

# SOME EXERCISES CONCERNING LOCALIZED VECTORS IN LOW RANK

## CONTENTS

1. Overview	1
2. Setup	1
3. The group $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ via weight vectors	2
3.1. Lie algebra	2
3.2. Representations	3
3.3. Localized vectors	4
4. The group $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ via weight vectors	5
4.1. Preliminaries	5
4.2. Representations	6
4.3. Localized vectors	6
5. The group $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ via the Kirillov model	8
5.1. Preliminaries	8
5.2. Localized vectors	9
6. Relative character asymptotics	11
6.1. The case $(\mathrm{SO}(3), \mathrm{SO}(2))$	11
7. TODO	11
References	11

ABSTRACT. We record some exercises whose purpose is to verify that certain classes of vectors in representations of  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$  and  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  are “localized” in a strong sense under the action of those groups.

## 1. OVERVIEW

In this note, we give exercises that aim to convey some computational feeling for “localized vectors” in the precise sense defined in §3 of this note, focusing on low-rank examples. Along the way, we recall the basic representation theory for such examples.

## 2. SETUP

We let  $T \rightarrow \infty$  be an asymptotic parameter, and retain the asymptotic notation and conventions of §2.1 of this note concerning “ $T$ -dependent elements”, “fixed” (equivalently, “ $T$ -independent”) and “classes”. In particular, we recall that “class” means “collection of  $T$ -dependent sets”. A typical example is the class  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  inside  $\mathbb{C}$ , consisting of all  $T$ -dependent subsets  $S = S_T \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  for which there is a fixed  $C \geq 0$  so that for all  $T$ , we have  $\|c_T\| \leq C$  for all  $c_T \in S_T$ .

Let  $G$  be a fixed real Lie group, and let  $\pi = \pi_T$  be a  $T$ -dependent unitary representation. We recall Theorem 3.6 from this note:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M$  be a class of  $T$ -dependent vectors  $v = v_T$  in  $\pi = \pi_T$  with the following properties:*

- (i) *For each  $v \in M$ , we have  $\|v\| \leq T^{O(1)}$ .*
- (ii) *For all  $u, v \in M$ , we have  $u + v \in M$ .*
- (iii) *For all  $v \in M$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $c = O(1)$ , we have  $cv \in M$ .*
- (iv) *For each fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , fixed  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and each  $v \in M$ , we have*

$$xv - \langle x, \tau \rangle v \in T^{1/2+\varepsilon} M. \quad (2.1)$$

*That is to say, the  $T$ -dependent vector on the left hand side may be written  $T^{1/2+\varepsilon} u$ , where  $u$  belongs to the class  $M$ .*

*Then each  $v \in M$  is localized at  $\tau$  in the sense of Definition 3.3 of this note.*

The purpose of the present note is to give some examples of classes  $M$  satisfying the above conditions, hence, in particular, examples of localized vectors. In each case, the first three properties will clearly hold, so we do not mention them; the main point is to verify the approximate eigenvector property (2.1) for elements  $x$  of a fixed basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Exercise 1.** Verify, directly from the definitions, that the following are equivalent for a class  $M$  of vectors satisfying the first three conditions in Theorem 1.

- (1) The fourth condition in Theorem 1 holds.
- (2) For all fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , fixed  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , and fixed  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we have

$$\|(x_1 - \tau(x_1)) \cdots (x_k - \tau(x_k))v\| \ll T^{k/2+\varepsilon}.$$

### 3. THE GROUP $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ VIA WEIGHT VECTORS

**3.1. Lie algebra.** We consider the Lie group  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ . Its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(3)$  admits a basis  $\{R_1, R_2, R_3\}$ , where for any angle  $\theta$ , the element  $\exp(\theta R_j)$  defines rotation by  $\theta$  about the  $j$ th axis. These satisfy the commutation relations

$$[R_1, R_2] = R_3, \quad [R_2, R_3] = R_1, \quad [R_3, R_1] = R_2.$$

The center of the universal enveloping algebra is generated by the Casimir element

$$\Omega = -(R_1^2 + R_2^2 + R_3^2).$$

Define the following elements  $X, Y$  of the complexified Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(3)_{\mathbb{C}}$ :

$$X := R_1 + iR_2, \quad Y := -R_1 + iR_2.$$

Then  $X, Y, R_3$  is a basis for  $\mathfrak{so}(3)_{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfying the commutation relations

$$[X, Y] = 2iR_3, \quad [iR_3, X] = X, \quad [iR_3, Y] = -Y. \quad (3.1)$$

We observe also that

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \frac{X - Y}{2}, \quad R_2 = \frac{X + Y}{2i}, \\ \Omega &= \frac{XY + YX}{2} - R_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

