



Concurrency Correctness Witnesses with Ghosts

Manuel Bentele^{1,2} Dominik Klumpp¹ Frank Schüssele¹

July 17, 2023




¹University of Freiburg, Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany

²Hahn-Schickard-Gesellschaft, Villingen-Schwenningen, Germany

State of witnesses

	Sequential		Concurrent	
	Correctness	Violation	Correctness	Violation
ReachSafety	✓	✓		✓
NoOverflows	✓	✓		✓
Memsafety	✓	✓		✓
NoDataRace	-	-	???	???

State of witnesses

	Sequential		Concurrent	
	Correctness	Violation	Correctness	Violation
ReachSafety	✓	✓		✓
NoOverflows	✓	✓		✓
Memsafety	✓	✓		✓
NoDataRace	-	-	???	???

Concurrency correctness witness proposal¹:

- *Thread-modular* location invariants

¹Simmo Saan and Julian Erhard. “**Beyond Automaton-Based Witnesses and Location Invariants**”. 4th Workshop on Cooperative Software Verification (COOP 2023). Apr. 2023.

Concurrency correctness witness proposal¹:

- *Thread-modular* location invariants
 - Problem: thread-modular reasoning is incomplete
 - **Thesis:** Witness Format should be based on *complete* notion of proof

¹Simmo Saan and Julian Erhard. “**Beyond Automaton-Based Witnesses and Location Invariants**”. 4th Workshop on Cooperative Software Verification (COOP 2023). Apr. 2023.

Concurrency correctness witness proposal¹:

- *Thread-modular* location invariants
 - Problem: thread-modular reasoning is incomplete
 - **Thesis:** Witness Format should be based on *complete* notion of proof
- Additional extension to reason about mutexes

¹Simmo Saan and Julian Erhard. “**Beyond Automaton-Based Witnesses and Location Invariants**”. 4th Workshop on Cooperative Software Verification (COOP 2023). Apr. 2023.

Concurrency correctness witness proposal¹:

- *Thread-modular* location invariants
 - Problem: thread-modular reasoning is incomplete
 - **Thesis:** Witness Format should be based on *complete* notion of proof
- Additional extension to reason about mutexes
 - Specific to language / pthread features

¹Simmo Saan and Julian Erhard. “**Beyond Automaton-Based Witnesses and Location Invariants**”. 4th Workshop on Cooperative Software Verification (COOP 2023). Apr. 2023.

Concurrency correctness witness proposal¹:

- *Thread-modular* location invariants
 - Problem: thread-modular reasoning is incomplete
 - **Thesis:** Witness Format should be based on *complete* notion of proof
- Additional extension to reason about mutexes
 - Specific to language / pthread features
 - However: reasoning about mutual exclusion is crucial for concurrent program proofs

¹Simmo Saan and Julian Erhard. “**Beyond Automaton-Based Witnesses and Location Invariants**”. 4th Workshop on Cooperative Software Verification (COOP 2023). Apr. 2023.

Incompleteness

```
int x;

thread inc() {
    int n = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();
    while (x < n) {
        x++;
        //@ invariant ???
    }
}

thread main() {
    pthread_create(&inc);
    x = 42;
    assert x >= 42;
}
```

```
int x;

thread inc() {
    int n = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();
    while (x < n) {
        x++;
        //@ invariant ???
    }
}

thread main() {
    pthread_create(&inc);
    x = 42;
    assert x >= 42;
}
```

- Goal: Give *useful* invariant at specified location

```
int x;

thread inc() {
    int n = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();
    while (x < n) {
        x++;
        //@ invariant ???
    }
}

thread main() {
    pthread_create(&inc);
    x = 42;
    assert x >= 42;
}
```

- Goal: Give *useful* invariant at specified location
- Problem: depends on the interleaving

```
int x;

thread inc() {
    int n = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();
    while (x < n) {
        x++;
        //@ invariant ???
    }
}

thread main() {
    pthread_create(&inc);
    x = 42;
    assert x >= 42;
}
```

- Goal: Give *useful* invariant at specified location
- Problem: depends on the interleaving
- Current witness format not expressive enough

