# WERQSHOP

# Workshop on Error Resilience in Quantum computing

Bringing together researchers, developers, and practitioners to advance error resilience in quantum computing.

July 17-18, 2025 New York University's Kimmel Center New York, USA

### Welcome to **WERQSHOP**

#### **Code of Conduct**

All attendees, speakers, sponsors and volunteers at WERQSHOP are required to agree with the following code of conduct. Organisers will enforce this code throughout the event. We expect cooperation from all participants to help ensure a safe environment for everybody.

#### The Quick Version

WERQSHOP is dedicated to providing a harassment-free conference experience for everyone, regardless of gender, gender identity and expression, age, sexual orientation, disability, physical appearance, body size, race, ethnicity, religion (or lack thereof), or technology choices.

We do not tolerate harassment of conference participants in any form. Sexual language and imagery is not appropriate for any conference venue, including talks, workshops, parties, Twitter and other online media.

Conference participants violating these rules may be sanctioned or expelled from the conference at the discretion of the conference organisers.

#### The Less Quick Version

Harassment includes offensive verbal comments related to gender, gender identity and expression, age, sexual orientation, disability, physical appearance, body size, race, ethnicity, religion, technology choices, sexual images in public spaces, deliberate intimidation, stalking, following, harassing photography or recording, sustained disruption of talks or other events, inappropriate physical contact, and unwelcome sexual attention.

Participants asked to stop any harassing behavior are expected to comply immediately.

If a participant engages in harassing behavior, the conference organisers may take any action they deem appropriate, including warning the offender or expulsion from the conference.

If you are being harassed, notice that someone else is being harassed, or have any other concerns, please contact a member of conference staff immediately. Conference staff can be identified as they'll be wearing orange/yellow lanyards.

Conference staff will be happy to help participants contact hotel/venue security or local law enforcement, provide escorts, or otherwise assist those experiencing harassment to feel safe for the duration of the conference. We value your attendance.

We expect participants to follow these rules at WERQSHOP and workshop-related social events.

(adapted from <a href="https://confcodeofconduct.com">https://confcodeofconduct.com</a>)

#### **Quick Reference**

All times are listed in local EST.

 Location: New York University's Kimmel Center [maps link]

Dates: July 17–18, 2025
Website: <a href="https://werq.shop">https://werq.shop</a>
WiFi: Provided onsight

Social Event: July 16, 6–9pm @ The

Half Pint / Ernie's Bar

 Point of Contact: If you're in need of assistance reach out to

> Nate: (203) 815 4690 / <u>nate@unitary.foundation</u>

Veena: (929) 220 2551 / veena@unitary.foundation

 Photos: If you do not want your photograph used on socials, ensure you have a red Lanyard.

Thank you for joining us for WERQSHOP 2025. We hope these two days spark new questions, connections, and ideas that carry the field forward. We're grateful to have you here.

#### Theme & Motivation

High error rates still limit the scope of quantum algorithms that can run on today's devices. QEM techniques and early fault-tolerant architectures are beginning to converge: WERQSHOP aims to explore how we, as a community, can shape the next 5 years of error resilience.

#### Be an Active Participant

WERQSHOP is designed for discussion. Please:

- Ask questions
- Join breakout sessions
- Share an idea (even a half-baked one)
- Raise challenges (and solutions if you have them, but not required!)

Some (but not all) important questions to consider while in attendance could be:

- What is your biggest uncertainty or concern about the future of QEM?
- What tooling or infrastructure would make your work easier or more impactful?
- Where are we hitting theoretical or practical limits?
- How can QEM and QEC better inform one another?

