.120 MY 0.054 0.070 0.098 0.126 0.154 0.190 EXAMPL 0.000 0.018 0.032 0.118 0.151 0.160 TOWARD 0.000 0.031 0.067 0.130 0.150 0.217 STAT 0.046 0.105 0.118 0.182 0.149 0.140 EACH 0.050 0.041 0.027 0.066 0.148 0.113 UP 0.017 0.028 0.056 0.042 0.148 0.148 ALTHOUGH 0.064 0.106 0.096 0.149 0.148 0.128 ANOTHER 0.000 0.009 0.062 0.080 0.146 0.020 GANG 0.042 0.057 0.053 0.093 0.146 0.111 JUST 0.044 0.056 0.060 0.110 0.146 0.153 EVEN 0.019 0.035 0.060 0.090 0.146 0.121 AMONG 0.000 0.019 0.053 0.085 0.146 0.177 OVER

1

2

3

5

6

```
0.000 0.069 0.078 0.184 0.146 0.160 ACCEPT
0.000 0.010 0.058 0.086 0.146 0.181 COMMUNITY
0.035 0.117 0.072 0.163 0.145 0.282 SOCIETY
0.048 0.023 0.078 0.131 0.145 0.172 TODAY
0.107 0.107 0.126 0.146 0.144 0.125 OTHER
0.000 0.017 0.035 0.072 0.143 0.092 EVIDENC
0.023 0.056 0.059 0.132 0.141 0.183 SUCH
0.048 0.043 0.051 0.154 0.140 0.155 SCHOOL
0.116 0.054 0.094 0.149 0.139 0.133 BELIEV
0.000 0.021 0.031 0.116 0.139 0.239 ETHNIC
0.027 0.011 0.105 0.125 0.139 0.156 RACE
0.059 0.032 0.008 0.018 0.139 0.084 HANDICAP
0.033 0.036 0.059 0.096 0.138 0.075 TWO
0.000 0.014 0.032 0.086 0.138 0.253 RELIGIOU
0.000 0.000 0.033 0.043 0.136 0.134 FORC
0.067 0.090 0.112 0.166 0.136 0.186 WORLD
0.191 0.107 0.079 0.108 0.135 0.109 SIGN
0.000 0.000 0.031 0.035 0.135 0.058 HATR
0.067 0.036 0.042 0.109 0.134 0.091 DISCRIMINATI
0.020 0.148 0.093 0.147 0.134 0.124 STUDENT
0.000 0.013 0.020 0.023 0.133 0.076 CIVIL
0.145 0.089 0.100 0.100 0.133 0.118 WAY
0.000 0.000 0.020 0.030 0.132 0.165 AFRICAN
0.025 0.007 0.033 0.067 0.132 0.155 LAWS
0.028 0.041 0.113 0.127 0.132 0.211 ISSU
0.031 0.033 0.063 0.108 0.132 0.082 OUT
0.000 0.020 0.068 0.103 0.131 0.151 UNIT
0.000 0.009 0.046 0.104 0.130 0.119 AGAINST
0.091 0.061 0.100 0.090 0.129 0.150 SEEM
0.000 0.006 0.026 0.087 0.129 0.078 VIOLENC
0.055 0.088 0.067 0.174 0.129 0.125 LEARN
0.000 0.037 0.037 0.076 0.128 0.095 SHOW
0.046 0.053 0.109 0.073 0.128 0.156 VIEW
0.072 0.094 0.117 0.091 0.125 0.115 WHAT
0.000 0.006 0.028 0.069 0.124 0.228 INTOLERANC
0.000 0.007 0.027 0.045 0.124 0.080 PUBLIC
0.000 0.028 0.056 0.038 0.124 0.223 POLITICAL
0.000 0.028 0.022 0.050 0.124 0.147 WAR
0.000 0.017 0.028 0.144 0.124 0.211 DIVERSITY
0.000 0.000 0.024 0.045 0.123 0.055 RIOT
0.019 0.020 0.055 0.090 0.123 0.170 LACK
0.039 0.005 0.032 0.053 0.123 0.191 CONTINU
0.000 0.000 0.021 0.039 0.122 0.042 KING
0.000 0.000 0.037 0.067 0.122 0.068 UNIVERSITY
0.000 0.000 0.042 0.041 0.121 0.219 TOLERANC
```

```
      0.017
      0.052
      0.033
      0.116
      0.121
      0.096
      TOGETHER

      0.000
      0.017
      0.034
      0.045
      0.120
      0.099
      CLEARLY

      0.107
      0.071
      0.103
      0.108
      0.120
      0.124
      SEE

      0.000
      0.000
      0.009
      0.000
      0.120
      0.042
      INTERACTION

      0.017
      0.116
      0.125
      0.062
      0.119
      0.116
      HIS

      0.014
      0.079
      0.057
      0.131
      0.119
      0.157
      US

      0.025
      0.041
      0.020
      0.046
      0.119
      0.097
      SEXUAL

      0.051
      0.234
      0.138
      0.072
      0.118
      0.153
      HE

      0.000
      0.006
      0.038
      0.035
      0.118
      0.086
      OCCUR

      0.027
      0.014
      0.014
      0.016
      0.117
      0.092
      LAW

      0.000
      0.020
      0.055
      0.151
      0.117
      0.097
      WORKPLAC

      0.000
      0.036
      0.043
      0.024
      0.117
      0.097
      FIGHT

      0.059
      <td
```