By writing  $XY = [X, Y] + YX$  and appealing to the formula (3.1) for  $[X, Y]$ , we see that

$$\Omega = YX + iR_3(iR_3 + 1). \quad (3.2)$$

Similarly,

$$\Omega = XY + iR_3(iR_3 - 1). \quad (3.3)$$

The imaginary dual of the Lie algebra identifies with the space of triples of imaginary numbers:

$$\mathfrak{so}(3)^\wedge \cong i\mathbb{R}^3. \quad (3.4)$$

Here  $\xi \in i\mathbb{R}^3$  corresponds to the linear map  $\mathfrak{so}(3) \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$  given on basis elements by  $R_j \mapsto \xi_j$ .

**3.2. Representations.** Let  $\pi$  be a (complex) representation of  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ . It may be decomposed into eigenspaces for  $R_3$ . Since  $\exp(2\pi R_3) = 1$ , the eigenvalues of  $iR_3$  are integers:

$$\pi = \oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi(m), \quad \pi(m) := \{v \in \pi : iR_3 v = mv\}.$$

The  $m$  for which  $\pi(m) \neq 0$  are called the *weights* of  $\pi$ , and the dimensions  $\dim \pi(m)$  the corresponding *weight multiplicities*. From the commutation relations (3.1), we see that

$$X : \pi(m) \rightarrow \pi(m+1), \quad Y : \pi(m) \rightarrow \pi(m-1). \quad (3.5)$$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ . Then  $\Omega$  acts on  $\pi$  by a scalar of the form*

$$\Omega_\pi = \ell(\ell+1) \quad (3.6)$$

*for some nonnegative integer  $\ell$ . This scalar determines the isomorphism class of  $\pi$ . In fact, there is a basis*

$$e_{-\ell}, \quad e_{-\ell+1}, \quad \dots, \quad e_\ell$$

*for  $\pi$  on which the Lie algebra acts by the formulas*

$$Xe_m = (\Omega_\pi - m(m+1))^{1/2} e_{m+1} \quad (3.7)$$

$$Ye_{m+1} = (\Omega_\pi - m(m+1))^{1/2} e_m, \quad (3.8)$$

$$iR_3 e_m = m e_m. \quad (3.9)$$

*If  $\pi$  is unitary, then this basis is orthonormal.*

**Exercise 2.** Attempt to work out the proof of Proposition 2, or as many parts of it as you can, on your own, without reading what's written here in detail.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$  is compact, we know by the Peter-Weyl theorem that  $\pi$  is finite-dimensional. There is thus a largest element  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  with  $\pi(\ell) \neq 0$ . For any  $v \in \pi(\ell)$ , we have  $Xv \in \pi(\ell+1) = \{0\}$ , hence  $Xv = 0$ . By (3.2), it follows that

$$0 = YXv = \Omega v - \ell(\ell+1)v. \quad (3.10)$$

Since  $\pi$  is irreducible and  $\Omega$  commutes with the action of  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ , we know by Schur's lemma that  $\Omega$  acts on  $\pi$  by a scalar. Taking  $v$  to be a nonzero element of  $\pi(\ell)$ , we see from (3.10) that this scalar must be given by (3.6). We now choose a nonzero vector  $e_\ell \in V(\ell)$  and define  $e_m$  by reverse induction for integers  $m$  with  $-\ell \leq m < \ell$  by requiring that (3.8) hold, noting that the square root is positive in the stated range. Using (3.3), we see that  $Ye_{-\ell} = 0$ . By the mapping property (3.5), we have  $e_m \in \pi(m)$ , hence (3.9) holds. By the formula (3.3) for  $\Omega$ , we have

$$XYe_{m+1} = \Omega e_{m+1} - m(m+1)e_{m+1} = (\Omega - m(m+1))e_{m+1}, \quad (3.11)$$

and so (3.7) holds. From the formulas established thus far, we see that the  $e_m$  ( $-\ell \leq m \leq \ell$ ) span an invariant subspace of  $\pi$ , which by the irreducibility hypothesis must be  $\pi$  itself.