- Proofs require interleaving information

Thread-Modular Proofs with Ghost Variables

- Proofs require interleaving information
 - “Good” proof: as little interleaving information as possible
 - “Good” witness: as little control flow information as possible

Thread-Modular Proofs with Ghost Variables

- Proofs require interleaving information
 - “Good” proof: as little interleaving information as possible
 - “Good” witness: as little control flow information as possible
- Well-known approach: instrument program with *ghost variables*

Thread-Modular Proofs with Ghost Variables

- Proofs require interleaving information
 - “Good” proof: as little interleaving information as possible
 - “Good” witness: as little control flow information as possible
- Well-known approach: instrument program with *ghost variables*
- Thread-modular invariants + ghost variables: proof rule of Owicki and Gries²
 - Sound and (relatively) complete, even for unbounded threads³

⇒ Theoretical basis for our witness proposal

²Susan Owicki and David Gries. **“An Axiomatic Proof Technique for Parallel Programs I”**. In: *Acta Informatica* 6 (1976), pp. 319–340. DOI: 10.1007/BF00268134.

³Leonor Prensa Nieto. **“Completeness of the Owicki-Gries System for Parameterized Parallel Programs”**. In: *IPDPS*. IEEE Computer Society, 2001, p. 150.

Owicki-Gries Proofs:

- Ghost Variables
- Location Invariants

Concurrency Witnesses with Ghosts:

- Ghost Variables
- Location Invariants

Owicki-Gries Proofs:

- Ghost Variables
 - record information about execution
 - do not influence execution
 - added to program text
- Location Invariants

Concurrency Witnesses with Ghosts:

- Ghost Variables
- Location Invariants

Owicki-Gries Proofs:

- Ghost Variables
 - record information about execution
 - do not influence execution
 - added to program text
- Location Invariants

Concurrency Witnesses with Ghosts:

- Ghost Variables
 - record information about execution
 - do not influence execution
 - **specified in witness**
- Location Invariants

Owicki-Gries Proofs:

- Ghost Variables
 - record information about execution
 - do not influence execution
 - added to program text
- Location Invariants
 - use ghosts & program variables
 - inductive within a thread
 - interference-free wrt. other threads

Concurrency Witnesses with Ghosts:

- Ghost Variables
 - record information about execution
 - do not influence execution
 - **specified in witness**
- Location Invariants

Owicki-Gries Proofs:

- Ghost Variables
 - record information about execution
 - do not influence execution
 - added to program text
- Location Invariants
 - use ghosts & program variables
 - inductive within a thread
 - interference-free wrt. other threads

Concurrency Witnesses with Ghosts:

- Ghost Variables
 - record information about execution
 - do not influence execution
 - **specified in witness**
- Location Invariants
 - use ghosts & program variables
 - **must hold whenever program is in location**

Program with ghosts

```
int x;  
int g = 0;  
  
thread inc() {  
    int n = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();  
    while (x < n) {  
        x++;  
        //@ invariant g != 1 || x >= 42  
    }  
}  
  
thread main() {  
    pthread_create(&inc);  
    atomic { g = 1; x = 42; }  
    assert x >= 42;  
}
```

Witness with ghosts

```
int x;  
int g = 0;  
  
thread inc() {  
    int n = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();  
    while (x < n) {  
        x++;  
        //@ invariant g != 1 || x >= 42  
    }  
}  
  
thread main() {  
    pthread_create(&inc);  
    atomic { g = 1; x = 42; }  
    assert x >= 42;  
}
```

```
- entry_type: ghost_variable  
  name: g  
  scope: global  
  type: int  
  initial: 0  
  
- entry_type: location_invariant  
  location: ...  
  location_invariant:  
    string: g != 1 || x >= 42  
  
- entry_type: ghost_update  
  variable: g  
  expression: 1  
  location: ...
```