0.000 0.040 0.020 0.045 0.116 0.055 ACT

0.000 0.010 0.021 0.076 0.116 0.099 RECENTLY

Appendix C9: GRADE 4: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ISSUE ESSAYS

1 2 5 6 0.042 0.023 0.079 0.240 0.059 0.106 BUSINES 0.089 0.065 0.145 0.227 0.181 0.195 CULTUR 0.017 0.095 0.113 0.205 0.237 0.366 OUR 0.095 0.128 0.202 0.203 0.165 0.155 DIFFERENT 0.036 0.101 0.104 0.197 0.091 0.133 WORK 0.000 0.021 0.050 0.189 0.202 0.177 INDIVIDUAL 0.000 0.007 0.027 0.188 0.110 0.284 & 0.000 0.069 0.078 0.184 0.146 0.160 ACCEPT 0.046 0.105 0.118 0.182 0.149 0.140 EACH 0.012 0.030 0.057 0.175 0.190 0.178 BE 0.055 0.088 0.067 0.174 0.129 0.125 LEARN 0.022 0.035 0.079 0.171 0.285 0.268 GROUP 0.089 0.054 0.054 0.168 0.021 0.086 COMPANY 0.067 0.090 0.112 0.166 0.136 0.186 WORLD 0.132 0.024 0.060 0.165 0.074 0.096 PLAC 0.035 0.117 0.072 0.163 0.145 0.282 SOCIETY 0.000 0.000 0.024 0.161 0.161 0.104 DISRESPECT 0.025 0.054 0.109 0.160 0.115 0.143 STATEMENT 0.000 0.084 0.114 0.156 0.023 0.109 NEW 0.048 0.043 0.051 0.154 0.140 0.155 SCHOOL 0.016 0.038 0.081 0.152 0.204 0.148 RELIGION 0.000 0.020 0.055 0.151 0.117 0.177 BELIEF 0.064 0.106 0.096 0.149 0.148 0.128 ANOTHER 0.116 0.054 0.094 0.149 0.139 0.133 BELIEV 0.020 0.148 0.093 0.147 0.134 0.124 STUDENT 0.107 0.107 0.126 0.146 0.144 0.125 OTHER 0.000 0.017 0.028 0.144 0.124 0.211 DIVERSITY 0.000 0.038 0.086 0.144 0.094 0.061 DAY 0.092 0.177 0.189 0.142 0.154 0.120 MY 0.036 0.048 0.048 0.141 0.175 0.168 CHILDREN 0.106 0.156 0.098 0.137 0.104 0.121 SOME 0.044 0.030 0.018 0.133 0.076 0.098 CITY 0.000 0.016 0.031 0.133 0.097 0.121 THROUGH 0.023 0.056 0.059 0.132 0.141 0.183 SUCH 0.014 0.079 0.057 0.131 0.119 0.157 US 0.048 0.023 0.078 0.131 0.145 0.172 TODAY 0.000 0.010 0.046 0.131 0.083 0.177 CULTURAL 0.000 0.043 0.025 0.130 0.086 0.116 LEVEL

```
0.000 0.031 0.067 0.130 0.150 0.217 STAT
0.063 0.099 0.099 0.130 0.100 0.121 TIME
0.059 0.107 0.110 0.130 0.178 0.164 RESPECT
0.020 0.141 0.054 0.128 0.076 0.082 HUMAN
0.071 0.000 0.010 0.128 0.000 0.029 MARKET
0.028 0.041 0.113 0.127 0.132 0.211 ISSU
0.030 0.048 0.057 0.127 0.009 0.024 COMMUNICATIO
0.054 0.070 0.098 0.126 0.154 0.190 EXAMPL
