

University of Florida

Cumulative Causation

American and Global Effects

Kyle Lund, 29501039
7-17-2025

Introduction

In the global economy, regional disparities within nations have become increasingly pronounced, creating a complex pattern of economic winners and losers that defies simple explanations. This essay argues that **cumulative causation** creates self-reinforcing cycles that explain both regional economic success and decline in the United States, with **globalization** amplifying these effects and widening gaps between core and peripheral regions. Understanding this dynamic relationship is crucial for comprehending why certain areas like Silicon Valley continue to thrive while others, such as the Rust Belt, struggle to recover from economic decline.

Cumulative causation describes a process where initial economic advantages or disadvantages become magnified over time through positive or negative feedback loops, creating self-reinforcing cycles that make disparities increasingly difficult to reverse (*Cumulative Causation* | *Encyclopedia.com*, n.d.). At its core, this theory suggests that existing conditions can lead to either virtuous cycles, where positive events generate additional positive outcomes resulting in sustained growth, or vicious cycles, where negative effects compound each other, driving situations progressively downward (Jackson, n.d.). The power of cumulative causation lies in its recognition that small initial differences between regions can compound over time into substantial and persistent economic disparities, as each advantage or disadvantage creates conditions that reinforce and amplify the original situation.

Globalization, as defined by the International Monetary Fund, refers to "the increasing integration of economies around the world, particularly through the movement of goods, services, and capital across borders," along with the movement of people and knowledge across international boundaries (*Issues Brief - Globalization: A Brief Overview*, 2008). This process, accelerated by technological advances since the 1980s, has fundamentally transformed how regional economies interact with global markets. The IMF notes that globalization has created unprecedented opportunities for economic growth and development, with participating countries benefiting from access to wider markets, advanced technologies, and diverse sources of capital and labor. However, the benefits of globalization are not automatically distributed equally across all regions within a country, as the same market forces that reward efficiency and innovation tend to concentrate advantages in areas already positioned to capitalize on global opportunities.

The intersection of cumulative causation and globalization creates a particularly potent mechanism for the creation of regional inequities. While globalization offers substantial benefits to regions that can successfully integrate into global networks, it simultaneously intensifies competitive pressures that can accelerate the decline of areas unable to adapt to changing economic conditions. This dynamic is exemplified in the American experience, where technological innovation hubs have leveraged global connections to achieve remarkable growth, while traditional manufacturing regions have faced intensified competition and structural decline that proves difficult to reverse through market forces alone.

Mechanisms of Cumulative Causation

To understand how cumulative causation operates in practice, it is essential to examine the specific mechanisms through which initial economic advantages or disadvantages become reinforced over time. As discussed in *The Geography of the World Economy*, these mechanisms create complex feedback loops that can either propel regions toward sustained prosperity or trap them in cycles of decline (Knox et al., 2014).

Agglomeration economies describe the cost advantages and productivity gains that firms experience when they cluster together in specific geographic locations, benefiting from shared infrastructure, specialized labor pools, and reduced transaction costs (Knox et al., 2014). This concentration creates powerful incentives for additional firms to locate in the same area, as they can tap into existing networks of suppliers, customers, and skilled workers. Over time, this generates increasing returns that make it progressively more difficult for competing regions to attract similar businesses.

Multiplier effects amplify the initial impact of economic changes through both direct and indirect job creation. When a new firm establishes operations, it directly employs workers and purchases inputs from local suppliers. These direct effects generate indirect effects as employees spend wages locally and suppliers hire additional workers (Knox et al., 2014). In thriving regions, positive multiplier effects significantly magnify the benefits of new investments, while in declining areas, the loss of a major employer triggers negative

multiplier effects that compound initial job losses through reduced local spending and business closures.

Backwash effects describe how growing regions draw resources away from peripheral areas, further concentrating economic activity (Knox et al., 2014). As successful regions expand, they attract mobile factors of production, particularly skilled labor and capital, from less prosperous areas because they can offer higher wages, better opportunities, and superior amenities. This migration weakens peripheral areas' economic base and reduces their capacity for future growth.

The self-reinforcing nature of cumulative causation explains how small initial differences between regions can compound into large and persistent disparities. A region gaining a slight advantage may attract additional firms seeking agglomeration benefits, which attracts more skilled workers and investment capital, creating positive feedback loops. Conversely, regions experiencing initial disadvantages may find themselves trapped in negative feedback loops where each setback makes recovery more difficult. This dynamic helps explain why regional economic disparities can persist for decades, as advantages and disadvantages compound rather than diminish through natural market mechanisms.

Cumulative Causation in the United States

The mechanisms of cumulative causation become evident when examining specific regional experiences within the United States, where dramatic disparities between thriving

and declining areas illustrate how initial advantages and disadvantages compound over time. Two contrasting cases, Silicon Valley's technological dominance and the Rust Belt's industrial decline, demonstrate the powerful role of cumulative causation in shaping America's economic geography.

