



RES Research Division  
contact@rollinsengineering.com  
(773)-270-2203

## **Parametric Propeller Design & Low Reynolds Number Performance Benchmarking**

Project Director: Corbin Lujan

Research Engineers: Corbin Lujan, Andrew J. Rollins, David Rodriguez

## 1) Summary / Purpose

Design a parametric propeller family (150–250 mm diameter) and evaluate aerodynamic performance at low Reynolds numbers relevant to micro-UAVs. Build a reusable modeling + analysis pipeline that RES can extend for future research tracks and client work.

---

## 2) Objectives

- O1 – Generator: Build a parameterized CAD model that varies diameter, pitch, chord distribution, twist, camber, blade count (2–4) and hub geometry.
  - O2 – Variants: Produce  $\geq 3$  distinct propeller variants covering a practical design space (e.g., low-pitch efficiency, balanced general use, high-thrust).
  - O3 – Analysis: Generate section polars (lift/drag vs  $\alpha$ , Re) and perform Blade Element/Momentum Theory (BEMT) to estimate thrust, torque, and  $\eta$  (efficiency) across RPM and advance ratios.
  - O4 – Evidence: Deliver an 8–10 page technical brief with methods, assumptions, charts (T-RPM,  $\eta$ -J), and design recommendations.
  - O5 – Handoff: Release clean source files + drawing set and a structured spreadsheet/Python workbook so Juniors can extend the study.
- 

## 3) Scope of Work

### 3.1 CAD (Parametric Generator)

- Select baseline airfoil families suitable for low Re (e.g., thin cambered sections).
- Define parameter set: D, P/D, radial chord law, radial twist law, thickness/camber, # of blades, hub/fillet.

- Implement features: blade lofts, hub with standard shaft bore, configurable fillets, export templates.
- Create configuration table (CSV/JSON) that maps parameter sets → named variants.

### 3.2 Aerodynamics (Low-Re)

- For each section Re range, produce polars ( $C_l$ ,  $C_d$  vs  $\alpha$ ).
  - Use XFOIL (or OpenVSP's VSPAERO for cross-checks) to derive polars at representative Re along the span.
- Implement BEMT with tip-loss factor; integrate along blade span for thrust/torque at RPM sweep.
- Compute  $\eta = (T \cdot V) / (Q \cdot \omega)$  and advance ratio  $J = V / (n \cdot D)$ ; generate performance maps.

### 3.3 Packaging & Communication

- Drawings: Hub interface, blade root, key dimensions & tolerances (PDFs).
- Data Book: Polars, assumptions, BEMT equations, units, constants.
- Report: Methods, validation sanity checks, results, limitations, next steps.
- Repository: Organized folders with versioned CAD, scripts, outputs.

## 4) Deliverables

1. Parametric CAD: Native files + step/iges export; 3+ named variants.
2. Drawing Set (PDF): Hub/assembly + at least one blade section drawing with critical dims.
3. Analysis Workbook: Spreadsheet or Python notebook implementing BEMT with inputs/plots.
4. Technical Brief (8–10 pages, PDF): Motivation, method, results, trade-offs, recommendations.

5. Release Notes: Readme with how to re-run, parameter table, version info.
- 

## 5) Tools & Stack

- CAD: Fusion 36
  - Aero: XFOIL
  - Computation: Python
- 

## 6) Assumptions & Constraints

- Low-Re operation typical of 150–250 mm props and small drones.
  - Steady, incompressible flow; no CFD in v1 (analytic + semi-empirical only).
  - Manufacturing optional; 3D printed demo allowed for geometry visualization.
  - No proprietary airfoil data; open-source or public polars only.
- 

## 7) Out of Scope (v1)

- Experimental validation (thrust stand) and full acoustic analysis.
  - Composite layup or advanced manufacturing process dev.
  - Full mission optimization (vehicle-level sizing).
- 

## 8) Milestones & Timeline (example)

- M0 (Day 0–3): Requirements + baseline airfoil shortlist + repo scaffold.
  - M1 (Week 1): Parametric CAD generator complete; variant A baseline exported.
  - M2 (Week 2): XFOIL polar set generated for spanwise Re grid; data sanity-checked.
  - M3 (Week 3): BEMT implementation and plots for A/B/C variants ( $T$ ,  $Q$ ,  $\eta$  vs RPM/J).
  - M4 (Week 4): Drawings (hub + key dims), write report, design recommendations.
- 

## 9) Quality Standards

- CAD: Fully constrained sketches; named parameters; no red-dimension warnings.
  - Drawings: Title block, units, tolerances, rev control, legible section views.
  - Analysis: Units tracked; equations referenced; plots labeled with axis units; sensitivity table (e.g.,  $\pm 5\%$  in  $C_l/C_d$ ).
  - Reproducibility: A new user can run the analysis from Readme without guessing.
- 

## 10) Risks & Mitigations

- Unstable XFOIL polars at very low  $Re$ : smooth with limited  $\alpha$  range; use multiple  $Re$  points; cross-check with literature-based profiles.
- Overfitting to a single flight speed/RPM: evaluate across a sweep; present operating envelope not single point.
- CAD parameter interactions (self-intersections): guardrails in parameter ranges; test extremes early.
- Time creep: lock scope to 3 variants; defer CFD/physical tests to v2.

## 11) Success Criteria / KPIs

- Parametric model produces 3+ valid variants without geometry failures.
- BEMT tool runs end-to-end and outputs T-RPM, Q-RPM,  $\eta$ -J plots for each variant.
- Report demonstrates clear trade-offs (e.g., high-pitch vs low-pitch) with justified recommendations.
- Repository passes internal handoff check (another engineer can reproduce results).