

Title of Your Dissertation



By

Your Name Goes Here

2013-NUST-MS-EE-xx

Supervisor

Dr. FirstName LastName

Department of Electrical Engineering

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
of Masters of Science in Electrical Engineering (MS EE)

In

School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science,
National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST),
Islamabad, Pakistan.

(April 2015)

Approval

It is certified that the contents and form of the thesis entitled “**Title of Your Dissertation**” submitted by **Your Name Goes Here** have been found satisfactory for the requirement of the degree.

Advisor: **Dr. FirstName LastName**

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Committee Member 1: **Dr. Name of First Committee Member**

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Committee Member 2: **Dr. Name of Second Committee Member**

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Committee Member 3: **Dr. Name of Third Committee Member**

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Abstract

Your abstract goes here. Blah blah blah.

Dedication

I dedicate this thesis to my pets, Sparky and Coco.

Certificate of Originality

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and to the best of my knowledge it contains no materials previously published or written by another person, nor material which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of any degree or diploma at NUST SEECS or at any other educational institute, except where due acknowledgement has been made in the thesis. Any contribution made to the research by others, with whom I have worked at NUST SEECS or elsewhere, is explicitly acknowledged in the thesis.

I also declare that the intellectual content of this thesis is the product of my own work, except for the assistance from others in the project's design and conception or in style, presentation and linguistics which has been acknowledged.

Author Name: **Your Name Goes Here**

Signature: _____

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank my advisor, my parents, my friends and my pets. Blah
blah blah ...

Table of Contents

1	Introduction or the Name of your First Chapter	1
1.1	Name of the First Section of Your First Section	1
1.2	The second section of your first chapter	1
1.2.1	First subsection	1
2	Literature Review	2
2.1	Mobile Phone Sensors	2
3	Implementation and Results	4
3.1	Classifier Training & Validation Strategy	4
3.1.1	Classifier Training	4
3.2	Performance Evaluation	4
4	Conclusions	7
4.1	This is the End	7
A	Feature Extraction Walking	8

List of Figures

3.1	Feature rank by information gain.	5
-----	-------------------------------------------	---

List of Tables

2.1	Data set of activity traces.	3
3.1	Detailed Accuracy By Class (Naïve Bayes).	4
3.2	Confusion matrix (Naive Bayes).	6

Chapter 1

Introduction or the Name of your First Chapter

1.1 Name of the First Section of Your First Section

Over the past ten years blah blah blah blah.

1.2 The second section of your first chapter

There you go, getting boring already.

1.2.1 First subsection

While designing blah blah blah. We designed a classification algorithm for activity inference.

Chapter 2

Literature Review

2.1 Mobile Phone Sensors

In the past few years Mobile Phones have become an essential communication device. Previously, Goodwin, Velicer and Intille [2] used cell phones to record feelings and opinions of participants in behavioral studies, while [1] tracks recreation and tourism behavior by GPS enabled cell phones. Using cell phones as part of the study is unlikely to introduce health bias in a data set according to Lajunen et al. [3] furthermore, computer use did correlate with higher BMI results in young people, while cell phone use was only weakly linked.

Table 2.1 shows the number of activity traces collected for various activities, broken up by the phone placement.

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (a_i[n] - \bar{a}_i) (a_j[n] - \bar{a}_j) \quad (2.1)$$

The covariance matrix \mathbf{C} is defined in terms of covariance terms as,

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{xz} \\ \sigma_{yx} & \sigma_y^2 & \sigma_{yz} \\ \sigma_{zx} & \sigma_{zy} & \sigma_z^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

If \mathbf{V} is the matrix of eigenvectors, then the matrix \mathbf{A} of accelerometer signals is transformed into matrix \mathbf{D} according to Equation 2.3.

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{V} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1[n] & d_2[n] & d_3[n] \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_x[n] & a_y[n] & a_z[n] \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

Table 2.1: Data set of activity traces.

Activity Placement \	Pant Pocket	Hand	Hand Bag	Shirt Pocket	Sub- total
Walking	25	20	15	20	80
Running	20	20	25	15	80
Climbing Stairs	15	25	15	10	75
Descending Stairs	15	20	15	10	60
Driving	10	10	20	25	65
Cycling	25	15	15	10	65
Inactive	20	20	25	20	85
TOTAL					510

Chapter 3

Implementation and Results

3.1 Classifier Training & Validation Strategy

3.1.1 Classifier Training

Machine learning algorithms are used to classify activities based on a feature set.

3.2 Performance Evaluation

For performance evaluation we used 10-fold validation.

Table 3.1: Detailed Accuracy By Class (Naïve Bayes).

Class	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	ROC Area
Walking	0.789	0.031	0.675	0.789	0.818
Running	1.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	0.999
Climbing Stairs	0.450	0.054	0.731	0.450	0.807
Descending Stairs	0.833	0.023	0.814	0.833	0.919
Driving	0.933	0.018	0.897	0.933	0.934
Cycling	1.000	0.000	0.880	1.000	0.992
Inactive	0.933	0.000	0.996	0.933	0.961
Weighted Average	0.847	0.040	0.846	0.847	0.891



Figure 3.1: Feature rank by information gain.

Table 3.2: Confusion matrix (Naive Bayes).

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	← Classified As Actual Activity ↓
59	0	6	5	1	0	0	a ← Walking
0	50	0	1	0	0	0	b ← Running
5	0	34	4	0	0	0	c ← Climbing Stairs
4	0	3	29	5	0	0	d ← Descending Stairs
0	0	0	0	32	0	4	e ← Driving
2	0	0	1	0	20	0	f ← Cycling
0	0	0	0	5	0	30	g ← Inactive

Chapter 4

Conclusions

4.1 This is the End

In this research we reported the design and implementation of.

Appendix A

Feature Extraction Walking

Features plotted in Matlab.

Bibliography

- [1] Rein Ahas, Anto Aasa, Siiri Silm, and Margus Tiru. Mobile positioning data in tourism studies and monitoring: case study in tartu, estonia. *Information and communication technologies in tourism 2007*, pages 119–128, 2007.
- [2] Matthew S Goodwin, Wayne F Velicer, and Stephen S Intille. Telemetric monitoring in the behavior sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, 40(1):328–341, 2008.
- [3] Hanna-Reetta Lajunen, Anna Keski-Rahkonen, Lea Pulkkinen, Richard J Rose, Aila Rissanen, and Jaakko Kaprio. Are computer and cell phone use associated with body mass index and overweight? a population study among twin adolescents. *BMC Public Health*, 7(1):24, 2007.