## Schedule

Day 0: Jul 16, 2025

Happy hour social: 6-9pm @ The Half Pint / Ernie's Bar

#### Day 1: Jul 17, 2025

Time	Session	Details		
9:00–9:15	check-in			
9:15–9:30	Welcome & Opening Remarks	Javad Shabani (NYU) and Nate Stemen (UF)		
9:30–10:30	From Theory to Experiment	<ol> <li>Yihui Quek (MIT/EPFL): Noise vs quantum algorithms</li> <li>Eli Chertkov (Quantinuum): Digital quantum magnetism at the frontier of classical simulations</li> <li>Chair: Nathan Shammah</li> </ol>		
10:30–10:45	© Coffee Break			
10:45–11:45	QEM on next-gen devices	<ol> <li>Zhenyu Cai (Oxford): Bridging Quantum Error Correction and Mitigation</li> <li>Raam Uzdin (HUJI): Drift-resilient mitigation techniques for dynamic circuits and QEM-QEC integration</li> <li>Chair: Pranav Gokhale</li> </ol>		
11:45–1:15	Lunch (1.5hr to have lunch in the area; self organized)			
1:15–2:15	Lightning Talks (4 x 10 min talks + 20 min Q&A)	<ol> <li>Zhiyao Li (U Washington): Simulating Adiabatic State         Preparation on Quantum Computers</li> <li>Simone Cantori (U Camerino): Deep learning for quantum         error mitigation</li> <li>Ethan Egger (Michigan State U): Quantum error detection:         Theory and experiment</li> <li>Yvette De Sereville (IonQ): Adaptive Error Mitigation</li> </ol> Chair: Misty Wahl		
2:15–2:30	🎅 Coffee Break			
2:30–3:30	QEM and QEC	Yongshan Ding (Yale): Error Mitigation for Logical Qubits    William J. Huggins (Google): FLASQ: a cost model for early fault-tolerance  Chair: Andrea Mari		
3:30–4:30	The Future of QEM (Panel)	Misty Wahl, Raam Uzdin, Andrea Mari		
4:30–5:00	Wrap-Up & Announcements	Informal recap of Day 1		

#### Day 2: Jul 18, 2025

Time	Session	Details		
9:00–10:20	QEM in practice	<ol> <li>Thomas O'Leary (Oxford): Samples and Symmetries in Quantum Error Mitigation</li> <li>Jin Ming Koh (Harvard): Error mitigation in quantum dynamics and condensed-matter simulations</li> <li>Matea Leahy (Algorithmiq): Scalable tensor-network based error mitigation</li> </ol> Chair: Greg Quiroz		
10:20–10:45	Coffee Break			
10:45–12:00	QEM in practice	<ol> <li>Pablo Bonilla (Harvard): Quantum error correction beyond the surface code</li> <li>María Gragera Garcés (U Edinburgh): Scaling Quantum Error Mitigation in the Age of Distributed Quantum Computation</li> <li>Sam Ferracin: Behind the scenes of error mitigation: from theory to performant code</li> </ol> Chair: Ryan LaRose		
12:00–1:30		∬ Lunch (1.5hr to have lunch in the area; self organized)		
1:30–2:00	Brainstorming/Discussion	Andrew Arrasmith (lonQ): Interfacing Open Source Tools with Industry Backends		
2:00–3:00	Breakout Discussions	Suggested topics: - Compilation - QEM+QEC - Software - Experiments		
3:00–3:15	Coffee Break			
3:15–3:45	Breakout Discussion Takeaways	Representatives from each breakout room share insights from their discussions, perhaps prompted by a few top-level questions.  Chair: Nate Stemen		
3:45–4:30	Workshop Close & Final Reflections	Open forum for takeaways		
4:30–5:00	Send-Off	Gratitude + any final logistics		

#### **Invited** Talks

#### Noise vs quantum algorithms

Speaker: Yihui Quek, MIT

Abstract: What can we compute in the presence of noise? Noise limits our ability to error-mitigate, a term that refers to near-term schemes where errors that arise in a quantum computation are dealt with in classical pre-processing. I present a unifying framework for error mitigation and an analysis that strongly limits the degree to which quantum noise can be effectively 'undone' for larger system sizes, and shows that current error mitigation schemes are more or less as good as they can be. I will then switch gears and describe techniques to classically simulate expectation values on random circuits in the presence of non-unital noise.

#### Digital quantum magnetism at the frontier of classical simulations

Speaker: Eli Chertkov, Quantinuum

Abstract: The utility of near-term quantum computers for simulating realistic quantum systems hinges on the stability of digital quantum matter---realized when discrete quantum gates approximate continuous time evolution---and whether it can be maintained at system sizes and time scales inaccessible to classical simulations. Here, we use Quantinuum's H2 quantum computer to simulate digitized dynamics of the quantum Ising model and observe the emergence of Floquet prethermalization on timescales where accurate simulations using current classical methods are extremely challenging (if feasible at all). In addition to confirming the stability of dynamics subject to achievable digitization errors, we show direct evidence of the resultant local equilibration by computing diffusion constants associated with an emergent hydrodynamic description of the dynamics. Our results were enabled by continued advances in two-qubit gate quality (native partial entangler fidelities of 99.94(1)%) that allow us to access circuit volumes of over 2000 two-qubit gates. This work establishes digital quantum computers as powerful tools for studying continuous-time dynamics and demonstrates their potential to benchmark classical heuristics in a regime of scale and complexity where no known classical methods are both efficient and trustworthy.

