

CS439 Data Science Project

IMDb Score Prediction

Mohammed Alnadi (ma1322) - 172007414
Umar Khattak (uk50) - 177009705

Introduction



For our project, we decided to implement machine-learning and data mining to predict the IMDb score of a movie. As both of us are relatively new to Python and machine-learning, we weren't really sure how to move forward with this, and had to learn as we went along with this project.

Using the CSV file `movie_metadata.csv`, which contained over 5000 rows (movies) and 28 columns, we filtered out the most relevant columns which we believed would give us the most accurate prediction.

Introduction Part 2



In our first submission for the project, we concluded from our research that the higher the gross, the higher the IMDb score, and the same for movie facebook likes. (See Data Science Project.pdf)

So after creating a new dataframe with these specific columns which contained the movies gross, budget, total facebook likes, and IMDb score, we decided to use a Decision Tree to predict an output (y column which contained the actual IMDb score of the movie, hence why the column was used initially).

The new dataframe (X) contains 3891 rows and 5 columns (after deleting rows with any null values) which equaled out to 19,455 cells of data that the Decision Tree used. (The initial movie_metadata.csv dataset contained 141,204 cells of data)



```

graph TD
    Root["X0 ≤ 6.5  
gini = 0.88  
samples = 10  
value = [1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1]"]
    Root -- True --> Node1["X0 ≤ 1.0  
gini = 0.857  
samples = 7  
value = [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0]"]
    Root -- False --> Node2["X0 ≤ 6.0  
gini = 0.444  
samples = 3  
value = [0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1]"]
    
    Node1 -- True --> Leaf1["gini = 0.0  
samples = 1  
value = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0]"]
    Node1 -- False --> Node3["X2 ≤ 0.5  
gini = 0.833  
samples = 6  
value = [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0]"]
    
    Node3 -- True --> Leaf2["gini = 0.0  
samples = 1  
value = [0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]"]
    Node3 -- False --> Node4["X1 ≤ 3.5  
gini = 0.8  
samples = 5  
value = [1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0]"]
    
    Node4 -- True --> Leaf3["gini = 0.0  
samples = 1  
value = [0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]"]
    Node4 -- False --> Node5["X0 ≤ 5.5  
gini = 0.75  
samples = 4  
value = [1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0]"]
    
    Node5 -- True --> Leaf4["gini = 0.0  
samples = 1  
value = [0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0]"]
    Node5 -- False --> Node6["X2 ≤ 1.5  
gini = 0.687  
samples = 3  
value = [1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0]"]
    
    Node6 -- True --> Leaf5["gini = 0.0  
samples = 1  
value = [0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0]"]
    Node6 -- False --> Node7["X1 ≤ 3.5  
gini = 0.5  
samples = 2  
value = [1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0]"]
    
    Node7 -- True --> Leaf6["gini = 0.0  
samples = 1  
value = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]"]
    Node7 -- False --> Leaf7["gini = 0.0  
samples = 1  
value = [1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]"]
  
```

```

In [320]: from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix, confusion_matrix_score, recall_score, precision_score, f1_score
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, recall_score, precision_score, f1_score
import numpy as np

clf = DecisionTreeClassifier(max_depth=5, fit_params={'x_train': x_train, 'y_train': y_train})
print("Test score: %f, %f, %f, %f" % (accuracy_score(x_test, y_test), recall_score(x_test, y_test),
precision_score(x_test, y_test), f1_score(x_test, y_test)))

confusion_matrix = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_train, labels=[0, 1])
print("Confusion matrix: %s" % str(confusion_matrix))

y_test_hat = clf.predict(x_test)
print("Test score: %f, %f, %f, %f" % (accuracy_score(x_test, y_test_hat), recall_score(x_test, y_test_hat),
precision_score(x_test, y_test_hat), f1_score(x_test, y_test_hat)))

confusion_matrix = confusion_matrix(y_test_hat, y_test, labels=[0, 1])
print("Confusion matrix: %s" % str(confusion_matrix))

# Test score: 0.800000, 0.800000, 0.800000, 0.800000
# Confusion matrix:
[[ 0  0]
 [ 0  0]]

```

[illegible]



Below is the Decision Tree of the entire dataset, as you can see its significantly larger than the tree for 10 movies, this is because we are using over 3800 movies, which means there are many more factors and numbers to take into consideration. (Took 20min to run :/)



