CLEAN GROUP RINGS OVER LOCALIZATIONS OF RINGS OF INTEGERS

YUANLIN LI AND QINGHAI ZHONG

Abstract. A ring R is said to be clean if each element of R can be written as the sum of a unit and an idempotent. In a recent article (J. Algebra, 405 (2014), 168-178), Immormino and McGoven characterized when the group ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[C_n]$ is clean, where $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the localization of the integers at the prime p. In this paper, we consider a more general setting. Let K be an algebraic number field, $\mathcal{O}_K$ be its ring of integers, and R be a localization of $\mathcal{O}_K$ at some prime ideal. We investigate when $R[G]$ is clean, where G is a finite abelian group, and obtain a complete characterization for such a group ring to be clean for the case when $K=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ is a cyclotomic field or $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is a quadratic field.

1. Introduction

All rings considered here are associative with identity $1\neq 0$. An element of a ring R is called clean if it is the sum of a unit and an idempotent, and a ring R is called clean if each element of R is clean. Clean rings were introduced and related to exchange rings by Nicholson in 1977 [12] and the study of clean rings has attracted a great deal of attention in recent 2 decades. For some fundamental properties about clean rings as well as a nice history of clean rings we suggest the interested reader to check the article [10].

Let G be a multiplicative group. We denote by $R[G]$ the group ring of G over R which is the set of all formal sums

$$\alpha=\sum_{g\in G}\alpha_g g\,,$$

where $\alpha_g\in R$ and the support of α, $\operatorname{supp}(\alpha)=\{g\in G\mid \alpha_g\neq 0\}$, is finite. We let C_n denote the cyclic group of order n. Since a homomorphic image of a clean ring is a clean ring, it follows that it is necessary that R is clean whenever $R[G]$ is.

In this paper, we investigate the question of when a commutative group ring $R[G]$ over a local ring R is clean. We also study when such a group ring is $*$-clean (see next section for the definition of $*$-clean rings). Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ denote the localization of the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers at the prime p. In [3], the authors proved that $\mathbb{Z}_{(7)}[C_3]$ is not clean. It then follows that since $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is a clean ring (as it is local) that R being a commutative clean ring is not sufficient for $R[G]$ to be a clean ring. In a recent paper [7], it was shown that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[C_3]$ is clean if and only if $p\not\equiv 1 \pmod 3$. More generally, the authors gave a complete characterization of when $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[C_n]$ is clean. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is a local ring between $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$. In this paper, we consider a more general setting. Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a commutative local ring and we denote $\overline{R}=R/\mathfrak{m}$. Let K be an algebraic number field, $\mathcal{O}_K$ be its ring of integers, and R be a localization of $\mathcal{O}_K$ at some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$. We investigate when $R[G]$ is clean, where G is a finite abelian group, and provide a complete characterization for such a group ring to be clean for the case when $K=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ is a cyclotomic field or $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is a quadratic field. Our main results are as follows.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 16S34 Secondary: 11R11, 11R18.

Key words and phrases. Clean ring; Group ring; Ring of algebraic integers; Primitive root of unity; Cyclotomic field.

This research was supported in part by a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by the Austrian Science Fund FWF, Project Number P 28864-N35.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ be a cyclotomic field for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ its rings of integers, $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ a nonzero prime ideal, and G a finite abelian group. Let p be the prime with $p\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$, let n_0 be the maximal positive divisor of n with $p \nmid n_0$, let n_1 be the maximal divisor of $\exp(G)$ with $p \nmid n_1$ and $\gcd(n_1, n_0) = 1$, and let m' be the maximal divisor of $\frac{\operatorname{lcm}(\exp(G), n_0)}{n_0 n_1}$ with $p \nmid m'$.*

Then the group ring $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is clean if and only if $\operatorname{ord}_{n_1} p = \varphi(n_1)$, $\operatorname{ord}_{n_0 m'} p = m' \operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p$, and $\gcd(\operatorname{ord}_{n_1} p, \operatorname{ord}_{n_0 m'} p) = 1$. In particular, if $\exp(G)$ is a divisor of n, then $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is clean.

Note that if $n = 1$ (i.e. $K = \mathbb{Q}$), then $n_0 = 1$ and $m' = 1$. Therefore Theorem 1.1 implies the following corollary which is exactly the main result of [7, Theorem 3.3].

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group, let p be a prime number, and let n_1 be the maximal divisor of $\exp(G)$ with $p \nmid n_1$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[G]$ is clean if and only if $\operatorname{ord}_{n_1} p = \varphi(n_1)$(i.e. p is a primitive root of n_1).*

Theorem 1.3. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ be a quadratic field for some non-zero square-free integer $d \neq 1$, $\mathcal{O}$ its rings of integers, $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ a nonzero prime ideal, and G a finite abelian group. Let p be the prime with $p\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$, let Δ be the discriminant of K, and let n be the maximal positive divisor of $\exp(G)$ with $p \nmid n$.*

1. *If $\Delta \nmid n$, then $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is clean if and only if one of the following holds*
   (a) *$p = 2$ is a primitive root of unity of n and $\Delta \not\equiv 5 \pmod 8$;*
   (b) *$p \neq 2$ is a primitive root of unity of n and $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) = 1$ or 0;*
   (c) *$n = 2$, $p \neq 2$, and $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) = -1$, where $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.*
2. *If $\Delta \mid n$ and $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4$, then $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is clean if and only if $|d|$ is a prime, $n = 4|d|^\ell$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) = 1$, and $\operatorname{ord}_n p = \varphi(n)/2$.*
3. *If $\Delta \mid n$ and $d \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is clean if and only if one of the following holds*
   (a) *$|d|$ is a prime, $n = |d|^\ell$ or $2|d|^\ell$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and either $\operatorname{ord}_n p = \frac{2\varphi(n)}{3 + \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)}$, or $\operatorname{ord}_n p = \varphi(n)/2$ with $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = -1$ and $d < 0$, where $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.*
   (b) *$|d| = q$ is a prime, $n = 4q^\ell$ with $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = 1$, $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, and $\operatorname{ord}_{q^\ell} p = q^{\ell-1}(q-1)/2$.*
   (c) *$|d| = q_1$ is a prime, $n = q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}$ or $2q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}$ with $q_1 \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, and q_2 is another odd prime, $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = 1$, p is a primitive root of unity of $q_2^{\ell_2}$, $\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}} p = q_1^{\ell_1 - 1}(q_1 - 1)/2$, and $\gcd(q_1^{\ell_1-1}(q_1 - 1)/2, q_2^{\ell_2-1}(q_2 - 1)) = 1$.*
   (d) *$|d| = q_1 q_2$ is a product of two distinct primes, $n = q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}$ or $2q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}$ with $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = 1$, p is a primitive root of unity of $q_1^{\ell_1}$ and $q_2^{\ell_2}$, and $\gcd(q_1^{\ell_1-1}(q_1 - 1)/2, q_2^{\ell_2-1}(q_2 - 1)/2) = 1$.*

In Section 2, we collect some necessary knowledge of the structure of the group of units $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and field extension. Furthermore, we give some general characterization theorems for clean and $*$-clean group rings. In Section 3, we deal with group rings over local subrings of cyclotomic fields and provide a proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we consider group rings over local subrings of quadratic fields and give a proof of Theorem 1.3.