Witness with ghosts

```
int x;  
int g = 0;  
  
thread inc() {  
    int n = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();  
    while (x < n) {  
        x++;  
        //@ invariant g != 1 || x >= 42  
    }  
}  
  
thread main() {  
    pthread_create(&inc);  
    atomic { g = 1; x = 42; }  
    assert x >= 42;  
}
```

```
- entry_type: ghost_variable  
  name: g  
  scope: global  
  type: int  
  initial: 0  
  
- entry_type: location_invariant  
  location: ...  
  location_invariant:  
    string: g != 1 || x >= 42  
  
- entry_type: ghost_update  
  variable: g  
  expression: 1  
  location: ...
```


- Initialization of global ghosts after initialization of program variables

- Initialization of global ghosts after initialization of program variables
- Update atomically right before leaving the specified location

- Initialization of global ghosts after initialization of program variables
- Update atomically right before leaving the specified location
- Expression in updates must not have side-effects or undefined behaviour

- Initialization of global ghosts after initialization of program variables
 - Update atomically right before leaving the specified location
 - Expression in updates must not have side-effects or undefined behaviour
 - Special handling for data races: Assume every ghost update *happens-before* (or *happens-after*) expression evaluations in the program
- ⇒ Ghost updates do not introduce data races

Fancy ghost variables

```
int x;  
int g = 0;  
  
thread inc() {  
    int n = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();  
    while (x < n) {  
        x++;  
        //@ invariant x >= g  
    }  
}  
  
thread main() {  
    int val = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();  
    pthread_create(&inc);  
    atomic { g = val; x = val; }  
    assert x >= val;  
}
```

Fancy ghost variables

```
int x;  
int g = 0;  
  
thread inc() {  
    int n = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();  
    while (x < n) {  
        x++;  
        //@ invariant x >= g  
    }  
}  
  
thread main() {  
    int val = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();  
    pthread_create(&inc);  
    atomic { g = val; x = val; }  
    assert x >= val;  
}
```

- Ghosts that are set to program variables

Fancy ghost variables

```
int x;  
int g = 0;  
  
thread inc() {  
    int n = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();  
    while (x < n) {  
        x++;  
        //@ invariant x >= g  
    }  
}  
  
thread main() {  
    int val = __VERIFIER_nondet_int();  
    pthread_create(&inc);  
    atomic { g = val; x = val; }  
    assert x >= val;  
}
```

- Ghosts that are set to program variables
- Allows reasoning over more than just interleavings

Mutex reasoning with ghosts

```
int used = 0, g = 0;
mutex m;

thread producer() {
    while (1) {
        atomic { g = 1; lock(m); }
        used++; used--;
        atomic { g = 0; unlock(m); }
    }
}

thread main() {
    pthread_create(&producer);
    //@ invariant g != 0 || used == 0
    atomic { g = 1; lock(m); }
    assert used == 0;
    atomic { g = 0; unlock(m); }
}
```

Mutex reasoning with ghosts

```
int used = 0, g = 0;
mutex m;

thread producer() {
    while (1) {
        atomic { g = 1; lock(m); }
        used++; used--;
        atomic { g = 0; unlock(m); }
    }
}

thread main() {
    pthread_create(&producer);
    //@ invariant g != 0 || used == 0
    atomic { g = 1; lock(m); }
    assert used == 0;
    atomic { g = 0; unlock(m); }
}
```

- Ghost variables to reason about mutexes

Mutex reasoning with ghosts

```
int used = 0, g = 0;
mutex m;

thread producer() {
    while (1) {
        atomic { g = 1; lock(m); }
        used++; used--;
        atomic { g = 0; unlock(m); }
    }
}

thread main() {
    pthread_create(&producer);
    //@ invariant g != 0 || used == 0
    atomic { g = 1; lock(m); }
    assert used == 0;
    atomic { g = 0; unlock(m); }
}
```

- Ghost variables to reason about mutexes
- Invariants can relate program variables and mutexes (via ghosts)

Mutex reasoning with ghosts

```
int used = 0, g = 0;
mutex m;

thread producer() {
    while (1) {
        atomic { g = 1; lock(m); }
        used++; used--;
        atomic { g = 0; unlock(m); }
    }
}

thread main() {
    pthread_create(&producer);
    //@ invariant g != 0 || used == 0
    atomic { g = 1; lock(m); }
    assert used == 0;
    atomic { g = 0; unlock(m); }
}
```

- Ghost variables to reason about mutexes
- Invariants can relate program variables and mutexes (via ghosts)
- However: Validator has to find relation between `m` and `g`

Witness Generation:

Witness Validation:

Witness Generation:

- Standard Owicki-Gries approach: Encode program counters⁴

Witness Validation:

⁴Leslie Lamport. “**The ‘Hoare Logic’ of Concurrent Programs**”. In: *Acta Informatica* 14 (1980), pp. 21–37. DOI: 10.1007/BF00289062.

