# COMPSCI 390R

**Exploit Mitigation** 



# Topics to Cover:

- 1. Project 3 hotfix
  - Challenge 2 had a 'it works on my machine' bug, should be all set
- 2. Thursday's Lecture is a lab



## Recap of what we've learned last class:

## Format Strings/GOT & PLT

- Format String bugs can lead to memory leaks or arbitrary memory writes
- Learned how dynamic compilation works with the GOT and PLT
- Can exploit the GOT through write and reads



# GOT/PLT Review



## Reminder

#### Global Offset Table

Has dynamic linker info and function offsets loaded into memory during runtime

#### Procedure Linkage Table

- When you call a library function you really call the PLT entry for it.
  - ex. calling fgets redirects you to fgets@plt
- Is a table of GOT offsets that redirect execution to the dynamic linker
- Dynamic linker will populate the GOT if it's the first call, else it just redirects to the GOT offset



# Exploiting the GOT/PLT

#### Leaking LIBC addresses

 If we can leak a function address from the GOT, we can calculate where the libc is loaded based off the function offset

#### Writing to the GOT

- If we can overwrite a GOT offset we can:
  - Write the address of another function in LIBC
  - Write the address to shellcode or another function in the program that we want to execute (think a decryption function)



# Attacks & Mitigations



## Why we have/need mitigations:

## Getting hacked kind of sucks:

- If we have the tools to stop vulnerabilities we should take them
- Even if we can't force people to write perfect code, we can have mitigations that make exploits harder
- We can't have a perfect system though,
- UMASS there's always a trade off of security vs CYBERSEC cperformance



## **Buffer Overflows:**

## Easiest attack to exploit:

- Can change local variables in a function
- Can redirect execution to other locations in memory
- Can write shellcode and redirect back to it later

What can we do to stop this?



# Buffer Overflows (fix):

### Data Execution Prevention/No-Execute (DEP/NX)

- Assign memory regions Read/Write/eXecute permissions
  - Can use gdb command 'vmmap' for the Virtual Memory Map and its permissions
- Sections that are data, stack/heap/globals should not have the eXecute flag!

This stops a large amount of possible shellcode attacks!

# Buffer Overflows (fix 2):

## There are still problems

- We can still overwrite local variables
- We can still redirect execution! (This one is huge!)

## Possible solutions?



# Buffer Overflows (fix 2):

## Reordering the Stack

Reorder values on the stack such that buffers are above all variables

This should stop us from overwriting local variables

What if there are multiple buffers though?

Sometime the compiler gets this wrong



# Buffer Overflows (fix 2):

## Stack Canaries/Stack Cookie

- Add a dummy 'canary/cookie' variable right before the base pointer
- Check if the variable has changed at the end of the function, if so throw an error!
- Typically the stack canary starts with a NULLbyte since this stops some string-based overwrites

RAIWays long enough to avoid brute forcing



# How can we bypass these?



## Bypassing DEP/NX and Stack Canaries

#### Bypassing DEP/NX

- We can no longer execute shellcode on the stack, but ROP chains make use of existing gadgets from executable code sections so they are not affected
- Find alternative sections that are writable and executable to put shellcode in (or make your own if you can call mprotect)

#### **Bypassing Stack Canaries**

- Find a way (printf or other alternatives) to leak the value from the stack and then add it to your buffer overflow/rop-chain
- Some vulnerabilities like out of bounds array accesses may be able to just
- UMASSEE ve the canary intact with their overflow CYBERSEC

## Our best attack thus far

## Ret2Libc

- 1. Find a buffer overflow that we can abuse in the program
- 2. If stack canaries are enabled, look for a leak such that we can find out its value and modify our ROP chain so it doesn't modify the canary
- 3. Use gadgets to setup parameters to call a function such as system("/bin/sh")
- 4. Use a gadget to call system in LIBC (Should be loaded in the same

UMASS ation every time with the current mitigations)

# Randomization Mitigations



## ROP:

## Little harder than buffer overflow, still relatively easy:

- Can call any code in the program just like buffer overflows
- Can use gadgets to execute shellcode without executing stack memory as long as we know the address the opcodes are at

What can we do to stop this?



## ROP (fix 1):

## Address Space Layout Randomization:

- Randomizes the location of the stack, heap and any loaded libraries
- Usually always on and built into the kernel now on most operating systems (its been on for all challenges thus far)

This in tangent with PIE makes finding gadgets relatively hard



# Bypassing ASLR:

## Bypassing ASLR with Ret2PLT

- 1. Find a buffer overflow that you can abuse with a ROP chain
- 2. Call a function such as "puts" by calling its address in the PLT.
- 3. Pass the GOT entry for "puts" as the parameter so when we call the PLT, we end up printing the address of "puts"
- 4. If we know the libc version we can calculate where the base is by subtracting the puts offset from the leaked address
- 5. Recall the function that gave you the buffer overflow, ROP again to perform



## ROP (fix 2):

### Position-Independent Code (PIE):

- Instead of hard coding locations of data and opcodes, compile with all return values and data access set to offsets related to a base address
- Our code can now be loaded anywhere in memory if we want to, but we still need a system to do that for us.
- If ASLR is also enabled, it will randomize the location of the code, data, and GOT/PLT

UMASS This does nothing on its own, with ASLR though it's very CYBERSEC

annoving

# Bypassing ASLR/PIE

### Leaking the base of the program

- Use printf leaks to leak values such as return addresses or addresses of globals can help us find out where in memory the program is located
- Take that address, calculate the offset by seeing where it is in gdb, then add the difference in your payload's offsets (Ret2PLT or Ret2Libc)

CYBERSEC Running exploits locally we can double check if our offset is

## One more defense mechanism:

### Partial Relocation Read-Only:

 Usually always on, puts the GOT before any global variables so if you can cause a buffer overflow on a global variable, you can't change the values of the GOT

#### Full RELRO:

- Loads all GOT entry values at the startup of the program and not dynamically, then sets the GOT to read only
- This usually isn't on by default as this can cause the program to take a UMASS 13

  CYBERSFIELD to startup if you're loading thousands of symbols during

## What our new exploits need to look like:

#### NX + Canaries:

 Find a memory leak to retrieve the canary, else you can only control local variables (if the buffer location isn't changed), then ROP

#### ASLR + PIE:

- Leak address of program or libc
- Olf program, use program gadgets or now calculate GOT UMASS COLOR CONTROL CONT

# Extra Memory Leak Techniques:

## Overwriting Null Bytes:

 If a buffer is read as a string and we can overwrite null bytes on variables higher up and they will also be printed as a string Stack
--8 bytes wide--

Saved Ret Add

Saved Base Ptr

<- RBP

canaryA

AAAAAAA

AAAAAAA

AAAAAAA

<- RSP