0.027 0.011 0.105 0.125 0.139 0.156 RACE
0.015 0.044 0.084 0.125 0.224 0.214 BLACK
0.000 0.009 0.036 0.125 0.224 0.192 INCREAS
0.000 0.030 0.035 0.124 0.088 0.145 EXIST
0.061 0.093 0.057 0.123 0.058 0.110 FIRST
0.000 0.000 0.071 0.123 0.061 0.103 CRIM
0.059 0.125 0.122 0.122 0.090 0.104 VERY
0.125 0.111 0.105 0.121 0.097 0.121 ABOUT
0.059 0.104 0.193 0.120 0.116 0.186 COUNTRY
0.000 0.008 0.030 0.119 0.009 0.052 INVOLV
0.000 0.018 0.032 0.118 0.151 0.160 TOWARD
0.092 0.075 0.121 0.116 0.067 0.156 OPINION
0.000 0.034 0.054 0.116 0.057 0.111 PART
0.009 0.027 0.060 0.116 0.200 0.211 BEEN
0.017 0.052 0.033 0.116 0.121 0.096 TOGETHER
0.031 0.008 0.054 0.116 0.092 0.147 WHER
0.000 0.021 0.031 0.116 0.139 0.239 ETHNIC
0.000 0.027 0.043 0.113 0.100 0.092 ALLOW
0.000 0.032 0.025 0.113 0.089 0.129 GAY
0.017 0.041 0.041 0.113 0.097 0.118 AREA
0.101 0.109 0.110 0.112 0.032 0.055 REASON
0.072 0.124 0.100 0.112 0.173 0.147 WHO
0.039 0.146 0.073 0.111 0.061 0.051 HELP
0.044 0.056 0.060 0.110 0.146 0.153 EVEN
0.000 0.008 0.015 0.110 0.044 0.052 GLOBAL
0.000 0.013 0.020 0.110 0.054 0.148 HATE
0.068 0.012 0.025 0.110 0.072 0.069 FORM
0.067 0.036 0.042 0.109 0.134 0.091 DISCRIMINATI
0.191 0.107 0.079 0.108 0.135 0.109 SIGN
0.107 0.071 0.103 0.108 0.120 0.124 SEE
0.179 0.120 0.110 0.108 0.077 0.119 WHEN
0.031 0.033 0.063 0.108 0.132 0.082 OUT
0.051 0.037 0.046 0.108 0.086 0.090 LIV
0.218 0.165 0.109 0.107 0.098 0.112 THEM
0.000 0.000 0.040 0.107 0.028 0.054 DECISION
0.163 0.271 0.128 0.107 0.086 0.066 PERSON
0.000 0.045 0.073 0.107 0.099 0.089 BACKGROUND
```

```
0.000 0.029 0.052 0.107 0.034 0.067 ECONOMIC
0.091 0.070 0.102 0.106 0.086 0.117 MOST
0.160 0.121 0.156 0.106 0.035 0.062 GET
0.064 0.062 0.089 0.106 0.083 0.082 ANOTHER'
0.000 0.113 0.061 0.106 0.072 0.082 BETTER
0.017 0.118 0.150 0.106 0.058 0.096 LIVE
0.000 0.012 0.012 0.106 0.021 0.215 MINORITY
0.164 0.104 0.075 0.105 0.115 0.110 CLEAR
0.000 0.012 0.031 0.105 0.080 0.094 SEVERAL
0.000 0.008 0.015 0.104 0.027 0.064 ORGANIZATION
0.000 0.036 0.014 0.104 0.084 0.059 PEAC
0.000 0.009 0.046 0.104 0.130 0.119 AGAINST
0.071 0.065 0.100 0.104 0.068 0.137 UNDERSTAND
0.000 0.020 0.068 0.103 0.131 0.151 UNIT
```