Witness Generation:

- Standard Owicki-Gries approach: Encode program counters⁴
 - Optimization: only necessary interleaving info

Witness Validation:

⁴Leslie Lamport. “**The ‘Hoare Logic’ of Concurrent Programs**”. In: *Acta Informatica* 14 (1980), pp. 21–37. DOI: 10.1007/BF00289062.

Witness Generation:

- Standard Owicki-Gries approach: Encode program counters⁴
 - Optimization: only necessary interleaving info
- Many more possibilities beyond encoding interleaving

Witness Validation:

⁴Leslie Lamport. “**The ‘Hoare Logic’ of Concurrent Programs**”. In: *Acta Informatica* 14 (1980), pp. 21–37. DOI: 10.1007/BF00289062.

Witness Generation:

- Standard Owicki-Gries approach: Encode program counters⁴
 - Optimization: only necessary interleaving info
- Many more possibilities beyond encoding interleaving

Witness Validation:

- Transformation of program to instrument with ghosts

⁴Leslie Lamport. “**The ‘Hoare Logic’ of Concurrent Programs**”. In: *Acta Informatica* 14 (1980), pp. 21–37. DOI: 10.1007/BF00289062.

Witness Generation:

- Standard Owicki-Gries approach: Encode program counters⁴
 - Optimization: only necessary interleaving info
- Many more possibilities beyond encoding interleaving

Witness Validation:

- Transformation of program to instrument with ghosts
- Verification of transformed program

⁴Leslie Lamport. “**The ‘Hoare Logic’ of Concurrent Programs**”. In: *Acta Informatica* 14 (1980), pp. 21–37. DOI: 10.1007/BF00289062.

- Based on complete proof notion

- Based on complete proof notion
- General approach, not bound to tool-specific representation

- Based on complete proof notion
- General approach, not bound to tool-specific representation
- Covers many different language features / synchronization mechanisms

- Based on complete proof notion
- General approach, not bound to tool-specific representation
- Covers many different language features / synchronization mechanisms
- Remains as (thread-)modular as possible, do not encode all interleavings

- Based on complete proof notion
- General approach, not bound to tool-specific representation
- Covers many different language features / synchronization mechanisms
- Remains as (thread-)modular as possible, do not encode all interleavings
- Ghost variables: not restricted to concurrency

- Proof format of approaches that use reductions (with meta-reasoning) still open research question

- Proof format of approaches that use reductions (with meta-reasoning) still open research question
 - General problem of witnesses how to encode such meta-reasoning
 - Ghost variables could help with that encoding

- Proof format of approaches that use reductions (with meta-reasoning) still open research question
 - General problem of witnesses how to encode such meta-reasoning
 - Ghost variables could help with that encoding
- Allowed update locations? (e.g. where in loop, switch/case?)
 - Problem with the general format, not only with this extension

- Proof format of approaches that use reductions (with meta-reasoning) still open research question
 - General problem of witnesses how to encode such meta-reasoning
 - Ghost variables could help with that encoding
- Allowed update locations? (e.g. where in loop, switch/case?)
 - Problem with the general format, not only with this extension
- Further extension for multiple instances of the same thread template needed?
 - thread-local ghost variables
 - quantification (ACSL)
 - unbounded ghost arrays

- Problem: Incomplete witnesses for concurrency

- Problem: Incomplete witnesses for concurrency
- Proposal of new extension with ghost variables

- Problem: Incomplete witnesses for concurrency
- Proposal of new extension with ghost variables
- General approach, possible to be used by different tools (generation/validation)



<https://github.com/ultimate-pa/VEWIT2023-ConcurrencyGhosts>