

ω -CONTINUOUS SEMIRINGS, ALGEBRAIC SYSTEMS AND PUSHDOWN AUTOMATA

Werner Kuich

Institut für Algebra und Diskrete Mathematik

Abteilung für Theoretische Informatik

Technische Universität Wien

Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10, A-1040 Wien

ABSTRACT. In Kuich [4] we generalized the Kleene and the Parikh Theorem to l -complete semirings whose natural limit function is compatible with their partial order. In this paper we generalize in the same manner the following language theoretic result: A language is context-free iff it is accepted by a pushdown automaton.

1. CONTINUOUS MONOIDS AND SEMIRINGS

The reader is assumed to be familiar with the theory of semirings, automata and algebraic systems as developed in Kuich, Salomaa [5].

A monoid $\langle A, +, 0 \rangle$ is called *partially ordered* iff

- (i) A is partially ordered as a set,
- (ii) A is commutative,
- (iii) $0 \leq a$ holds for all $a \in A$,
- (iv) $a_1 \leq a_2$ implies $a_1 + a \leq a_2 + a$ for all $a, a_1, a_2 \in A$.

A semiring $\langle A, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ is called *partially ordered* iff the monoid $\langle A, +, 0 \rangle$ is partially ordered and, additionally, for all $a, a_1, a_2 \in A$,

$$a_1 \leq a_2 \text{ implies } a_1 \cdot a \leq a_2 \cdot a \text{ and } a \cdot a_1 \leq a \cdot a_2.$$

A monoid (resp. semiring) A is called *naturally ordered* iff A is partially ordered by the following relation \leq :

$$a \leq b \text{ iff there exists } a \in A \text{ such that } a + c = b.$$

A sequence $(a_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$ of elements of a partially ordered set A is called a *chain* (in A) iff, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_i \leq a_{i+1}$. The *supremum* (least upper bound) $\sup S$ of a set $S = \{a_i | i \in I\}$ or a sequence $S = (a_i | i \in I)$ of elements of a partially ordered set A is defined by

- (i) $a_i \leq \sup S$, for all $i \in I$,
- (ii) $a_i \leq c$ for all $i \in I$ implies $\sup S \leq c$.

If the supremum exists, it is unique.

A *completely partially ordered* set is a partially ordered set with a least element, such that for each chain the supremum exists. A partially ordered monoid (resp. partially ordered semiring) A is called *completely partially ordered* iff for each chain in A the supremum exists.

A commutative monoid A is called *complete* iff infinite sums of elements of A can be defined

such that the order of summation is irrelevant. A semiring $\langle A, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ is called *complete* iff $\langle A, +, 0 \rangle$ is a complete monoid and the distribution laws hold also for infinite sums (see Hebisch [2]). We use the term *partially ordered complete monoid* (resp. *partially ordered complete semiring*) to denote a partially ordered monoid (resp. partially ordered semiring) that is complete.

The next definition is from Goldstern [1]. A partially ordered complete monoid (resp. partially ordered complete semiring) A is called *finitary* iff, for all index sets I , all sequences $(a_i | i \in I)$ in A and all $c \in A$, the following condition is satisfied:

$$\text{If } \sum_{i \in E} a_i \leq c \text{ for all finite } E \subseteq I \text{ then } \sum_{i \in I} a_i \leq c.$$

If this condition is satisfied for a naturally ordered complete monoid (resp. naturally ordered complete semiring), this monoid (resp. semiring) is called *continuous* (see Sakarovitch [8]). For example, the power set of any monoid is a continuous semiring.

A partially ordered complete monoid (resp. partially ordered complete semiring) A is called ω -*finitary* iff, for all sequences $(a_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$ in A and all $c \in A$, the following condition is satisfied:

$$\text{If } \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i \leq c \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ then } \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i \leq c.$$

If this condition is satisfied for a naturally ordered complete monoid (resp. naturally ordered complete semiring), this monoid (resp. semiring) is called ω -*continuous*. The ω -continuous semirings are exactly the l -complete semirings of Kuich [4] whose natural limit function is compatible with their partial order (see Theorem 2 (iii)). Clearly, each continuous semiring is ω -continuous. The converse is not true.