0.046 0.125 0.063 0.103 0.078 0.082 LIFE 0.000 0.032 0.043 0.102 0.113 0.069 COLOR

Appendix C10: GRADE 3: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ISSUE ESSAYS

```
2 *3* 4 5
 1
                            6
0.402 0.425 0.331 0.137 0.053 0.058 YOU
0.095 0.128 0.202 0.203 0.165 0.155 DIFFERENT
0.059 0.104 0.193 0.120 0.116 0.186 COUNTRY
0.161 0.117 0.191 0.064 0.078 0.086 THINK
0.092 0.177 0.189 0.142 0.154 0.120 MY
0.020 0.085 0.165 0.054 0.056 0.072 FAMILY
0.160 0.121 0.156 0.106 0.035 0.062 GET
0.017 0.118 0.150 0.106 0.058 0.096 LIVE
0.089 0.065 0.145 0.227 0.181 0.195 CULTUR
0.200 0.162 0.139 0.043 0.036 0.006 YOUR
0.019 0.112 0.138 0.057 0.083 0.085 EDUCATION
0.051 0.234 0.138 0.072 0.118 0.153 HE
0.000 0.165 0.133 0.101 0.068 0.052 ME
0.163 0.271 0.128 0.107 0.086 0.066 PERSON
0.031 0.017 0.126 0.047 0.020 0.038 STYL
0.107 0.107 0.126 0.146 0.144 0.125 OTHER
0.017 0.116 0.125 0.062 0.119 0.116 HIS
0.051 0.087 0.123 0.082 0.027 0.076 TRY
0.059 0.125 0.122 0.122 0.090 0.104 VERY
0.000 0.019 0.122 0.079 0.045 0.097 LANGUAG
0.092 0.075 0.121 0.116 0.067 0.156 OPINION
0.000 0.093 0.119 0.037 0.101 0.055 CLAS
0.046 0.105 0.118 0.182 0.149 0.140 EACH
0.188 0.124 0.118 0.089 0.097 0.109 BECAUS
0.072 0.094 0.117 0.091 0.125 0.115 WHAT
0.150 0.081 0.117 0.091 0.080 0.105 EVERYWHER
0.091 0.150 0.117 0.067 0.080 0.089 SO
0.101 0.131 0.115 0.037 0.006 0.062 IMPORTANT
0.045 0.109 0.115 0.041 0.042 0.032 FRIEND
0.095 0.084 0.114 0.078 0.060 0.119 SAME
0.000 0.084 0.114 0.156 0.023 0.109 NEW
0.028 0.041 0.113 0.127 0.132 0.211 ISSU
0.017 0.095 0.113 0.205 0.237 0.366 OUR
0.062 0.109 0.113 0.061 0.073 0.120 SHOULD
0.092 0.068 0.112 0.090 0.029 0.070 PARENT
0.067 0.090 0.112 0.166 0.136 0.186 WORLD
0.040 0.086 0.111 0.072 0.189 0.182 STIL
0.059 0.107 0.110 0.130 0.178 0.164 RESPECT
```

```
0.101 0.109 0.110 0.112 0.032 0.055 REASON
0.179 0.120 0.110 0.108 0.077 0.119 WHEN
0.025 0.054 0.109 0.160 0.115 0.143 STATEMENT
0.067 0.097 0.109 0.075 0.028 0.027 LOT
0.046 0.053 0.109 0.073 0.128 0.156 VIEW
0.218 0.165 0.109 0.107 0.098 0.112 THEM
0.027 0.011 0.105 0.125 0.139 0.156 RACE
0.000 0.000 0.105 0.011 0.067 0.007 HAIR
0.125 0.111 0.105 0.121 0.097 0.121 ABOUT
0.036 0.101 0.104 0.197 0.091 0.133 WORK
