

Partial Differential Equation - HW 3

SungBin Park, 20150462

November 20, 2018

Problem 1

I'll imitate the proof in Evans.

Proof. Since each Γ_j is compact, $\partial\Omega$ is compact and we can choose finite points $x_i \in \partial\Omega$ with radius $r_i > 0$ and $\partial\Omega \subset \cup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, \frac{r_i}{2})$. If x_i is not in end point of some Γ_j for all j , then we can use the argument in the Evans, so we only need to consider the case that x_i is in end point of Γ_j for some j .

Fix x^0 is in end point of Γ_j and assume that x^0 is also a end point of Γ_{j+1} . As Γ_j, Γ_{j+1} are C^1 , there exists $r_1, r_2 > 0$ and a C^1 function $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ implicit function theorem. \square

Problem 2

1. $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a vector space: For $f = 0$, $f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, so $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$. For $f_1, f_2 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there exists f_1^j, f_2^j such that $(f_1^j), (f_2^j) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ and $f_1^j \rightarrow f_1, f_2^j \rightarrow f_2$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Since union of two compact set in Ω is compact in Ω , $f_1^j + f_2^j \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ and for large enough N satisfying $\|f_1^j - f_1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}, \|f_2^j - f_2\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \epsilon/2$ for $j > N$, $\|f_1^j + f_2^j - f_1 - f_2\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \|f_1^j - f_1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} + \|f_2^j - f_2\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \epsilon$. Therefore, $f_1^j + f_2^j \rightarrow f_1 + f_2$ and $f_1 + f_2 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Also, $\lambda f^j \rightarrow \lambda f$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for scalar λ . Therefore, $W^{1,p}$ is vector space. (Other ...)
2. With the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$, $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is Banach space: Let f_j be a cauchy sequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Since $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is Banach space, $f_j \rightarrow f$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Since Ω is bounded and $\partial\Omega$ is C^1 , there exists bounded linear operator $T : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\partial\Omega)$ and $Tf_j \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ as $f_j \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|Tf_j - Tf\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T(f_j - f)\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \|f_j - f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 0$$

as $\|T\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$ is bounded. Therefore, $Tf_j \rightarrow Tf$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|Tf_j\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|Tf\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 0$. As a result, $f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ implying Cauchy sequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ converges.

Therefore, $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is Banach space.

Problem 3

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$,

$$C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) := \{u \in C^k(\bar{\Omega}) : \|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} < \infty\}$$

Before starting, I need to show that $\|\cdot\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$ is a norm on $C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Proof. 1. By the definition of $C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, we know that $\|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} < \infty$ for any $u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. Let $u, v \in C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u + v\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha(u + v)\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha(u + v)]_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |D^\alpha(u + v)| + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \bar{\Omega} \\ x \neq y}} \left\{ \frac{|D^\alpha(u + v)(x) - D^\alpha(u + v)(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \right\} \\
&\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |D^\alpha u| + \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |D^\alpha v| + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \bar{\Omega} \\ x \neq y}} \left\{ \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)| + |D^\alpha v(x) - D^\alpha v(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \right\} \\
&\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |D^\alpha u| + \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |D^\alpha v| + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \bar{\Omega} \\ x \neq y}} \left\{ \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \right\} + \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \bar{\Omega} \\ x \neq y}} \left\{ \frac{|D^\alpha v(x) - D^\alpha v(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \right\} \\
&= \|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} + \|v\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|u + v\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq \|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} + \|v\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$.

2. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\lambda\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |D^\alpha \lambda u| + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \bar{\Omega} \\ x \neq y}} \left\{ \frac{|D^\alpha \lambda u(x) - D^\alpha \lambda u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \right\} \\
&= |\lambda| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |D^\alpha u| + |\lambda| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \bar{\Omega} \\ x \neq y}} \left\{ \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \right\} \\
&= \lambda \|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}.
\end{aligned}$$

3. For $u = 0$, $\|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} = 0$. Conversely, if $\|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} = 0$, then $\|u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} = 0$ with continuity of u , so $u = 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}$.

Therefore, $\|\cdot\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$ is a norm.

