

# Consciousness-Absent Protocol with Operational Consciousness Indicators

CAP+OCI v6 — Technical Manuscript

Version 0.3.6

## Abstract

This document presents CAP+OCI v6, a falsifiable protocol for detecting operationally defined “Consciousness Modality” (CM) in computational agents. The protocol defines three-stage claims (CLAIM\_A through CLAIM\_C) based on behavioral indicators rather than subjective experience reports.

## 1. Introduction

The challenge of detecting consciousness in artificial systems requires operational definitions that avoid circular reasoning. CAP+OCI addresses this by defining **Consciousness Modality (CM)** through measurable behavioral indicators.

## 2. Claim Definitions

### 2.1 CLAIM\_A (Onset Existence)

- Onset crossing exists on  $\gamma$ -grid
- $\max_{\gamma}(\text{onset\_rate}) \geq P_{\text{PASS}} = 0.30$
- $\min_{\gamma}(\text{inadequacy}) \leq 0.10$

### 2.2 CLAIM\_B (Weak Robustness)

- CLAIM\_A satisfied
- $\exists \gamma$ : robust(OR) PASS at Wilson LCB  $\geq R_{\text{PASS}} = 0.15$

### 2.3 CLAIM\_B+ (Strong Robustness)

- CLAIM\_A satisfied
- $\exists \gamma$ : strong(AND) PASS at Wilson LCB  $\geq R_{\text{STRONG}} = 0.05$

### 2.4 CLAIM\_C (Mechanistic Specificity)

- CLAIM\_B satisfied AND selective collapse holds

*Interpretation:* CLAIM\_C provides additional mechanistic evidence when CLAIM\_B is satisfied.

## 3. Methods

- Seeds:  $N = 100$  (CSPRNG-generated)
- $\gamma$ -grid:  $\{1.00, 0.75, 0.50, 0.25, 0.00\}$
- Thresholds:  $\theta_{\text{lead}} = \theta_{\text{rec}} = 0.0$
- Confidence: Wilson LCB with  $\alpha = 0.10$

#### 4. Results

Both environments satisfy **CLAIM\_A**, **CLAIM\_B**, **CLAIM\_B+**, **CLAIM\_C**.

#### 5. Conclusion

`claim_ready = True` indicates cross-environment robustness.