Positive Example: Silicon Valley Tech Concentration

Silicon Valley's emergence as the world's premier technology hub exemplifies how cumulative causation can transform initial advantages into sustained regional dominance. The region's foundation was established through several key initial conditions: post-World War II defense spending provided crucial early funding for research and development at research institutes such as Bell Labs, Stanford University offered both educated talent and research capabilities, and early semiconductor firms like Fairchild and Intel created the initial critical mass of high-technology industry in the 1950s and 1960s.

These initial conditions set in motion cumulative advantages that reinforced Silicon Valley's position. The concentration of skilled technical workers attracted additional technology companies, while multiple firms created a deep labor market benefiting both employers and workers. Venture capital firms clustered in the region to be closer to promising startups, creating a concentration of financial resources that facilitated new company formation (Fleming et al., 2012). Knowledge spillovers and innovation networks emerged as engineers and entrepreneurs moved between companies, sharing ideas that accelerated technological development. Specialized supplier networks developed,

providing everything from manufacturing equipment to legal services, while infrastructure improvements enhanced global connectivity (Nakamura, 2013).

This convergence created a self-reinforcing cycle where success attracted more talent, capital, and firms, making it increasingly difficult for other regions to compete. Each new technology company strengthened the region's ecosystem, making it more attractive for subsequent entrepreneurs and investors. By the 1990s and 2000s, Silicon Valley had achieved such dominance that it became nearly impossible for other regions to replicate its combination of venture capital, skilled labor, and industry networks.

Negative Example: Rust Belt Deindustrialization

The Rust Belt's contrasting experience demonstrates how cumulative causation can trap regions in cycles of economic deterioration. The region's initial decline began in the 1950's as a lack of competitive pressures resulted in technological stagnation of the core industrial activities in the region (Alder et al., 2014). The decline then accelerated in the 1970s and 1980s when traditional manufacturing industries faced intensified global competition, increasing demands from their workforce, and technological changes that reduced demand for domestic steel and heavy industrial products (Alder et al., 2023). Plant closures and massive layoffs in cities like Detroit, Cleveland, and Pittsburgh eliminated hundreds of thousands of manufacturing jobs.

This economic shock triggered cumulative disadvantages that reinforced decline.

Population out-migration reduced the local tax base and weakened political

representation, with younger, educated residents particularly likely to leave (Hartley, 2013).

The shrinking tax base forced local governments to cut public services and defer infrastructure maintenance, making the region less attractive to potential investors.

Reduced local demand for businesses created additional challenges as declining consumer spending forced business closures, eliminating more jobs and further reducing the tax base.

Educational institutions experienced funding cuts and faculty departures that diminished their capacity to educate workers or conduct research. Property values declined as housing demand fell, reducing household wealth and making relocation difficult for remaining residents. The downward spiral created by these interconnected factors made economic recovery increasingly difficult, as each negative development reinforced the others. Potential investors were discouraged by deteriorating infrastructure, shrinking labor force, and weak consumer markets. By the early 2000s, many Rust Belt cities had lost substantial portions of their populations and economic base, with cumulative disadvantages meaning that even significant policy interventions often struggled to reverse decades of decline.

Globalization and Cumulative Causation

The relationship between globalization and cumulative causation creates a particularly potent mechanism for regional inequities, as global economic integration simultaneously amplifies existing advantages while intensifying competitive pressures that can accelerate

regional decline. Rather than promoting convergence between regions, globalization often reinforces and magnifies the very disparities that cumulative causation creates.

How Globalization Amplifies Cumulative Causation

Globalization fundamentally alters the competitive environment in which regional economies operate, creating new channels through which cumulative causation can operate. Global markets reward efficiency and innovation, characteristics that already-strong regions are better positioned to provide. Regions with established clusters of skilled workers, advanced infrastructure, and innovation networks can more effectively compete in global markets, while regions lacking these advantages find themselves unable to compete with both domestic and international competitors.

Capital mobility and technology transfer processes also favor already-advanced regions, as investment capital flows to areas promising the highest returns while technology diffusion is not geographically uniform. Regions with strong educational institutions, skilled workforces, and established business networks attract disproportionate shares of investment and can more readily absorb new technologies, while peripheral regions often lack the institutional capacity to effectively utilize advanced technologies or attract necessary capital. Network effects concentrate global connections in already-connected places, with major metropolitan areas finding it easier to attract additional global linkages while peripheral regions struggle to establish initial connections.

Brain Drain as a Manifestation

Brain drain, the migration of skilled workers from peripheral to core regions, represents one of the most visible manifestations of how globalization amplifies cumulative causation. For core regions, brain drain generates substantial benefits through the acquisition of human capital, innovation capacity, increased tax revenue, and enhanced consumer spending. Skilled migrants bring individual talents and knowledge of different markets and technologies, contributing to innovation and entrepreneurship. Each skilled worker often supports multiple other jobs through multiplier effects, as their presence attracts additional businesses and creates demand for services.