The next two theorems are due to Karner [3].

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a naturally ordered complete monoid (resp. naturally ordered complete semiring). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) A is continuous;
- (ii) $\sup \{ \sum_{i \in E} a_i | E \subseteq I \text{ finite} \}$ exists for all sequences $(a_i | i \in I)$ of elements of A and is equal to $\sum_{i \in I} a_i$. □

THEOREM 2. *Let A be a naturally ordered complete monoid (resp. naturally ordered complete semiring). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) A is ω -continuous;
- (ii) $\sup (\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i | n \in \mathbb{N})$ exists for all sequences $(a_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$ of elements of A and is equal to $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i$;
- (iii) if $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i \leq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} b_i$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} b_i$, for all sequences $(a_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$ and $(b_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$ of elements of A .

Each of these statements implies

- (iv) if $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} b_i$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} b_i$, for all sequences $(a_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$ and $(b_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$ of elements of A .

Proof. We have only to prove that statements (i) and (iii) are equivalent.

Assume (i) and $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i \leq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} b_i$. This implies $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} b_i$. Since A is ω -continuous, we obtain $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} b_i$.

Assume (iii) and $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i \leq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $c \in A$. Consider the sequence $(b_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$, where $b_0 = c$ and $b_i = 0$, $i > 0$. Then, by (iii), $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i \leq c$ and A is ω -continuous. □

Semirings that satisfy condition (iv) of Theorem 2 are exactly the l -complete semirings of Kuich [4].

EXAMPLE. We consider the semiring $\langle \mathbb{R}_+^{(\infty)}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$, where $\mathbb{R}_+^{(\infty)} = \{a \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \geq 0\} \cup \{\infty\}$, with the obvious extension of the operations to ∞ (observe $0 \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot 0 = 0$).

The definition of infinite sums is possible in two different ways for $a_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(\infty)}$, $i \in I$:

- (1) $\sum_{i \in I} a_i = \sup \{ \sum_{i \in E} a_i \mid E \subseteq I \text{ finite} \}$. For example $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^i} = 2$.
- (2) $\sum_{i \in I} a_i = \infty$ iff infinitely many of the a_i 's are unequal to 0 or at least one a_i is ∞ .
For example, $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^i} = \infty$.

Clearly, the semiring $\mathbb{R}_+^{(\infty)}$ with infinite sums according to (1) (resp. (2)) is continuous and, hence, ω -continuous (resp. neither continuous nor ω -continuous). \square

Let $C = (a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N})$ be a chain in an ω -continuous monoid (resp. ω -continuous semiring) A . Since A is naturally ordered, there exist $b_i \in A$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$a_0 = b_0, \quad a_{i+1} = a_i + b_{i+1}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This implies $a_i = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i} b_j$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and we obtain, by Theorem 2 (ii), $\sup C = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} b_i$. By Theorem 2 (iv), the choice of the b_i 's, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, is irrelevant. Thus we have shown the next theorem.

THEOREM 3. *An ω -continuous monoid (resp. ω -continuous semiring) is a completely naturally ordered monoid (resp. completely naturally ordered semiring).* \square

We now consider matrices and formal power series.

THEOREM 4. *Let A be an ω -continuous monoid, J and J' be index sets and Σ be an alphabet. Then $A^{J \times J'}$ and $A \langle \langle \Sigma^* \rangle \rangle$ are again ω -continuous monoids.*

Proof. Firstly, assume $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} M_i \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M, M_i \in A^{J \times J'}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies, for all $j \in J$ and $j' \in J'$,

$$\left(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} M_i \right)_{j,j'} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} (M_i)_{j,j'} \leq M_{j,j'}.$$

Since A is ω -continuous, we get

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (M_i)_{j,j'} \leq M_{j,j'}.$$

Hence, $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i \leq M$ and $A^{J \times J'}$ is ω -continuous.