2. Preliminaries

For a finite abelian group G, we denote by $\exp(G)$ the exponent of G. We denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of all positive integers and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\varphi(n)$ the Euler function. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $n = p_1^{k_1} \ldots p_s^{k_s}$ be its prime factorization, where $s, k_1, \ldots, k_s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_s$ are pair-wise distinct primes. It is well-known that

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \varphi(p_i^{k_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i^{k_i-1}(p_i-1) \\ \text{and} \quad &(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{k_1}\mathbb{Z})^\times \times \ldots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p_s^{k_s}\mathbb{Z})^\times . \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbb{Z}/p_i^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong C_{p_i^{k-1}(p_i-1)} && \text{where } p_i \ge 3, \\ &(\mathbb{Z}/2^\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times = \langle -1 \rangle \times \langle 5 \rangle \cong C_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}_{2^{\ell-2}} && \text{where } \ell \ge 3, \\ \text{and} \quad &(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong C_2 . \end{aligned}$$

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(m,n) = 1$, we denote by $\operatorname{ord}_n m = \operatorname{ord}_{(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times} m$ the multiplicative order of m modulo n. If $\operatorname{ord}_n m = \varphi(n)$, we say m is a primitive root of n and n has a primitive root if and only if $n = 2$, 4, q^ℓ, or $2q^\ell$, where q is an odd prime and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be another integer with $\gcd(n_1, m) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{ord}_n m \le \operatorname{ord}_{nn_1} m \le n_1 \operatorname{ord}_n m , \\ \text{and} \quad &\operatorname{lcm}(\operatorname{ord}_n m, \operatorname{ord}_{n_1} m) = \operatorname{ord}_{\operatorname{lcm}(n,n_1)} m . \end{aligned}$$

Let ζ_n be an nth primitive root of unity over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(n)$. Let m be another positive integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\gcd(n,m)}) \\ \text{and} \quad &\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)(\zeta_m) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\operatorname{lcm}(n,m)}) . \end{aligned}$$

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a commutative local ring and we denote $\overline{R} = R/\mathfrak{m}$. Then $\overline{R}$ is a field and we denote by $\operatorname{char} \overline{R}$ the characteristic of $\overline{R}$. For any polynomial $f(x) = a_n x^n + \ldots + a_0 \in R[x]$, we denote $\overline{f(x)} = \overline{a_n} x^n + \ldots + \overline{a_0} \in \overline{R}[x]$, where $\overline{a_i} = a_i + \mathfrak{m}$ for all $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$.

Let R be a ring R and let G be a multiplicative group. Then the group ring of G over R is the ring $R[G]$ of all formal sums

$$\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g ,$$

where $\alpha_g \in R$ and the support of α, $\operatorname{supp}(\alpha) = \{g \in G \mid \alpha_g \neq 0\}$, is finite. Addition is defined componentwise and multiplication is defined by the following way: for $\alpha, \beta \in R[G]$,

$$\alpha\beta = \left(\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \right) \left(\sum_{h \in G} \beta_h h \right) = \sum_{g,h \in G} \alpha_g \beta_h (gh) .$$

For more information on the group ring, we refer [11] as a reference.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a commutative noetherian local ring with $\operatorname{char} \overline{R} = p \ge 0$, let G be a finite abelian group, and let n be the maximal divisor of $\exp(G)$ with $p \nmid n$. Then $R[G]$ is clean if and only if each monic factor of $x^n - 1$ in $\overline{R}[x]$ can be lifted to a monic factor of $x^n - 1$ in $R[x]$.*

Proof. This follows from [7, Proposition 2.1] and [14, Theorem 5.8]. $\square$

Let K be an algebraic number field, $\mathcal{O}$ its rings of integers, and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ a nonzero prime ideal. Then there exists a prime p such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ and the localization $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$ is a discrete valuation ring, which implies that $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[x]$ is a UFD (Unique factorization domain). Furthermore, the norm $N(\mathfrak{p}) = |\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}| = |\overline{\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}|$ is a prime power of p.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field, where q is a power of some prime p and let $\overline{\zeta_n}$ be the nth primitive root of unity over $\mathbb{F}_p$ with $\gcd(n,q) = 1$. Then $[\mathbb{F}_q(\overline{\zeta_n}) : \mathbb{F}_q] = \operatorname{ord}_n q$. Let F be an arbitrary field and let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of $F[x]$. If α is a root of $f(x)$, then $[F(\alpha):F]=\deg(f(x))$ if and only if $f(x)$ is irreducible in $F[x]$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let K be an algebraic number field, $\mathcal{O}$ its rings of integers, $\mathfrak{p}\subset\mathcal{O}$ a nonzero prime ideal, and G a finite abelian group. Then the group ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is clean if and only if $[K[\zeta_m]\colon K]=\mathrm{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$ for every positive divisor m of $\exp(G)$ with $p\nmid m$, where ζ_m is an mth primitive root of unity over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. Let n be the maximal divisor of $\exp(G)$ with $p\nmid \exp(G)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[x]$ is a UFD, we suppose that $x^n-1=f_1(x)\cdot\ldots\cdot f_s(x)$, where $s\in\mathbb{N}$ and $f_1(x),\ldots,f_s(x)$ are monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[x]$. Then the Generalized Gauss' Primitive Polynomial Lemma implies that $f_1(x),\ldots,f_s(x)$ are also monic irreducible polynomials in $K[x]$. For every positive divisor m of n, let $\Phi_m(x)$ be the mth cyclotomic polynomial. Then $\Phi_m(x)\in\mathbb{Z}[x]\subset\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[x]$ and

$$x^n-1=\prod_{1<m\,|\,n}\Phi_m(x)=f_1(x)\cdot\ldots\cdot f_s(x)\,.$$

1. Suppose $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is clean. Let $f(x)$ be a monic irreducible factor of x^n-1 in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[x]$ and let $\mathfrak{h}(x)$ be a monic irreducible factor of $\overline{f(x)}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}[x]$. By Theorem 2.1, there exists a monic irreducible factor $h(x)$ of x^n-1 in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[x]$ such that $\overline{h(x)}=\mathfrak{h}(x)$. If $h(x)\neq f(x)$, it follows by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[x]$ is a UFD that $f(x)h(x)$ is a monic factor of x^n-1 in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[x]$ and hence $\overline{h(x)}^2$ is a monic factor of x^n-1 in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}[x]$. Since $\gcd(n,p)=1$, we obtain $x^n-1\in\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}[x]$ has no multiple root in any extension of $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, a contradiction. Therefore $h(x)=f(x)$ and hence $\overline{f(x)}=\overline{h(x)}=\mathfrak{h}(x)$ is irreducible in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}[x]$.

