## Fahri Ulkat 090220756

estimates may be misleading and lead to incorrect results.

increase when we add anothe regressor because of penaltization.

from IPython.display import Image, display

In [4]:

## **Question 1**

**b. Partial effect:** When other regressors are constant, the effect on Y of a change in relevant independent variable X.

a. Ommited variable bias: If the model excludes an important variable that is related to the independent variables, the

c. R2 and Adjusted R2:

and 1.

R^2 shows how much the independent variables explain the variance in the dependent variable. It has a value between 0

Adjusted R^2: Since when a new variable added, an increase in R^2 is inevitable we need a better measure. Adjusted R^2 measures more accurately by taking into account the number of variables in the model and this measre does not have to

d. Perfect multicollinearity: An independent variable is a linear function of one or more other variables. This causes the model to fail to give accurate results.

**e.Dummy variable trap:** Including all dummy variables for a category would lead to perfect multicollinearity, making the model invalid.

f. Imperfect multicollinearity: It occurs when there is a high but imperfect linear relationship between independent

variables. This can increase the magnitude of standard errors and make estimation difficult.

**Question 2** 

Following questions refer to the estimation results in Table 1 below, computed using data for 2015 from the Current

Population Survey. The dataset consists of information on 7178 full-time full-year workers. The highest educational

achievement for each worker was a bachelor's degree. Let AHE denote average hourly earnings, college denote a binary variable that equals 1 if worker has a bachelor's degree, equals 0 otherwise; age denote age in years. Also, there are four regional dummies, and the regional dummies northeast, midwest, south and west.

display(Image("Screenshot 6.png"))

(2)

(3)

Table 1: Dependent variable: AHE P

college 10.42 10.47 10.44 female -4.69 -4.56-4.57 0.61 0.61 age

(1)

northeast			0.74	
midwest			-1.54	
south			-0.44	
constant	18.15	0.11	0.33	
Observations	7178	7178	7178	
R <sup>2</sup>	0.165	0.182	0.185	
a. Do workers with college degree Do men earn more than women o			o college degree? Hov	w much more
Workers with colloge degrees earn \$ -4.69 which means women earn <b>\$4.6</b>	•	nan workers with no c	olloge. The coefficient	for female is
b. Why is the regressor West omit	ted from the regression?	What would happen	n if it was included?	

c. Juanita is a 28-year-old female college graduate from the South. Jennifer is a 28-year-old female college

Since they are both 28 years old and females, the difference will occur just because of region difference which is -0.44 -

graduate from the Midwest. Calculate the expected difference in earnings between Juanita and Jennifer.

**Question 3** display(Image("Screenshot\_1.png"))

If we include "west", **dummy variable trap** will occur and it will cause perfect multicollinearity.

Question 3

In this exercise, we will work with the data file birthweight\_smoking.xlsx, which contains data for a

random sample of babies born in Pennsylvania in 1989. The data includes the baby's birth weight together

with various characteristic of the mother, including whether she smoked during the pregnancy. The data

set includes the following variables:

smoker: indicator equal to one if the mother smoked during pregnancy and zero, otherwise.

educ: years of educational attainment (more than 16 years coded as 17)

alcohol: indicator=1 if mother drank alcohol during pregnancy

tripre3: indicator=1 if 1st prenatal care visit in 3rd trimester

birthweight: birth weight of infant (in grams)

unmarried: indicator =1 if mother is unmarried

drinks: number of drinks per week

tripre0: indicator=1 if no prenatal visits

nprevist: total number of prenatal visits

estimated coefficient on smoker.

## tripre1: indicator=1 if 1st prenatal care visit in 1st trimester tripre2: indicator=1 if 1st prenatal care visit in 2nd trimester

In [12]: **import** pandas **as** pd

data.describe()

nprevist

12.000000

13.000000

print(model.summary())

Dep. Variable:

No. Observations:

Covariance Type:

Df Residuals:

Df Model:

Intercept

smoker

Omnibus:

Kurtosis:

Skew:

In [20]:

Prob(Omnibus):

print(model.summary())

Dep. Variable:

No. Observations:

Covariance Type:

Intercept 2954.6037

Df Residuals:

Df Model:

Omnibus:

Kurtosis:

Skew:

Notes:

Prob(Omnibus):

decreases by -207.93

'smoker' : 1, 'alcohol' : 0, 'nprevist': 12,

janes\_child = model.predict(jane)

'educ': 12

}

g.

Dep. Variable:

No. Observations:

Model:

Date:

Time:

smoker

Method:

In [35]:

Model:

Method:

Date:

Time:

Model:

Date:

Time:

Method:

a.

mean

std

min

25%

**50%** 

**75%** 

b.

In [19]:

In [18]:

Out[18]:

age: age

(-1.54) = \$1.10

Use either R or Pyhton to answer the following quesitons. Use the stargazer package to generate a table of descriptive statistics. b. Run a regression between birthweight and smoker. Show your estimation output. Interpret the

c. Regress birthweight on smoker, alcohol, nprevist and educ. Show your estimation output.

d. Consider regression in part (c). Interpret the estimated coefficients on nprevist and educ.