0.107 0.071 0.103 0.108 0.120 0.124 SEE
0.091 0.070 0.102 0.106 0.086 0.117 MOST
0.000 0.042 0.101 0.047 0.010 0.025 WORKER
0.000 0.059 0.101 0.056 0.010 0.038 CHINA
0.145 0.089 0.100 0.100 0.133 0.118 WAY
0.071 0.065 0.100 0.104 0.068 0.137 UNDERSTAND
0.185 0.060 0.100 0.073 0.058 0.068 EVERYON
0.046 0.050 0.100 0.035 0.058 0.076 TREAT
0.091 0.061 0.100 0.090 0.129 0.150 SEEM
0.072 0.124 0.100 0.112 0.173 0.147 WHO
0.019 0.037 0.099 0.070 0.067 0.079 AGO
0.063 0.099 0.099 0.130 0.100 0.121 TIME
0.023 0.050 0.099 0.101 0.185 0.162 YEAR
0.054 0.070 0.098 0.126 0.154 0.190 EXAMPL
0.106 0.156 0.098 0.137 0.104 0.121 SOME
0.062 0.120 0.097 0.097 0.063 0.101 LIKE
0.064 0.106 0.096 0.149 0.148 0.128 ANOTHER
0.000 0.032 0.096 0.053 0.046 0.006 CHINES
0.023 0.120 0.095 0.064 0.022 0.043 HIM
0.191 0.159 0.095 0.093 0.065 0.051 DON'T
0.211 0.209 0.095 0.102 0.067 0.066 GOOD
0.116 0.054 0.094 0.149 0.139 0.133 BELIEV
0.348 0.135 0.094 0.050 0.086 0.074 TH
0.000 0.000 0.093 0.021 0.022 0.035 UNIOU
0.014 0.027 0.093 0.096 0.218 0.220 AMERICAN
0.000 0.009 0.093 0.031 0.022 0.035 TRADITION
0.020 0.148 0.093 0.147 0.134 0.124 STUDENT
0.000 0.000 0.091 0.039 0.057 0.047 SHAR
0.013 0.060 0.091 0.067 0.177 0.149 RIGHT
0.065 0.116 0.091 0.059 0.082 0.130 OWN
0.021 0.006 0.090 0.095 0.165 0.251 WOMEN
0.000 0.000 0.090 0.079 0.198 0.189 RACIAL
0.064 0.062 0.089 0.106 0.083 0.082 ANOTHER'
0.044 0.065 0.089 0.086 0.014 0.067 FIND
0.000 0.000 0.088 0.009 0.065 0.066 INCIDENT
```

```
0.000 0.037 0.088 0.082 0.017 0.017 CARE
0.075 0.014 0.088 0.076 0.064 0.046 ENVIRONMENT
0.000 0.038 0.086 0.144 0.094 0.061 DAY
0.045 0.012 0.086 0.000 0.000 0.000 BUSY
0.134 0.090 0.086 0.061 0.079 0.062 EVERY
0.109 0.107 0.085 0.099 0.099 0.123 CHANG
0.034 0.079 0.084 0.078 0.087 0.102 EXPERIENC
0.015 0.044 0.084 0.125 0.224 0.214 BLACK
0.000 0.041 0.083 0.039 0.024 0.047 MATTER
0.051 0.021 0.083 0.054 0.088 0.068 MAN
0.023 0.044 0.082 0.064 0.089 0.033 IMPROV
0.000 0.032 0.082 0.050 0.067 0.043 WITHOUT
0.016 0.038 0.081 0.152 0.204 0.148 RELIGION
0.000 0.137 0.081 0.027 0.019 0.024 TEACHER
0.046 0.043 0.081 0.076 0.029 0.056 ABOV
0.000 0.012 0.081 0.090 0.051 0.121 AWAR
0.091 0.067 0.080 0.062 0.072 0.051 THOUGHT
```