We have established all assertions except that the basis may be taken orthonormal when  $\pi$  is unitary. We may assume that  $e_\ell$  was normalized to be a unit vector, and will verify then by reverse inductive on  $m < \ell$  that  $e_m$  is then likewise a unit vector. To that end, observe first by (3.8) that

$$(\Omega_\pi - m(m+1))\|e_m\|^2 = \langle Y e_{m+1}, Y e_{m+1} \rangle,$$

then use that the adjoint of  $Y$  is  $-\bar{Y} = X$  to see that

$$\langle Y e_{m+1}, Y e_{m+1} \rangle = \langle XY e_{m+1}, e_{m+1} \rangle.$$

By (3.11), we deduce that  $e_m$  and  $e_{m+1}$  have the same norm, so the induction follows as claimed.  $\square$

The integer  $\ell$  as in the conclusion of Proposition 2 is called the *highest weight* of  $\pi$ . The coadjoint orbit for  $\pi$  turns out to be given in the optic (3.4) by the sphere of radius  $\ell + 1/2$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_\pi = \{(a, b, c) : a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = (T + \tfrac{1}{2})^2\}.$$

**3.3. Localized vectors.** In the following exercises, we assume that the asymptotic parameter  $T \rightarrow \infty$  is valued in the nonnegative integers, and let  $\pi$  denote the  $T$ -dependent representation having highest weight  $T$ .

**Remark 3.** The purpose of the following exercises is not to overload on analysis of localized vectors using weight vector bases, but rather to illustrate the strong parallel with what happens for  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$  as explained above in §3.3.

**Exercise 3.** Let  $M$  denote the class of  $T$ -dependent vectors  $v$  in  $\pi$  given in terms of a basis as in Proposition 2 by  $v = \sum_m a_m e_m$ , where the coefficients have the following properties:

- (1)  $a_m = 0$  unless  $m = T + O(1)$ .
- (2) Each  $a_m = O(1)$ .

Verify that for all  $v \in M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Xv &\in T^{1/2}M, \\ Yv &\in T^{1/2}M, \\ iR_3v - iTv &\in T^{1/2}M. \end{aligned}$$

Deduce from Theorem 1 that every element of  $M$  is localized at the  $T$ -dependent element  $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^\wedge$  given in the optic (3.4) by

$$\tau = (0, 0, iT).$$

**Exercise 4.** Let  $M$  be the class of  $T$ -dependent vectors in  $\pi$  of the form  $\sum a_m e_m$ , where the coefficients have the following properties:

- (1)  $a_m = 0$  unless  $m = O(T^{1/2})$ .
- (2) The function of  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$a(\theta) := \sum_n a(n) e(n\theta), \quad e(\theta) := e^{2\pi i \theta}$$

is an  $L^2$ -normalized bump of width  $T^{-1/2}$ , in the following sense: for fixed  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,

$$a^{(\ell)}(\theta) \ll T^{1/4+\ell/2} \left(1 + \frac{\|\theta\|}{T^{1/2}}\right)^{-k}, \quad (3.12)$$

where  $a^{(\ell)}$  denotes the  $\ell$ th derivative and  $\|\theta\|$  the distance to the nearest integer.

We note that, by Parseval, the second condition implies that  $\sum_m |a_m|^2 = O(1)$ .

- (i) Show that if  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is fixed, then the  $T$ -dependent vector  $\sum_m a_m e_m$  with coefficients

$$a_n := T^{-1/4} f\left(\frac{n}{T^{1/2}}\right) \quad (3.13)$$

belongs to  $M$ .

- (ii) Show that for all  $v \in M$ ,

$$Xv - Tv \in T^{1/2}M,$$

$$Yv - Tv \in T^{1/2}M,$$

$$R_3v \in T^{1/2}M.$$

Deduce that every element of  $M$ , and in particular, the element defined by (3.13), is localized at the  $T$ -dependent element  $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  given in the optic (3.4) by

$$\tau = (0, -iT, 0).$$

#### 4. THE GROUP $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ VIA WEIGHT VECTORS

**4.1. Preliminaries.** We now turn to the group

$$G := \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

We will use the following notation for a basis of its complexified Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y &:= \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ H &:= \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The standard maximal compact connected subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ , namely the image of  $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ , is then

$$K = \{\exp(\theta H) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The commutation relations are

$$[X, Y] = -2H, \quad [H, X] = X, \quad [H, Y] = -Y.$$

The center of the universal enveloping algebra is generated by the Casimir element

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &:= H^2 - \frac{XY + YX}{2} \\ &= H(H - 1) - XY \\ &= H(H + 1) - YX. \end{aligned}$$

The imaginary dual of the Lie algebra identifies with the space of imaginary traceless  $2 \times 2$  matrices:

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})^\wedge \cong i\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (4.1)$$

Here  $\xi \in i\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$  corresponds to the linear map  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$  given by  $x \mapsto \mathrm{trace}(x\xi)$ .

