# Case study

# Situation

Country XXX (which cannot be named for privacy (data) protection reasons) runs expensive training programmes as well as employment programmes to promote employment opportunities of their unemployed. Nevertheless, the programmes are small compared to the relevant regional labour markets. Their goal is to increase earnings and employment by raising the skill level, particularly of the low skilled.

A governmental agency approaches you & your team to perform an evaluation study of the effectiveness of those *Training or Employment* programmes for the unemployment. You are asked to look at the different subprogrammes as well. The government is interested in the effects of programme participation on individual employment and earnings of participating unemployed (for different regions).

Both programme groups consist of more and less intensive subprogrammes:

Training programme T1: Typical duration of 3-6 months; full time training in classrooms; the intention is to adjust already available vocational skills to current technology.

Training programme T2: Typical duration of about 20 months; major realignments of skills intended; may even result in new vocational degree, if old degree is in sector that might go out of business.

Employment programme E1: Typical duration of six months. No training components. Goal is to give the participants some work experience in public and private firms.

Employment programme E2: Typical duration of 1-2 years. About 10-20% training.

# Data

#### Description

The institutes receive administrative data that have been extensively validated and cleaned before they were handed over. However, in the past years serious flaws happened within the data unit of this agency, typically concerning the documentation.

The data sets you receive cover the different regions of country **XXX**, i.e., Central.csv, East.csv, North.csv, South.csv, Southwest.csv, and West.csv

All data sets are in each region a random sample of unemployed on January 2, 19X3, who did not participate in any programme in their current unemployment spell so far, are eligible for the programmes, and aged 30-50. The participation information for this group concerns the first quarter of 19X3. There is substantial pre-treatment information as well as outcome information for the next 6 years.

If not indicated otherwise, all information in the variables given below relates to December 19X2.

Unemployment is defined as receiving unemployment benefits or participating in Active Labour Market Policies. All rates are coded in %.

#### List of Variables

```
PERS
               Individual identifier (case id derived from social security number; same records may
               appear more than once; such duplicate records may be deleted)
PTYPE
               Programme type (1: T1; 2: T2; 3: E1; 4: E2; 0: no programme)
DURAT
               Duration of programme (planned) in months
EARN X0
               Average monthly earnings in the 10 years prior 19X1.
EARNX1 y
               Average monthly earnings 19X1, (y) quarter [y: 1, 2, 3, 4], local currency
               Average monthly earnings 19X2, (y) quarter [y: 1, 2, 3, 4], local currency
EARNX2 v
EARNX3 y
               Average monthly earnings 19X3, (y) quarter [y: 1, 2, 3, 4], local currency
EARNX4 y
               Average monthly earnings 19X4, (y) quarter [y: 1, 2, 3, 4], local currency
EARNX5 y
               Average monthly earnings 19X5, (y) quarter [y: 1, 2, 3, 4], local currency
               Average monthly earnings 19X6, (y) quarter [y: 1, 2, 3, 4], local currency
EARNX6_y
               Average monthly earnings 19X7, (y) quarter [y: 1, 2, 3, 4], local currency
EARNX7 v
               Average monthly earnings 19X8, (y) quarter [y: 1, 2, 3, 4], local currency
EARNX8 v
EARNX9 y
               Average monthly earnings 19X9, (y) quarter [y: 1, 2, 3, 4], local currency
UNEM X0
               Average number of months of reg. unemployment in 10 years before 19X1
EM_X0
OLF_X0
               Average number of months of employment in 10 years before 19X1
               Average number of months out-of-the-labour-force in 10 years before 19X1
               Employment state 19X1, (y) quarter [..], [1: employed; 2: reg. unemployed; 3: neither]
EMPLX1 y
EMPLX2 y
               Employment state 19X2, (y) quarter [..], [1: employed; 2: unemployed; 3: neither]
EMPLX3 y
               Employment state 19X3, (y) quarter [..], [1: employed; 2: unemployed; 3: neither]
               Employment state 19X4, (y) quarter [..], [1: employed; 2: unemployed; 3: neither]
EMPLX4 y
               Employment state 19X5, (y) quarter [..], [1: employed; 2: unemployed; 3: neither]
EMPLX5 y
               Employment state 19X6, (y) quarter [..], [1: employed; 2: unemployed; 3: neither]
EMPLX6 y
               Employment state 19X7, (y) quarter [..], [1: employed; 2: unemployed; 3: neither]
EMPLX7 y
               Employment state 19X8, (y) quarter [..], [1: employed; 2: unemployed; 3: neither]
EMPLX8 y
EMPLX9 y
               Employment state 19X9, (y) quarter [..], [1: employed; 2: unemployed; 3: neither]
AGE
               Age in years
C T1
               Individual assigned to T1 but for whom the course was cancelled
C_T2
               Individual assigned to T2 but for whom the course was cancelled
C E1
               Individual assigned to E1 but for whom the course was cancelled
C E2
               Individual assigned to E2 but for whom the course was cancelled
SEX
               Sex (1 male, 0 female)
SCHOOL
               Schooling (degrees in years; 8: no degree)
               Vocational degree (0: None; 1: below university; 2 university)
VOC DEG
NATION
               Nationality: 1 Local; 2 other European; 3: Asian; 4 African; 5: American
LMP CW
               Labour market prospects without programme as assessed by case worker (1 very
               bad, 4 very good)
SHP CW y
               Caseworkers share of clients allocated to programme y
               Unemployed in contact with caseworker with additional resources for ALMP
SPECIA CW
REGION
               Region of labour office (1-85)
REG_AL
               Regional unemployment rate in %
REG_PRG
               Regional share of unemployed participating in programmes
REG_SER
               Regional share of service sector
REG_PRO
               Regional share of production sector
REG_AGRI
               Regional share of agriculture
SECT AL
               Unemployment rate in sector of last occupation
PROF AL
               Unemployment rate in profession of last occupation
PROF XL
               Professional unemployment rate (variable not verified by Section XX.12 of Department
               of ...)
```

## Institutional information

From your discussions with the case workers, you know that clients are allocated randomly to case workers and that case workers decide about the allocation to programmes.

Caseworkers base their decisions primarily on the skill level of the unemployed, employment history, local demand for labour as well as other employment related factors. From past experiences it appears likely that case workers are more likely to allocate programmes to those 'who' need it most (it seems that the individual caseworkers use their own judgement about labour market success without a programme as a guide). Usually, participants learn in the month before the programme about their future participation.