Let m be a positive divisor of n. It follows from the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[x]$ is a UFD that there exists $i\in[1,s]$ such that $f_i(x)$ divides $\Phi_m(x)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[x]$. Thus every root of $f_i(x)$ is a mth primitive root of unity in K and hence $[K(\zeta_m):K]=\deg(f_i(x))=\deg(\overline{f_i(x)})$. Since $\overline{f_i(x)}$ is irreducible in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}[x]$ and every root of $\overline{f_i(x)}$ is a mth primitive root of unity in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, we have $\deg(\overline{f_i(x)})=[\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\overline{\zeta}_m):\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}]=\mathrm{ord}_m N(\mathfrak{p})$, where $\overline{\zeta}_m$ is a mth primitive root of unity over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Therefore $[K(\zeta_m):K]=\mathrm{ord}_m N(\mathfrak{p})$.

2. Conversely, suppose $[K(\zeta_m):K]=\mathrm{ord}_m N(\mathfrak{p})$ for every divisor m of n. Let $i\in[1,s]$. Then $f_i(x)$ is a factor of some mth cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_m(x)$ with $m\,|\,n$. Since $f_i(x)$ is irreducible in $K[x]$, we have $\deg(f_i(x))=[K(\zeta_m):K]$ and hence

$$\deg(\overline{f_i(x)})=\deg(f_i(x))=[K(\zeta_m):K]=\mathrm{ord}_m N(\mathfrak{p})=|\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\overline{\zeta}_m):\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}|\,.$$

Therefore $\overline{f_i(x)}$ is irreducible in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}[x]$ and

$$x^n-1=\overline{f_1(x)}\cdot\ldots\cdot\overline{f_s(x)}\in\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}[x]\,.$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}(x)$ be a monic factor of $x^n-1\in\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}[x]$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}[x]$ is a UFD, there exists a subset $I\subset[1,s]$ such that $\mathfrak{h}(x)=\prod_{i\in I}\overline{f_i(x)}$ and hence $\overline{\prod_{i\in I}f_i(x)}=\mathfrak{h}(x)$. Therefore every monoic factor of $x^n-1\in\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}[x]$ can be lifted to a monioc factor of $x^n-1\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[x]$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is clean. $\square$

A ring R is called a $*$-ring if there exists an operation $*:R\to R$ such that $(x+y)^*=x^*+y^*$, $(xy)^*=x^*y^*$, and $(x^*)^*=x$ for all $x,y\in R$. An element $p\in R$ is said to be a projection if $p^*=p=p^2$ and a $*$-ring R is said to be a $*$-clean ring if every element of R is the sum of a unit and a projection. A commutative $*$-ring is $*$-clean if and only if it is clean and every idempotent is a projection ([8, Theorem 2.2]). Let G be an abelian group. With the classical involution

$$*:R[G]\to R[G],\text{given by}$$

$$\left(\sum a_g g\right)^*=\sum a_g g^{-1}\,,$$

the group ring $R[G]$ is a $*$-ring. The question of when a group ring $R[G]$ is $*$-clean has been recently studied by several authors and many interesting results were obtained (see, for examples, [2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9], for some recent developments). Next we provide a characterization for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ to be $*$-clean.

Theorem 2.3. *Let K be an algebraic number field, $\mathcal{O}$ its rings of integers, $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ a nonzero prime ideal with $p\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$, and G a finite abelian group with $p \nmid \exp(G)$. If the group ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is clean, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is $*$-clean if and only if $K[G]$ is $*$-clean.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ be clean. Suppose $K[G]$ is $*$-clean. Since every idempotent of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is an idempotent of $K[G]$, thus every idempotent of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is a projective. It follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is $*$-clean.

Suppose $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is $*$-clean. Let $\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_{\exp(G)})/\mathbb{Q}}$ be the ring of integers of $K(\zeta_{\exp(G)})$ and let I be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_{\exp(G)})/\mathbb{Q}}$ with $I \cap \mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{p}$. By [4, The beginning of Section 5] and $p \nmid \exp(G)$, there is a complete family of orthogonal idempotents of $K(\zeta_{\exp(G)})[G]$ which lies in $(\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_{\exp(G)})/\mathbb{Q}})_I[G]$. It follows from [4, Lemma 4.3] that every idempotent of $K[G]$ lies in $(\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_{\exp(G)})/\mathbb{Q}})_I[G] \cap K[G] = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$. Since every idempotent of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is a projection, we obtain every idempotent of $K[G]$ is a projection. Note that $K[G]$ is clean. Thus $K[G]$ is $*$-clean. □

3. Group rings over local subrings of cyclotomic fields

In this section, we investigate when a group ring over a local subring of a cyclotomic field is clean and provide a proof for our main theorem 1.1. We also characterize when such a group ring is $*$-clean. We start with the following lemma which we will use without further mention.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ be a cyclotomic field for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ its rings of integers, and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ a nonzero prime ideal with $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ for some prime p. Suppose $n = p^u n_0$ with $p \nmid n_0$. Then $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p^{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p}$.*

Proof. This follows by [1, VI.1.12 and VI.1.15]. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let n_2 be the maximal divisor of $\exp(G)$ such that $p \nmid n_2$ and let $n_3 = \frac{n_2}{n_1}$. Since

$$m' = \frac{\operatorname{lcm}(n_2, n_0)}{n_0 n_1} = \frac{\operatorname{lcm}(n_3, n_0)}{n_0},$$

we have $\operatorname{lcm}(n_3, n_0) = n_0 m'$ and $\operatorname{lcm}(n_2, n_0) = n_0 m' n_1$.

Let m be a divisor of n_2. Then

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\operatorname{lcm}(n,m)} : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)] = \frac{\varphi(\operatorname{lcm}(n, m))}{\varphi(n)} = \frac{\varphi(\operatorname{lcm}(n_0, m))}{\varphi(n_0)}$$

and

$$\operatorname{ord}_m N(\mathfrak{p}) = \operatorname{ord}_m p^{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p} = \frac{\operatorname{ord}_m p}{\gcd(\operatorname{ord}_m p, \operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p)} = \frac{\operatorname{lcm}(\operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p, \operatorname{ord}_m p)}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p} = \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,m)} p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p}.$$

Therefore by Theorem 2.2 that $R[G]$ is clean if and only if

$$\text{for every divisor } m \text{ of } n_2, \quad \text{we have} \quad \frac{\varphi(\operatorname{lcm}(n_0, m))}{\varphi(n_0)} = \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,m)} p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p}.$$

1. We first suppose that $R[G]$ is clean. Since n_1, n_3 and n_2 are divisors of n_2, we obtain

$$\frac{\varphi(\operatorname{lcm}(n_0, n_1))}{\varphi(n_0)} = \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,n_1)} p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p},$$
$$\frac{\varphi(\operatorname{lcm}(n_0, n_3))}{\varphi(n_0)} = \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,n_3)} p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p},$$
$$\text{and} \quad \frac{\varphi(\operatorname{lcm}(n_0, n_2))}{\varphi(n_0)} = \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,n_2)} p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p}.$$

Since $\gcd(n_1,n_0)=1$, we obtain

$$\varphi(n_1)=\frac{\varphi(\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,n_1))}{\varphi(n_0)}=\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,n_1)}p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}=\frac{\operatorname{lcm}(\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p,\operatorname{ord}_{n_1}p)}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}\le\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{n_1}p\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}=\operatorname{ord}_{n_1}p\le\varphi(n_1)\,.$$

Then $\operatorname{ord}_{n_1}p=\varphi(n_1)$.

Since

$$m'=\frac{\varphi(n_0m')}{\varphi(n_0)}=\frac{\varphi(\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,n_3))}{\varphi(n_0)}=\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,n_3)}p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}=\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m'}p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}\le\frac{m'\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}=m'\,,$$

we obtain $\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m'}p=m'\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}m'\varphi(n_1)&=\frac{\varphi(n_0m'n_1)}{\varphi(n_0)}=\frac{\varphi(\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,n_2))}{\varphi(n_0)}=\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,n_2)}p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}=\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m'n_1}p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}\\&=\frac{\operatorname{lcm}(\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m'}p,\operatorname{ord}_{n_1}p)}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}\le\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m'}p\operatorname{ord}_{n_1}p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}=\frac{m'\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p\varphi(n_1)}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}=m'\varphi(n_1)\,,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $\operatorname{lcm}(\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m'}p,\operatorname{ord}_{n_1}p)=\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m'}p\operatorname{ord}_{n_1}p$. Thus $\gcd(\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m'}p,\operatorname{ord}_{n_1}p)=1$.

2. Conversely, suppose that $\operatorname{ord}_{n_1}p=\varphi(n_1)$, $\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m'}p=m'\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p$, and $\gcd(\operatorname{ord}_{n_1}p,\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m'}p)=1$. Then for every $m\mid n_2$, we let $m_1=\gcd(m,n_1)$ and $m_2=\frac{\operatorname{lcm}(m/m_1,n_0)}{n_0}$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_{m_1}p=\varphi(m_1)$. It follows by $n_0m_2\mid n_0m'$ that $\gcd(\operatorname{ord}_{m_1}p,\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m_2}p)=1$ and

$$\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m'}p=\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m_2\frac{m'}{m_2}}p\le\frac{m'}{m_2}\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m_2}p\le\frac{m'}{m_2}m_2\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p=m'\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p=\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m'}p\,.$$

Thus $\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m_2}p=m_2\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,m)}p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}&=\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{m_1n_0m_2}p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}=\frac{\operatorname{lcm}(\operatorname{ord}_{m_1}p,\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m_2}p)}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}\\&=\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{m_1}p\operatorname{ord}_{n_0m_2}p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}=\frac{m_2\operatorname{ord}_{m_1}p\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}{\operatorname{ord}_{n_0}p}\\&=m_2\operatorname{ord}_{m_1}p=m_2\varphi(m_1)\\&=\frac{\varphi(m_1n_0m_2)}{\varphi(n_0)}=\frac{\varphi(\operatorname{lcm}(n_0,m))}{\varphi(n_0)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $R[G]$ is clean.

3. In particular, if $\exp(G)$ is a divisor of n, then $n_1=m'=1$ and hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is clean. □

Next we characterize when a group ring of a finite abelian group over a local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is $*$-clean. We need the following two propositions.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m+\zeta_m^{-1})(\zeta_n)=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)(\zeta_n)$ if and only if $\gcd(m,n)\ge3$ or $m\le2$.*

Proof. If $m\le2$, then it is obvious that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m+\zeta_m^{-1})(\zeta_n)=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)(\zeta_n)$. We suppose $m\ge3$.

Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m+\zeta_m^{-1})$. Then $K\subset K(\zeta_n)\subset\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)(\zeta_n)=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\operatorname{lcm}(n,m)})$. Thus $K(\zeta_n)=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)(\zeta_n)$ if and only if $[K(\zeta_n):K]=[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\operatorname{lcm}(n,m)}):K]$.

Since $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\operatorname{lcm}(n,m)}):K]=\frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\operatorname{lcm}(n,m)}):\mathbb{Q}]}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}=\frac{2\varphi(\operatorname{lcm}(m,n))}{\varphi(m)}=\frac{2\varphi(n)}{\varphi(\gcd(m,n))}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}[K(\zeta_n):K]&=[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n):K\cap\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)]=[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\gcd(m,n)})][\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\gcd(m,n)}):K\cap\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)]\\&=\frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(\gcd(m,n))}[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\gcd(m,n)}):K\cap\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\gcd(m,n)})]\\&=\frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(\gcd(m,n))}[K(\zeta_{\gcd(m,n)}):K]\,,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $|K(\zeta_{\gcd(m,n)}) : K| \le 2$. Moreover, $K(\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)(\zeta_n)$ if and only if $|K(\zeta_{\gcd(m,n)}) : K| = 2$ if and only if $\zeta_{\gcd(m,n)} \notin K$.

If $\gcd(m,n) \ge 3$, then $\zeta_{\gcd(m,n)} \notin \mathbb{R}$ and hence $\zeta_{\gcd(m,n)} \notin K \subset \mathbb{R}$. It follows that $K(\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)(\zeta_n)$.

If $\gcd(m,n) \le 2$, then $\zeta_{\gcd(m,n)} \in \mathbb{Q} \subset K$ and hence $K(\zeta_n) \ne \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)(\zeta_n)$. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a finite abelian group and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)[G]$ is $*$-clean if and only if* $\exp(G) \ge 3$ *and* $\gcd(\exp(G), n) \le 2$.

Proof. This follows from [4, Theorem 1.2] and Proposition 3.2. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ be a cyclotomic field for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ its rings of integers, $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ a nonzero prime ideal with $p\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$, where p is a prime, and G a finite abelian group with $p \nmid \exp(G)$. let n_0 be the maximal divisor of n with $p \nmid n_0$ and let n_1 be the maximal divisor of* $\exp(G)$ *with* $\gcd(n_1, n_0) = 1$. *Then the group ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is $*$-clean if and only if* $\operatorname{ord}_{n_1} p = \varphi(n_1)$, $3 \le \exp(G) \le 2n_1$, *and* $\gcd(\operatorname{ord}_{n_1} p, \operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p) = 1$.

