

# Prognosis

April 11, 2025

## 0.1 Prognosis

[Link to GitHub](#)

[Link to Jupyter Notebook](#)

### 0.1.1 Introduction

Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is when the kidneys are destroyed over time and do not have full functionality. CKD is known as a silent killer that often goes undiagnosed until its late stages.

Prognosis goal is to develop a diagnostic tool that can predict the likelihood of CKD by using the [UCI ML Repository dataset](#). By analyzing biomarkers and clinical data collected from patients such as their blood pressure, hemoglobin, age, and albumin measurements, we can see patterns and correlations that can be used to classify individuals at-risk for CKD. We aim to support healthcare providers in making a diagnosis which allows for timely interventions and a reduction in complications.

### 0.1.2 Summary

**Data** We started by looking at the dataset in UCI ML Repository dataset. Upon downloading, we encountered some issues with the .arff file. So we searched on the web to see if the same dataset was available in CSV format, and someone converted the same dataset into CSV in Kaggle, so we used that for processing. The data has about 400 rows/entries and 25 columns/features. Overall, the dataset has 11 numerical and 14 categorical columns.

Urinary and blood biomarkers such as **serum creatinine**, **albumin**, **specific gravity**, **hemoglobin**, **red blood cell counts**, and **packed cell volume** are strong indicators in detecting whether a patient has CKD or not.

**ML Analyses** For first analysis, we used supervised binary classification to predict whether a patient has Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD). Serum creatinine, albumin, hemoglobin, and red blood cell counts consistently emerged as strong predictors of CKD, as identified through EDA and confirmed across multiple model trainings. In contrast, packed cell volume and specific gravity showed weaker predictive power. For second analysis, we used Gradient-Boosted Decision Trees with SHAP Explainability. Although the test performance was moderate (Test AUROC: 0.447, 95 % CI: 0.338 – 0.558), SHAP analysis effectively highlighted key biomarkers such as serum creatinine (sc), albumin (al), blood urea (bu), and hemoglobin (hemo), along with subtle feature interactions.

**Visualizations** All the hypotheses were made, and why we wanted to investigate those hypotheses mentioned for each visualization in the visualization section.

### 0.1.3 Exploratory Data Analysis

Cindy Rocha

```
[1]: import pandas as pd
import seaborn as sns
%matplotlib inline
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split, RandomizedSearchCV, \
↳StratifiedKFold
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, confusion_matrix, \
↳classification_report
from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder, OneHotEncoder, StandardScaler
from sklearn.dummy import DummyClassifier
from sklearn.utils import resample
from sklearn.compose import ColumnTransformer
from sklearn.impute import SimpleImputer
import numpy as np
from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
from xgboost import XGBClassifier
from sklearn.calibration import CalibratedClassifierCV
from sklearn.metrics import (accuracy_score, confusion_matrix, roc_auc_score, \
↳precision_recall_curve,
                               RocCurveDisplay, ConfusionMatrixDisplay, \
↳classification_report)
import shap
```

We tried downloading the data from the UCI ML Repository, but the .arff file with the data had several issues. However, someone had already converted this data into .csv in Kaggle. I decided to use the kaggle data due to convenience. I also did check for any discrepancies.

[Stack Overflow post](#)

```
[2]: df = pd.read_csv("chronic_kidney_disease.csv")

# dropping the redundant id feature
df = df.drop('id', axis=1)

df
```

```
[2]:
```

	age	bp	sg	al	su	rbc	pc	pcc	ba \
0	48.0	80.0	1.020	1.0	0.0	NaN	normal	notpresent	notpresent
1	7.0	50.0	1.020	4.0	0.0	NaN	normal	notpresent	notpresent
2	62.0	80.0	1.010	2.0	3.0	normal	normal	notpresent	notpresent
3	48.0	70.0	1.005	4.0	0.0	normal	abnormal	present	notpresent
4	51.0	80.0	1.010	2.0	0.0	normal	normal	notpresent	notpresent
..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
395	55.0	80.0	1.020	0.0	0.0	normal	normal	notpresent	notpresent

```

396  42.0  70.0  1.025  0.0  0.0  normal      normal  notpresent  notpresent
397  12.0  80.0  1.020  0.0  0.0  normal      normal  notpresent  notpresent
398  17.0  60.0  1.025  0.0  0.0  normal      normal  notpresent  notpresent
399  58.0  80.0  1.025  0.0  0.0  normal      normal  notpresent  notpresent

