## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ARRIGHI, et al.	
Plaintiffs.	
V.	Docket No. 5:24-CV-0189/PPI
GEORGEGODSENT, SAVIORJOSHH	
Defendants.	

### **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon Motion for Default Judgment ("Motion") filed by Plaintiffs Arrighi, Teasoups, Krenth, Jacobcopley, Anthonytheking9291, and Actingpure against Defendants GeorgeGodsent and Saviorjoshh (together, "Defendants"). Defendants' defaults were entered on July 9, 2024, because Defendants failed to answer or otherwise plead as to the Complaint, despite having been properly served and prompted to do so within 7 calendar days. The Court has carefully considered the Motion, the record in this case, the applicable law, and is otherwise fully advised. For the following reasons, Plaintiffs' Motion is granted.

#### I. LEGAL STANDARD

A court has the power to enter default judgment when a defendant fails to defend its case appropriately or otherwise engages in dilatory tactics. *Keegel v. Key W. & Caribbean Trading Co.*, 627 F.2d 372, 375 n. 5 (D.C.Cir.1980). Rule 55(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides for entry of default "[w]hen a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend as provided by these rules." FED. R. CIV.P. 55(a). Upon request of the party entitled to default, Rule 55(b)(2) authorizes the court to enter against the defendant a default judgment for the amount claimed and costs. Id. 55(b)(2).

Because courts strongly favor resolution of disputes on their merits rather than on procedural niceties, and because "it seems inherently unfair" to use the court's power to enter judgment as a penalty for filing delays, modern courts do not employ default judgments except as an ultimate resort. *Jackson v. Beech*, 636 F.2d 831, 835 (D.C.Cir.1980). Accordingly, default judgment are available "only when the adversary process has been halted because of an essentially unresponsive party ... [as] the diligent party must be protected lest he be faced with interminable delay and continued uncertainty as to his rights." Id. at 836 (quoting *H.F. Livermore Corp. v. Aktiengesellschaft Gebruder Loepfe*, 432 F.2d 689, 691 (D.C.Cir. 1970)).

#### II. <u>ANALYSIS</u>

On June 27, 2024, Defendants GeorgeGodsent, President of the United States, and Saviorjoshh, Vice President of the United States, members of the Plutocratic Party, allegedly conspired to bring about the death of Plaintiffs—known political opponents and members of the Pioneer Party. In a private electronic groupchat of which Defendants and other unnamed co-conspirators were members, Defendant GeorgeGodsent wrote, "find a roblox clan that I can pay to kill Arrighi and every non-plutocrat congressman. If [Group Managers] allow this, then we'll win at it." Defendant Saviorjoshh replied, "how abt special forces", to which Defendant GeorgeGodsent expressed that is a "good idea". Defendant GeorgeGodsent later posted a picture of the Special Forces director promising to kill them all and boasted about the plan.

Plaintiffs initiated this action on July 1, 2024, asserting a cause of action for violation of public law—including violations of 18 U.S. Code § 241 and § 5.12 of the District of Columbia Criminal Code and an attempt to violate Plaintiffs' rights to due process, speech and debate privileges, and rights against unreasonable seizures under the United States Constitution. Plaintiffs served a summons and a copy of the Complaint on Defendants on July 7, 2024, and

Defendants were notified that answers or responsive pleadings must be filed within 7 calendar

days from the date of service. Defendants failed to respond or otherwise plead as to the

allegations waged against them within the allowed time. The Court—having been informed that

the deadline was missed—entered Defendants' defaults on its own motion.

Plaintiffs now move for default judgment against Defendants. In deciding Plaintiffs'

Motion for Default Judgment, the Court considers whether entry of default judgment would

bring about a harsh or unfair result. Undoubtedly, where the adversarial process has been brought

to a halt by negligence and ineptitude of a defendant, as in this case, default judgment is the

appropriate procedural device. Jackson v. Beech, supra, at 836. The Court therefore grants

Plaintiffs' Motion and enters judgment against Defendants. The relief requested in Plaintiffs'

Complaint is as follows: declaratory relief that Defendants schemed to bring about the murders

of Plaintiffs, injunctive relief enjoining and restraining Defendants from weaponizing the Federal

Government in order to violate Plaintiffs' rights, and injunctive relief enjoining and restraining

Defendants from hiring external individuals to bring about the murder of Plaintiffs.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

For the foregoing reasons, the Court hereby **GRANTS** Plaintiffs' Motion for Default

Judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(a).

A seprate Order will be entered contemporaneously.

BY:

A POPPI EWELL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

3

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Docket No. 5:24-CV-0189/PPL

#### **ORDER**

For the reasons stated in the Court's Memorandum Opinion contemporaneously and separately issued, the Court makes the following orders and findings of fact:

IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that on June 27, 2024, Defendants GeorgeGodsent and Saviorjoshh schemed and conspired to bring about the murders of Plaintiffs Arrighi, Teasoups, Krenth, Jacobcopley, Anthonytheking9291, and Actingpure;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants GeorgeGodsent and Saviorjoshh are hereby enjoined and restrained from weaponizing the Federal Government in order to violate Plaintiffs Arrighi, Teasoups, Krenth, Jacobcopley, Anthonytheking9291, and Actingpure's rights protected under the United States Constitution and laws;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants GeorgeGodsent and Saviorjoshh are hereby enjoined and restrained from hiring external individuals to bring about the murders of Plaintiffs Arrighi, Teasoups, Krenth, Jacobcopley, Anthonytheking9291, and Actingpure;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the case channel belonging to this action shall remain on the Court's docket indefinitely for purpose of enforcing this Order;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court shall retain jurisdiction for the purpose of

modifying, supervising, and enforcing this Order.

**SO ORDERED** in Chambers at Washington, D.C. this 9th day of June, 2024.

BY:

BRENDA POPPLEWELL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE