- 1. Some things to think about in the afternoons and beyond
- (1) Understand the details of the consequences of subconvexity mentioned in lecture (reference: [19, §5]):
 - Subconvexity vs. geometry of numbers.
 - Distinguishing modular forms.
 - Duke theorem. Reduction of supersingular elliptic curves.
 - Quantum unique ergodicity. One exercise here is to understand how this follows from subconvexity in the special case of Eisenstein series, as in [17, §2].
- (2) Some "classic" papers (non-exhaustive):
 - Bounds for Fourier coefficients of half-integral weight, applications to quadratic forms: [15], [9], [11]
 - Subconvexity for GL₂: [10] [12] [13].
 - Moments and amplification via periods: [27], [20], [16], [24].
 - Shifted convolution sums:
 - via δ -symbol: [10]
 - via periods: [25][4], [5].
 - Papers emphasizing variation of the test vector: [23, 2, 27].

One exercise is draw parallels, e.g., between

- [20][Thm 5.1] and [10],
- [27, §4] and [12], or
- [20][Thm 5.2] and [13].

Another is to reprove some results using different methods, e.g., by working out a "classical" proof in the style of [13] for subconvexity for Maass forms at special points, namely, for $L(1/2+it_f,f)$ with f on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of eigenvalue $1/4+t_f^2$, by estimating an amplified fourth moment.

- (3) Some recent papers, concerning subconvexity or related problems, that haven't been fully explored (e.g., interpreted via integral representations):
 - δ -method papers such as [26] and [1]
 - Higher moments over very large families, as in [7], [8]
 - Rankin–Selberg when the rank difference is larger than one, as in [6]
- (4) Higher rank subconvex bounds [3, 18, 22, 21, 14]. There are many "exercises" implicit in these papers; for instance, a half-dozen are suggested in [22, Remark 1.4]. Some other questions:
 - These have all proceeded via arithmetic amplification. Is it possible to succeed in some cases via "family shortening" (as in, e.g., [25])? A natural case to try would be the t-aspect. Some experiments with GL₂ suggest this is difficult (see https://ultronozm.github.io/math/20230522T174726_shrinking-archimedean-families-second-moment-gl2.html).

"Purely horizontal" aspects remain open, e.g., twists by Dirichlet characters of prime conductor on GL_4 .

REFERENCES

- [1] Keshav Aggarwal, Wing Hong Leung, and Ritabrata Munshi. Short second moment bound and subconvexity for gl(3) l-functions. 06 2022. arXiv:2206.06517.
- [2] Joseph Bernstein and Andre Reznikov. Subconvexity bounds for triple L-functions and representation theory. Ann. of Math. (2), 172(3):1679–1718, 2010.

- [3] Valentin Blomer and Jack Buttcane. On the subconvexity problem for L-functions on GL(3). Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 53(6):1441–1500, 2020.
- [4] Valentin Blomer and Gergely Harcos. The spectral decomposition of shifted convolution sums. Duke Math. J., 144(2):321–339, 2008.
- [5] Valentin Blomer, Subhajit Jana, and Paul D. Nelson. Local integral transforms and global spectral decomposition. 04 2024. arXiv:2404.10692.
- [6] Valentin Blomer, Xiaoqing Li, and Stephen D. Miller. A spectral reciprocity formula and non-vanishing for L-functions on GL(4) × GL(2). J. Number Theory, 205:1–43, 2019.
- [7] Vorrapan Chandee and Xiannan Li. The 8th moment of the family of $\Gamma_1(q)$ -automorphic L-functions. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (22):8443–8485, 2020.
- [8] Vorrapan Chandee and Xiannan Li. The second moment of $GL(4) \times GL(2)$ L-functions at special points. Adv. Math., 365:107060, 39, 2020.
- [9] W. Duke. Hyperbolic distribution problems and half-integral weight Maass forms. *Invent. Math.*, 92(1):73–90, 1988.
- [10] W. Duke, J. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. Bounds for automorphic L-functions. Invent. Math., 112(1):1–8, 1993.
- [11] W. Duke, J. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. Bilinear forms with Kloosterman fractions. *Invent. Math.*, 128(1):23–43, 1997.
- [12] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. Bounds for automorphic L-functions. II. Invent. Math., 115(2):219–239, 1994.
- [13] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. Bounds for automorphic L-functions. III. Invent. Math., 143(2):221–248, 2001.
- [14] Yueke Hu and Paul D Nelson. Subconvex bounds for $u_{n+1} \times u_n$ in horizontal aspects. 09 2023. arXiv:2309.06314.
- [15] Henryk Iwaniec. Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight. Invent. Math., 87(2):385–401, 1987.
- [16] Henryk Iwaniec and Peter Sarnak. L^{∞} norms of eigenfunctions of arithmetic surfaces. Ann. of Math. (2), 141(2):301–320, 1995.
- [17] Wenzhi Luo and Peter Sarnak. Quantum ergodicity of eigenfunctions on PSL₂(Z)\H². Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (81):207–237, 1995.
- [18] Simon Marshall. Subconvexity for l-functions on $u(n) \times u(n+1)$ in the depth aspect. 09 2023. arXiv:2309.16667.
- [19] Philippe Michel. Analytic number theory and families of automorphic L-functions. In Automorphic forms and applications, volume 12 of IAS/Park City Math. Ser., pages 181–295. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [20] Philippe Michel and Akshay Venkatesh. The subconvexity problem for GL₂. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., (111):171–271, 2010.
- [21] Paul D. Nelson. Bounds for standard L-functions. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:2109.15230, September 2021.
- [22] Paul D. Nelson. Spectral aspect subconvex bounds for $U_{n+1} \times U_n$. Invent. Math., 232(3):1273–1438, 2023.
- [23] Andre Reznikov. Rankin-Selberg without unfolding and bounds for spherical Fourier coefficients of Maass forms. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 21(2):439–477, 2008.
- [24] Peter Sarnak. Fourth moments of Grössencharakteren zeta functions. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 38(2):167–178, 1985.
- [25] Peter Sarnak. Estimates for Rankin-Selberg L-functions and quantum unique ergodicity. J. Funct. Anal., 184(2):419–453, 2001.
- [26] Prahlad Sharma. Subconvexity for $GL(3) \times GL(2)$ twists. Adv. Math., 404:Paper No. 108420, 47, 2022. With an appendix by Will Sawin.
- [27] Akshay Venkatesh. Sparse equidistribution problems, period bounds and subconvexity. Ann. of Math. (2), 172(2):989–1094, 2010.