```

```

      bgr  ...  pcv    wc    rc  htn    dm  cad  appet    pe  ane  classification
0    121.0  ...   44  7800  5.2  yes  yes  no   good  no   no                ckd
1      NaN  ...   38  6000  NaN   no   no  no   good  no   no                ckd
2    423.0  ...   31  7500  NaN   no  yes  no   poor  no  yes                ckd
3    117.0  ...   32  6700  3.9  yes   no  no   poor  yes  yes                ckd
4    106.0  ...   35  7300  4.6   no   no  no   good  no   no                ckd
..      ...  ...   ...   ...   ...  ..   ...  ...   ...  ...   ...                ...
395  140.0  ...   47  6700  4.9   no   no  no   good  no   no            notckd
396   75.0  ...   54  7800  6.2   no   no  no   good  no   no            notckd
397  100.0  ...   49  6600  5.4   no   no  no   good  no   no            notckd
398  114.0  ...   51  7200  5.9   no   no  no   good  no   no            notckd
399  131.0  ...   53  6800  6.1   no   no  no   good  no   no            notckd

```

[400 rows x 25 columns]

```
[3]: df.shape
```

```
[3]: (400, 25)
```

The columns are labeled as follows (from the UCI dataset .txt file):

1.Age(nominal): age in years 2.Blood Pressure(nominal): bp in mm/Hg 3.Specific Gravity(nominal): sg - (1.005,1.010,1.015,1.020,1.025) 4.Albumin(nominal): al - (0,1,2,3,4,5) 5.Sugar(nominal): su - (0,1,2,3,4,5) 6.Red Blood Cells(nominal): rbc - (normal,abnormal) 7.Pus Cell (nominal): pc - (normal,abnormal) 8.Pus Cell clumps(nominal): pcc - (present,notpresent) 9.Bacteria(nominal): ba - (present,notpresent) 10.Blood Glucose Random(nominal): bgr in mgs/dl 11.Blood Urea(nominal): bu in mgs/dl 12.Serum Creatinine(nominal): sc in mgs/dl 13.Sodium(nominal): sod in mEq/L 14.Potassium(nominal): pot in mEq/L 15.Hemoglobin(nominal): hemo in gms 16.Packed Cell Volume(nominal): 17.White Blood Cell Count(nominal): wc in cells/cumm 18.Red Blood Cell Count(nominal): rc in millions/cmm 19.Hypertension(nominal): htn - (yes,no) 20.Diabetes Mellitus(nominal): dm - (yes,no) 21.Coronary Artery Disease(nominal): cad - (yes,no) 22.Appetite(nominal): appet - (good,poor) 23.Pedal Edema(nominal): pe - (yes,no) 24.Anemia(nominal): ane - (yes,no) 25.Class (nominal): class - (ckd, notckd)

```
[4]: df.info()
```

```

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 400 entries, 0 to 399
Data columns (total 25 columns):
#   Column          Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
0   age             391 non-null    float64
1   bp              388 non-null    float64

```

```

2   sg                353 non-null    float64
3   al                354 non-null    float64
4   su                351 non-null    float64
5   rbc               248 non-null    object
6   pc                335 non-null    object
7   pcc               396 non-null    object
8   ba                396 non-null    object
9   bgr               356 non-null    float64
10  bu                381 non-null    float64
11  sc                383 non-null    float64
12  sod               313 non-null    float64
13  pot               312 non-null    float64
14  hemo              348 non-null    float64
15  pcv               330 non-null    object
16  wc                295 non-null    object
17  rc                270 non-null    object
18  htn               398 non-null    object
19  dm                398 non-null    object
20  cad               398 non-null    object
21  appet             399 non-null    object
22  pe                399 non-null    object
23  ane                399 non-null    object
24  classification    400 non-null    object
dtypes: float64(11), object(14)
memory usage: 78.3+ KB