Appendix C11: GRADE 2: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ISSUE ESSAYS

2 3 1 4 5 6 0.163 0.271 0.128 0.107 0.086 0.066 PERSON 0.051 0.234 0.138 0.072 0.118 0.153 HE 0.211 0.209 0.095 0.102 0.067 0.066 GOOD 0.057 0.198 0.061 0.046 0.054 0.046 ALWAY 0.092 0.177 0.189 0.142 0.154 0.120 MY 0.158 0.171 0.017 0.019 0.000 0.000 EVERYBODY 0.218 0.165 0.109 0.107 0.098 0.112 THEM 0.000 0.165 0.133 0.101 0.068 0.052 ME 0.200 0.162 0.139 0.043 0.036 0.006 YOUR 0.191 0.159 0.095 0.093 0.065 0.051 DON'T 0.106 0.156 0.098 0.137 0.104 0.121 SOME 0.091 0.150 0.117 0.067 0.080 0.089 SO 0.020 0.148 0.093 0.147 0.134 0.124 STUDENT 0.039 0.146 0.073 0.111 0.061 0.051 HELP 0.075 0.141 0.034 0.090 0.024 0.041 SOMEON 0.020 0.141 0.054 0.128 0.076 0.082 HUMAN 0.000 0.137 0.081 0.027 0.019 0.024 TEACHER 0.348 0.135 0.094 0.050 0.086 0.074 TH 0.095 0.128 0.202 0.203 0.165 0.155 DIFFERENT 0.026 0.125 0.014 0.031 0.033 0.026 SOMETIM 0.046 0.125 0.063 0.103 0.078 0.082 LIFE 0.059 0.125 0.122 0.122 0.090 0.104 VERY 0.188 0.124 0.118 0.089 0.097 0.109 BECAUS 0.072 0.124 0.100 0.112 0.173 0.147 WHO 0.160 0.121 0.156 0.106 0.035 0.062 GET 0.034 0.121 0.028 0.010 0.033 0.014 PERSONALITY 0.179 0.120 0.110 0.108 0.077 0.119 WHEN 0.062 0.120 0.097 0.097 0.063 0.101 LIKE 0.023 0.120 0.095 0.064 0.022 0.043 HIM 0.017 0.118 0.150 0.106 0.058 0.096 LIVE 0.035 0.117 0.072 0.163 0.145 0.282 SOCIETY 0.161 0.117 0.191 0.064 0.078 0.086 THINK 0.017 0.116 0.125 0.062 0.119 0.116 HIS 0.065 0.116 0.091 0.059 0.082 0.130 OWN 0.018 0.114 0.040 0.050 0.110 0.101 SAY 0.021 0.114 0.028 0.096 0.053 0.116 IDEA 0.000 0.113 0.061 0.106 0.072 0.082 BETTER 0.000 0.113 0.078 0.047 0.033 0.016 DOESN'T

```
        0.207
        0.084
        0.009
        0.042
        0.011
        0.021
        GOD

        0.021
        0.081
        0.029
        0.039
        0.027
        0.101
        PRESENT

        0.150
        0.081
        0.117
        0.091
        0.080
        0.105
        EVERYWHER

        0.000
        0.081
        0.000
        0.039
        0.000
        0.018
        RESIDENT

        0.030
        0.081
        0.000
        0.009
        0.028
        0.067
        DIDN'T

        0.000
        0.081
        0.063
        0.056
        0.037
        0.047
        ESPECIALLY

        0.165
        0.080
        0.009
        0.060
        0.000
        0.020
        PRODUCT

        0.075
        0.080
        0.060
        0.045
        0.055
        0.035
        BEST

        0.014
        0.079
        0.057
        0.131
        0.119
        0.157
        US

        0.034
        0.079
        0.084
        0.078
        0.087
        0.102
        EXPERIENC

        0.133
        0.079
        0.036
        0.016
        0.033
        0.005
        EVERYTH

        0.073
        0.0
```