#### 4.2. Representations.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\Omega$  acts on  $\pi$  by a scalar, say  $\Omega_\pi$ . Then, either:*

- (i)  $\pi$  is a one-dimensional representation, either trivial or the sign representation, in which case  $\Omega_\pi = 0$ .
- (ii)  $\pi$  is a discrete series representation  $\pi(k)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , with  $\Omega_\pi = k(k-1)$ . (We have chosen the numbering so that such representations correspond to holomorphic modular forms of weight  $2k$  in the traditional sense.)
- (iii)  $\pi$  is a unitary principal series representation  $\pi(t, \varepsilon)$ , with
  - $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ , or
  - $t \in i(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) - \{0\}$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,
 with  $\Omega_\pi = -\frac{1}{4} - t^2$ .

The only equivalences are that  $\pi(t, \varepsilon) \cong \pi(-t, \varepsilon)$ .

The representation  $\pi = \pi(t, \varepsilon)$  admits a basis  $e_m$ , indexed by  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , on which the Lie algebra elements act by the formulas

$$Xe_m = (m(m+1) - \Omega_\pi)^{1/2} e_{m+1},$$

$$Ye_{m+1} = (m(m+1) - \Omega_\pi)^{1/2} e_m,$$

$$He_m = me_m,$$

$$\mathrm{diag}(-1, 1)e_m = (-1)^\varepsilon e_{-m}.$$

The representation  $\pi = \pi(k)$  admits a basis  $e_m$ , indexed by  $\{m \in \mathbb{Z} : |m| \geq k\}$ , on which the Lie algebra elements act by the same formulas as above, but with  $\varepsilon = 1$ .

*Proof.* Similar to that of Proposition 2.  $\square$

The tempered irreducible representations are the  $\pi(k)$  and the  $\pi(t, \varepsilon)$  with  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . For either of these, the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\pi$  is given in the optic (4.1) by

$$\mathcal{O}_\pi = \{0 \neq \xi \in i\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) : \det(\xi/i) = \frac{1}{4} + \Omega_\pi\}. \quad (4.2)$$

#### 4.3. Localized vectors.

**Exercise 5.** Let  $\pi$  be the  $T$ -dependent representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  given by the discrete series representation  $\pi_T = \pi(k)$  of lowest weight

$$k = k_T := T.$$

Let  $M$  denote the class of  $T$ -dependent vectors  $v$  in  $\pi$  of the form  $v = \sum_m a_m e_m$ , where

- (1)  $a_m = 0$  unless  $m = T + O(1)$ , and
- (2) each  $a_m = O(1)$ .

Verify that for all  $v \in M$ , we have

$$Xv \in T^{1/2}M,$$

$$Yv \in T^{1/2}M,$$

$$Hv - Tv \in T^{1/2}M.$$

Deduce that every element of  $M$  is localized at the  $T$ -dependent element  $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  given in the optic (4.1) by

$$\tau = iT \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Exercise 6.** Let  $\pi$  be the  $T$ -dependent representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  given by the tempered principal series representation  $\pi_T = \pi(t, \varepsilon)$ , where

$$t = t_T := T$$

while  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  is fixed. Let  $M$  denote the class of  $T$ -dependent vectors  $v$  in  $\pi$  of the form  $v = \sum_m a_m e_m$ , where the coefficients satisfy the support condition

$$a_m \neq 0 \implies m = O(T^{1/2})$$

as well as the Fourier series condition (3.12) enunciated in Exercise 4. Verify that for all  $v \in M$ , we have

$$Xv - Tv \in T^{1/2}M,$$

$$Yv - Tv \in T^{1/2}M,$$

$$Hv \in T^{1/2}M.$$

Deduce that every element of  $M$  is localized at

$$\tau = iT \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Remark 5.** Suppose that  $\pi$  as in Exercise 6 comes equipped with a equivariant isometric embedding

