No. As the systemd people themselves wrote twice over, once in their telinit manual and once in their runlevel manual, runlevels are "obsolete". You can forget about runlevels.

These things do not actually exist in systemd at all, outwith a few compatibility shims.

* There are some symbolic links for target names, but these targets are never actually used by systemd proper.
  + Rather, the bootstrap process employs a default.target (and thence one or both of a graphical.target and a multi-user.target), a rescue.target, or an emergency.target. And the shutdown process involves a shutdown.target, a reboot.target, a halt.target, or a poweroff.target. *No run-level targets are involved* in either bootstrap or shutdown.
  + The telinit command, which one might think uses the compatibility symbolic links to map its command-line arguments, does not do that either. There's a hardwired table in the source code of the telinit program, and the numbers 2, 3, 4, and 5 as arguments to the command are hardwired to map to multi-user.target and graphical.target.
  + systemd-update-utmp also has an internal hardwired table.
* There is no "init table" of runlevel stuff. systemd is only compatible with van Smoorenburg rc, not with van Smoorenburg init.
* There is no "current run level" value maintained by systemd itself. Rather, the almost wholly undocumented systemd-update-utmp command operates internally in terms of the activation states of rescue.target, multi-user.target, and graphical.target.
* systemd-sysv-generator, systemd's backwards compatibility service unit generator, merges the /etc/rc[234].d directories into just the one Wanted-By relationship to multi-user.target in generated service units. There is no actual reference to run levels in the generated service units. (There used to be, years ago, but the systemd people found that this went wrong, because they weren't being referenced anywhere else.)

If one is a user of a system that builds systemd as did Arch Linux for the questioner at "[Why does `init 0` result in "Excess Arguments" on Arch install?](https://unix.stackexchange.com/questions/389289/)", one does not even get the compatibility shims, and commands such as init 0 result in the "native" systemd behaviour, which is to complain that the command has been incorrectly invoked.
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