## Bridging Quantum Error Correction and Mitigation

Speaker: Zhenyu Cai, University of Oxford

Abstract: Despite rapid advances in quantum hardware, achieving full fault-tolerant quantum computation through quantum error correction (QEC) remains a significant challenge. Meanwhile, quantum error mitigation (QEM), which recovers expectation values from noisy circuits using additional runs, has emerged as an essential tool in many experiments due to its low hardware requirements. Given their complementary strengths, effectively integrating QEC and QEM is crucial for maximising the computational reach of the early-fault-tolerant hardware that we will soon have. Previous approaches of combining QEC and QEM have largely focused on applying QEM directly onto logical qubits. Here I will present our recent work that goes beyond the current paradigm, some of which rely on deceptively simple concepts but can be impactful in many practical scenarios.

#### Error Mitigation for Logical Oubits

Speaker: Yongshan Ding, Yale University

**Abstract:** Quantum hardware devices continue to expand in scale and are increasingly capable of suppressing, detecting, and correcting errors. The next challenge is to develop the crucial systems architecture to perform various computational tasks on emerging hardware more robustly and efficiently.

My talk will introduce several recent results from our group at Yale about utilizing quantum error mitigation for logical error-corrected and error-detected qubits. I will discuss how error mitigation can be used to enhance fault tolerance schemes, from logical qubit encoding to logical error learning.

## FLASQ: a cost model for early fault-tolerance

Speaker: William J. Huggins, Google Abstract: Programming small fault-tolerant quantum computers will involve challenges and constraints that are very different from the ones we face when designing algorithms for today's devices. This makes it difficult to design algorithms or determine when particular applications will be viable without a deep knowledge of the machinery of quantum error correction. We propose a heuristic cost model for a 2D surface code architecture based on an approximation we call the "FLuid Allocation of Surface code Qubits". We argue that this cost model generates reasonable estimates for the runtime and error rate of a quantum algorithm without requiring that the user explicitly compile the circuit into native surface code operations. Using the FLASQ model, we analyze the cost of competing with state-of-the-art classical methods for simulating quantum dynamics.

## Scalable tensor-network based error mitigation

Speaker: Matea Leahy, Algorithmiq

Abstract: Until scalable fault-tolerant quantum computing becomes a reality, quantum algorithms will continue to depend heavily on noise mitigation techniques. In this talk, I will present our Tensor-Network-based Error Mitigation (TEM) algorithm, a post-processing approach designed to correct noise-induced errors in the estimation of physical observables. The method involves constructing a tensor network representation of the inverse of the global noise channel affecting the quantum processor's state, and subsequently applying this inverse map to informationally complete measurement data obtained from the noisy state. I will walk through the details of the algorithm and share recent results, including applications to 91-qubit circuits involving over 4,000 CNOT gates.

# Error mitigation in quantum dynamics and condensed-matter simulations

Speaker: Jin Ming Koh, Harvard University

Abstract: Advances in quantum hardware and algorithms have made present-day devices increasingly useful in quantum simulation. In this talk, I review one of the first efforts in studying measurement-induced entanglement phase transitions on superconducting quantum processors [1], discussing in particular the error reduction and mitigation strategies employed in the experiment. I summarize also an array of work probing condensed-matter systems in one, two, and higher dimensions [2-4] and non-Hermitian phenomena [5] on superconducting processors, focusing likewise on important error mitigation and suppression techniques. Collectively, these techniques span readout error mitigation, symmetry verification, zero noise extrapolation, and randomized compiling tailored for different contexts. Time permitting, I mention also a recently developed readout error mitigation method for mid-circuit measurements on dynamic circuits [6].

