DEFINITION OF SOME CHARACTER SUMS

ABSTRACT. We define some character sums relevant for subconvexity on $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}\times\mathrm{GL}_n$ at "prime depth."

Let F be a finite field of order q. Set

$$(G,H) := (GL_{n+1}(F), GL_n(F)),$$

with H embedded as the upper-left block. Let (B, B_H) denote the upper-triangular Borel subgroups.

Quasi-invariants for the two-sided action of $B \times B_H$ on G (by left and right translation) are given by the following determinental minors:

• For m = 1, ..., n + 1, the "left-and-bottom-anchored" minors

$$A_j(g) := \det(g_{ij})_{n+2-m < i < n+1}^{1 \le j \le i}.$$

• For m = 1, ..., n, the "left-and-second-to-bottom-anchored" minors

$$B_j(g) := \det(g_{ij})_{n+1-m \le i \le n}^{1 \le j \le i}.$$

We also adopt the convention $A_0(g) := B_0(g) := 1$.

The action of $B \times B_H$ on G has an open orbit, given by the nonvanishing of each of the invariants $A_1, \ldots, A_{n+1}, B_1, \ldots, B_n$. Let α be a representative for this orbit.

Example 1. We could take, e.g., for n + 1 = 4,

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Indeed, we then have $A_i(\alpha) = B_j(\alpha) = 1$ for all i and j.

Example 2. One could instead take

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\chi: B \to \mathrm{U}(1)$ and $\eta: B_H \to \mathrm{U}(1)$ be characters. We define the character sum

$$S(\gamma) := \sum_{\substack{x,y \in B_H:\\ \alpha^{-1}y^{-1}\gamma x \alpha \in B}} \chi(\alpha^{-1}y^{-1}\gamma x \alpha) \eta(x^{-1}y).$$

We would like to understand the magnitude of this sum, together with averaged variants such as

$$\max_{x \in B_H} \sum_{y \in B_H} |S(x\gamma y)|.$$

Remark 3. The sum $S(\gamma)$ is η -equivariant under the action of $B_H \times B_H$, so in studying that sum, we can assume that γ lies in a set of representatives for that action. Generic representatives are given by, e.g., when n+1=4,

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & \gamma_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \gamma_2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \gamma_3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2)

Let $\Theta: G \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the function supported on $B_H \alpha B$ and given there by

$$\Theta(y\alpha z) = \eta(y)\chi(z).$$

Then

$$S(\gamma) = \sum_{x \in B_H} \eta^{-1}(x)\Theta(\gamma x \alpha).$$

Lemma 4. Define the components χ_j and η_j of χ and η by writing

$$y = \operatorname{diag}(y_n, \dots, y_1, 1), \qquad z = \operatorname{diag}(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}),$$

$$\eta(y) = \prod_j \eta_j(y_j), \qquad \chi(z) = \prod_j \chi_j(z_j).$$
Let α be as in Example 1. Then on $B_H \alpha B$, we have

$$\Theta = \chi_1 \left(\frac{A_1}{B_0} \right) \cdots \chi_{n+1} \left(\frac{A_{n+1}}{B_n} \right) \eta_1 \left(x^{-1} \frac{B_1}{A_1} \right) \cdots \eta_n \left(x^{-1} \frac{B_n}{A_n} \right).$$

Example 5. Suppose that the η_j are trivial and the χ_j are all equal. Call their common value χ . Then

$$\Theta = \chi \left(\frac{A_1 \cdots A_{n+1}}{B_1 \cdots B_n} \right).$$

Example 6. For n+1=2 and $\gamma=\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ and $x=\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\alpha=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

the invariants of

$$\gamma x \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} ax + b & ax \\ cx + d & cx \end{pmatrix}$$

are given by

$$A_1 = cx + d$$
, $B_1 = ax + b$, $A_2 = (ad - bc)x$,

so the character sum in question is a unit scalar multiple of

$$\sum_{x \in F^{\times}}^{*} \chi_{1}(cx+d)\chi_{2}\left(\frac{x}{ax+b}\right)\eta\left(x^{-1}\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}\right).$$

By Weil, this exhibits square-root cancellation except when

- γ is diagonal,
- γ is lower-triangular and $\chi_1 = \eta$, or
- γ is upper-triangular and $\chi_2 = \eta$.

In those cases, we don't get any cancellation. For example, suppose that $\chi_2 = \eta = 1$. Then the character sum is

$$\sum_{x \in F^{\times}} \chi_1(cx+d).$$

If γ is upper-triangular, i.e., c = 0, then we get no cancellation.

Thus we see that "conductor-dropping" manifests in an expanded degeneracy locus for the character sum.

Example 7. One can check that for $n+1=3, \eta$ trivial, and γ "generic" as in (2), we have, with the notation

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{split} \Theta(\gamma x \alpha) &= \chi_1 \left(\gamma_3 + x_3 \right) \\ &\cdot \chi_2 \left(\frac{\gamma_2 x_1 + \gamma_2 x_3 - \gamma_3 x_1 - \gamma_3 x_2 - \gamma_3 x_3 + x_1 x_2}{\gamma_2 + x_2 + x_3} \right) \\ &\cdot \chi_3 \left(\frac{x_1 x_2 (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)}{\gamma_1 x_1 + \gamma_1 x_2 + \gamma_1 x_3 - \gamma_2 x_2 + x_1 x_2} \right). \end{split}$$

Here's a more explicit example, obtained by specializing the γ_j at random:

$$\chi_1\left(x_3-5\right)\chi_2\left(\frac{x_1x_2+7x_1+5x_2+7x_3}{x_2+x_3+2}\right)\chi_3\left(-\frac{11x_1x_2}{x_1x_2-4x_1-6x_2-4x_3}\right).$$

Question 8. In the special case $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi_3$, is the character sum obtained in 7 equivalent to that considered by Sharma, namely

$$\sum_{t_1,t_2,t_3} \chi\left(\frac{t_1 t_3 (t_2+1) (c_2 k_1 t_3 + c_2 k_2 + t_2)}{t_2 (t_1+1) (c_1 t_3 + t_1) (k_1 t_3 + k_2)}\right)?$$

Maybe there is some clever change of variables relating (t_1, t_2, t_3) to (x_1, x_2, x_3) .