Secondly, assume $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} r_i \leq r$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $r, r_i \in A \langle \langle \Sigma^* \rangle \rangle$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies, for all $w \in \Sigma^*$,

$$\left(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} r_i \right) w = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} (r_i, w) \leq (r, w).$$

Since A is ω -continuous, we get

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (r_i, w) \leq (r, w).$$

Hence, $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} r_i \leq r$ and $A \langle \langle \Sigma^* \rangle \rangle$ is ω -continuous. \square

THEOREM 5. *Let A be an ω -continuous monoid, J and J' be index sets and Σ be an alphabet. Let $(M_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N})$ be a chain in $A^{J \times J'}$ and $(r_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N})$ be a chain in $A \langle \langle \Sigma^* \rangle \rangle$. Then*

$$\sup (M_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N})_{j,j'} = \sup ((M_i)_{j,j'} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}) \text{ for all } j \in J, j' \in J'$$

and

$$(\sup (r_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}), w) = \sup ((r_i, w) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}) \text{ for all } w \in \Sigma^*.$$

Proof. We only prove the first equality. The proof of the second equality is similar.

Since A is naturally ordered, there exist $M_i' \in A^{J \times J'}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$M_0 = M_0', \quad M_{i+1} = M_i + M_{i+1}', \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This implies $M_i = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i} M_j'$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. As in the proof of Theorem 3, we get

$$\sup(M_i | i \in \mathbb{N}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i'$$

and

$$\sup((M_i)_{j,j'} | i \in \mathbb{N}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (M_i')_{j,j'}, \quad \text{for all } j \in J, j' \in J'.$$

Taking the (j, j') -entries of the first equality proves the theorem. \square

Let A and A' be partially ordered sets. A mapping $f : A \rightarrow A'$ is called *monotonic* iff $a \leq b$ in A implies $f(a) \leq f(b)$ in A' .

Let A and A' be completely partially ordered sets. A mapping $f : A \rightarrow A'$ is called *continuous* iff it is monotonic and, for every chain $(a_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$ in A ,

$$f(\sup(a_i | i \in \mathbb{N})) = \sup(f(a_i) | i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For the proof of Theorem 6 in the next section we quote Theorem 4.2 of Kuich [4], which we rephrase to fit to our definitions:

Let A be an ω -continuous semiring and let $(a_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$ and $(b_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$ be chains in A . Then $(a_i b_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$ is a chain and $\sup(a_i b_i | i \in \mathbb{N}) = \sup(a_i | i \in \mathbb{N}) \sup(b_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$. Hence, multiplication $\cdot : A \times A \rightarrow A$ is a continuous mapping. (This is true also for addition.)

Another theorem which we will need in the next section is the *Fixpoint Theorem* (see Loeckx, Sieber [7], Theorem 4.24):

Let A be a completely partially ordered set with least element 0, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a continuous function. Then f has a least fixpoint $\mu f \in A$, and, in fact,

$$\mu f = \sup(f^i(0) | i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

2. ALGEBRAIC SYSTEMS AND PUSHDOWN AUTOMATA

In the sequel, A denotes a semiring, A' a subset of A containing 0 and 1, and $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ an alphabet of variables. By $A(Y)$ we denote the polynomial algebra over the semiring A with variables in Y (see Lausch, Nöbauer [6], Chapter 1, 4.1 and 4.4). The elements of $A(Y)$ are called *polynomials*. Each polynomial can be represented by a finite sum of *product terms*. Here, a product term t has the form

$$t(y_1, \dots, y_n) = a_0 y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k} a_k, \quad a_j \in A, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

and a polynomial has the form

$$p(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{j=1}^m t_j(y_1, \dots, y_n). \quad (2)$$

The set of all polynomials (2) that are sums of product terms (1) in which the a_j 's are in A' is denoted by $A'(Y)$.