Appendix C12: GRADE 1: TOP 100 WORDS BY WEIGHT - ISSUE ESSAYS

1 2 3 4 5 6

- 0.362 0.012 0.024 0.014 0.000 0.000 DIFFRENT
- 0.358 0.043 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.016 RULE
- 0.348 0.135 0.094 0.050 0.086 0.074 TH
- 0.241 0.009 0.019 0.031 0.022 0.007 DRIV
- 0.218 0.165 0.109 0.107 0.098 0.112 THEM
- 0.211 0.209 0.095 0.102 0.067 0.066 GOOD
- 0.209 0.039 0.051 0.069 0.079 0.068 FOLLOW
- 0.207 0.084 0.009 0.042 0.011 0.021 GOD
- 0.200 0.162 0.139 0.043 0.036 0.006 YOUR
- 0.191 0.159 0.095 0.093 0.065 0.051 DON'T
- 0.191 0.107 0.079 0.108 0.135 0.109 SIGN
- 0.188 0.124 0.118 0.089 0.097 0.109 BECAUS
- 0.186 0.042 0.059 0.009 0.020 0.025 PAY
- 0.185 0.060 0.100 0.073 0.058 0.068 EVERYON
- 0.179 0.120 0.110 0.108 0.077 0.119 WHEN
- 0.177 0.010 0.000 0.032 0.011 0.036 STOP
- 0.172 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.014 0.009 USEFUL
- 0.165 0.080 0.009 0.060 0.000 0.020 PRODUCT
- 0.164 0.104 0.075 0.105 0.115 0.110 CLEAR
- 0.163 0.271 0.128 0.107 0.086 0.066 PERSON
- 0.161 0.117 0.191 0.064 0.078 0.086 THINK
- 0.160 0.121 0.156 0.106 0.035 0.062 GET
- 0.158 0.171 0.017 0.019 0.000 0.000 EVERYBODY
- 0.150 0.081 0.117 0.091 0.080 0.105 EVERYWHER
- 0.145 0.089 0.100 0.100 0.133 0.118 WAY
- 0.136 0.000 0.000 0.014 0.000 0.018 SELL
- 0.136 0.012 0.012 0.000 0.029 0.000 HIGHWAY
- 0.134 0.090 0.086 0.061 0.079 0.062 EVERY
- 0.133 0.079 0.036 0.016 0.033 0.005 EVERYTH
- 0.132 0.024 0.060 0.165 0.074 0.096 PLAC
- 0.129 0.000 0.012 0.013 0.000 0.018 FUND
- 0.129 0.000 0.012 0.013 0.000 0.026 BANK
- 0.125 0.111 0.105 0.121 0.097 0.121 ABOUT
- 0.125 0.111 0.061 0.095 0.115 0.137 POSITIV
- 0.124 0.067 0.074 0.030 0.031 0.020 SOMETH
- 0.116 0.063 0.076 0.091 0.089 0.075 GO

```
0.116 0.054 0.094 0.149 0.139 0.133 BELIEV
0.112 0.020 0.000 0.011 0.024 0.023 NATURAL
0.109 0.107 0.085 0.099 0.099 0.123 CHANG
0.107 0.107 0.126 0.146 0.144 0.125 OTHER
0.107 0.071 0.103 0.108 0.120 0.124 SEE
0.106 0.156 0.098 0.137 0.104 0.121 SOME
0.101 0.055 0.009 0.010 0.043 0.021 BODY
0.101 0.047 0.027 0.038 0.040 0.051 JOB
0.101 0.131 0.115 0.037 0.006 0.062 IMPORTANT
0.101 0.109 0.110 0.112 0.032 0.055 REASON
0.099 0.044 0.009 0.010 0.021 0.027 NORMAL
0.095 0.084 0.114 0.078 0.060 0.119 SAME
