



ΠΑΝΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΙΟ ΚΡΗΤΗΣ
UNIVERSITY OF CRETE

Static Race Detection Warning Ranking

Bachelor Thesis

Bachelor Thesis on Computer Science
at University of Crete, Department of Computer Science

Submitted by:

Georgios Gournianakis 3407

Supervisor:

Polyvios Pratikakis, Assistant Professor

Heraklion 04.03.2022

Abstract

In this thesis, I analyze the program I worked on, Locksmith, and the way it functions. In addition, I analyze the changes I made in it in order to rank the warnings that it generates. For that, I created a couple of criteria that will be used by Locksmith to evaluate the warnings, applied the criteria inside Locksmith's code and sorted the warnings depending on that evaluation before printing them. This ranking would distinguish potential important warnings from potential false positives, helping the users of Locksmith to better manage the warnings.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr.Polyvios Pratikakis, Assistant Professor in Computer Science Department of University of Crete for letting me work in one of his projects and sharing his knowledge with me.

Introduction

Threads are used by programmers in order for their programs to do multiple tasks concurrently. They greatly decrease the execution time of a program, but their use creates a different problem. A thread may write to a memory address while at the same time one or more other threads are writing or reading that same memory address and may lead to unexpected results for the program. This is what we call a “data race”. Therefore, programmers have to ensure that their programs have no data races in them.

In order to deal with the data races, programmers can use locks in their programs. A lock is a mechanism that, once acquired by a thread, it permits that one thread to access a shared location, while also preventing the other threads from accessing it at the same time. Once the thread finishes reading/writing in that location, it releases the lock and another random thread acquires the lock.

Since we established how are these data races going to be removed, there is the issue of how are they going to find them when they occur. Running the program and detecting the races could work if it is small enough. However, in larger programs this would be nigh-impossible to do and too time-consuming. We can analyze and check the source code directly without actually running the program, thus saving time. This is called “static analysis”. So, programmers need a program that does exactly that and that’s what Locksmith is for.

Locksmith

Locksmith is a tool that performs static analysis in order to detect data races in C programs. It tries to predict which memory addresses are prone to have data races by reading the program in compile time. Locksmith analyzes multithreaded programs that uses locks to protect shared memory locations and prevent concurrent reading and writing in them. It finds each shared memory address and checks which locks protect that location, if any. If not, then we have a data race in that location.

So, let's see how exactly does Locksmith work. Locksmith's algorithm is divided in a number of phases. These phases are as follows:

Typing phase

Locksmith reads the entire program and stores its control flow. All information about the control flow is represented in the code by phi (ϕ) structs. Information about the global variables is represented by rho (ρ) structs. It is in this phase that Locksmith knows where exactly and how many times each variable is accessed for reading or writing.

Shared phase

In this phase, Locksmith finds all variables that could be used simultaneously among the threads. These variables/memory addresses are the ones who need to be checked for data races. Other variables, such as thread local variables, are not prone to data races and thus are excluded from this analysis.

Linearity phase

Checks if the locks used are linear or non-linear. If a lock is non-linear, it means that it could represent multiple locks and Locksmith cannot know which lock is acquired in this case.

Lock State phase

Locksmith finds the state of each lock after each statement of the program i.e. if a lock is acquired at any point or not.

Guarded-By phase

In this phase, Locksmith checks each shared variable/memory address which locks are held each time they are accessed. More specifically, it initializes guards in each dereference of shared variables which stores a correlation of a variable with a corresponding lock, if any. Then, for each guard, it propagates through the program flow backwards until it reaches the `main()` function, possibly creating more correlations and guards on its way. It ends once every correlation has propagated to the `main()` function. After this phase, Locksmith knows where each variable acquires or releases a lock at any point, so it knows all the potential data races.

Races phase

Prints whether a variable could have a potential data race as a warning, along with that variable's references.

Since we learned how Locksmith works, we want to see how exactly Locksmith shows the data races. For that, we will need an example like the one below.

Locksmith output

Let's consider the following program:

test.c

```
#include <pthread.h>

pthread_mutex_t lock1, lock2, lock[2];
float shared_var;
int partly_unprotected;
float non_linear;
int many_refs;
int completely_unprotected;

void *my_func(void *arg) {
    int local_var = 4, local_var2;
    pthread_mutex_lock(&lock1);
    shared_var++;
    many_refs += 5;
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock1);
    pthread_mutex_lock(&lock2);
    partly_unprotected = local_var;
    local_var += many_refs;
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock2);
    many_refs = 1;
    local_var = partly_unprotected;
    completely_unprotected--;
    pthread_mutex_lock(&lock[1]);
    non_linear = 4.2;
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock[1]);
    local_var2 = many_refs;
    return NULL;
}

int main() {
    pthread_t t1, t2, t[2];

    int i;
    for(i = 0; i < 2; i++)
    {
        pthread_mutex_init(&lock[i], NULL);
        pthread_create(&t[i], NULL, my_func, NULL);
    }

    pthread_mutex_init(&lock1, NULL);
    pthread_mutex_init(&lock2, NULL);
    pthread_create(&t1, NULL, my_func, NULL);
    pthread_create(&t2, NULL, my_func, NULL);
}
```

```
return 1;  
}
```

