CSE 4550 – Software Engineering

Prototype evaluation sheet

.Instructor: Dr.Jennifer Jin (<u>Jennifer.jin@csusb.edu</u>)

PROTOTYPE EVALUATION SHEET

Group	APPLICATION NAME	TOTAL SCORE
1	Health Freaks	69 / 70

CRITERIA	DESCRIPTION	LOW SCORE					HIGH SCO				
Overview	1. Scope of the work and timeline are	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	<mark>10</mark>
	clearly defined										
	2. Recommendations and lessons learned										
	about software design and implementation										
	QUESTIONS/ANSWI	ERS									

CRITERIA	DESCRIPTION	LOW SCORE	HIGH SCORE
Demonstration	 Demonstration provides a clear introduction to the project idea A verbal behind-the-scenes description is provided during the demonstration 	1 2 3 4 5 6	7 8 9 <mark>10</mark>
	QUESTIONS/ANSWI	ERS	

CRITERIA	DESCRIPTION	LOW SCORE		HIGH SCOR			ORE				
Styling	1. User interface is intuitive, and the user	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
and consistency	can easily use it on their own										

(Demonstration)	without guidance.
	2. Styling is consistent and call-to-
	action elements are obvious. The
	application provides the user with
	relevant feedback based on
	interactions.
	3. Demonstration covers all major
	features of the proposed application
	from a user standpoint
	OLIEGTIONG/ANGWEDG
	QUESTIONS/ANSWERS

DESCRIPTION			LO	W S	CO	RE			Н	IIGI	I SC	ORE
Application/prototype	is	fully	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
functional according to the	e planne	ed :										
backlog items in Sprint 3												
QUEST	ΓΙΟΝS/.	ANSWI	ERS									
ion implemented or was it s	crapped	1?										
-			MI?									
•												
	Application/prototype functional according to the backlog items in Sprint 3 QUEST on implemented or was it s	Application/prototype is functional according to the planne backlog items in Sprint 3 QUESTIONS/Lon implemented or was it scrapped	Application/prototype is fully functional according to the planned backlog items in Sprint 3 QUESTIONS/ANSWI on implemented or was it scrapped?	Application/prototype is fully 1 functional according to the planned backlog items in Sprint 3 QUESTIONS/ANSWERS	Application/prototype is fully 1 2 functional according to the planned backlog items in Sprint 3 QUESTIONS/ANSWERS on implemented or was it scrapped?	Application/prototype is fully 1 2 3 functional according to the planned backlog items in Sprint 3 QUESTIONS/ANSWERS on implemented or was it scrapped?	Application/prototype is fully 1 2 3 4 functional according to the planned backlog items in Sprint 3 QUESTIONS/ANSWERS on implemented or was it scrapped?	Application/prototype is fully 1 2 3 4 5 functional according to the planned backlog items in Sprint 3 QUESTIONS/ANSWERS on implemented or was it scrapped?	Application/prototype is fully 1 2 3 4 5 6 functional according to the planned backlog items in Sprint 3 QUESTIONS/ANSWERS on implemented or was it scrapped?	Application/prototype is fully 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 functional according to the planned backlog items in Sprint 3 QUESTIONS/ANSWERS on implemented or was it scrapped?	Application/prototype is fully 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 functional according to the planned backlog items in Sprint 3 QUESTIONS/ANSWERS on implemented or was it scrapped?	Application/prototype is fully 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 functional according to the planned backlog items in Sprint 3 QUESTIONS/ANSWERS on implemented or was it scrapped?

CRITERIA	DESCRIPTION	LO	W S	CO	RE			Н	IIGI	H SC	CORE
Presentation 1	Engaged audience and held their attention throughout with creative articulation, enthusiasm, and clarity focused presentation	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	QUESTIONS/ANSWI	ERS									

CRITERIA	DESCRIPTION	LOW SCORE	HIGH SCORE

Presentation 2	Exceptional confidence with material displayed through poise, clear articulation, eye contact, and enthusiasm	0
	QUESTIONS/ANSWERS	

CRITERIA	DESCRIPTION	LOW SCORE						HIGH SCORE				
Presentation 3	Presented within the allotted time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	QUESTIONS/ANSW	ERS										