In [199]:

```
print ("accuracy", metrics.accuracy_score(y_train, pred))
print ("f1 score micro", metrics.f1_score(y_train, pred, average="micro"))
print ("f1 score macro", metrics.f1_score(y_train, pred, average="macro"))
print ("recall score", metrics.recall_score(y_train, pred, average="macro"))
print ("hamming_loss", metrics.hamming_loss(y_train, pred))
print ("classification_report", metrics.classification_report(y_train, pred))
print ("confusion_matrix", metrics.confusion_matrix(y_train, pred))
print ("zero_one_loss", metrics.zero_one_loss(y_train, pred))

accuracy 0.859672293639913
f1_score_micro 0.859672293639913
f1_score_macro 0.859672293639913
recall_score 0.8145356719425645
precision_score 0.8221627821183815
classification_report
precision recall f1-score support
 1 0.00 0.00 0.00 2
 2 0.00 0.00 0.00 1
 3 0.00 0.00 0.00 1
 4 0.00 0.00 0.00 1
 5 0.00 0.00 0.00 2
 6 0.00 0.00 0.00 2
 7 0.00 0.00 0.00 3
 8 0.00 0.00 0.00 5
 9 0.00 0.00 0.00 5
10 0.00 0.00 0.00 2
11 0.00 0.00 0.00 2
12 0.00 0.00 0.00 2
13 0.00 0.00 0.00 1
14 0.00 0.00 0.00 11
15 0.00 0.00 0.00 3
16 0.00 0.00 0.00 9
17 0.00 0.00 0.00 18
18 0.00 0.00 0.00 5
19 0.00 0.00 0.00 8
20 0.00 0.00 0.00 8
21 0.00 0.00 0.00 7
22 0.00 0.00 0.00 15
23 0.00 0.00 0.00 15
24 0.00 0.00 0.00 17
25 0.00 0.00 0.00 18
26 0.00 0.00 0.00 29
27 0.00 0.00 0.00 29
28 0.00 0.00 0.00 26
29 0.00 0.00 0.00 38
30 0.00 0.00 0.00 31
31 0.00 0.00 0.00 21
32 0.00 0.00 0.00 51
33 0.00 0.00 0.00 41
34 0.00 0.00 0.00 65
35 0.00 0.00 0.00 73
36 0.00 0.00 0.00 65
37 0.00 0.00 0.00 85
38 0.00 0.00 0.00 77
39 0.00 0.00 0.00 68
40 0.00 0.00 0.00 68
41 0.07 0.24 0.11 94
42 0.07 0.00 0.03 128
43 0.07 0.00 0.03 125
44 0.00 0.00 0.00 111
45 0.07 0.34 0.11 148
46 0.00 0.00 0.00 141
47 0.00 0.00 0.00 145
48 0.08 0.33 0.13 162
49 0.04 0.53 0.08 149
50 0.00 0.00 0.00 132
51 0.00 0.00 0.00 136
52 0.00 0.00 0.00 138
53 0.00 0.00 0.00 138
54 0.00 0.00 0.00 144
55 0.00 0.00 0.00 91
56 0.00 0.00 0.00 87
57 0.00 0.00 0.00 94
58 0.00 0.00 0.00 92
59 0.11 0.12 0.11 78
60 0.00 0.00 0.00 46
61 0.00 0.00 0.00 50
62 0.00 0.00 0.00 50
63 0.00 0.00 0.00 22
64 0.00 0.00 0.00 24
65 0.00 0.00 0.00 13
66 0.00 0.00 0.00 6
67 0.00 0.00 0.00 6
68 0.00 0.00 0.00 7
69 0.00 0.00 0.00 5
70 0.00 0.00 0.00 4
71 0.00 0.00 0.00 2
72 0.00 0.00 0.00 1
73 0.00 0.00 0.00 1

accuracy 0.81
macro avg 0.01
weighted avg 0.04
Jaccard_similarity_score 0.859672293639913
zero_one_loss 0.5483827765595969
```

Here are the prediction and accuracy scores for this Decision tree.


Unfortunately, it was not what we expected, with accuracy being 0.06 and precision being 0.02. As we are both new to Python and machine-learning, we are not fully sure why this is the case. We assume this is the case because there are so many cases and data cells to take into consideration that we might have overfitted the data which ended up with a decreased accuracy, though we are not 100% sure.

Causes of overfitting include:

1. Overfitting due to the presence of noise. Misabeled instances may contradict the class labels of other similar records.
2. Overfitting due to lack of representative instances. A lack of representative instances in the training data can prevent refinement of the learning algorithm.


A good model must not only fit the training data well but also accurately classify records it has never seen, and we assume this is something our tree lacked.

Conclusion



We can conclude based on the data we received that when it comes to predicting an IMDb score based on the data we have, a Decision Tree performs much more accurately and provides a higher accuracy and precision score when there is generally less data to take into consideration. In this case, the lesser amount of movies provided us with a higher accurate Tree.

Example being where our tree given 10 rows (movies) gave an accuracy of 1.0 whereas our tree with 3891 rows (movies) only gave an accuracy of 0.06.



Conclusion cont.

Is there a possibility to improve accuracy of a Decision Tree?

The answer is yes, according to an article from Analytics Vidhya, there are 8 methods to boost the accuracy of a Model

1. Adding more data
2. Treating missing and outlier values
3. Feature Engineering
4. Feature Selection
5. Multiple Algorithms
6. Algorithm Tuning
7. Ensemble Methods
8. Cross Validation

These are the factors we hope to look into and take into consideration for this project in the nearby future. Thank you for giving us this opportunity to get out our comfort zone and learn new things! We learnt a great deal from this project, and I hope you guys did as well. Have a great end of semester everyone!

Websites and Citations



Dataset from: <https://www.kaggle.com/karrimba/movie-metadata.csv>

<https://www.datacamp.com/community/tutorials/decision-tree-classification-python>

<https://www.kaggle.com/azzion/decision-tree-for-beginners>

<https://towardsdatascience.com/train-test-split-and-cross-validation-in-python-80b61beca4b6>

<https://devpost.com/software/imdb-rating-prediction>

<https://medium.com/@artkulakov/visualizing-decision-trees-in-jupyter-notebook-with-python-and-graphviz-78703230a7b1>

<https://medium.com/qreyatom/decision-trees-a-simple-way-to-visualize-a-decision-dc506a403aeb>

https://www.programcreek.com/python/example/89265/sklearn.metrics.precision_score

<https://www.analyticsvichya.com/blog/2015/12/improve-machine-learning-results/>