



Stockholm  
University

STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY  
Department of Statistics

Michael Carlson & Emma Pettersson  
2019-10-15

## Solutions to Plenary Exercises: Plenary Exercise 2

Basic Statistics for Economists, 15 ECTS, STE101

### EXERCISE 1

Define the event “light bulb  $i$  stays lit for more than 1000 hours” with  $A_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $P(A_i) = 0.9$ .

Assume that the three events  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  are independent of each other. This implies that  $P(A_i \cap A_j) = P(A_i) \cdot P(A_j)$  for  $i \neq j$ , and also, that  $P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3) = P(A_1) \cdot P(A_2) \cdot P(A_3)$  and for any combination with complements we simply multiply the marginal probabilities, e.g.  $P(\overline{A_1} \cap A_2 \cap \overline{A_3}) = P(\overline{A_1}) \cdot P(A_2) \cdot P(\overline{A_3})$  and so on.

*As an alternative, you can define  $X$  as the number of light bulbs (out of 3) that stay lit for more than 1000 hours. Then  $X \sim \text{Bin}(n = 3, p = 0.9)$ .*

*To use of the binomial distribution table in the formula sheet, we have to define another random variable that is the complement of  $X$ . Let  $Y = \text{number of light bulbs that stay lit for less than 1000 hours}$ ,  $Y \sim \text{Bin}(n = 3, P^* = 0.1)$  where:  $Y = n - X = 3 - X$ .*

- a. None of the light bulbs stay lit; three different methods you can use:

$$P(\overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2} \cap \overline{A_3}) = P(\overline{A_1}) \times P(\overline{A_2}) \times P(\overline{A_3}) = 0.1^3 = [1 - P(A_i)^3] = \mathbf{0.001}$$

$$\text{Binomial formula: } P(X = 0) = \binom{3}{0} 0.9^0 (1 - 0.9)^{3-0} = 1 \times 1 \times 0.1^3 = 0.001$$

$$\text{Binomial Table 7: } P(X = 0) = P(Y = 3) = 1 - P(Y \leq 2) = 1 - 0.999 = 0.001$$

- b. Exactly one lamp stays lit; three methods you can use:

Three different configurations where exactly three are lit and one is not:

$$P((A_1 \cap \overline{A_2} \cap \overline{A_3}) \cup (\overline{A_1} \cap A_2 \cap \overline{A_3}) \cup (\overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2} \cap A_3)) = [\text{disjoint so add}]$$

$$= P(A_1)P(\overline{A_2})P(\overline{A_3}) + P(\overline{A_1})P(A_2)P(\overline{A_3}) + P(\overline{A_1})P(\overline{A_2})P(A_3)$$

$$= (0.9)(0.1)(0.1) + (0.1)(0.9)(0.1) + (0.1)(0.1)(0.9) = 3(0.1)(0.1)(0.9) = \mathbf{0.027}$$

$$\text{Binomial formula: } P(X = 1) = \binom{3}{1} 0.9^1 (1 - 0.9)^{3-1} = 3 \times 0.9 \times 0.1^2 = \mathbf{0.027}$$

$$\text{Binomial Table 7: } P(X = 1) = P(Y = 2) = P(Y \leq 2) - P(Y \leq 1) = [\text{Table 7}] = 0.999 - 0.972 = \mathbf{0.027}$$

- c. At least two lamps stay lit; three methods you can use:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{at least 2}) &= P(\text{exactly 2} \cup \text{exactly 3}) = 1 - P(\text{exactly 0} \cup \text{exactly 1}) \\ &= 1 - [P(\text{exactly 0}) + P(\text{exactly 1})] = 1 - [0.001 + 0.027] = \mathbf{0.972} \end{aligned}$$

$$P(X \geq 2) = 1 - P(X \leq 1) = 1 - P(X = 0) - P(X = 1) = 1 - 0.001 - 0.027 = \mathbf{0.972}$$

$$P(X \geq 2) = P(Y < 2) = P(Y \leq 1) = [\text{Table 7}] = \mathbf{0.972}$$

## EXERCISE 2

Assume that the demand for  $A$  and  $B$  are independent of each other so that we can use the multiplication rule. If we do not assume the demands for  $A$  and  $B$  are independent, we won't be able to solve the problem since we don't have enough information about the conditional or joint probabilities.