In the usual way, a polynomial in $A(Y)$ defines a mapping from A^n into A :

$$t(b_1, \dots, b_n) = a_0 b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_k} a_k, \quad t \text{ as in (1), } b_j \in A,$$

$$p(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \sum_{j=1}^m t_j(b_1, \dots, b_n), \quad p \text{ as in (2), } b_j \in A.$$

THEOREM 6. Let A be an ω -continuous semiring and let $p(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be a polynomial in $A(Y)$. Then the mapping $p : A^n \rightarrow A$ is continuous.

Proof. Let p be as in (2) and $((b_{1k}, \dots, b_{nk}) | k \in \mathbb{N})$ be a chain in A^n . Then $C_i = (b_{ik} | k \in \mathbb{N})$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $(t_j(b_{1k}, \dots, b_{nk}) | k \in \mathbb{N})$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, and $(\sum_{j=1}^m t_j(b_{1k}, \dots, b_{nk}) | k \in \mathbb{N})$ are chains in A and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p(\sup((b_{1k}, \dots, b_{nk}) | k \in \mathbb{N})) &= p(\sup C_1, \dots, \sup C_n) = \sum_{j=1}^m t_j(\sup C_1, \dots, \sup C_n) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sup(t_j(b_{1k}, \dots, b_{nk}) | k \in \mathbb{N}) = \sup(\sum_{j=1}^m t_j(b_{1k}, \dots, b_{nk}) | k \in \mathbb{N}) = \sup(p(b_{1k}, \dots, b_{nk}) | k \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the third equality holds by Theorem 4.2 of Kuich [4]. \square

Let be $p(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in A(Y)^{n \times 1}$. Then p defines a mapping $p : A^n \rightarrow A^n$ by

$$(p(b_1, \dots, b_n))_i = p_i(b_1, \dots, b_n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

i.e., the i -th component of the application of p is given by applying the i -th component of p .

COROLLARY 7. Let A be an ω -continuous semiring and let $p \in A(Y)^{n \times 1}$. Then the mapping $p : A^n \rightarrow A^n$ is continuous. \square

By the Substitution Principle (see Lausch, Nöbauer [6], Chapter 1, 6.31) the mapping $s : A(Y) \rightarrow A$, $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in A^n$, defined by

$$s(p) = p(s_1, \dots, s_n),$$

is a semiring morphism.

An A' -algebraic system with variables in Y is a family of $n \geq 1$ equations of the form

$$y_i = p_i(y_1, \dots, y_n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad (3)$$

where the p_i are polynomials in $A'(Y)$. An element $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^n$ is called *solution* of (3) iff $b_i = p_i(b_1, \dots, b_n)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, i.e., if (b_1, \dots, b_n) is a fixpoint of p with entries p_i of (3). If A is partially ordered, $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^n$ is called the *least solution* of (3) iff it is a solution less than all other solutions.

Corollary 7 and the Fixpoint Theorem prove the next theorem.

THEOREM 8. Let A be an ω -continuous semiring. Let p be in $A'(Y)^{n \times 1}$ with entries p_i of (3). Then the least solution of (3) exists in A^n and equals μp . \square

Clearly, in case of a power series semiring, μp is nothing else than the strong solution of an algebraic system (see Kuich, Salomaa [5], p.298).

We now generalize the A' -finite automata of Kuich [4] and the $A\langle\langle\Sigma^*\rangle\rangle$ -automata of Kuich, Salomaa [5] to A' -automata, whose set of states may be countable.

An A' -automaton

$$\mathfrak{A} = (I, M, S, P)$$

is given by

- (i) a countable set I of states,
- (ii) a matrix $M \in A'^{I \times I}$, called the *transition matrix*,
- (iii) $S \in \{0, 1\}^{1 \times I}$, called the *initial state vector*,
- (iv) $P \in \{0, 1\}^{I \times 1}$, called the *final state vector*.

