

Here's a lemma that I think clarifies the key step in the proof.

Lemma 1. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring, and let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathfrak{m}$ with $V(f_1, \dots, f_r) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$ be a prime with no prime strictly contained between \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{m} . Then there exist $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathfrak{m}$ for which

- (1) $V(g_1, \dots, g_r) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and
- (2) \mathfrak{p} contains and is a minimal prime of (g_1, \dots, g_{r-1}) .

In “geometric” terms, let Z be a closed irreducible subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$ that is minimal among the closed irreducible sets that properly contain $\{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Then we may find g_1, \dots, g_r for which $V(g_1, \dots, g_r) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and for which Z is an irreducible component of $V(g_1, \dots, g_{r-1})$.

Proof. Since \mathfrak{m} is the unique prime ideal containing (f_1, \dots, f_r) , we may assume after reindexing f_1, \dots, f_r as necessary that $f_r \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Then the ideal $\mathfrak{p} + (f_r)$ strictly contains \mathfrak{p} and is contained in \mathfrak{m} ; our hypotheses on \mathfrak{p} imply that \mathfrak{m} is the only prime ideal containing $\mathfrak{p} + (f_r)$, i.e., that $V(\mathfrak{p} + (f_r)) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, or that $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{p} + (f_r)) = \mathfrak{m}$. In particular, for each $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ we may find n_i for which $f_i^{n_i} \in \mathfrak{p} + (f_r)$, say

$$f_i^{n_i} = g_i + z_i f_r \text{ with } g_i \in \mathfrak{p}, z_i \in A.$$

We claim that the conclusion of the lemma is now satisfied with g_1, \dots, g_{r-1} as above and $g_r := f_r$:

- (1) The above equation shows that any prime \mathfrak{q} that contains g_1, \dots, g_{r-1}, f_r also contains $f_i^{n_i}$ and hence f_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$, hence $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}$. Thus $V(g_1, \dots, g_r) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.
- (2) It's clear by construction that \mathfrak{p} contains (g_1, \dots, g_{r-1}) . There is thus a minimal prime \mathfrak{p}' of (g_1, \dots, g_{r-1}) contained in \mathfrak{p} ; we must verify that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}'$. (Geometrically, \mathfrak{p}' corresponds to an irreducible component Z' of $V(g_1, \dots, g_{r-1})$ containing Z .) To see this, consider the quotient ring $\overline{A} := A/(g_1, \dots, g_{r-1})$. Let

$$\overline{\mathfrak{m}} \supsetneq \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \supseteq \overline{\mathfrak{p}'} \tag{1}$$

denote the chain of primes in \overline{A} given by the image of $\mathfrak{m} \supsetneq \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}' \supseteq (g_1, \dots, g_{r-1})$. Then $(\overline{A}, \overline{\mathfrak{m}})$ is a Noetherian local ring, and our task is equivalent to showing that $\overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \overline{\mathfrak{p}'}$. Let $f \in \overline{A}$ denote the image of f_r . The primes of \overline{A} containing f are in bijection with the primes of A containing g_1, \dots, g_{r-1}, f_r , so $V_{\overline{A}}(f) = \{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}\}$. By Krull's principal ideal theorem (in the form of Corollary ??), it follows that $\text{height}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}) \leq 1$. From (1) we then deduce that $\overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \overline{\mathfrak{p}'}$, as required. (Intuitively, by choosing f_r not to vanish on any irreducible component of $V(f_1, \dots, f_{r-1})$, we guarantee that appending it to the set of generators has the effect of knocking down the dimension of each such component by 1.)

□

We now deduce Theorem ??. We must show that if \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime of (f_1, \dots, f_r) , then $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq r$. We may assume without loss of generality (replacing A with $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and \mathfrak{p} with $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which doesn't change the height of or minimality assumption on the latter) that (A, \mathfrak{p}) is a Noetherian local ring with $V(f_1, \dots, f_r) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$; we must show then that $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq r$. We do this by induction on r . The case $r = 1$ is given by Krull's principal ideal theorem, so suppose $r > 1$. Let $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ be a maximal element of the set of primes strictly contained in \mathfrak{p} ;

it will suffice then to show that $\text{height}(\mathfrak{q}) \leq r - 1$. By Lemma 1, we may assume without loss of generality that \mathfrak{q} is a minimal prime of (f_1, \dots, f_{r-1}) ; the required inequality then follows from our inductive hypothesis.

REFERENCES