

Proof book

Updated Oct 26 2018

Lemma 1.1: Equivalent states s_1 and s_2 of machines M_1 and M_2 (machines with same input and output alphabets but different states) go into equivalent states under each input.

Proof:

Suppose for some $s'_1 = \delta(s_1, x)$ and $s'_2 = \delta(s_2, x)$, we have $\bar{\lambda}_1(s'_1, \bar{w}) \neq \bar{\lambda}_2(s'_2, \bar{w})$ for some \bar{w} . Then, we have found an input sequence $a\bar{w}$ such that $\bar{\lambda}_1(s_1, a\bar{w}) \neq \bar{\lambda}_2(s_2, a\bar{w})$ which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 1.1: Given a machine M_1 , there is a reduced machine M equivalent to M_1 . Furthermore, if M_2 is any machine equivalent to M_1 , then there exists a state homomorphism which maps M_2 onto M .

Proof:

a. For any unreduced machine M_0 where there exists different but equivalent states s_1 and s_2 , we can group these two states into one new state s such that all inward transitions to s_1 or s_2 now go into s , and all outward transitions from s_1 or s_2 now go out of s . Additionally, s is equivalent to both s_1 and s_2 . This reduces the number of equivalent states (in terms of s_1/s_2) by one and does not introduce new equivalent states. We can repeat this process until every state has no other equivalent states but itself. Hence, by definition of *reduced*, we have a reduced machine, and it is shown that it must exist for every machine.

b. Since M_2 is equivalent to M , for every state s_2 in S_2 of M_2 , there exists an (and only one as M is reduced) equivalent state s in S of M . Hence, we can define $h : S_2 \rightarrow S$ if and only if $s_2 \equiv s$. This mapping is also onto since equivalence goes both ways. By Lemma 1.1 and $s_2 \equiv h(s_2)$ for all s_2 , we have $\delta_2(s_2, a) \equiv \delta(h(s_2), a)$ for all s_2 and a . By the definition of h , $h[\delta_2(s_2, a)] = \delta(h(s_2), a)$, which implies homomorphism from M_2 to M . \square

Corollary 1.1: If two reduced machines are equivalent, then they are isomorphic.

Justification:

Because $rM_1 \equiv rM_2$, there is a two-way state homomorphism, which is an isomorphism.

Theorem 1.4: If M' is a realization of M , then $\bar{\lambda}(s, \bar{x}) = \xi[\bar{\lambda}'(s', \iota(\bar{x}))]$.

Proof:

Lemma 1.4.a: $\bar{\delta}'[\alpha(s), \iota(\bar{x})] \subseteq \alpha[\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x})]$

Proof:

We induce on \bar{x} . The base case holds by definition.

Inductively, we have $\bar{\delta}'[\alpha(s), \iota(\bar{x}')] \subseteq \alpha[\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x}')]$. Assume $v \in \bar{\delta}'[\alpha(s), \iota(\bar{x}')]$, then $v \in \alpha[\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x}')]$. Hence, $\delta'[v, \iota(x)] \in \delta'[\alpha[\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x}'), \iota(x)], \iota(x)]$. By the property of assignment, $\delta'[\alpha[\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x}'), \iota(x)], \iota(x)] \subseteq \alpha[\delta[\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x}'), x]] = \alpha[\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x})]$. That is, $v \in \alpha[\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x})]$, and that $\bar{\delta}'[\alpha(s), \iota(\bar{x}')] \subseteq \alpha[\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x})]$. Since in general we have $\bar{\delta}'[\alpha(s), \iota(\bar{x})] \subseteq \bar{\delta}'[\alpha(s), \iota(\bar{x}')] \subseteq \alpha[\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x})]$, thus $\bar{\delta}'[\alpha(s), \iota(\bar{x})] \subseteq \alpha[\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x})]$. \square

Evaluate the left hand side

$\bar{\lambda}(s, \bar{x})$ [By definition of $\bar{\lambda}$]

$= \lambda(\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x}'), x)$ [By property 2 of realization]

$= \xi[\lambda'[\alpha(\bar{\delta}(s, \bar{x}')), x]]$ [By Lemma 1.4.a]

$= \xi[\lambda'[\bar{\delta}'[\alpha(s), \iota(\bar{x}')), x]]$ [By definition of $\bar{\lambda}$]

$$= \xi[\bar{\lambda}'(s', \iota(\bar{x}))] \square$$

Theorem 1.5: If M and M' are equivalent machines, then M is realized by M' and M' is realized by M .

Proof:

Because $M \equiv M'$, we have isomorphism h such that $h[\delta(s, a)] = \delta'[h(s), a]$ for all s and a . Based on h , we can have $\alpha : S \rightarrow \{S'\}$ where all s is mapped to a singleton set containing $h(s)$. Moreover, ι and ξ are identity functions. Therefore, $\alpha[\delta(s, a)] = \{h[\delta(s, a)]\} = \{\delta'[h(s), a]\} \supseteq \{\delta'[h(s), a]\} = \delta'(\alpha(s), a)$. That is, $\alpha[\delta(s, a)] \supseteq \delta'[\alpha(s), \iota(a)]$, so the first property is satisfied. The proof of the second property is trivial. \square