If M^* exists in $A^{I \times I}$, the behavior $\|\mathfrak{A}\| \in A$ of \mathfrak{A} is defined by

$$\|\mathfrak{A}\| = SM^*P.$$

In case of an ω -continuous semiring A ,

$$M^* = \sup \left(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} M^i \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right).$$

Hence, in this case the behavior $\|\mathfrak{A}\|$ of \mathfrak{A} exists.

A' -pushdown automata are now defined analogous to the $A\langle\langle\Sigma^*\rangle\rangle$ -pushdown automata of Kuich, Salomaa [5]. Let Q be a finite set (of states) and Γ be an alphabet (of pushdown symbols). A matrix

$$M \in (A^{Q \times Q})_{\Gamma^* \times \Gamma^*}$$

is termed an A' -pushdown transition matrix iff, for all $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \Gamma^*$,

$$M_{\pi_1, \pi_2} = \begin{cases} M_{p, \pi_3} & \text{if there exist } p \in \Gamma, \pi_4 \in \Gamma^* \text{ with } \pi_1 = p\pi_4, \pi_2 = \pi_3\pi_4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

An A' -pushdown automaton

$$\mathfrak{P} = (Q, \Gamma, M, S, p_0, P)$$

is given by

- (i) a finite set Q of states,
- (ii) an alphabet Γ of pushdown symbols,
- (iii) an A' -pushdown transition matrix M ,
- (iv) $S \in \{0,1\}^{1 \times Q}$, called the initial state vector,
- (v) $p_0 \in \Gamma$, called the initial pushdown symbol,
- (vi) $P \in \{0,1\}^{Q \times 1}$, called the final state vector.

If M^* exists in $(A^{Q \times Q})_{\Gamma^* \times \Gamma^*}$, the behavior $\|\mathfrak{P}\| \in A$ of \mathfrak{P} is defined by

$$\|\mathfrak{P}\| = S(M^*)_{p_0, \varepsilon} P.$$

Clearly, in case of an ω -continuous semiring A , $\|\mathfrak{P}\|$ exists.

Analogous to Theorem 10.1 of Kuich, Salomaa [5], we have the result that for each A' -pushdown automaton $\mathfrak{P} = (Q, \Gamma, M, S, p_0, P)$, where $\|\mathfrak{P}\|$ exists, there is an equivalent A' -automaton $\mathfrak{A} = (\Gamma^* \times Q, M', S', P')$.

In the sequel we assume that A is an ω -continuous semiring. We now want to show that A' -algebraic systems and A' -pushdown automata are equivalent mechanisms.

Given a pushdown alphabet Γ , define $Y = \{Y_p \mid p \in \Gamma\}$ to be an alphabet of variables and let be

$$Y_\varepsilon = E \text{ (the matrix of unity), } Y_{p\pi} = Y_p Y_\pi, p \in \Gamma, \pi \in \Gamma^*.$$

Given an A' -pushdown transition matrix M , we now consider the $A^{Q \times Q}$ -algebraic system

$$Y_p = \sum_{\pi \in \Gamma^*} M_{p, \pi} Y_\pi, p \in \Gamma. \quad (4)$$

Additionally, we consider the $A^{Q \times Q}$ -linear system

$$Y = MY + F, \quad (5)$$

where $F \in (A^{Q \times Q})_{\Gamma^* \times 1}$, $F_\varepsilon = E$, $F_\pi = 0$, $\pi \in \Gamma^+$, and Y is a variable.

Let $T_p \in A^{Q \times Q}$, $p \in \Gamma$. Then we define by help of these matrices a column vector $T \in (A^{Q \times Q})_{\Gamma^* \times 1}$, whose entries are $T_\varepsilon = E$, $T_{p\pi} = T_p T_\pi$, $p \in \Gamma$, $\pi \in \Gamma^*$.

THEOREM 9. Let A be an ω -continuous semiring. If the matrices $T_p \in A^{Q \times Q}$, $p \in \Gamma$, are the components of a solution of (4) (when substituted for Y_p , $p \in \Gamma$), then $T \in (A^{Q \times Q})_{\Gamma^* \times 1}$ is a solution of (5).