0.095 0.089 0.045 0.050 0.037 0.063 HAND
0.095 0.128 0.202 0.203 0.165 0.155 DIFFERENT
0.093 0.059 0.017 0.038 0.010 0.025 CUSTOM
0.092 0.075 0.121 0.116 0.067 0.156 OPINION
0.092 0.177 0.189 0.142 0.154 0.120 MY
0.092 0.068 0.112 0.090 0.029 0.070 PARENT
0.091 0.037 0.018 0.034 0.100 0.102 CONSIDER
0.091 0.070 0.102 0.106 0.086 0.117 MOST
0.091 0.008 0.033 0.028 0.048 0.044 ENOUGH
0.091 0.061 0.100 0.090 0.129 0.150 SEEM
0.091 0.150 0.117 0.067 0.080 0.089 SO
0.091 0.067 0.080 0.062 0.072 0.051 THOUGHT
0.090 0.000 0.012 0.000 0.000 0.018 CHARACTERIZ
0.090 0.000 0.000 0.027 0.014 0.000 INTERVIEW
0.090 0.000 0.000 0.014 0.014 0.009 CHICAGO
0.089 0.054 0.054 0.168 0.021 0.086 COMPANY
0.089 0.065 0.145 0.227 0.181 0.195 CULTUR
0.088 0.064 0.048 0.018 0.046 0.024 MONEY
0.087 0.031 0.047 0.009 0.046 0.041 INFORMATION
0.086 0.000 0.012 0.013 0.000 0.026 LEADERSHIP
0.086 0.042 0.060 0.068 0.098 0.091 TRUE
0.086 0.099 0.064 0.059 0.048 0.039 GIVE
0.086 0.038 0.039 0.017 0.036 0.041 LISTEN
0.084 0.000 0.015 0.059 0.027 0.103 DIFFER
0.084 0.015 0.023 0.025 0.053 0.074 BUILD
0.084 0.045 0.023 0.042 0.035 0.074 SIDE
0.083 0.037 0.007 0.059 0.061 0.051 YOUNG
0.082 0.011 0.033 0.012 0.000 0.008 MISTAK
0.080 0.050 0.079 0.024 0.033 0.032 SAID
0.079 0.021 0.011 0.012 0.025 0.000 ROAD
0.079 0.000 0.065 0.000 0.000 0.032 PEACEFULLY
0.079 0.011 0.000 0.048 0.013 0.000 WALL
0.077 0.021 0.042 0.023 0.000 0.024 FASHION
```

```
0.077 0.014 0.077 0.039 0.033 0.053 START
0.077 0.028 0.035 0.062 0.057 0.042 HIGH
0.077 0.010 0.000 0.000 0.012 0.063 REGULATION
0.077 0.000 0.031 0.023 0.012 0.008 DECREAS
0.076 0.062 0.067 0.073 0.076 0.073 RESPECTFUL
0.075 0.080 0.060 0.045 0.055 0.035 BEST
0.075 0.141 0.034 0.090 0.024 0.041 SOMEON
0.075 0.020 0.000 0.000 0.012 0.053 POWER
0.075 0.014 0.088 0.076 0.064 0.046 ENVIRONMENT
0.073 0.079 0.054 0.088 0.057 0.130 PROBLEM
0.072 0.124 0.100 0.112 0.173 0.147 WHO
0.072 0.029 0.020 0.000 0.023 0.015 CAR
0.072 0.094 0.117 0.091 0.125 0.115 WHAT
0.071 0.029 0.057 0.011 0.011 0.000 NOBODY
0.071 0.029 0.000 0.053 0.022 0.014 SUCCESSFUL
0.071 0.065 0.100 0.104 0.068 0.137 UNDERSTAND
```

0.071 0.000 0.010 0.128 0.000 0.029 MARKET

Appendix D: System Flowchart

