

## AIND Project 3: Implement a Planning Search

### Air Cargo Planning Heuristic Analysis

The following tables show the results gathered after solving the air cargo problems for this project with both uninformed and heuristic based search. The goal of this analysis is to document the results obtained from each search type and find an optimal solution for each air cargo problem, that is; a search algorithm that finds the lowest path among all possible paths from start to goal.

For each set of problems, the optimal and fastest solution has been highlighted with green color.

| Search Type                         | Expansions | Goal Tests | New Nodes | Length | Time   | Optimal |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| BFS                                 | 43         | 56         | 180       | 6      | 0,030  | True    |
| Uniform Cost                        | 55         | 57         | 224       | 6      | 0,0381 | True    |
| DFS                                 | 21         | 22         | 84        | 20     | 0,015  | False   |
| A* Search h1                        | 55         | 57         | 224       | 6      | 0,042  | True    |
| A* Search<br>h_ignore_preconditions | 41         | 43         | 170       | 6      | 0,048  | True    |
| A* Search h_pg_levelsum             | 11         | 13         | 50        | 6      | 10,370 | True    |

*Air Cargo Problem 1 Results*

| Search Type                         | Expansions | Goal Tests | New Nodes | Length | Time     | Optimal |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|
| BFS                                 | 3343       | 4609       | 30509     | 9      | 14,186   | True    |
| Uniform Cost                        | 4853       | 4855       | 44041     | 9      | 46,009   | True    |
| DFS                                 | 624        | 625        | 5602      | 619    | 3,721    | False   |
| A* Search h1                        | 4853       | 4855       | 44041     | 9      | 49,373   | True    |
| A* Search<br>h_ignore_preconditions | 1506       | 1508       | 13820     | 9      | 16,705   | True    |
| A* Search h_pg_levelsum             | 86         | 88         | 841       | 9      | 1110,260 | True    |

*Air Cargo Problem 2 Results*

| Search Type                         | Expansions | Goal Tests | New Nodes | Length | Time      | Optimal |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|
| BFS                                 | 14663      | 18098      | 129631    | 12     | 147,072   | True    |
| Uniform Cost                        | 18223      | 18225      | 159618    | 12     | 616,26    | True    |
| DFS                                 | 408        | 409        | 3364      | 392    | 2,200     | False   |
| A* Search h1                        | 18223      | 18225      | 159618    | 12     | 654,579   | True    |
| A* Search<br>h_ignore_preconditions | 5118       | 5120       | 45650     | 12     | 107,493   | True    |
| A* Search h_pg_levelsum             |            |            |           |        | > 10 mins |         |

*Air Cargo Problem 3 Results*

## Search Strategies Discussion

All three non-heuristic search strategies, that is; breadth first search, uniform cost search, and depth first graph search, find a solution to all air cargo problems. Breadth first search always considers the shortest path first [1] and as a result it finds a solution to the problem in a reasonable amount of time and in an optimal way.

Depth first graph search does find a quick solution and requires a small amount of memory, but it lacks optimality. It is not optimal because it does not consider if a node is better than another, it simply explores the nodes that take it as deep as possible in the graph even if the goal is to its right [1].

Non-heuristic based search did perform better in problem 1 and 2, which suggest that when working with simple problems using a more elaborated approach, such as A\* search with heuristics, is not worth the increase in the solution complexity.

Heuristic based search did perform better as the problem complexity increased. This is more evident in the air cargo problem 3, where the "A\* Search with 'h\_ignore\_preconditions'" performance was optimal and the fastest amongst those that were optimal. It's also worth noting that the 'h\_pg\_levelsum' heuristic did in overall perform poorly, most likely due to the heuristic being too complex.

According to the results obtained in this analysis, the breadth first search strategy can solve planning problems both fast and optimality, which makes it a good candidate to start off an analysis when dealing with search planning problems. As the complexity of the problems increase, it might be worth to consider if a heuristic based approach such as "A\* Search with 'h\_ignore\_preconditions'" can outperform breadth first search and thus be used instead.

## Optimal Sequence of Actions

The following table describes an optimal sequence of actions to solve each of the air cargo problems provided using the highlighted approaches from the tables above:

| Problem             | Search Type | Optimal Sequence of Actions   |
|---------------------|-------------|---|
| Air Cargo Problem 1 | BFS         | Load(C1, P1, SFO)<br>Load(C2, P2, JFK)<br>Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)<br>Unload(C2, P2, SFO)<br>Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)<br>Unload(C1, P1, JFK)  |
| Air Cargo Problem 2 | BFS         | Load(C1, P1, SFO)<br>Load(C2, P2, JFK)<br>Load(C3, P3, ATL)<br>Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)<br>Unload(C2, P2, SFO)<br>Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)<br>Unload(C1, P1, JFK)<br>Fly(P3, ATL, SFO)<br>Unload(C3, P3, SFO) |

|                     |                                     |  |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
|                     |                                     |  |
| Air Cargo Problem 3 | A* Search<br>h_ignore_preconditions | Load(C2, P2, JFK)<br>Fly(P2, JFK, ORD)<br>Load(C4, P2, ORD)<br>Fly(P2, ORD, SFO)<br>Unload(C4, P2, SFO)<br>Load(C1, P1, SFO)<br>Fly(P1, SFO, ATL)<br>Load(C3, P1, ATL)<br>Fly(P1, ATL, JFK)<br>Unload(C3, P1, JFK)<br>Unload(C2, P2, SFO)<br>Unload(C1, P1, JFK)<br>References |

### References

[1] Stuart J. Russell, Peter Norvig (2010), Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (3rd Edition).