$$\iota : \pi \hookrightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$$

for some finite volume quotient  $\Gamma \backslash G$  by a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma < G = \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , such as  $\Gamma = \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Under such an embedding, the spherical vector  $e_0$  maps to an  $L^2$ -normalized Maass form

$$\varphi_0 := \iota(e_0) \in \pi^K \subseteq C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G/K)$$

of eigenvalue  $1/4 + t^2$ . The image of the class  $M$  is closely related to the microlocal lift of Zelditch et al (see [10, 6, 9, 1]). More precisely, for each unit vector  $v \in M$ , its image

$$\varphi := \iota(v) \in \pi \subseteq C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$$

may be shown to have the following properties

- For each fixed  $\Psi \in C_c^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G/K)$ , we have

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} |\varphi|^2 \Psi = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} |\varphi_0|^2 \Psi + O(T^{1/2+\varepsilon}).$$

- Let  $G_\tau \leq G$  denote the centralizer of  $\tau$ , thus  $G_\tau$  is the diagonal subgroup

$$G_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each fixed  $\Psi \in C_c^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$  and fixed  $g \in G_\tau$ , we have

$$\int_{x \in \Gamma \backslash G} |\varphi(xg)|^2 \Psi(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{x \in \Gamma \backslash G} |\varphi(x)|^2 \Psi(x) d\mu(x) + O(T^{1/2+\varepsilon}).$$

For some more precise assertions, see [7, §7.1, Lemma 2] (direct link: 2) for further discussion.

**Exercise 7.** Let  $\pi$  be any fixed infinite-dimensional irreducible unitary representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  (e.g., the tempered principal series representation  $\pi(0, 1)$ ). Let  $M$  denote the class of  $T$ -dependent vectors  $v$  in  $\pi$  of the form  $v = \sum_m a_m e_m$ , where the coefficients satisfy the support condition

$$a_m \neq 0 \implies m = T + O(T^{1/2})$$

as well as the Fourier series condition (3.12) enunciated in Exercise 4. Verify that for all  $v \in M$ , we have

$$Xv - Tv \in T^{1/2}M,$$

$$Yv - Tv \in T^{1/2}M,$$

$$Hv - Tv \in T^{1/2}M.$$

Deduce that every element of  $M$  is localized at

$$\tau = iT \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## 5. THE GROUP $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ VIA THE KIRILLOV MODEL

**5.1. Preliminaries.** Set  $G := \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . We will work with the subgroups

$$N := \left\{ n(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

$$A := \left\{ a(y) := \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : y \in \mathbb{R}^\times \right\},$$

$$B := NA = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)$  be a nontrivial unitary character. We may identify  $\psi$  with a character of the subgroup Set

$$C^\infty((N, \psi) \backslash G) := \{W \in C^\infty(G) : W(n(x)g) = \psi(x)W(g) \text{ for all } (x, g) \in \mathbb{R} \times G\}$$

Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$ . More precisely, we denote here by  $\pi$  the subspace of smooth vectors. We recall that  $\pi$  is *generic* if there is an equivariant embedding  $\pi \hookrightarrow C^\infty((N, \psi) \backslash G)$ . The space of such embeddings is then one-dimensional, so the image, call it  $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ , is well-defined. Moreover, the restriction map

$$\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \rightarrow \{\text{functions } A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$$

is injective, and its image contains  $C_c^\infty(A)$ . Consequently, each  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$  is determined by the function  $W : \mathbb{R}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$W(y) := W(a(y)),$$

and every smooth compactly-supported function arises in this way. We obtain in this way a realization of  $\pi$  as a space of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^\times$ , called the *Kirillov model*. When  $\pi$  is unitary, an invariant inner product may be given in the Kirillov model by

$$\langle W_1, W_2 \rangle := \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^\times} W_1(y) \overline{W_2(y)} d^\times y, \quad d^\times y := \frac{dy}{|y|}. \quad (5.1)$$

Standard references for these facts include [3, §6], [2, §10.2], [4].

The action of  $B$  on the Kirillov model is completely explicit: we have

$$n(x)W(y) = \psi(yx)W(y), \quad (5.2)$$



$$a(u)W(y) = W(yu). \quad (5.3)$$

Indeed (5.2) follows from the commutation property  $a(y)n(x) = n(yx)a(y)$  and the left  $N$ -equivariance of  $W$ , while (5.3) is obvious.