Proof. Since M is a pushdown transition matrix, we obtain, for all $p \in \Gamma$ and $\pi_1 \in \Gamma^*$,

$$(MT)_{p\pi_1} = \sum_{\pi \in \Gamma^*} M_{p\pi_1, \pi\pi_1} T_{\pi\pi_1} = \sum_{\pi \in \Gamma^*} M_{p, \pi} T_{\pi} T_{\pi_1} = (MT)_p T_{\pi_1}.$$

Since $T_p, p \in \Gamma$, are the components of a solution of (4), we have $T_p = (MT)_p$ for all $p \in \Gamma$. Hence,

$$(MT)_{p\pi_1} = T_p T_{\pi_1} = T_{p\pi_1}, \quad p \in \Gamma, \pi_1 \in \Gamma^*.$$

The proof is finished by $T_\varepsilon = E = F_\varepsilon$. \square

The next lemma is similar to Theorem 10.5 of Kuich, Salomaa [5].

LEMMA 10. Let M be an A' -pushdown transition matrix. Then, for all $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \Gamma^*$,

$$(M^*)_{\pi_1\pi_2, \varepsilon} = (M^*)_{\pi_1, \varepsilon} (M^*)_{\pi_2, \varepsilon}.$$

\square

COROLLARY 11. The components of the least solution of the $A'Q \times Q$ -algebraic system (4) are given by

$$(M^*)_{p, \varepsilon}, \quad p \in \Gamma.$$

Proof. By Lemma 10 and the fact that M^*F is the least solution of (5). \square

Given an A' -pushdown automaton $\mathfrak{P} = (Q, \Gamma, M, S, p_0, P)$, we construct an A' -algebraic system such that $\|\mathfrak{P}\|$ is a component of the least solution of this system.

By definition, $Y_p, p \in \Gamma$, is a $Q \times Q$ -matrix of variables $y_{q_1, q_2}^p, q_1, q_2 \in Q$, such that y_{q_1, q_2}^p is the (q_1, q_2) -entry of Y_p and y_1 is a new variable. Moreover, $Y_\varepsilon = E, Y_{p\pi} = Y_p Y_\pi, p \in \Gamma, \pi \in \Gamma^*$.

Consider the A' -algebraic system (in matrix notation)

$$\begin{cases} y_1 = S Y_{p_0} P, \\ Y_p = \sum_{\pi \in \Gamma^*} M_{p, \pi} Y_\pi, \end{cases}$$

constructed from (4). The next theorem is now clear.

THEOREM 12. Let A be an ω -continuous semiring and let \mathfrak{P} be an A' -pushdown automaton. Then $\|\mathfrak{P}\|$ is a component of the least solution of an A' -algebraic system. \square

Observe that the proof of Theorem 12 is simpler than the proof of Theorem 14.20 of Kuich, Salomaa [5], i.e., the proof of the analogous result for $A' = \mathbb{B}\langle \Sigma \cup \varepsilon \rangle$ and $A = \mathbb{B}\langle\langle \Sigma^* \rangle\rangle$.

We now show the converse.

In the formulation of the next lemma we need the two A' -algebraic systems

$$\begin{cases} y_1 = p_1 + q_1 p_{n+1} q_2, \\ y_i = p_i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n; \end{cases} \quad (6) \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} y_1 = p_1 + q_1 y_{n+1} q_2, \\ y_i = p_i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n+1; \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

here, p_i, q_1, q_2 and $q_1 p_{n+1} q_2$ are polynomials in $A'(Y)$ and y_{n+1} is a new variable.

LEMMA 13. If $(s_1, \dots, s_n, s_{n+1})$ is a solution of (7) then $s_{n+1} = p_{n+1}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Furthermore, (s_1, \dots, s_n) is the least solution of (6) iff $(s_1, \dots, s_n, p_{n+1}(s_1, \dots, s_n))$ is the least solution of (7).