Peripheral regions experience opposite effects, losing their most productive workers and seeing reduced local innovation and economic dynamism (Batista et al., 2025). The

departure of skilled workers represents a loss of human capital developed through public investments in education and training. These regions also lose entrepreneurial potential, as many new businesses are started by educated individuals. The reduction in high-skilled employment reduces local tax revenues and consumer spending, creating negative multiplier effects that compound the initial loss.

Globalization facilitates brain drain through several mechanisms. Improved transportation and communication technologies make migration easier and less costly, while global labor markets allow skilled workers to seek opportunities worldwide. Information flows through digital media and professional networks make workers more aware of opportunities elsewhere, while global professional standards make it easier for skilled workers to transfer credentials across regions.

Widening Core-Peripheral Gap

The interaction between globalization and cumulative causation has contributed to a widening gap between core and peripheral nations in the global economy. Core countries, primarily advanced industrialized nations, benefit from established technological capabilities, skilled workforces, and robust institutional frameworks that position them to thrive in global markets. These nations can leverage their advantages to attract international investment, dominate high-value-added industries, and maintain control over global supply chains and financial networks.

Peripheral countries, including many developing nations, face continued brain drain and limited access to capital. These countries often lack the infrastructure, educational systems, and institutional capacity necessary to compete effectively in knowledge-intensive industries. As globalization increases competitive pressures, peripheral nations find themselves trapped in low-value production and extractive activities while core countries capture most profits from global trade.

Conclusion

The analysis presented in this essay examines how cumulative causation creates self-reinforcing cycles that explain both regional economic success and decline, with globalization amplifying these effects and widening gaps between core and peripheral areas. The contrasting experiences of Silicon Valley and the Rust Belt illustrate how initial advantages and disadvantages compound over time through cumulative causation mechanisms. Silicon Valley's transformation into the world's premier innovation hub demonstrates how early advantages created virtuous cycles that attracted talent, capital, and additional firms. Conversely, the Rust Belt's industrial decline shows how initial disadvantages triggered vicious cycles of population outmigration, reduced tax revenues, deteriorating infrastructure, and declining educational capacity that proved extremely difficult to reverse.

Brain drain exemplifies how globalization accelerates these cumulative processes by facilitating skilled worker movement from peripheral to core regions and nations. Enhanced

transportation, communication, and information systems make migration easier while global labor markets expand opportunities for educated workers. This creates a double effect: core areas benefit from acquiring human capital and innovation capacity, while peripheral areas lose their most productive workers and associated multiplier effects.

Understanding cumulative causation is essential for developing effective regional development policies, as it reveals why market forces alone are unlikely to reduce these effects. The self-reinforcing nature of both virtuous and vicious cycles means that successful development strategies must recognize that overcoming entrenched disadvantages requires sustained, coordinated efforts addressing multiple dimensions of regional competitiveness simultaneously, including education, infrastructure, institutional capacity, and global connectivity.

Word Count: 2264

References

Alder, S., Lagakos, D., & Ohanian, L. (2014). *Competitive pressure and the decline of the rust Belt: A macroeconomic analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w20538>

Alder, S. D., Lagakos, D., & Ohanian, L. (2023). *Labor market conflict and the decline of the rust belt*. *Journal of Political Economy*, 131(10), 2780–2824. <https://doi.org/10.1086/724852>

Batista, C., Han, D., Haushofer, J., Khanna, G., McKenzie, D., Mobarak, A. M., Theoharides, C., & Yang, D. (2025). *Brain drain or brain gain? Effects of high-skilled international emigration on origin countries*. *Science*, 388(6749).
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adr8861>

Cumulative Causation | Encyclopedia.com. (n.d.). <https://www.encyclopedia.com/social-sciences/applied-and-social-sciences-magazines/cumulative-causation>

Fleming, L., Colfer, L., Marin, A., & McPhie, J. (2012). 17. *Why the Valley went first*. In *Princeton University Press eBooks* (pp. 520–544). <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400845552-021>

Hartley, D. A. (2013). *Urban decline in rust-belt cities*. *Economic Commentary* (Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.26509/frbc-ec-201306>

Issues Brief - Globalization: A Brief overview. (2008, May 30).
<https://www.imf.org/external/np/exr/ib/2008/053008.htm>

Jackson, W. A. (n.d.). Cumulative Causation. In Elsevier eBooks (pp. 131–134).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-102295-5.10038-1>

Knox, P., Agnew, J., & McCarthy, L. (2014). The geography of the world economy. In

Routledge eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203775042>

Nakamura, D. (2013). Spatial policy for a competitive regional system: economic and social infrastructure elements. Journal of Urban Management, 2(1), 103–112.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/s2226-5856\(18\)30067-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2226-5856(18)30067-0)