Proof. 1. Suppose $\operatorname{ord}_{n_1} p = \varphi(n_1)$, $3 \le \exp(G) \le 2n_1$, and $\gcd(\varphi(n_1), \operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p) = 1$. Since every prime divisor of $\exp(G)/n_1$ is a divisor of n_0, it follows from $\exp(G)/n_1 \le 2$ that $(\exp(G)/n_1)$ divides n_0. Hence

$$\frac{\operatorname{lcm}(\exp(G), n_0)}{n_0 n_1} = \frac{\operatorname{lcm}(\exp(G)/n_1, n_0)}{n_0} = 1\,.$$

Thus by Theorem 1.1 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is clean. Since $p \nmid \exp(G)$, we have $\gcd(n, \exp(G)) = \gcd(n_0, \exp(G)/n_1) \le 2$. Thus it follows from Proposition 3.3 that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)[G]$ is $*$-clean and hence by Theorem 2.3 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is $*$-clean.

2. Suppose $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is $*$-clean. Let $m' = \frac{\operatorname{lcm}(\exp(G), n_0)}{n_0 n_1}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is clean, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{n_1} p = \varphi(n_1), \qquad \operatorname{ord}_{n_0 m'} p = m' \operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p, \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd(\varphi(n_1), m' \operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p) = 1\,.$$

By Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 3.3, we have $\exp(G) \ge 3$ and $\gcd(n, \exp(G)) \le 2$. Thus $\gcd(n_0, \exp(G)/n_1) \le 2$. Since every prime divisor of $\exp(G)/n_1$ is a divisor of n_0, we obtain

$$\exp(G) = 2^{\ell} n_1 \text{ for some } \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0\,.$$

If $\ell \ge 2$, then $n_0 = 2n_0'$ with n_0' is odd which implies that $m' = 2^{\ell-1}$. Thus

$$2^{\ell-1} \operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p = m' \operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p = \operatorname{ord}_{m' n_0} p = \operatorname{lcm}(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\ell}} p, \operatorname{ord}_{n_0'} p) \le 2^{\ell-2} \operatorname{ord}_{n_0'} p = 2^{\ell-2} \operatorname{ord}_{n_0} p\,,$$

a contradiction. Thus $\exp(G) \le 2n_1$ and $m' = 1$. The assertion follows. □

Next, we provide some ($*$-clean or non $*$-clean) clean group rings in each case of the characterizations of Theorems 1.1 and 3.4.

Example 3.5. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ be a cyclotomic field for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ its rings of integers, and G a finite abelian group with $\exp(G) \ge 3$.

1. If p is a primitive root of unity of $\exp(G)$, then $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[G]$ is $*$-clean.
2. Suppose $\gcd(\exp(G), n) = 1$ and $\exp(G)$ has a primitive root. If there is a prime divisor q of $\varphi(n)$ such that $q \nmid \varphi(\exp(G))$, then there exists $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(x, n) = 1$ and $\gcd(y, \exp(G)) = 1$ such that $\operatorname{ord}_n x = q$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{\exp(G)} y = \varphi(\exp(G))$. By Chinese Remainder Theory, there exists $z \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(z, n\exp(G)) = 1$ such that $\operatorname{ord}_n z = q$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{\exp(G)} z = \varphi(\exp(G))$. By Dirichlet's prime number theorem, there is a prime p such that $p \equiv z \pmod{n \exp(G)}$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ be a prime ideal such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$. Then by Theorem 3.4 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is $*$-clean.
3. Suppose $\gcd(\exp(G), n) \ge 3$, $\gcd\left(\frac{\exp(G)}{\gcd(\exp(G),n)}, n\right) = 1$, and $\frac{\exp(G)}{\gcd(\exp(G),n)}$ has a primitive root. If there is a prime divisor q of $\varphi(n)$ such that $q \nmid \varphi\left(\frac{\exp(G)}{\gcd(\exp(G),n)}\right)$, then there exists a prime p such that $\gcd(\operatorname{ord}_n p, \operatorname{ord}_{\frac{\exp(G)}{\gcd(\exp(G),n)}} p) = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ be a prime ideal such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$. Then by Theorems 1.1 and 3.4, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is clean but not $*$-clean.

4. Let $n = 7$, $\exp(G) = 49 \times 3$, and let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ be a prime ideal such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = 23\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\operatorname{ord}_7 23 = 3$, $\operatorname{ord}_3 23 = 2 = \varphi(3)$, and $\operatorname{ord}_{49} 23 = 21 = 7 \operatorname{ord}_7 23$, it follows from Theorems 1.1 and 3.4 $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is clean but not $*$-clean.

4. Group rings over local subrings of quadratic fields

In this section, we investigate when a group ring over a local subring of a quadratic field is clean. Let d be a non-zero square-free integer with $d \neq 1$, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ a quadratic number field,

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{d} & \text{if } d \equiv 2,3 \pmod 4\,, \\ \dfrac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2} & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod 4\,, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \Delta = \begin{cases} 4d & \text{if } d \equiv 2,3 \pmod 4\,, \\ d & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod 4\,. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ is the ring of integers and Δ is the discriminant of K.

For an odd prime p and an integer a, we denote by $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ the Legendre symbol of a modulo p.

We first provide two useful lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $d \neq 1$ be a non-zero square-free integer and let Δ be the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ if and only if n is a multiple of Δ.*

Proof. This follows from [13, Corollary 4.5.5] □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $d \neq 1$ be a non-zero square-free integer and let I be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$, where $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Suppose Δ is the discriminant of K and $\operatorname{char} \mathcal{O}_K/I = p$, where p is a prime.*

1. *If $p = 2$, then $N(I) = p$ if and only if $\Delta \not\equiv 5 \pmod 8$.*
2. *If p is odd, then $N(I) = p$ if and only if $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) = 1$ or 0.*

Proof. This follows by [1, Theorem 22, III.2.1, and V.1.1]. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $R = \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$. By Theorem 2.2, we have $R[G] = \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is clean if and only if $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = \operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$ for every divisor m of n.

1. Since $\Delta \nmid n$, it follows by Lemma 4.1 that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) = \mathbb{Q}$ for every positive divisor m of n.

1.1. Suppose the item 1.(a) or 1.(b) holds. By Lemma 4.2 we have $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p$. Therefore for every divisor m of n, we obtain that p is a primitive root of unity of m and hence

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(m) = \operatorname{ord}_m p = \operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))\,.$$

Thus $R[G]$ is clean.

Suppose the item 1.(c) holds. By Lemma 4.2 we have $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p^2$. Thus

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_2) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_2) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_2) : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(2) = \operatorname{ord}_2 p^2 = \operatorname{ord}_2(N(\mathfrak{p}))\,,$$

whence $R[G]$ is clean.