Proof. The first sentence of the lemma is obvious. By the Substitution Principle we get the equality

$$p_1(s_1, \dots, s_n) + q_1(s_1, \dots, s_n) p_{n+1}(s_1, \dots, s_n) q_2(s_1, \dots, s_n) = p_1(s_1, \dots, s_n) + (q_1 p_{n+1} q_2)(s_1, \dots, s_n).$$

This proves that (s_1, \dots, s_n) is a solution of (6) iff $(s_1, \dots, s_n, p_{n+1}(s_1, \dots, s_n))$ is a solution of (7).

Since the least solution of (7) is determined by its first n components, the second sentence of Lemma 13 is proven. \square

In analogy to the Chomsky normal form we define the binary normal form. An A' -algebraic system is in *binary normal form* iff the equations have the form

$$y_i = \sum_{k,m=1}^n a_{km}^i y_k y_m + a_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad (8)$$

where $a_{km}^i \in \{0,1\}$ and $a_i \in A'$.

THEOREM 14. *Let A be an ω -continuous semiring. If $a \in A$ is a component of the least solution of an A' -algebraic system, then a is also a component of the least solution of an A' -algebraic system in binary normal form.*

Proof. Use a construction similar to that given in the proof of Theorem 14.27 of Kuich, Salomaa [5] by help of Lemma 13. \square

Given an A' -algebraic system (8) in binary normal form we define an A' -pushdown transition matrix $M \in A'^{Y^* \times Y^*}$ with $|Q| = 1$ by

$$M_{y_i y_k y_m} = a_{km}^i, \quad M_{y_i, \varepsilon} = a_i, \quad 1 \leq i, k, m \leq n. \quad (9)$$

We write (8) in the form

$$y_i = \sum_{k,m=1}^n M_{y_i y_k y_m} y_k y_m + M_{y_i, \varepsilon}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

This A' -algebraic system is of the form (4). Hence, Corollary 11 proves the next theorem.

THEOREM 15. *Let A be an ω -continuous semiring. If the A' -pushdown transition matrix M is defined by (9) then $(M^*)_{y_i, \varepsilon}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are the components of the least solution of the A' -algebraic system (8). \square*

COROLLARY 16. *Let (s_1, \dots, s_n) be the least solution of (8). Then $s_i = \|\mathfrak{P}_i\|$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, where*

$$\mathfrak{P}_i = (\{q\}, Y, M, 1, y_i, 1)$$

has its A' -pushdown transition matrix M defined by (9). \square

Finally, we state our main theorem.

THEOREM 17. *Let A be an ω -continuous semiring. Then the following statements on $a \in A$ are equivalent:*

- (i) *a is a component of the least solution of an A' -algebraic system;*
- (ii) *a is a component of the least solution of an A' -algebraic system in binary normal form;*
- (iii) *a is the behavior of an A' -pushdown automaton. \square*

REFERENCES.

- [1] Goldstern, M.: *Vervollständigung von Halbringen*. Diplomarbeit Technische Universität Wien, 1985.
- [2] Hebisch, U.: *Zur algebraischen Theorie unendlicher Summen in Halbgruppen und Halbringen*. Habilitationsschrift Technische Universität Clausthal, 1990.
- [3] Karner, G.: *On limits in complete semirings*. Manuscript Technische Universität Wien, 1990.
- [4] Kuich, W.: *The Kleene and the Parikh Theorem in complete semirings*. ICALP 1987, LNCS 267 (1987), 212-225.
- [5] Kuich, W., Salomaa, A.: *Semirings, Automata, Languages*. Springer, 1986.
- [6] Lausch, H., Nöbauer, W.: *Algebra of Polynomials*. North-Holland, 1973.
- [7] Loeckx, J., Sieber, K.: *The Foundations of Program Verification*. Wiley-Teubner, 1984.
- [8] Sakarovitch, J.: *Kleene's Theorem revisited*. LNCS 281 (1987), 39-50.