This is Locksmith's output for this program:

Warning: Possible data race: &partly_unprotected:test.c:5 is not protected!
references:

dereference of &partly_unprotected:test.c:5 at test.c:17
 &partly_unprotected:test.c:5

locks acquired:

 concrete lock2:test.c:41
 lock2:test.c:3

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44

dereference of &partly_unprotected:test.c:5 at test.c:21
 &partly_unprotected:test.c:5

locks acquired:

 <empty>

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44

Warning: Possible data race: &non_linear:test.c:6 is protected by non-linear or concrete lock(s):

 *lock:test.c:3

 non linear concrete lock[i]:test.c:36

references:

dereference of &non_linear:test.c:6 at test.c:24
 &non_linear:test.c:6

locks acquired:

 *lock:test.c:3
 non linear concrete lock[i]:test.c:36

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44

Warning: Possible data race: &many_refs:test.c:7 is not protected!

references:

dereference of &many_refs:test.c:7 at test.c:14
 &many_refs:test.c:7

locks acquired:

 concrete lock1:test.c:40
 lock1:test.c:3

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37

```
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44

dereference of &many_refs:test.c:7 at test.c:18
  &many_refs:test.c:7
locks acquired:
  concrete lock2:test.c:41
  lock2:test.c:3
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44
```

```
dereference of &many_refs:test.c:7 at test.c:20
  &many_refs:test.c:7
locks acquired:
  <empty>
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44
```

```
dereference of &many_refs:test.c:7 at test.c:26
  &many_refs:test.c:7
locks acquired:
  <empty>
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44
```

Warning: Possible data race: &completely_unprotected:test.c:8 is not protected!

```
references:
dereference of &completely_unprotected:test.c:8 at test.c:22
  &completely_unprotected:test.c:8
locks acquired:
  <empty>
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44
```

As we can see, of all the variables used, `many_refs`, `completely_unprotected` and `partly_unprotected` are not protected and thus prone to data races. In the case of `completely_unprotected`, it is used twice (decrement both reads and writes a value) and no lock was ever acquired (and released) at this point. The `partly_unprotected` variable has two references: in the first one it acquired one lock before being accessed but in the second one it didn't do so. In the case of `many_refs`, it is used in 4 statements but is only protected in two of them. `Non-linear` is only accessed once but it uses a non-linear lock. As for the other variables, `shared_var` is accessed once and has got a lock at that point, so it is protected. `local_var` and `local_var2` are thread local variables, so they are not shared with the other thread and there is no need to check if they are protected.

Notice that the warnings are shown in the order their respective variables were first declared. While this is not really problematic in small programs, it is in larger ones. That's because of the way Locksmith works. Since it doesn't actually run the program, we can't know for certain if those warnings are indeed data races or false alarms. So, just in case we have dozens of warnings, it is a good idea to rank the warnings, from the most important to the least. For that, we need to set a couple of evaluation criteria first so we know which warnings are more important than the others.

Evaluation Criteria

In order to sort the warnings, Locksmith uses certain evaluation criteria. Warnings are sorted in descending order of importance. But first, we should establish what makes a warning important in the first place. For the programmer who checks his program for data races, an important warning would be either something that affects the program in a significant way or something that is more likely to be a data race. This is not always possible to determine if that's the case, so we use some approximations based on the data we can gather from the static analysis. That all that being said, the criteria used in Locksmith are as follows:

The number of times a memory address is accessed for writing

As was already mentioned, in order for data races to exist, the threads have to write in a shared memory address. If there are multiple writing operations for a specific variable, it probably means that it would affect the program more and needs more to be done to fix the data race than a race with fewer writing operations.

The number of total references of a memory address

Each access of a memory address constitutes a reference to it. Similarly with the first criterion, if a variable is accessed a lot in a program, it probably means that it is more impactful for the program than a variable that is accessed fewer times. In this case, a warning regarding the former variable will be considered more important than the latter.

Locks acquired by a variable

Locks prevent simultaneous access to a memory address by multiple threads. This usually prevents data races, however, locks should be acquired every time before a shared variable is accessed and released each time after it is done. It only needs to be accessed once without a lock for a data race to occur. While a data race would be equally disastrous for a program if a variable used no locks and another variable used locks in a few read/write operations, the latter is easier to fix and thus will be considered less important than the former.