1.2. Conversely, suppose $R[G]$ is clean. Then $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}] = \operatorname{ord}_n(N(\mathfrak{p}))$ implies that $\varphi(n) = \operatorname{ord}_n(N(\mathfrak{p}))$. Thus either $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p$ is a primitive root of unity of n, or $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p^2$ and $\operatorname{ord}_n p = \varphi(n)$ is odd, i.e., $n \le 2$. The assertions follow by Lemma 4.2.

2.1. Suppose that $R[G]$ is clean. Since $\Delta = 4d \nmid 4$, we have $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) : \mathbb{Q}] = \operatorname{ord}_4(N(\mathfrak{p}))$. Thus $\varphi(4) = \operatorname{ord}_4(N(\mathfrak{p}))$ and hence $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. If $p \mid d$, then $p \mid n$, a contradiction. Thus $p \nmid d$ and hence by Lemma 4.2.2 $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) = 1$.

Since $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = \operatorname{ord}_n(N(\mathfrak{p}))$, we obtain that $\varphi(n)/2 = \operatorname{ord}_n(N(\mathfrak{p})) = \operatorname{ord}_n p$. Since $4 \mid n$, we may assume that $n = 2^\ell n'$ with $\ell \ge 2$ and n' is odd. Thus $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2^\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/n'\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Since $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ has an element of order $\varphi(n)/2$, we obtain that $n' = 1$ if $\ell \ge 3$

and n' is a prime power if $\ell = 2$. Thus $n = 4q^\ell$ and $d \mid q^\ell$, where q is a prime. Note that d is square-free. Therefore $|d| = q$ is a prime.

2.2. Conversely, $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) = 1$ implies that $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p$. Suppose $|d| = 2$ and $n = 2^\ell$ with $\ell \geq 3$. Let m be a positive divisor of n. If $m = 4$, then $|\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})| = 2$ and $\operatorname{ord}_4 p = 2$ by $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Thus $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = \operatorname{ord}_4 p$. If $m = 2^t$ with $t \geq 3$, then $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = 2^{t-2}$ and $\operatorname{ord}_m p = m/4 = 2^{t-2}$ by $2^{\ell-2} = \varphi(n)/2 = \operatorname{ord}_n p$. Thus $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = \operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$. Putting all these together, we obtain that $R[G]$ is clean.

Suppose $|d| \geq 3$ is a prime and $n = 4|d|^\ell$. Let m be a positive divisor of n. If $m = |d|^t$ for some $1 \leq t \leq \ell$, then $4d \nmid m$ and hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = \varphi(m) = |d|^{t-1}(|d| - 1)$. Since p is a primitive root of unity of $|d|^\ell$, we obtain $\varphi(m) = \operatorname{ord}_m p$. Therefore $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = \operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$. If $m = 2|d|^t$ for some $1 \leq t \leq \ell$, then $4d \nmid m$ and hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = \varphi(m) = |d|^{t-1}(|d| - 1)$. Since p is a primitive root of unity of $|d|^\ell$, we obtain $\varphi(m) = \operatorname{ord}_m p$. Therefore $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = \operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$. If $m = 4|d|^t$ for some $1 \leq t \leq \ell$, then $4d \mid m$ and hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = \varphi(m)/2 = |d|^{t-1}(|d|-1)$. Since p is a primitive root of unity of $|d|^\ell$, we obtain $\varphi(m) = \operatorname{ord}_m p$. Therefore $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = \operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$. If $m = 4$, then $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] = 2$ and $\operatorname{ord}_4 p = 2$ as $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Thus $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] = \operatorname{ord}_4 p$. Putting all these together, we obtain that $R[G]$ is clean.

3. If $p \mid d$, then $p \mid n$, a contradiction. Therefore $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = 1$ or -1.

3.1. Let $R[G]$ be clean. Suppose $|d|$ is a prime and $n = |d|^\ell$ or $n = 2|d|^\ell$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = -1$, then $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p^2$ and hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta(n)) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta(n)) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = \operatorname{ord}_n p^2$ implies either $\varphi(n) = \operatorname{ord}_n p$ or $\operatorname{ord}_n p = \varphi(n)/2$ is odd. If $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = 1$, then $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p$ and hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta(n)) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta(n)) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})] = \operatorname{ord}_n p$ implies that $\varphi(n)/2 = \operatorname{ord}_n p$. Putting all these together, we have either $\operatorname{ord}_n p = \frac{2\varphi(n)}{3+\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)}$, or $\operatorname{ord}_n p = \varphi(n)/2$ is odd with $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = -1$. Note that $\varphi(n)/2$ is odd if and only if $|d| \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, i.e., $d < 0$. Therefore (a) holds.

Otherwise, there exists an $m \mid n$ with $m \geq 3$ such that $d \nmid m$. Therefore $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \cap Q(\sqrt{d})] = \varphi(m) = \operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$. Since $\varphi(m)$ must be even, we obtain that $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p$ and hence $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = 1$. Since $d \mid n$, we have $\varphi(n)/2 = \operatorname{ord}_n p$. Therefore $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong C_{\varphi(n)}$ or $C_2 \oplus C_{\varphi(n)/2}$, which implies that $n = q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}$ or $2q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}$ or $4q_1^{\ell_1}$, where q_1, q_2 are distinct odd primes with $q_1 \mid d$, $\ell_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Note that d is square-free. Then either $|d| = q_1$ and $n \in \{q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}, 2q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}, 4q_1^{\ell_1}\}$ with $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, or $|d| = q_1 q_2$ and $n \in \{q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}, 2q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}\}$ with $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose $|d| = q_1$. If $n = q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}$ or $2q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}$, then $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_1^{\ell_1}}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_1^{\ell_1}}) \cap Q(\sqrt{d})] = \varphi(q_1^{\ell_1})/2 = \operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}} p$ and $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_1^{\ell_2}}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_2^{\ell_2}}) \cap Q(\sqrt{d})] = \varphi(q_2^{\ell_2}) = \operatorname{ord}_{q_2^{\ell_2}} p$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}}) \cap Q(\sqrt{d})] &= \varphi(q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2})/2 = \operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2}}(p) \\
&= \operatorname{lcm}(\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}} p, \operatorname{ord}_{q_2^{\ell_2}} p) = \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}} p \operatorname{ord}_{q_2^{\ell_2}} p}{\gcd(\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}} p, \operatorname{ord}_{q_2^{\ell_2}} p)} \\
&= \frac{\varphi(q_1^{\ell_1})/2 \cdot \varphi(q_2^{\ell_2})}{\gcd(\varphi(q_1^{\ell_1})/2, \varphi(q_2^{\ell_2}))} \\
&= \frac{\varphi(q_1^{\ell_1} q_2^{\ell_2})/2}{\gcd(q_1^{\ell_1-1}(q_1-1)/2, q_2^{\ell_2-1}(q_2-1))},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $\gcd(q_1^{\ell_1-1}(q_1-1)/2, q_2^{\ell_2-1}(q_2-1)) = 1$ which implies that $d = -q_1 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Therefore (c) holds. If $n = 4q_1^{\ell_1}$, then $p \neq 2$ and $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_1^{\ell_1}}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_1^{\ell_1}}) \cap Q(\sqrt{d})] = \varphi(q_1^{\ell_1})/2 = \operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}} p$, $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4) :$