- a. When no products are demanded,  $X = 0$  and  $Y = 0$ .

$$P(X = 0 \cap Y = 0) = P(X = 0) \times P(Y = 0) = 0.05 \times 0.1 = \mathbf{0.005}$$

- b. We are interested in finding the probability that  $X \geq 3$  and that  $Y \leq 4$ .

$$P(X \geq 3 \cap Y \leq 4) = P(X \geq 3) \times P(Y \leq 4)$$

$x$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$P_x(x)$	0.05	0.07	0.20	0.25	0.30	0.10	0.03

$$P(X \geq 3) = P(X = 3) + \dots + P(X = 6) = 0.25 + \dots + 0.03 = \mathbf{0.68}$$

$y$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$P_y(y)$	0.10	0.12	0.15	0.20	0.25	0.10	0.08

$$P(Y \leq 4) = P(Y = 0) + \dots + P(Y = 4) = 0.1 + \dots + 0.25 = \mathbf{0.82}$$

$$P(X \geq 3 \cap Y \leq 4) = P(X \geq 3) \times P(Y \leq 4) = 0.68 \times 0.82 = \mathbf{0.5576}$$

- c. Using the same method that we did in b. we want to find the probability that  $X \leq 3$  and  $Y \geq 3$ .

$$P(X \leq 3 \cap Y \geq 3) = P(X \leq 3) \times P(Y \geq 3) = 0.57 \times 0.63 = \mathbf{0.3591}$$

- d. We want to know the probability that exactly three items are demanded and that they are the same kind of item. This can occur when either  $X = 3$  and  $Y = 0$ , or when  $X = 0$  and  $Y = 3$ . Thus, we are interested in finding:

$$P((X = 3 \cap Y = 0) \cup (X = 0 \cap Y = 3))$$

Remember that the events  $(X = 3 \cap Y = 0)$  and  $(X = 0 \cap Y = 3)$  are disjoint. This means that:

$$P((X = 3 \cap Y = 0) \cup (X = 0 \cap Y = 3)) = P(X = 3 \cap Y = 0) + P(X = 0 \cap Y = 3)$$

Because  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} & P((X = 3 \cap Y = 0) \cup (X = 0 \cap Y = 3)) \\ &= (P(X = 3) \times P(Y = 0)) + (P(X = 0) \times P(Y = 3)) \\ &= (0.25)(0.1) + (0.05)(0.2) = 0.035 \end{aligned}$$

- e. We can find the mean  $\mu_X$  and the variance  $\sigma_X^2$ , by setting up a table and doing the following calculations.

$x$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	Sum
$P_x(x)$	0.05	0.07	0.20	0.25	0.30	0.10	0.03	1
$xP_x(x)$	0	0.07	0.4	0.75	1.2	0.5	0.18	$\mu_X = 3.1$
$x - \mu$	-3.1	-2.1	-1.1	-0.1	0.9	1.9	2.9	
$(x - \mu)^2$	9.61	4.41	1.21	0.01	0.81	3.61	8.41	
$(x - \mu)^2 P_x(x)$	0.4805	0.3087	0.242	0.0025	0.243	0.361	0.2523	$\sigma_X^2 = 1.89$

We get the mean  $\mu_X = 3.1$ , the variance  $\sigma_X^2 = 1.89$ , and the standard deviation  $\sigma_X = \sqrt{1.89} = 1.3738$ .

- f. If the demand for A and B were independent of each other, the correlation between  $X$  and  $Y$  would be zero.  
 If they are dependent, the correlation can also be zero. The correlation coefficient measures the linear relationship between two variables, it is possible that a non-linear relationship between the variables exists despite the correlation being zero.

### EXERCISE 3

Define the random variable  $X$  as the firms profit which has the sample space  $S_X = \{-60, 180\}$  thousand kronor.

$$P(-60) = 0.2 \quad ; \quad P(180) = 0.8$$

- a. Mean:  $\mu_X = E(X) = \sum_{x \in S_X} xP(x) = (-60)(0.2) + (180)(0.8) = 132$  thousand kronor.  
 Since the expected profit is positive, the company should take the job.

b. Now let  $P(X = -60) = 1 - P$  ;  $P(X = 180) = P$

$$\mu_X = E(X) = \sum_{x \in S_X} xP(x) = (-60)(1 - P) + (180)(P) = 240P - 60$$

We set the above equation equal to zero since our expected profit should equal zero, then we solve for  $P$ .

$$E(X) = 240P - 60 = 0 \Leftrightarrow 240P = 60 \Leftrightarrow P = 0.25$$

#### EXERCISE 4

Define  $X_1$  = no. of correct answers on the first exam and  $X_2$  = no. of correct answers on the second exam. Each question has 4 alternatives of which only 1 is correct so by simply guessing there is a  $P = 1/4 = 0.25$  probability of guessing the correct answer.

