Copy constructors

Constructors give us a way of initializing an object with one (or more) values. But consider how we would define a constructor which initializes an object with the value(s) of another object of the same type. For example:

```
Date d1 (1998,4,1); // INIT TO 1 APRIL Date d2 (d1); // INIT TO SAME DATE AS d1 Date d3 = d1; // INIT TO SAME DATE AS d1
```

Such constructors are called "copy constructors"

Note, however, that the following is not an example of a copy constructor but rather that of the assignment operator.

```
Date d1 (1998,4,1);
Date d2;
d2 = d1;
```

There's a problem if we write the constructor in the "obvious" way:

The problem

- In order to initialize d2 we have to call the Date(Date d) constructor.
- In order to do that we need to make a temporary object (the parameter d) of type Date, with the same value as d1.
- When we create the temporary d, its constructor gets called and is passed d1.
- But now we're initializing a Date object (d) with another Date object (d1). So the copy constructor(Date(Date
 d)) gets called!
- In order to do that we need to make another temporary parameter (call this one d') of type Date, with the same value as d.
- When we create the temporary d', its constructor gets called and is passed d.
- But now we're initializing a Date object (d') with another Date object (d). So the copy constructor (Date(Date d)) gets called!

- In order to do that we need to make another temporary parameter (call this one d'') of type Date, with the same value as d'.
- When we create the temporary d'', its constructorgets called and is passed d'.
- But now we're initializing a Date object (d'') with another Date object (d'). So the copy constructor
 (Date(Date d)) gets called!
- Et cetera, et cetera, et cetera....

The solution

We must avoid *copying* the single **Date** parameter when we invoke the copy constructor. We can do that by passing the parameter as a reference

Now when we initialize d2, we actually pass a reference to the original d1 into Date(Date& d), instead of a copy. Since no copy is required, there are no recursive calls to the copy constructor.

Finally, it's almost always better to define the copy constructor like this:

Why? Because we do not want to inadvertently change the values of the Date object being passed by reference.