Interpret the estimated coefficient on smoker. Compare your result with part (b).

e. Consider the regression in part (c). Interpret  $R^2$  and  $ar{R}^2$ . Why they are so similar?

f. Jane smoked during her pregnancy, did not drink alcohol, had 8 prenatal care visits and has 12 years of educational attainment. Use the regression in part (c) to predict the birth weight of Jane's child.

alcohol

0.000000

0.000000

model = smf.ols('birthweight ~ smoker', data=data).fit()

birthweight

Least Squares

00:39:42

nonrobust

473.891

0.000

-0.858

Mon, 09 Dec 2024

std err

11.871

26.951

coef

3432.0600

-253.2284

OLS

3000

2998

1

**count** 3000.000000 3000.000000 3000.000000

trap. Show your result and interpret the estimated coefficient on tripre1.

tripre3. Regress birthweight on smoker, alcohol, tripre1, tripre2, tripre3 and tripre0. Note

that R will not estimate the coefficient associated with tripred in order to avoid the dummy variable

g. An alternative way to control for prenatal visits is to use the binary variables tripre0 through

from stargazer.stargazer import Stargazer data = pd.read\_csv("birthweight\_smoking.csv", sep=";")

tripre1

1.000000

1.000000

OLS Regression Results \_\_\_\_\_\_

R-squared:

AIC:

BIC:

289.115

\_\_\_\_\_\_

-9.396

5.652 Cond. No.

F-statistic:

Adj. R-squared:

Log-Likelihood:

Prob (F-statistic):

P>|t|

0.000

0.000

[0.025]

3408.784

-306.074

10.991667 0.019333 0.804000 0.153000 0.033000 0.010000 3382.933667 3.672069 0.137717 0.397035 0.360048 0.178666 0.099515 592.162889 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 425.000000 9.000000 0.000000 1.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 3062.000000

0.000000

0.000000

tripre2

tripre3

0.000000

0.000000

3000.000000 3000.000000 3000.000000

birthweight

3000.000000

0.000000 3420.000000

3750.000000

tripre0

0.000000

0.029

0.028

88.28

1.09e-20

-23364.

4.673e+04

4.674e+04

0.975

3455.336

-200.383

1247.472

1.30e-271

1.973

2.64

0.074

0.072

59.53

1.95e-48 -23293.

4.660e+04

4.663e+04

3089.813

1.971

127.

0.046

0.045

29.18

5.20e-29

4.668e+04

4.672e+04

0.975

2965.766

-23336.

871.742

5.05e-190

unm

3000.0

0.2

0.4

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

1.0

>

smoker

0.194000

0.395495

0.000000

0.000000

0.000000

0.000000

1.000000

3000.000000

35.000000 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000 5755.000000 1.000000 1.000000 max import statsmodels.formula.api as smf

Notes: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

Durbin-Watson:

Prob(JB):

model = smf.ols('birthweight ~ smoker + alcohol + nprevist + educ', data=data).fit()

AIC:

BIC:

373.608 Durbin-Watson:

Cond. No.

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

-0.727 Prob(JB):

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Adj. R-squared:

Log-Likelihood:

Prob (F-statistic):

P>|t|

0.000 2819.394

OLS Regression Results \_\_\_\_\_\_ birthweight R-squared:

OLS

00:40:20

nonrobust

3000

2995

0.000

5.205

which means each additional year of education increases birth weight by 8.11.

Since number of data is large enough R2 and Adjusted R2 are so similar.

4

\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Mon, 09 Dec 2024

std err

coef

Least Squares F-statistic:

Jarque-Bera (JB):

68.958 42.846 27.337 -7.606 76.277 -0.465 -207.9322 0.000 -261.532 -154.332 smoker alcohol -35.4913 0.642 -185.052 114.069 33.16792.90911.4038.11845.0391.611 38.871 0.00027.465 nprevist educ 0.107 -1.762 17.999 \_\_\_\_\_\_

Jarque-Bera (JB):

f. In [32]: jane = {

The new coefficient of smoke is **-207.93** which is less than SLR coefficient. It means if the mother smokes birthweight

d. "nprevisit" coefficient is 33.16 which means each previsit increases birth weight by 33.16. "educ" coefficent is 8.11

**e.** R2 and Adjusted R2 is around 0.07 which means these variables explains the variance of dependent variables by %7.

print(f"Jane's child birthweight = {janes\_child.iloc[0]}") Jane's child birthweight = 3242.1073450364297

## to avoid dummy variable trap i will ignore tripre0 model = smf.ols('birthweight ~ smoker + alcohol + tripre1 + tripre2 + tripre3', data=data).fit() print(model.summary())

Df Residuals: 2994 BIC: Df Model: Covariance Type: nonrobust \_\_\_\_\_\_ P>|t| coef std err [0.025

3000

birthweight

01:06:56

Mon, 09 Dec 2024

27.165

Intercept 2756.5806 106.686 25.838

-228.8476

OLS Regression Results \_\_\_\_\_\_

OLS

Least Squares F-statistic:

AIC:

R-squared:

Adj. R-squared:

Log-Likelihood:

Prob (F-statistic):

0.000 2547.396

0.000 -282.111 -175.584

-0.195 136.938 alcohol -15.1000 77.541 0.846 -167.138 tripre1 697.9687 6.531 0.000 488.411 907.526 106.876 tripre2 597.1315 109.421 5.457 0.000 382.584 811.679 tripre3 561.0135 120.876 4.641 0.000 324.004 798.022 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Omnibus: 443.968 Durbin-Watson: 1.976 Prob(Omnibus): 0.000 Jarque-Bera (JB): 1157.634 Skew: Prob(JB): -0.811 4.20e-252 Kurtosis: Cond. No. 5.575 27.0 Notes: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

-8.424

If the first prenatal care visit happened in 1st trimester the birthweight will increase by 697.9687