

XCS229i Problem Set 3

Due Sunday, May 23 at 11:59pm PT.

Guidelines

1. If you have a question about this homework, we encourage you to post your question on our Slack channel, at <http://xcs229i-scpd.slack.com/>
2. Familiarize yourself with the collaboration and honor code policy before starting work.
3. For the coding problems, you must use the packages specified in the provided environment description. Since the autograder uses this environment, we will not be able to grade any submissions which import unexpected libraries.

Submission Instructions

Written Submission: Some questions in this assignment require a written response. For these questions, you should submit a PDF with your solutions online in the online student portal. As long as the PDF is legible and organized, the course staff has no preference between a handwritten and a typeset L^AT_EX submission. If you wish to typeset your submission and are new to L^AT_EX, you can get started with the following:

- Type responses only in `submission.tex`.
- Submit the compiled PDF, **not** `submission.tex`.
- Use the commented instructions within the `Makefile` and `README.md` to get started.

Honor code

We strongly encourage students to form study groups. Students may discuss and work on homework problems in groups. However, each student must write down the solutions independently, and without referring to written notes from the joint session. In other words, each student must understand the solution well enough in order to reconstruct it by him/herself. In addition, each student should write on the problem set the set of people with whom s/he collaborated. Further, because we occasionally reuse problem set questions from previous years, we expect students not to copy, refer to, or look at the solutions in preparing their answers. It is an honor code violation to intentionally refer to a previous year's solutions. More information regarding the Stanford honor code can be found at <https://communitystandards.stanford.edu/policies-and-guidance/honor-code>.

Test Cases

The autograder is a thin wrapper over the python `unittest` framework. It can be run either locally (on your computer) or remotely (on SCPD servers). The following description demonstrates what test results will look like for both local and remote execution. For the sake of example, we will consider two generic tests: `1a-0-basic` and `1a-1-hidden`.

Local Execution - Hidden Tests

All hidden tests rely on files that are not provided to students. Therefore, the tests can only be run remotely. When a hidden test like `1a-1-hidden` is executed locally, it will produce the following result:

```
----- START 1a-1-hidden: Test multiple instances of the same word in a sentence.
----- END 1a-1-hidden [took 0:00:00.011989 (max allowed 1 seconds), ???/3 points] (hidden test ungraded)
```

Local Execution - Basic Tests

When a basic test like `1a-0-basic` passes locally, the autograder will indicate success:

```
----- START 1a-0-basic: Basic test case.
----- END 1a-0-basic [took 0:00:00.000062 (max allowed 1 seconds), 2/2 points]
```

When a basic test like 1a-0-basic fails locally, the error is printed to the terminal, along with a stack trace indicating where the error occurred:

```

----- START 1a-0-basic: Basic test case.
<class 'AssertionError': {'a': 2, 'b': 1} != None> ← This error caused the test to fail.
File "/Users/grinch/Local_Documents/Software/anaconda3/envs/XCS221/lib/python3.6/unittest/case.py", line 59, in testPartExecutor
    yield
File "/Users/grinch/Local_Documents/Software/anaconda3/envs/XCS221/lib/python3.6/unittest/case.py", line 605, in run
    testMethod()
File "/Users/grinch/Local_Documents/SCPD/XCS221/A1/src/graderUtil.py", line 54, in wrapper
    result = func(*args, **kwargs)
File "/Users/grinch/Local_Documents/SCPD/XCS221/A1/src/graderUtil.py", line 83, in wrapper
    result = func(*args, **kwargs)
File "/Users/grinch/Local_Documents/SCPD/XCS221/A1/src/grader.py", line 23, in test_0 ← In this case, start your debugging
    submission.extractWordFeatures("a b a")
File "/Users/grinch/Local_Documents/Software/anaconda3/envs/XCS221/lib/python3.6/unittest/case.py", line 829, in assertEquals
    assertion_func(first, second, msg=msg)
File "/Users/grinch/Local_Documents/Software/anaconda3/envs/XCS221/lib/python3.6/unittest/case.py", line 822, in _baseAssertEqual
    raise self.failureException(msg)
----- END 1a-0-basic [took 0:00:00.003809 (max allowed 1 seconds), 0/2 points]

```

Remote Execution

Basic and hidden tests are treated the same by the remote autograder. Here are screenshots of failed basic and hidden tests. Notice that the same information (error and stack trace) is provided as the in local autograder, now for both basic and hidden tests.