$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4)\cap Q(\sqrt{d})]=\varphi(4)=\operatorname{ord}_4 p$ which implies that $p\equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4q_1^{\ell_1}}):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4q_1^{\ell_1}})\cap Q(\sqrt{d})]&=\varphi(4q_1^{\ell_1})/2=\operatorname{ord}_{4q_1^{\ell_1}}(p)\\&=\operatorname{lcm}(\operatorname{ord}_4 p,\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}} p)=\frac{2\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}} p}{\gcd(2,\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}} p)}\\&=\frac{\varphi(4q_1^{\ell_1})/2}{\gcd(2,q_1^{\ell_1-1}(q_1-1)/2)}\,,\end{aligned}$$

we have $\gcd(2,q_1^{\ell_1-1}(q_1-1)/2)=1$, whence $q_1\equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Therefore (b) holds.

Suppose $|d|=q_1q_2$. Then $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_1^{\ell_1}}):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_1^{\ell_1}})\cap Q(\sqrt{d})]=\varphi(q_1^{\ell_1})=\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}}(p)$ and $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_2^{\ell_2}}):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_2^{\ell_2}})\cap Q(\sqrt{d})]=\varphi(q_2^{\ell_2})=\operatorname{ord}_{q_2^{\ell_2}}(p)$, whence p is a primitive root of unity of both $q_1^{\ell_1}$ and $q_2^{\ell_2}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_1^{\ell_1}q_2^{\ell_2}}):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q_1^{\ell_1}q_2^{\ell_2}})\cap Q(\sqrt{d})]&=\varphi(q_1^{\ell_1}q_2^{\ell_2})/2=\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}q_2^{\ell_2}}(p)\\&=\operatorname{lcm}(\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}} p,\operatorname{ord}_{q_2^{\ell_2}} p)=\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}} p\operatorname{ord}_{q_2^{\ell_2}} p}{\gcd(\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^{\ell_1}} p,\operatorname{ord}_{q_2^{\ell_2}} p)}\\&=\frac{\varphi(q_1^{\ell_1})\varphi(q_2^{\ell_2})}{\gcd(\varphi(q_1^{\ell_1}),\varphi(q_2^{\ell_2}))}\\&=\frac{\varphi(q_1^{\ell_1}q_2^{\ell_2})}{\gcd(q_1^{\ell_1-1}(q_1-1),q_2^{\ell_2-1}(q_2-1))}\,,\end{aligned}$$

we have $\gcd(q_1^{\ell_1-1}(q_1-1)/2,q_2^{\ell_2-1}(q_2-1)/2)=1$. Therefore (d) holds.

3.2. Conversely, suppose that (a) holds. Let m be a positive divisor of n with $m\ge 3$. Then $d\mid m$. If $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1$, then $N(\mathfrak{p})=p$ and hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)\cap\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})]=\varphi(m)/2=\operatorname{ord}_m p=\operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$, implying that $R[G]$ is clean. If $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1$ and either $\operatorname{ord}_n p=\varphi(n)$ or $\operatorname{ord}_n p=\varphi(n)/2$ with $|d|=-d\equiv 3 \pmod 4$, then $N(\mathfrak{p})=p^2$, $\operatorname{ord}_n p^2=\varphi(n)/2$, and hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)\cap\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})]=\varphi(m)/2=\operatorname{ord}_m p^2=\operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$, implying that $R[G]$ is clean.

Suppose that (b) holds. Then $N(\mathfrak{p})=p$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{q^i} p=q^{i-1}(q-1)/2$ is odd for all $i\in[1,\ell]$. Let m be a positive divisor of n with $m\ge 3$. Then $d\mid m$ and hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)\cap\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})]=\varphi(m)/2=\operatorname{ord}_m p=\operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$. Therefore $R[G]$ is clean.

Suppose that (c) holds. Then $N(\mathfrak{p})=p$, $\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^i} p=q_1^{i-1}(q_1-1)/2$ is odd, p is a primitive root of q_2^j, and $\gcd(\operatorname{ord}_{q_1^i} p,\operatorname{ord}_{q_2^j} p)=1$ for all $i\in[1,\ell_1]$ and $j\in[1,\ell_2]$. Let m be a positive divisor of n with $m\ge 3$. If $m=q_2^t$ or $2q_2^t$ for some $1\le t\le\ell_2$, then $d\nmid m$ and hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)\cap\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})]=\varphi(m)=\operatorname{ord}_m p=\operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$. Otherwise $d\mid m$ and hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)\cap\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})]=\varphi(m)/2=\operatorname{ord}_m p=\operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$. Therefore $R[G]$ is clean.

Suppose that (d) holds. Then $N(\mathfrak{p})=p$. Let m be a positive divisor of n with $m\ge 3$. If $m=q_1^{t_1}$, or $2q_1^{t_1}$, or $q_2^{t_2}$, or $2q_2^{t_2}$ for some $1\le t_1\le\ell_1$ or some $1\le t_2\le\ell_2$, then $d\nmid m$ and hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)\cap\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})]=\varphi(m)=\operatorname{ord}_m p=\operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$. If $m=q_1^{t_1}q_2^{t_2}$ or $2q_1^{t_1}q_2^{t_2}$, then $d\mid m$ and hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)\cap\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})]=\varphi(m)/2=\operatorname{ord}_m p=\operatorname{ord}_m(N(\mathfrak{p}))$. Therefore $R[G]$ is clean. □

Next we characterize when such a group ring is $*$-clean. We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $d\ne 1$ be a non-zero square free integer. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n+\zeta_n^{-1})=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n)$ if and only if either $\big(\ d<0$ and $\Delta\mid n\ \big)$ or $n\le 2$, where $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and Δ is the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.*

Proof. If $n\le 2$, it is obvious that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n+\zeta_n^{-1})=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n)$. Now we let $n\ge 3$.

Suppose that $d < 0$ and $\Delta \mid n$. Then by Lemma 4.1 $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ and hence $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. Since $n \geq 3$ and $d < 0$, we have

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1})] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1})] = 2\,.$$

Therefore $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n)$.

Suppose that $d > 0$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and by $n \geq 3$, we have $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n) \not\subset \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}) \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n)$.