# Behind the scenes of error mitigation: from theory to performant code

Speaker: Sam Ferracin, IBM Quantum

Abstract: In recent years, great effort has been devoted to speeding up error mitigation, motivated by its inherent inefficiency and by the urgent need to make it faster and practical for real-world applications. While developing these advances "on paper" is rightly regarded as a difficult task, turning them into performant software is an equally critical (and often underestimated) challenge—one that can potentially introduce bottlenecks for users and undermine the gains achieved on papers. In this talk, I will discuss some of the main hurdles that developers encounter when they write software for error mitigation and integrate it into an existing software stack. I will explain how the software team at IBM has managed to overcome some of these issues in the last few years, and how we plan to keep improving the performance, reliability, and expressive power of our software stack in the near future.

- [1] Nat. Phys. 19, 1314-1319 (2023).
- [2] npj Quantum Inf. 8, 16 (2022).
- [3] Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 140502 (2022).
- [4] Nat. Commun. 15, 5807 (2024).
- [5] arXiv:2503.14595.
- [6] arXiv:2406.07611.

#### Drift-resilient mitigation techniques for dynamic circuits and QEM-QEC integration

**Speaker:** Raam Uzdin, Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Abstract: This talk reviews several mitigation techniques we have developed that are compatible with dynamic circuits. Dynamic circuits encapsulate both error correction codes and efficient circuits for entangled state preparation, gate teleportation, semi-classical Fourier transform, barren plateau free VQE, and more. The gate error is mitigated using the recently introduced Layered KIK protocol which extends the Adaptive KIK protocol to dynamic circuits. We will also present a mid-circuit measurement mitigation protocol based on the parity of consecutive measurements. This idea is also extended to terminating measurements and preparation error. As such, it offers an efficient alternative to gate set alternative. Finally, we present pseudo-twirling for mitigating coherent error in non-Clifford gates. Contrary to previous work on this topic, we provide a theoretical analysis that describes the nature of the residual incoherent error and the effect of the protocol on the native incoherent errors. Crucially, all the above-described methods are resilient to time drifts in the noise parameters and require no noise characterization. Supporting experimental results will be presented. This work paves the way to integration of error mitigation and error correction where error correction will do the heavy lifting of addressing local error, and error mitigation will mitigate errors that challenge error correction codes such as correlated errors and leakage errors.

#### **Contributed** Talks

## Quantum error correction beyond the surface code

Speaker: Pablo Bonilla, Harvard University **Abstract:** Quantum error correction is widely expected to play a crucial role in realizing large-scale quantum processors. Currently, the surface code is the leading quantum error-correcting code due to its high fault-tolerance thresholds and practical implementation requirements, which only necessitate nearest-neighbor interactions—a restriction suitable for many state-of-the-art platforms such as Google's and IBM's superconducting qubit systems. However, emerging quantum computing platforms, like reconfigurable neutral atom arrays, support arbitrary qubit connectivity. This flexibility prompts a fundamental question: can we outperform the surface code by exploiting non-local interactions? In this talk, we explore recent developments in high-rate quantum low-density parity-check (qLDPC) codes that leverage non-local connectivity. We demonstrate that these codes can offer more than an order-of-magnitude improvement in resource efficiency compared to the surface code, significantly reducing spatial overhead required for quantum memory and logical gate operations. Additionally, we will discuss practical strategies for implementing the inherent non-local interactions of qLDPC codes within neutral atom platforms, highlighting both their potential advantages and technical challenges. This presentation builds upon recent work [https://www.nature.com/articles/s41567-024-0247 9-z], contextualized for broad accessibility.

#### Scaling Quantum Error Mitigation in the Age of Distributed Quantum

#### Computation

Speaker: María Gragera Garcés, University of

Edinburgh

Abstract: Quantum Error Mitigation (QEM) has shown promise on near-term devices, but its scalability in future architectures, particularly those that distribute computation across multiple quantum processing units (QPUs), remains underexplored. This talk outlines key challenges and considerations for extending QEM to distributed quantum computing (DQC), where circuit partitioning, inter-QPU communication, and synchronization introduce new sources of error and resource tradeoffs.