1a-0-basic) Basic test case. (0.0/2.0)

```

<class 'AssertionError': {'a': 2, 'b': 1} != None> ← Just like in the local autograder, this error caused the test to fail.
File "/autograder/source/miniconda/envs/XCS221/lib/python3.6/unittest/case.py", line 59, in testPartExecutor
    yield
File "/autograder/source/miniconda/envs/XCS221/lib/python3.6/unittest/case.py", line 605, in run
    testMethod()
File "/autograder/source/graderUtil.py", line 54, in wrapper
    result = func(*args, **kwargs)
File "/autograder/source/graderUtil.py", line 83, in wrapper
    result = func(*args, **kwargs)
File "/autograder/source/grader.py", line 23, in test_0 ← Just like in the local autograder, start your
    submission.extractWordFeatures("a b a")
File "/autograder/source/miniconda/envs/XCS221/lib/python3.6/unittest/case.py", line 829, in assertEquals
    assertion_func(first, second, msg=msg)
File "/autograder/source/miniconda/envs/XCS221/lib/python3.6/unittest/case.py", line 822, in _baseAssertEqual
    raise self.failureException(msg)

```

1a-1-hidden) Test multiple instances of the same word in a sentence. (0.0/3.0)

```

<class 'AssertionError': {'a': 23, 'ab': 22, 'aa': 24, 'c': 16, 'b': 15} != None> ← This error caused the test to fail.
File "/autograder/source/miniconda/envs/XCS221/lib/python3.6/unittest/case.py", line 59, in testPartExecutor
    yield
File "/autograder/source/miniconda/envs/XCS221/lib/python3.6/unittest/case.py", line 605, in run
    testMethod()
File "/autograder/source/graderUtil.py", line 54, in wrapper
    result = func(*args, **kwargs)
File "/autograder/source/graderUtil.py", line 83, in wrapper
    result = func(*args, **kwargs)
File "/autograder/source/grader.py", line 31, in test_1 ← Start your debugging in line 31 of grader.py.
    self.compare_with_solution_or_wait(submission, 'extractWordFeatures', lambda f: f(sentence))
File "/autograder/source/graderUtil.py", line 183, in compare_with_solution_or_wait
    self.assertEqual(ans1, ans2)
File "/autograder/source/miniconda/envs/XCS221/lib/python3.6/unittest/case.py", line 829, in assertEquals
    assertion_func(first, second, msg=msg)
File "/autograder/source/miniconda/envs/XCS221/lib/python3.6/unittest/case.py", line 822, in _baseAssertEqual
    raise self.failureException(msg)

```

Finally, here is what it looks like when basic and hidden tests pass in the remote autograder.

1a-0-basic) Basic test case. (2.0/2.0)

1a-1-hidden) Test multiple instances of the same word in a sentence. (3.0/3.0)

1. Constructing kernels

In class, we saw that by choosing a kernel $K(x, z) = \phi(x)^T \phi(z)$, we can implicitly map data to a high dimensional space, and have a learning algorithm (e.g SVM or logistic regression) work in that space. One way to generate kernels is to explicitly define the mapping ϕ to a higher dimensional space, and then work out the corresponding K .

However in this question we are interested in direct construction of kernels. I.e., suppose we have a function $K(x, z)$ that we think gives an appropriate similarity measure for our learning problem, and we are considering plugging K into the SVM as the kernel function. However for $K(x, z)$ to be a valid kernel, it must correspond to an inner product in some higher dimensional space resulting from some feature mapping ϕ . Mercer's theorem tells us that $K(x, z)$ is a (Mercer) kernel if and only if for any finite set $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$, the square matrix $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ whose entries are given by $K_{ij} = K(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)})$ is symmetric and positive semidefinite. You can find more details about Mercer's theorem in the notes, though the description above is sufficient for this problem.

Now here comes the question: Let K_1, K_2 be kernels over $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, let $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be a positive real number, let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function, let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ be a function mapping from \mathbb{R}^d to \mathbb{R}^p , let K_3 be a kernel over $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^p$, and let $p(x)$ a polynomial over x with *positive* coefficients.

For each of the functions K below, state whether it is necessarily a kernel. If you think it is, prove it; if you think it isn't, give a counter-example.

[**Hint:** For part (e), the answer is that K is indeed a kernel. You still have to prove it, though. (This one may be harder than the rest.) This result may also be useful for another part of the problem.]

- (a) [5 points (Written)] $K(x, z) = K_1(x, z) + K_2(x, z)$
- (b) [5 points (Written)] $K(x, z) = K_1(x, z) - K_2(x, z)$
- (c) [5 points (Written)] $K(x, z) = aK_1(x, z)$
- (d) [5 points (Written)] $K(x, z) = -aK_1(x, z)$
- (e) [5 points (Written)] $K(x, z) = K_1(x, z)K_2(x, z)$
- (f) [5 points (Written)] $K(x, z) = f(x)f(z)$
- (g) [5 points (Written)] $K(x, z) = K_3(\phi(x), \phi(z))$
- (h) [5 points (Written)] $K(x, z) = p(K_1(x, z))$

This handout includes space for every question that requires a written response. Please feel free to use it to handwrite your solutions (legibly, please). If you choose to typeset your solutions, the `README.md` for this assignment includes instructions to regenerate this handout with your typeset L^AT_EX solutions.

1.a

1.b

1.c

1.d

1.e

1.f

1.g

1.h