Suppose that $d < 0$ and $\Delta \nmid n$. Thus by Lemma 4.1 $\sqrt{d} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. Therefore $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2\varphi(n)$ and $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}) : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(n)$. It follows that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}) \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(\zeta_n)$. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let G be a finite abelian group with exponent n. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})[G]$ is $*$-clean if and only if $n \geq 3$ and either $d > 0$ or $\Delta \nmid n$, where Δ is the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.*

Proof. This result follows from [4, Theorem 1.2] and Lemma 4.3. □

Theorem 4.5. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ be a quadratic field for some non-zero square-free integer $d \neq 1$, $\mathcal{O}$ its ring of integers, $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ a nonzero prime ideal with $p\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}$, and G a finite abelian group with $p \nmid \exp(G)$. Let Δ be the discriminant of the field extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$. Then*

1. *if $d > 0$, then $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is $*$-clean if and only $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is clean and* $\exp(G) \geq 3$.
2. *if $d < 0$, then $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is $*$-clean if and only if $\Delta \nmid \exp(G)$, p is a primitive root of unity of* $\exp(G)$, $\exp(G) \geq 3$, *and* $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) = 1$ *or* 0.

Proof. 1. Let $d > 0$. Suppose $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is clean and $\exp(G) \geq 3$. Then by Proposition 4.4 $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})[G]$ is $*$-clean. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is $*$-clean.

Conversely, suppose $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is $*$-clean. Then by Theorem 2.3 $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})[G]$ is $*$-clean. It follows from Proposition 4.4 that $\exp(G) \geq 3$.

2. Let $d < 0$. Suppose that $\Delta \nmid \exp(G)$, p is a primitive root of unity of $\exp(G)$, $\exp(G) \geq 3$, and $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) = 1$ or 0. Then by Theorem 1.3 $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$ is clean and by Proposition 4.4 $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})[G]$ is $*$-clean. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is $*$-clean.

Conversely, suppose $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is $*$-clean. Then by Theorem 2.3 $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})[G]$ is $*$-clean and hence by Proposition 4.4 $\exp(G) \geq 3$ and $\Delta \nmid \exp(G)$. It follows from Theorem 1.3.1 that p is a primitive root of unity of $\exp(G)$ and $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) = 1$ or 0. □

We close the paper with the following examples which provide some ($*$-clean or non $*$-clean) clean group rings for each case of the characterizations of Theorems 1.3 and 4.5.

Example 4.6.

1. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and let G be a finite abelian group with $\gcd(\exp(G), d) = 1$, where $d \neq 1$ is a square free integer and $\exp(G) \neq 4$ has a primitive root. Suppose that $d = \delta d_0$ such that d_0 is the maximal odd positive divisor of d. Thus $\delta \in \{-1, 2, -2\}$. For every prime p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8d_0}$, we have $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{d_0}{p}\right) = 1$. Since there exists $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(x, \exp(G)) = 1$ such that $\mathrm{ord}_{\exp(G)}\, x = \varphi(\exp(G))$, for every prime p with $p \equiv x \pmod{\exp(G)}$, we have $\mathrm{ord}_{\exp(G)}\, p = \varphi(\exp(G))$. Note that $\mathsf{v}_2(\exp(G)) \leq 1$. By Dirichlet's prime number theorem, there is a prime p such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8d_0}$ and $p \equiv x \pmod{\exp(G)}$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ be a prime ideal such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$. Then by Theorem 1.3.1 $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is clean. If $\exp(G) \geq 3$, then by Theorem 4.5 $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is $*$-clean.
2. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$, let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ be a prime ideal with $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = 3\mathbb{Z}$, and let G be a finite abelian group with $\exp(G) = 8$. Then Theorem 1.3.2 and Theorem 4.5.2 imply that $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is clean but not $*$-clean.
3. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ be a prime ideal with $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = 11\mathbb{Z}$, and let G be a finite abelian group with $\exp(G) = 12$. Then Theorem 1.3.2 and Theorem 4.5.1 imply that $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[G]$ is clean as well as $*$-clean.

4. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ be a prime ideal with $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = 19\mathbb{Z}$, and let G be a finite abelian group with $\exp(G) = 5$. Then Theorem 1.3.3.a and Theorem 4.5.1 imply that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is clean as well as $*$-clean.
5. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ be a prime ideal with $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = 5\mathbb{Z}$, and let G be a finite abelian group with $\exp(G) = 6$. Then Theorem 1.3.3.a and Theorem 4.5.2 imply that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is clean but not $*$-clean.
6. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{33})$, let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ be a prime ideal with $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = 2\mathbb{Z}$, and let G be a finite abelian group with $\exp(G) = 33$. Then Theorem 1.3.3.d and Theorem 4.5.1 imply that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ is clean as well as $*$-clean.

References

[1] A. Fröhlich and M. J. Taylor , *Algebraic number theory*, cup ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
[2] Y. Gao, J. Chen and Y. Li, *Some $*$-clean group rings*, Algebra Colloq. **22** (2015) 169-180.
[3] J. Han and W.K. Nicholson, *Extensions of clean rings*, Comm. Algebra **29** (2001) 2589-255.
[4] D. Han, Y.uan Ren, and H. Zhang, *On $*$-clean rings over abelian groups*, J. Algebra and its Applications **16** (2017) 1750152, 11p.
[5] H. Huang, Y. Li and G. Tang, *On $*$-clean non-commutative group rings*, J. Algebra Appl. **15** (2016) 1650150, 17p.
[6] H. Huang, Y. Li and P. Yuan, *On $*$-clean group rings II*, Comm. Algebra **44** (2016) 3171-3181
[7] Nicholas A. Immormino and Warren Wm. McGovern, *Examples of clean commutative group rings*, J. Algebra **405** (2014) 168 – 178.
[8] C.Li and Y. Zhou, *On strongly $*$-clean rings*, J. Algebra Appl. **10** (2011) 1363-1370.
[9] Y. Li, M.M. Parmenter and P. Yuan, *On $*$-clean group rings*, J. Algebra Appl. **14** (2015) 1550004, 11p.
[10] W. Wm. McGovern, *Neat rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **205** (2006) 243-265.
[11] C. P. Milies and S. K. Sehgal, *An Introduction to Group Rings*, Netherlands, Kluqver Academic Publishers, 2002.
[12] W.K. Nicholson, *Lifting idempotens and exchange rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **220** (1977) 269-278.
[13] Steven H. Weintraub, *Galois theory*, 1 ed., Universitext, Springer, 2006.
[14] S. M. Woods, *Some results on semi-perfect group rings*, Can. J. Math. **26** (1974) 121 – 129.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Brock University, 1812 Sir Isaac Brock Way, St. Catharines, Ontario, Canada L2S 3A1 and Faculty of Science, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu China
Email address: `yli@brocku.ca`

Institute for Mathematics and Scientific Computing, University of Graz, NAWI Graz, Heinrichstrasse 36, 8010 Graz, Austria
Email address: `qinghai.zhong@uni-graz.at`