

# **Analysis of Algorithms**

## **LAB 1**



**Lecturer: Miss Ayesha Majid Ali**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE**

**RIPHAH SCHOOL OF COMPUTING AND INNOVATION**

## LAB MANUAL: ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS

The aim of this lab is to:

- Understand the concept of asymptotic notations.
- Learn how to analyze algorithm efficiency using time complexity.
- Compare different algorithms using Big-O, Big-Ω, and Big-Θ.
- Implement simple programs to measure runtime performance.

### 2. Theory

#### 2.1 What is Asymptotic Analysis?

Asymptotic analysis is a method of describing the **running time of an algorithm** as the **input size (n)** grows towards infinity.

It helps us focus on the **growth rate**, not the exact number of operations.

It tells us:

*How does the runtime of an algorithm increase when input size increases?*

#### 2.2 Primitive Operations

When analyzing code, we count basic operations like:

- Assignments ( $x = y$ )
- Comparisons (if ( $a < b$ ))
- Arithmetic operations ( $a + b$ ,  $a * b$ )
- Function calls ( $\text{swap}(a, b)$ )
- Return statements ( $\text{return}$ )

Each primitive operation is considered to take constant time ( $O(1)$ ).

#### 2.3 Why We Ignore Constants

When comparing algorithms, we only care about **relative growth**.

Example:

If Algorithm A takes  $6n + 6$  operations and Algorithm B takes  $2n^2$ , then for large  $n$ , the quadratic term dominates — constants become negligible.

### 3. Asymptotic Notations

#### 3.1 Big O (O) — Upper Bound

- Represents the **worst-case** growth rate.
- Tells how fast the **runtime increases at most**.

**Definition:**

$f(n)=O(g(n))$  if there exist  $c>0, n_0>0$  such that  $f(n)\leq c\cdot g(n)$  for all  $n\geq n_0$

✓ **Example:**

$$2n+10\leq 12n\Rightarrow 2n+10=O(n)$$

#### 3.2 Big Omega ( $\Omega$ ) — Lower Bound

- Represents the **best-case** growth rate.
- Describes the **minimum time** the algorithm will take.

**Definition:**

$$f(n)=\Omega(g(n)) \text{ if } f(n)\geq c\cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n\geq n_0$$

**Example:**

$$3n^2+5n+2\geq 3n^2\Rightarrow f(n)=\Omega(n^2)$$

#### 3.3 Big Theta ( $\Theta$ ) — Tight Bound

- Represents both **upper and lower bounds**.
- Means the algorithm grows at the **same rate** as  $g(n)$ .

**Definition:**

$$f(n)=\Theta(g(n)) \text{ if } f(n)=O(g(n)) \text{ and } f(n)=\Omega(g(n))$$

**Example:**

$$5n^2+4n+1=\Theta(n^2)$$

### 4. Step-by-Step Proof Examples

#### Example 1 — Linear

Prove  $3n+5=O(n)$

For  $n \geq 1$

$$3n + 5 \leq 3n + 5n = 8n$$

$$c = 8, n_0 = 1$$

### Example 2 — Quadratic

Prove  $2n^2 + 3n + 10 = O(n^2)$

$$2n^2 + 3n + 10 \leq 2n^2 + 3n^2 + 10n^2 = 15n^2$$

✓  $c = 15, n_0 = 1$

### Example 3 — Cubic

Prove  $4n^3 + 2n^2 + n + 5 = O(n^3)$

” Ask ChatGPT

$$4n^3 + 2n^2 + n + 5 \leq 4n^3 + 2n^3 + n^3 + 5n^3 = 12n^3$$

✓  $c = 12, n_0 = 1$

## 5. Coding Examples

### Example 1: Counting Operations

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main() {
    int n = 5;
    int count = 0;

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        count++;          // Operation 1
    }

    cout << "Total operations: " << count << endl;
    // Complexity: O(n)
    return 0;
}
```

### Example 2: Nested Loops ( $O(n^2)$ )

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main() {
    int n = 4, count = 0;
```

```

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            count++;
        }
    }
    cout << "Total operations: " << count << endl;
    // Complexity: O(n2)
    return 0;
}

```

### Example 3: Logarithmic Loop (O(log n))

```

#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main() {
    int n = 64, count = 0;

    while (n > 1) {
        n /= 2;
        count++;
    }

    cout << "Total steps: " << count << endl;
    // Complexity: O(log n)
    return 0;
}

```

## 6. Common Time Complexities

Complexity	Example Algorithm	Growth Type
O(1)	Accessing array element	Constant
O(log n)	Binary Search	Logarithmic
O(n)	Linear Search	Linear
O(n log n)	Merge Sort	Linearithmic
O(n <sup>2</sup> )	Bubble Sort	Quadratic
O(n <sup>3</sup> )	Matrix Multiplication	Cubic
O(2 <sup>n</sup> )	Recursion (subset)	Exponential
O(n!)	Traveling Salesman	Factorial

## 7. Experiment Tasks

### Task 1: Linear Search

1. Implement a simple linear search algorithm.
2. Count how many comparisons your program makes.
3. Observe how this number changes as the array size increases.
4. Determine whether the algorithm is  $O(n)$ .

### Concept Recap

Before coding, understand:

- Linear Search means checking each element one by one until the target value is found (or the array ends).
- If the array has  $n$  elements, in the worst case, you might check all  $n$  elements.
- Hence, we expect the time complexity to be  $O(n)$  — meaning the time grows *linearly* with the input size.

Try the following array sizes

Array Size (n)	Comparisons (Worst Case)	Observation
10		Comparisons ?
100		Comparisons ?
500		Comparisons ?
1,000		Comparisons ?
5,000		Comparisons ?

### Task 2:

#### Binary Search and Time Complexity Analysis

1. Implement Binary Search on a sorted array.
2. Count how many comparisons are made while searching.
3. Observe how comparisons grow as array size ( $n$ ) increases.
4. Verify that the algorithm follows  $O(\log n)$  complexity.

## Concept Recap

- Binary Search works only on sorted arrays.
- It repeatedly divides the search space in half:
  1. Compare the middle element with the target.
  2. If equal → found!
  3. If target < middle → search in left half.
  4. If target > middle → search in right half.
- Each step cuts the problem size by half → giving a logarithmic growth pattern.

Array Size (n)	Comparisons (Worst Case)	$\log_2(n)$ (Approx.)
10		
100		
1,000		
5,000		
10,000		

## Task 3: Compare Linear and Binary Search.

## Task 4: Nested Loops

- Write a program with two nested loops.
- Count operations for increasing input sizes.
- Verify  $O(n^2)$  behaviour.