A memory address is protected by non-linear locks

Sometimes a memory address may be protected by a lock. However, in some cases, different locks may be created in the same line in the program (e.g. inside a loop) and using these locks may cause a warning because the locks would be non-linear. Because Locksmith is unable to tell apart two function calls in the same statement, it cannot possibly know whether it can cause an actual data race or not. As a result, those warnings are not deemed important.

So, with the evaluation criteria established, the next question would be how exactly are these criteria implemented inside Locksmith. The next section clears this out.

Implementation

Knowing the evaluation criteria, it is obvious where exactly inside Locksmith we can implement them. The first two criteria become known in the Typing Phase, the third criterion in the Guarded-By Phase, and the last one in the Linearity Phase. It is therefore apparent that we must use the information from these phases to get what we want.

More specifically, each shared location has a value called `rho_priority_value`. The higher that number is, the higher the importance of a warning regarding it. These locations are stored in the `all_concrete_rho` reference. Throughout Locksmith's analysis, it updates these values whenever necessary with a positive modifier depending on the evaluation criteria that increase the importance of the warnings. For example, a variable that is accessed once for writing (+20000) receives a larger modifier than a variable that is accessed once for reading (+10000). Similarly, it gives a negative modifier depending on the evaluation criteria that decrease the importance of the warnings. For example, a variable that acquired one lock (-10000) receives a smaller negative modifier than a variable with a non-linear lock (-1000000000). Once the analysis is done, it sorts `all_concrete_rho` in descending order and prints those who may cause warnings. It is important to note that `rho_priority_value` will be updated for all shared locations during the analysis, regardless if it leads to a race or not.

Consider the previous program again. If we run Locksmith again by ranking the warnings (which does by default) we get this:

```
Warning: Possible data race: &many_refs:test.c:7 is not protected!
references:
dereference of &many_refs:test.c:7 at test.c:14
  &many_refs:test.c:7
locks acquired:
  concrete lock1:test.c:40
  lock1:test.c:3
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44

dereference of &many_refs:test.c:7 at test.c:18
  &many_refs:test.c:7
locks acquired:
  concrete lock2:test.c:41
  lock2:test.c:3
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44

dereference of &many_refs:test.c:7 at test.c:20
  &many_refs:test.c:7
locks acquired:
  <empty>
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43
```

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44

dereference of &many_refs:test.c:7 at test.c:26
 &many_refs:test.c:7

locks acquired:

<empty>

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44

Warning: Possible data race: &completely_unprotected:test.c:8 is not protected!

references:

dereference of &completely_unprotected:test.c:8 at test.c:22
 &completely_unprotected:test.c:8

locks acquired:

<empty>

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44

Warning: Possible data race: &partly_unprotected:test.c:5 is not protected!

references:

dereference of &partly_unprotected:test.c:5 at test.c:17
 &partly_unprotected:test.c:5

locks acquired:

concrete lock2:test.c:41

lock2:test.c:3

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44

dereference of &partly_unprotected:test.c:5 at test.c:21
 &partly_unprotected:test.c:5

locks acquired:

<empty>

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43

in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44

Warning: Possible data race: &non_linear:test.c:6 is protected by non-linear or concrete lock(s):

*lock:test.c:3

non linear concrete lock[i]:test.c:36

references:

dereference of &non_linear:test.c:6 at test.c:24
 &non_linear:test.c:6

locks acquired:

*lock:test.c:3

```
non linear concrete lock[i]:test.c:36
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:37
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:43
in: main at test.c:30 -> test.c:44
```

As we can see, the order of the warnings appears to be completely different. This time, `many_refs` appears first. In total, it has 2 writing operations, 3 reading operations and acquired locks twice for a value of $2*20000 + 3*10000 + 2*(-10000) = 50000$. Next up is `completely_unprotected` with 1 writing operation and 1 reading operation for a value of $20000 + 10000 = 30000$. After that we have `partly_unprotected` with 1 writing operation, 1 reading operation and 1 lock acquired for a value of $20000 + 10000 - 10000 = 20000$. Finally, we have `non_linear` with 1 writing operation, 1 lock acquired and 1 non-linear lock for a value of $20000 - 10000 - 10000000000 = -9999900000$. As we can see, the warnings are indeed mentioned in descending order of their `rho_priority_values`.

Conclusion

So, to sum up, Locksmith is a program that analyzes other programs written in C in order to find any possible data races. Then, it ranks all potential warnings depending on their potential impact on the program and the likelihood of it being a false positive result. This makes it easier for a programmer to acknowledge and eventually remove the data races.

References

Polyvios Pratikakis, Jeffrey S. Foster and Michael Hicks. Locksmith: Practical Static Race Detection for C

Polyvios Pratikakis, Jeffrey S. Foster and Michael Hicks. Locksmith: Context-Sensitive Correlation Analysis for Race Detection