- (a) Clearly, $0 \in C^{k,p}(\bar{\Omega})$. For $f_1, f_2 \in C^{k,p}(\bar{\Omega})$, $f_1 + f_2 \in C^k(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\|f_1 + f_2\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq \|f_1\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} + \|f_2\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} < \infty$. Therefore, $f_1 + f_2 \in C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. $f_1 + f_2 = f_2 + f_1$ and for scalar λ , $\lambda f_1 \in C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $\|\lambda f_1\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} = |\lambda| \|f_1\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} < \infty$. Therefore, $C^{k,p}(\bar{\Omega})$ is a vector space.
- (b) Fix $x \in \Omega$ and take an open neighborhood $B(x, r) \subset \Omega$ for some $r > 0$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$, then $B(x, \frac{1}{n}) \subset \Omega$ for $n > N$. I'll use C^∞ Urysohn lemma to show that there exists infinitely many linearly independent elements in $C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. For $n > N$, take $K_n = \overline{B(x, \frac{1}{n+1})}$ and $U_n = B(x, \frac{1}{n+1} + (\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1})/2)$. Using C^∞ Urysohn lemma, take $\phi^n \in C^\infty$ such that 1 on K_n and has support in U_n . Take finite elements in the set: $\{\phi^j\}_{j=N_1}^{N_n}$,
- (c) Let $\{u_i\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $C^{k,p}(\bar{\Omega})$. For fixed $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that $i, j > N \Rightarrow \|u_i - u_j\|_{C^{k,p}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq \epsilon$. It implies

$$\begin{cases} \|D^\alpha u_i - D^\alpha u_j\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \leq \epsilon & \text{For } |\alpha| \leq k \\ [D^\alpha u_i - D^\alpha u_j]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq \epsilon & \text{For } |\alpha| = k. \end{cases}$$

Since $D^\alpha u_i$ is uniformly Cauchy for $|\alpha| \leq k$, $D^\alpha u_i$ converges to u_α for $|\alpha| \leq k$ pointwisely. Also, this convergence is uniform...

□

Problem 4

I'll follow the proof in Evans.

Proof. Since U is bounded, open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\partial\Omega$ is C^1 ,

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}), \quad \|u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$$

for $\alpha = 1 - n/p$ and C depends only on p, n and Ω . Now, we need to show that each bounded sequence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is precompact in $C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. Let a bounded sequence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$: $\{u_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$.

Using Extension Theorem, we can assume that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, all $\{u_m\}$ have compact support in some bounded open set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and

$$\sup_m \|u_m\|_{W^{1,p}(V)} < \infty$$

... (make support B_R) □

Problem 5

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Define $\Omega_\epsilon := \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x, \partial\Omega) > \epsilon\}$. Let's mollify the u with standard mollifier η_ϵ and denote it u^ϵ . Then,

$$Du^\epsilon = \eta_\epsilon * Du = 0$$

in Ω_ϵ . It implies that if $B(x, r) \subset \Omega_\epsilon$ for small enough $r > 0$, u^ϵ is constant on $B(x, r)$ since the derivative of u^ϵ is zero on the set. In other words, it is locally constant in Ω_ϵ .

Let $x \in U$ and $B(x, r)$ be an open neighborhood of x in Ω , then there exists ϵ such that $B(x, r) \subset \Omega_\epsilon$ and by previous, we know that u^ϵ is constant on $B(x, r)$. Let the constant value c^ϵ . We know that $u^\epsilon \rightarrow u$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and it means on u is constant a.e. on $B(x, r)$. (If not, there always exists non measure zero set such that u^ϵ is different with u on $B(x, r)$.) Therefore, u is locally constant function in a.e. sense).

Let take a partition such that $x \sim y$ if $u(x) = u(y)$. Since Ω is locally constant, any element in partition is open set. Assume that there exists at least two element in the partition. This is impossible since Ω is connected set. Therefore, u is a.e. constant function.

