Feedback for your recent HITs

This document is to help you understand some problems we have encountered while evaluating your recent work. Please read through these examples carefully to help you achieve the desired annotation requirements for this task. Workers that would complete successfully this short training round will be qualified to work on large batches of this task.

The **doctor** stated he was told his physical safety was in jeopardy .

You have erroneously added the following question-answer pairs:

What is the concern of (the) doctor? --- his physical safety was in jeopardy

Our feedback:

Please see slide 20 - we don't want this kind of information that relates to the target noun throught a verb (the verb "stated" in this sentence)

They then took a **rebound** and finally scored .

You have erroneously added the following question-answer pairs:

Who is a member of rebound? --- They

What is the result of (the) rebound? --- finally scored

Our feedback:

We don't want verb phrases as answers (as stated in Slide 19)

He conducted research into the behavior of **kites** in the upper atmosphere , experimenting at a meteorological observation site near Glossop .

You have erroneously added the following question-answer pairs:

Where is the kites? --- the upper atmosphere

What is the kites a part of? --- research

What is the target of (the) kites? --- behavior

Our feedback:

We think this relation exists only in the opposite direction, when "behavior" is the target noun and "kites" is the argument (example QA: whose behavior? kites). Also, logically, the behavior is not a target of the kites.

Complex compounds can have tens of **reaction** steps that sequentially build the desired molecule .

You have erroneously added the following question-answer pairs:

Whose reaction? --- steps

Our feedback:

"reaction steps" does not have a meaning of possession, "steps" do not belong to "reaction". We think that "reaction" is an argument of "steps" here (when "steps" is the target noun), but not in the other direction (see Slide 21 about relation direction)

Latimer worked as an engineer , a **draftsman** and an expert witness in patent litigation on electric lights .

You have erroneously added the following question-answer pairs:

What is the draftsman a part of? --- patent litigation on electric lights .

Our feedback:

the relation between "draftsman" and "patent litigation on electric lights " and between "engineer" and "patent litigation on electric lights ." The "patent litigation on electric lights" only relates to "witness".