The Classical Measurement Error (MEC) model

Parametrization

This is an implementation of the classical ME model for a fixed effect. It is best described by an example, let the model be

$$\eta = \beta x + \epsilon$$

where η is the linear predictor, β the effect of the true covariate x with zero mean Gaussian noise ϵ . The issue is that x is not observed directly, but only through w, where

$$w = x + u$$

where u is zero mean Gaussian noise. Even though this setup is possible to implement using basic features ("copy" and multiple likelihoods), we provide the following model which reparametrizes the above,

$$\eta = \nu + \epsilon$$

where $\nu = \beta x$ has the correct distribution depending on various parameters: β has prior $\pi(\beta)$, and x is apriori $\mathcal{N}(\mu_x I, \tau_x I)^1$. The error is apriori $u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tau_u \mathbf{D})$, where τ_u is the observational precision of the error $\operatorname{Prec}(u|x)$) with possible heteroscedasticy, encoded in the entries d_i of the diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} . The vector s contains the fixed scalings $s = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ (with n the number of data points).

Hyperparameters

This model has 4 hyperparameters, $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)$ where θ_2 , θ_3 and θ_4 are default set to be fixed (ie defined to be known). The values of θ_2 , θ_3 and θ_4 are set to mimic a classical fixed effect, so they will always make sense. To achieve the ME model, please use the appropriate choices for (some of) these parameters!

The hyperparameter specification is as follows:

$$\theta_1 = \beta$$

and the prior is defined on θ_1 ,

$$\theta_2 = \log(\tau_u)$$

and the prior is defined on θ_2 ,

$$\theta_3 = \mu_x$$

and the prior is defined on θ_3 ,

$$\theta_4 = \log(\tau_{\rm x})$$

and the prior is defined on θ_4 .

Specification

The MEC is specified inside the f() function as

The w are the observed values of the true but unknown covariates x, with the assumption, that if two or more elements of w are *identical*, then they refer to the same element in the true covariate x. If data points with identical w values belong to different x values (e.g., different individuals), please add a tiny random value to w to make this difference obvious to the model.

The fixed scaling of the observational precision is given in argument scale. If the argument scale is not given, then s is set to 1.

¹Note: The second argument in $\mathcal{N}(,)$ is the precision not the variance.

Hyperparameter specification and default values

hyper

```
theta1
        hyperid 2001
         name beta
         short.name b
         prior gaussian
         param 1 0.001
        initial 1
         fixed FALSE
         to.theta function(x) x
         from.theta function(x) x
    theta2
        hyperid 2002
         name prec.u
         short.name prec
         prior loggamma
         param 1 1e-04
         initial 9.21034037197618
         fixed TRUE
         to.theta function(x) log(x)
         from.theta function(x) exp(x)
    theta3
        hyperid 2003
         name mean.x
         short.name mu.x
         prior gaussian
         param 0 1e-04
        initial 0
         fixed TRUE
         to.theta function(x) x
         from.theta function(x) x
    theta4
         hyperid 2004
         name prec.x
         short.name prec.x
         prior loggamma
         param 1 10000
        initial -9.21034037197618
         fixed TRUE
         to.theta function(x) log(x)
         from.theta function(x) exp(x)
constr FALSE
```

```
nrow.ncol FALSE
augmented FALSE
aug.factor 1
aug.constr
n.div.by
n.required FALSE
set.default.values FALSE
status experimental
pdf mec
Example
n = 100
beta = 4
prec.y = 1
prec.u = 1
prec.x = 1
## true unobserved covariate
x = rnorm(n, sd = 1/sqrt(prec.x))
## the observed covariate with heteroscedastic scaling
s = runif(n,min=0.5,max=2)
w = x + rnorm(n, sd = 1/sqrt(prec.u*s))
## regression model using the unobserved 'x'
y = 1 + beta*x + rnorm(n, sd = 1/sqrt(prec.y))
## prior parameters
prior.beta = c(0, 0.0001)
prior.prec.u = c(10, 9)
prior.prec.x = c(10, 9)
prior.prec.y = c(10, 9)
formula = y ~ 1 +
f(w, model="mec", scale=s, values=w,
    hyper = list(
        beta = list(
            prior = "gaussian",
            param = prior.beta,
            fixed = FALSE
        ),
        prec.u = list(
            prior = "loggamma",
            param = prior.prec.u,
            initial = log(prec.u),
            fixed = FALSE
        ),
```

```
prec.x = list(
            prior = "loggamma",
            param = prior.prec.x,
            initial = log(prec.x),
            fixed = FALSE
        ),
        mean.x = list(
            prior = "gaussian",
            initial = 0,
            fixed=TRUE
        )
    )
)
r = inla(formula,
    data = data.frame(y, w, s),
    family = "gaussian",
    control.family = list(
        hyper = list(
            prec = list(param = prior.prec.y,
                initial = log(prec.y),
                fixed=FALSE
        )
    )
)
summary(r)
```

Notes

- INLA provides the posteriors of $\nu_i = \beta x_i$ and NOT x_i .
- The posteriors of ν_i come (default) in the order given by the sorted (from low to high) values of w. The entry \$ID gives the mapping.
- The option scale defines the scaling in the same order as argument values. It is therefore adviced to also give argument values when scale is used to be sure that they are consistent.