Guessing the right answer (i.e. choosing truly randomly), and assuming that the different questions will be independent of each other we have  $X_1 \sim \text{Bin}(10, 0.25)$  and  $X_2 \sim \text{Bin}(10, 0.25)$ . Furthermore, it follows that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent of each other.

a. The probability of passing the first exam exam is

$$P(X_1 \geq 4) = 1 - P(X_1 \leq 3) = [\text{Table 7}, n = 10, p = 0.25] = 1 - 0.77588 = 0.22412$$

The probability of passing the second exam  $P(X_2 \geq 4)$  is the same. The joint probability of passing both exams is then (due to independence):

$$P(\text{pass 1}^{\text{st}} \cap \text{pass 2}^{\text{nd}}) = P(X_1 \geq 4) \cdot P(X_2 \geq 4) = 0.22412 \cdot 0.22412 \approx 0.05023$$

However, to pass the course the total score has to be at least 10. By arranging the possible outcomes for  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  and the total score, we have the following table:

		Total											
		pass 2 <sup>nd</sup>											
pass 1 <sup>st</sup>	score	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
		0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		2	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		3	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		4	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		5	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		6	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		7	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		8	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
		9	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
		10	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20

(Continued next page)

We see that we have to subtract the probabilities of the three combined outcomes where the total is less than 10 (marked in orange).

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\text{pass course}) &= P(\text{pass 1}^{\text{st}} \cap \text{pass 2}^{\text{nd}}) - P(X_1 = 4 \cap X_2 = 4) - P(X_1 = 5 \cap X_2 = 4) - P(X_1 = 4 \cap X_2 = 5) \\
 &= [\text{$X_1$ and $X_2$ are independent}] = \\
 &= 0.05023 - [P(X_1 = 4) \cdot P(X_2 = 4)] - [P(X_1 = 5) \cdot P(X_2 = 4)] - [P(X_1 = 4) \cdot P(X_2 = 5)]
 \end{aligned}$$

From Table 7 we have (for  $k = 1$  or  $2$ , and using  $n = 10$  and  $p = 0.25$ )

$$P(X_k = 4) = P(X_k \leq 4) - P(X_k \leq 3) = 0.92187 - 0.77588 = 0.14599$$

$$P(X_k = 5) = P(X_k \leq 5) - P(X_k \leq 4) = 0.98027 - 0.92187 = 0.05840$$

Continuing,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\text{pass course}) &= 0.05023 - (0.14599 \cdot 0.14599) - (0.05840 \cdot 0.14599) - (0.14599 \cdot 0.05840) = \mathbf{0.01186}
 \end{aligned}$$

- b. First we realize that the event "pass course" is a subset of the event "pass exam 1"; a student cannot pass the course without passing the first exam. This means that the intersection of "pass course" and "pass exam 1" is equal to "pass course":

$$\text{"pass course"} \cap \text{"pass exam 1"} = \text{"pass course"}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\text{pass course} | \text{pass exam 1}) &= \frac{P(\text{pass course} \cap \text{pass exam 1})}{P(\text{pass exam 1})} \\
 &= \frac{P(\text{pass course})}{P(\text{pass exam 1})} = \frac{P(\text{pass course})}{P(X_1 \geq 4)} = [\text{from a.}] = \frac{0.01186}{0.22412} = \mathbf{0.05294}
 \end{aligned}$$

### EXERCISE 6

Define the random variable  $X$  as the value of a house where  $X \sim N(1\,400\,000; 800\,000^2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X > 2\,000\,000) &= [\text{standardize}] = P\left(Z > \frac{2\,000\,000 - 1\,400\,000}{800\,000}\right) = P(Z > 0.75) \\
 &= 1 - P(Z \leq 0.75) = [\text{Table 1}] = 1 - 0.77337 = 0.2263 \approx \mathbf{0.227}
 \end{aligned}$$

We can also redefine  $X$  so we don't need to deal with so many zero's. Let the random variable  $Y$  represent the value of a house in millions of kronor.

$$Y = \frac{X}{1\,000\,000} \Rightarrow \mu_Y = \frac{\mu_X}{1\,000\,000} = 1.4 \Rightarrow \sigma_Y = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_X^2}{1\,000\,000}} = 0.8$$

We get that  $Y \sim N(1, 4)$   $0.8^2$ .

$$P(Y > 2) = P\left(Z > \frac{2 - 1.4}{0.8}\right) = P(Z > 0.75)$$

### EXERCISE 7

Let the random variable  $X$  be the weight in grams and  $X \sim N(\mu, 10^2)$ .

We want to find a value of  $\mu$  so that the probability that the weight is less than 300 is at most 5%.

$$P(X < 300) = [\text{standardize}] = P\left(Z < \frac{300 - \mu}{10}\right) \leq 0.05$$

Look at Table 2 in the formula sheet. There we see that  $P(Z > 1.6449) = 0.05$ , and by the symmetry of the normal distribution we also know that  $P(Z < -1.6449) = 0.05$ . Thus, we have that:

$$P\left(Z < \frac{300 - \mu}{10}\right) \leq P(Z < -1.6449) = 0.05$$

Now we solve for  $\mu$ :

$$\frac{300 - \mu}{10} \leq -1.6449 \Leftrightarrow \mu \geq 300 + 16.449 \Leftrightarrow \mu \geq \mathbf{316.449}$$