Problem 6

First, I'll show that $u \in L^n(B_1(\mathbf{0}))$. Note that u is symmetric function about rotation, so we can show that integral on $B_1(\mathbf{0})$ is finite by showing that integral is finite for r . Also, we can restrict the range of r to $(0, \frac{1}{e-1})$ since u is bounded in outside of the range. In other words,

$$\int_{B_1(\mathbf{0})} u dx \leq C \int_0^{\frac{1}{e-1}} \left(\left| \log \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{r} \right) \right| \right)^n r^{n-1} dr$$

for some constant $C < \infty$. Let $y = \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{r} \right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{\frac{1}{e-1}} \left(\log \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{r} \right) \right)^n r^{n-1} dr \right| &\leq \int_1^\infty (\log y)^n \frac{e^y}{(e^y - 1)^{n+1}} dy \\ &\leq \int_1^\infty (\log y)^n \frac{2^{n+1} e^y}{e^{(n+1)y}} dy \\ &\leq \int_1^\infty y^n 2^{n+1} e^{-ny} dy < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u \in L^n(B_1(\mathbf{0}))$, and $u \in L^1(B_1(\mathbf{0}))$.

Next, I'll show that u has weak derivative in $B_1(\mathbf{0})$ and belongs to $L^n(B_1(\mathbf{0}))$. Since u goes to ∞ as $x \rightarrow 0$, we need to care when we compute weak derivative. However, we can ignore at $\mathbf{0}$ by the following argument. Let V be a compactly embedded set in U and ϕ be a C^∞ function having support V . Assume $\mathbf{0} \in V$. Without $\mathbf{0}$, Du should be $\partial_{x_i} u$ for some i . Since $u, D^\alpha \phi$ for all α are L^1 function on V , we can use Fubini theorem, and rewrite the integral by

$$\int_U u D\phi dx = \int_{-1}^1 (\cdots) dx_1.$$

Since $n > 1$, we know that the $n - 1$ dim plane through 0 is measure zero set and it does not effect integral to delete 0 from integral range of x_1 . Therefore, the weak derivative is just derivative of u except $\mathbf{0}$... (Fundamental of Calculus? $d/dx_1 \int \int dx_1 dx_2 \dots$ Explicitly show)

I'll show that Du is in L^n . Computing partial derivative:

$$|\partial_{x_i} u| = \left| \frac{1}{\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{|x|}\right)} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{|x|}} \frac{x_i}{|x|^3} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\left|\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{r}\right)\right|} \frac{1}{r+1} \frac{1}{r}.$$

Then, by the same reason before, we just need to check whether the integral is finite for r in $\left(0, \frac{1}{e-1}\right)$.

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{e-1}} \left(\frac{1}{\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{r}\right)} \frac{1}{r+1} \frac{1}{r} \right)^n r^{n-1} dr \leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{e-1}} \left(\frac{1}{\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{r}\right)} \right)^n \frac{1}{r} dr$$

Let $x = \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{r}\right)$, then the integral becomes

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^n} \frac{e^x}{e^x - 1} dx$$

For sufficiently large R , $\frac{e^x}{e^x - 1} < 2$ for $x > R$ and we know that $\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^n}$ converges for $n > 2$. Therefore, $Du \in L^n(B_1(\mathbf{0}))$ and $u \in W^{1,n}(B_1(\mathbf{0}))$.

Problem 7

Since $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u = (\hat{u})^\vee$ by Theorem 2 in chapter 4.3 Evans. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |e^{ikx} \hat{u}(k)| dk \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{u}(k)| dk \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |k|^2)^{s/2} (1 + |k|^2)^{-s/2} |\hat{u}(k)| dk \\ &\left(\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |k|^2)^s |\hat{u}|^2 dk \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |k|^2)^{-s} dk \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

For $|k| > 1$, $(1 + |k|^2)^s > (2|k|)^{2s}$

$$\int_{|k|>1} k^{-2s} dk = \sigma(S^{n-1}) \int_1^\infty r^{-2s} r^{n-1} dr < \infty$$

since $-2s + n - 1 < -1$ and $\int_1^\infty r^\alpha dr < \infty$ for $\alpha < -1$. Therefore,

$$|u(x)| \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |y|^2)^s |\hat{u}|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} = C \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

This is true for a.e. x , so

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\|$$