We examine why QEM must adapt to these emerging execution models, focusing on overheads from communication-induced decoherence, redundant mitigation across device boundaries, and the complexity introduced by heterogeneous noise profiles. Common QEM techniques such as zero-noise extrapolation and probabilistic error cancellation are evaluated in the context of partitioned circuits, using synthetic circuit studies to characterize their scalability and limitations. The discussion also considers how compilation-time decisions affect mitigation feasibility and cost, and highlights the role of hybrid classical-quantum feedback and scheduling in real-world deployments.

The aim of this talk is to raise awareness of the need for distribution-aware QEM frameworks and to foster collaboration across compiler, mitigation, and architecture communities.

## Samples and Symmetries in Quantum Error Mitigation

Speaker: Thomas O'Leary, University of Oxford Abstract: Redundancy through quantum circuit symmetry lies at the heart of quantum error correction, enabling precise inference of circuit errors. For quantum devices capable of partial quantum error correction, this inference may be limited, enabling only a limited reduction in logical noise rates. Quantum error mitigation is a natural way to use an increased number of circuit samples to make up for this limited correction. In this work we explore the potential of using circuit symmetry for reducing the sampling cost of mitigation at the physical qubit level.

# Interfacing Open Source Tools with Industry Backends (discussion)

Speaker: Andrew Arrasmith, IonQ

Abstract: We propose to hold an open discussion of the challenges of balancing the general utility of open source error mitigation tools with the need for hardware specific details to achieve the best results. We intend to bring up questions about what information needs to (and can) be exposed by hardware providers as well as how open source toolsets can be enabled to utilize that information.

## **Lightning** Talks

#### Simulating Adiabatic State Preparation on Quantum Computers

Speaker: Zhiyao Li, University of Washington Abstract: I will present our workflow for simulating the adiabatic state preparation of the ground state of a scalar field theory on IBM's quantum computers. This includes error mitigation techniques that are particularly useful for time evolution such as self-mitigating circuits and operator decoherence renormalization. I will also discuss quantum simulation strategies and limitations for systems using 100 gubits. (Our definition of "quantum simulation" refers to simulating quantum systems on quantum devices, as opposed to simulating quantum device behaviors on classical computers.) Additionally, I will introduce Sequency Hierarchy Truncation (SeqHT), a method designed to reduce quantum resource requirements for state preparation and time evolution. By applying SeqHT, we achieve a ~30% reduction in circuit depth, leading to significantly improved fidelities in our quantum simulations. SegHT provides a general organizational framework applicable to simulations of systems with hierarchical energy or length scales. It also shines light on optimizing resource allocation in the context of quantum error correction.

#### **Adaptive Error Mitigation**

Speaker: Yvette De Sereville, IonQ
Abstract: We introduce an approach to error
mitigation tailored to specific applications using
targeted characterization measurements. Beyond
matching the general error mitigation strategy to the
application, we will discuss methods for tuning the
parameters of the chosen error mitigation
technique. We also show how running
characterization circuits allows us to choose which
of the set of possible error detection flags will result
in the largest improvement in overall circuit fidelity.

## Deep learning for quantum error mitigation

Speaker: Simone Cantori, University of Camerino Abstract: We investigate the synergy between (simulated) noisy quantum computers and classical deep neural networks to address the challenge of quantum error mitigation. Our approach combines noisy quantum data with classical circuit descriptors to train scalable convolutional neural networks capable of predicting accurate expectation values of quantum circuits with more qubits than those used for training. Additionally, we explore the use of circuit knitting techniques to implement quantum circuits for the neural network training set, enhancing the performance of Variational Quantum Eigensolver algorithms.

#### Quantum error detection: Theory and experiment

Speaker: Ethan Egger, Michigan State University Abstract: Of the many techniques proposed for mitigating errors on quantum computers, error detection is one of the simplest protocols. While error detection has been used in several small-scale experiments, the overhead and scaling on real quantum computers is understudied. In this work we provide a clear exposition of error detection which unifies multiple formulations in literature, and we present results from error detection experiments on real and simulated noisy quantum computers. Our experimental results show that the accuracy of expectation values calculated via error detection can improve exponentially in the number of physical gubits, but reveals the important practical considerations that must be met in order to achieve this, including overhead from compilation, fault-tolerant gates, and sample complexity.