The infinitesimal generators of  $N$  and  $A$  are the matrices

$$e := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These act on  $\pi$  by differential operators. The formulas for their action is simplest when

$$\psi(x) := e^{ix}, \quad (5.4)$$

so let's specialize to that case. By differentiating (5.2) and (5.3), we see that

$$eW(y) = iyW(y), \quad (5.5)$$

$$hW(y) = y\partial_y W(y). \quad (5.6)$$

The other standard Lie algebra basis element is

$$f := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These elements satisfy

$$[e, f] = 2h, \quad [h, e] = e, \quad [h, f] = -f. \quad (5.7)$$

The Casimir element  $\Omega$  is given (with the same normalization as in §4.1) by

$$\Omega = h^2 + \frac{ef + fe}{2} = h^2 - h + ef.$$

Writing  $\Omega_\pi$  as before for the eigenvalue by which  $\Omega$  acts on  $\pi$ , we can solve for the action of  $f$  on  $\pi$ :

$$fW(y) = \frac{1}{iy} (\Omega_\pi - (y\partial_y)^2 + y\partial_y) W(y). \quad (5.8)$$

This formula is the key to verifying the fact recorded above, that any smooth compactly-supported function on  $\mathbb{R}^\times$  arises from some (smooth!) vector in  $\pi$ . We refer to [4] and [8, §12] (direct link: §12) for further discussion.

**5.2. Localized vectors.** We just give one representative example of the sort of analysis that can be achieved in this way.

**Exercise 8.** Let  $\pi$  be a  $T$ -dependent generic irreducible unitary representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , realized in its Kirillov model with respect to the character (5.4) as above and with unitary structure given by (5.1). Assume that

$$\Omega_\pi = O(T^2).$$

In other words, in the notation of §4.2, either

- (1)  $\pi = \pi(t, \varepsilon)$  with  $t = O(T)$ , or
- (2)  $\pi = \pi(k)$  with  $k = O(T)$ .

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\rho$  be  $T$ -dependent real numbers with  $\rho = O(T)$  and  $\alpha \asymp T$  (see §2.1 of this note for notation). Let  $M$  denote the class of all  $T$ -dependent elements  $W \in \pi$  that are given in the Kirillov model for large enough  $T$  by the formula

$$W(y) = T^{1/4} |y|^{i\rho} \phi\left(\frac{y - \alpha}{T^{1/2}}\right), \quad (5.9)$$

where  $\phi$  belongs to some fixed bounded subset of the space  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\times)$ . (We recall that a subset  $\mathfrak{B}$  of this space is bounded if there are constants  $C_n \geq 0$  and a compact set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$  such that each  $\phi \in \mathfrak{B}$  is supported in  $E$  and has  $n$ th derivative bounded in  $L^\infty$ -norm by  $C_n$ .)

- (i) Verify that  $\|W\| = O(1)$  for each  $W \in M$ .
- (ii) Verify that for each  $W \in M$ , we have

$$eW - i\alpha W \in T^{1/2}M,$$

$$hW - i\rho W \in T^{1/2}M.$$

- (iii) Use (5.8) to show that

$$fW - i\beta W \in T^{1/2}M,$$

where

$$\beta := \frac{\rho^2 - \Omega_\pi}{\alpha}.$$

- (iv) Deduce that every element of  $M$  is localized at the  $T$ -dependent element of  $\mathfrak{g}^\wedge$  given by

$$\tau = i \begin{pmatrix} \rho & \beta \\ \alpha & -\rho \end{pmatrix},$$

for which

$$\det(\tau/i) = \Omega_\pi.$$

(Compare with (4.2).)

- (v) Define the Weyl group element

$$w := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in G,$$

which satisfies

$$\mathrm{Ad}(w)e = f, \quad \mathrm{Ad}(w)h = -h, \quad \mathrm{Ad}(w)f = e.$$

Show that for each  $W \in M$ , the  $w$ -translate  $wW$  of  $W$ , given in the Kirillov model by

$$wW(y) := W(a(y)w), \tag{5.10}$$

is localized at

$$w \cdot \tau = i \begin{pmatrix} -\rho & \alpha \\ \beta & \rho \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (vi) Show that fixed  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we have

$$\int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^\times} \left| \frac{(y\partial_y)^k |y|^{i\rho} wW(y)}{T^{k/2}} \right|^2 \left| \frac{y - \beta}{T^{1/2}} \right|^\ell d^\times y \ll 1.$$

Informally, and at least when  $\beta \asymp T$ , this says that  $wW(y)$  looks roughly like  $T^{1/4}|y|^{-i\rho}$  times a bump function on  $\beta + O(T^{1/2})$ .