## Attendee List

Name	Affiliation		
Matea Leahy	Algorithmiq		
Scott Smart	Amazon		
Yi-Ting (Tim) Chen	Amazon		
Yunong Shi	Amazon		
Kim Renaud	Calcul Québec		
Shreya Nagpal	Citi		
Matthew Prest	City University of New York		
Shivalee RK Shah	Columbia University		
Randy Shain	Dell		
Smriti Bajaj	Dell		
Silvia Zorzetti	Fermilab		
Shuwen Kan	Fordham University		
Zefan Du	Fordham University		
Amira Abbas	Google		
William J. Huggins	Google		
Jin Ming Koh	Harvard University		
Pablo Bonilla	Harvard University		
Raam Uzdin	Hebrew University of Jerusalem		
Alberto Maldonado Romo	IBM		
Thaddeus Pellegrini	IBM		
Ewout van den Berg	IBM		
Sam Ferracin	IBM		
Sanket Panda	IBM		

Name	Affiliation		
Pedro Rivero	IBM		
Aaron Robertson	Independent		
Brian Goldsmith	Independent		
Misty Wahl	Independent		
llana Wisby	Independent		
Pranav Gokhale	Infleqtion		
Andrew Arrasmith	lonQ		
Andrii Maksymov	lonQ		
Felix Tripier	lonQ		
Yvette De Sereville	lonQ		
Greg Quiroz	Johns Hopkins University		
Adrian Harkness	Lehigh University		
Ethan Egger	Michigan State University		
Ryan LaRose	Michigan State University		
Yihui Quek	MIT		
PoJen Wang	National Taiwan Universit		
Javad Shabani	NYU		
Eli Chertkov	Quantinuum		
Wei Dai	Quantum Machines		
Alessandro Cosentino	Unitary Foundation		
Ben Castanon	Unitary Foundation		
Brad Chase	Unitary Foundation		
Changhao Li	Unitary Foundation		

Name	Affiliation		
Jordan Sullivan	Unitary Foundation		
Nate Stemen	Unitary Foundation		
Nathan Shammah	Unitary Foundation		
Veena Vijayakumar	Unitary Foundation		
Will Zeng	Unitary Foundation		
Andrea Mari	University of Camerino		
Simone Cantori	University of Camerino		
María Gragera Garcés	University of Edinburgh		
Adway Patra	University of Maryland		

Name	Affiliation	
Thomas O'Leary	University of Oxford	
Zhenyu Cai	University of Oxford	
Gushu Li	University of Pennsylvania	
Nitish Kumar Chandra	University of Pittsburgh	
Zhiyao Li	University of Washington	
Jon Yard	University of Waterloo	
Stuart Hadfield	USRA / NASA Quantum Al Lab	
Yongshan Ding	Yale University	
Ben Foxman	Yale University	

#### **Organizers**

- Nate Stemen (Unitary Foundation) (chair)
- Nathan Shammah (Unitary Foundation) (co-chair)
- Greq Quiroz (Johns Hopkins)
- Ryan LaRose (Michigan State)
- Andrea Mari (University of Camerino)
- Pranav Gokhale (Infleqtion)
- Peter Orth (Saarland University)
- Misty Wahl (Independent)
- Will Zeng (Unitary Foundation)

#### **Local Committee**

- Javad Shabani (New York University)
- Ben Castanon (Unitary Foundation)
- Veena Vijayakumar (Unitary Foundation)

## Acknowledgements & Funding

WERQSHOP is made possible with support from:



The National Science Foundation (NSF) under the POSE Phase II award



New York University



The DOE ARQC TEAM and ASCR SMART Stack programs



Generous volunteering of WERQSHOP organizers

## **Stay Connected**

Follow along and stay in touch:

Website: werq.shop

GitHub: github.com/unitaryfoundationDiscord: http://discord.unitary.foundation

Linkedin: <u>unitary-foundation</u>Bluesky: <u>@unitary.foundation</u>

## **WERQSHOP** Bingo

Any time you hear one of the words/topics below, you can cross out the square! Who will get bingo first?

Hybrid (quantum- classical)	Cosmic ray	non- Markovian	drift	Speaker demos code live
BQP	crosstalk	Clifford	AI	coupling
Feynman quote	threshold	quantum (FREE)	"mitigable"	nonlocal
SPAM error	Sampling overhead	benchmark	Gottesman cited	NISQ
stabilizer	Tensor Network	Talk runs > 2 minutes long	Kraus	twirling