[Hint: consider the identity

$$(e - i\beta)^k (h + i\rho)^\ell wW = w(f - i\beta)^k (-h + i\rho)^\ell W$$

and use that the norm defined by (5.1) is  $G$ -invariant and that each element of  $M$  has norm  $O(1)$ .]

**Remark 6.** Set  $\Gamma := \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) < G$ . Suppose that the representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  considered in Exercise 8 comes with an embedding  $\pi \hookrightarrow L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash G)$  as a Hecke eigenspace, with Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then, as explained in §2.2 of this note, each  $\varphi \in \pi$  (regarded as a function  $\varphi : \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) admits a Whittaker expansion

$$\varphi(g) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\lambda(|n|)}{|n|^{1/2}} W(a(2\pi n)g) \quad (5.11)$$

where  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$  denotes the image of  $\varphi$  under the equivariant map defined by integrating over  $(\Gamma \cap N) \backslash N$  against  $\psi^{-1}$ . (The factor  $2\pi$  appears because we are using the unconventional choice (5.4) for  $\psi$ .) The left-invariance of  $\varphi$  under  $w$  implies a case of the Voronoi summation formula (see this note of Cogdell for a more general discussion): by applying the expansion (5.11) to both sides of the identity  $\varphi(1) = \varphi(w)$ , and with the same abbreviations

$$W(y) := W(a(y)), \quad wW(y) := W(a(y)w)$$

as before, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\lambda(|n|)}{|n|^{1/2}} W(2\pi n) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\lambda(|n|)}{|n|^{1/2}} wW(2\pi n).$$

The values  $W(y)$  and  $wW(y)$  are related by an integral transform involving the Bessel function attached to  $\pi$  (see §2.2 of Cogdell's note, or [5, Appendix A]). When  $W(y)$  is given by (5.9), one can derive asymptotics for  $wW(y)$  using stationary phase analysis; derivations like this may be found in many analytic number theory papers. One consequence of Exercise 8 is that in many cases, the shapes of  $W(y)$  and  $wW(y)$  may be related by “pure thought”.

## 6. RELATIVE CHARACTER ASYMPTOTICS

### 6.1. The case $(\mathrm{SO}(3), \mathrm{SO}(2))$ .

## 7. TODO

- (1) Something for  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$  using the Borel–Weil model over  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ .
- (2) Something for induced models for  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (3) ~~Some follow-up to exercise 5.2 explaining what it says about  $wW(y)$ , for  $w$  the nontrivial Weyl element.~~

## REFERENCES

- [1] Nalini Anantharaman and Steve Zelditch. Patterson–Sullivan distributions and quantum ergodicity. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 8(2):361–426, 2007.
- [2] Ehud Moshe Baruch. A proof of Kirillov’s conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 158(1):207–252, 2003.
- [3] Joseph N. Bernstein.  $P$ -invariant distributions on  $\mathrm{GL}(N)$  and the classification of unitary representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(N)$  (non-Archimedean case). In *Lie group representations, II (College Park, Md., 1982/1983)*, volume 1041 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 50–102. Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [4] Hervé Jacquet. Distinction by the quasi-split unitary group. *Israel J. Math.*, 178:269–324, 2010.
- [5] E. Kowalski, P. Michel, and J. VanderKam. Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions in the level aspect. *Duke Math. J.*, 114(1):123–191, 2002.

- [6] Elon Lindenstrauss. On quantum unique ergodicity for  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ . *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (17):913–933, 2001.
- [7] Paul D. Nelson. Quantum variance on quaternion algebras, III. preprint, 2019.
- [8] Paul D. Nelson. Bounds for standard  $L$ -functions. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2109.15230, September 2021.
- [9] Lior Silberman and Akshay Venkatesh. On quantum unique ergodicity for locally symmetric spaces. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(3):960–998, 2007.
- [10] Steven Zelditch. Uniform distribution of eigenfunctions on compact hyperbolic surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 55(4):919–941, 1987.