```

```

[5]: # pcv, wc, and rc are actually numerical.
df['pcv'] = pd.to_numeric(df['pcv'], errors='coerce')
df['wc'] = pd.to_numeric(df['wc'], errors='coerce')
df['rc'] = pd.to_numeric(df['rc'], errors='coerce')

# sg, al, su are categorical
categorical_cols = ['sg', 'al', 'su']
df[categorical_cols] = df[categorical_cols].astype('object')

```

```

[6]: df.info()

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 400 entries, 0 to 399
Data columns (total 25 columns):
#   Column          Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
0   age              391 non-null    float64
1   bp               388 non-null    float64
2   sg               353 non-null    object
3   al               354 non-null    object
4   su               351 non-null    object
5   rbc              248 non-null    object

```

6	pc	335 non-null	object
7	pcc	396 non-null	object
8	ba	396 non-null	object
9	bgr	356 non-null	float64
10	bu	381 non-null	float64
11	sc	383 non-null	float64
12	sod	313 non-null	float64
13	pot	312 non-null	float64
14	hemo	348 non-null	float64
15	pcv	329 non-null	float64
16	wc	294 non-null	float64
17	rc	269 non-null	float64
18	htn	398 non-null	object
19	dm	398 non-null	object
20	cad	398 non-null	object
21	appet	399 non-null	object
22	pe	399 non-null	object
23	ane	399 non-null	object
24	classification	400 non-null	object

dtypes: float64(11), object(14)  
memory usage: 78.3+ KB

```
[7]: # Checking for duplicated data
df.duplicated().sum()
```

```
[7]: 0
```

```
[8]: # unique values per feature
df.nunique()
```

```
[8]: age          76
bp             10
sg              5
al              6
su              6
rbc             2
pc              2
pcc             2
ba              2
bgr            146
bu            118
sc             84
sod            34
pot            40
hemo          115
pcv            42
wc             89
rc             45
```

```

htn          2
dm           3
cad          2
appet        2
pe           2
ane          2
classification 2
dtype: int64

```

I noticed that data that should only have 2 unique values has more than 2 such as cad, classification, and dm. Upon further inspection in the .csv file, there are trailing spaces in some rows. So, below I remove those spaces:

```
[9]: df = df.apply(lambda x: x.strip() if isinstance(x, str) else x)
print(df['classification'].unique())
```

```
['ckd' 'notckd']
```

```
[10]: df.nunique()
```

```

[10]: age          76
bp             10
sg             5
al             6
su             6
rbc            2
pc             2
pcc            2
ba             2
bgr           146
bu            118
sc             84
sod            34
pot            40
hemo           115
pcv            42
wc             89
rc             45
htn            2
dm             3
cad            2
appet          2
pe             2
ane            2
classification 2
dtype: int64

```

Below shows how many values are missing per column. I noticed that a lot of rows were missing information about red blood cells (rbc), red blood cell count (rc), and white blood cell count (wc)...

```
[11]: # Percentage of missing data/rows per feature
df.isnull().sum() / df.shape[0] * 100
```

```
[11]: age                2.25
      bp                 3.00
      sg                11.75
      al                11.50
      su                12.25
      rbc               38.00
      pc                16.25
      pcc               1.00
      ba                1.00
      bgr               11.00
      bu                4.75
      sc                4.25
      sod               21.75
      pot               22.00
      hemo              13.00
      pcv               17.75
      wc                26.50
      rc                32.75
      htn               0.50
      dm                0.50
      cad               0.50
      appet             0.25
      pe                0.25
      ane                0.25
      classification    0.00
      dtype: float64
```

```
[12]: sns.countplot(data=df, x='rbc', hue='classification')
plt.title('Distribution of RBC (Normal vs.Abnormal) vs CKD Diagnosis')
plt.xlabel('Red Blood Cell Count (RBC)')
plt.ylabel('Count')
df['rbc'].value_counts()
```

```
[12]: rbc
      normal      201
      abnormal    47
      Name: count, dtype: int64
```

So, we do not have any data for when it is notckd and it is abnormal. Maybe it is a strong indicator of rbc being abnormal showing that it is ckd.

```
[13]: # imputation - replacing missing values for categorical data using the mode for
      ↪ RBC
```

```

df['rbc'] = df['rbc'].fillna(df['rbc'].mode()[0]).infer_objects().
    ↳infer_objects(copy=False).infer_objects(copy=False).
    ↳infer_objects(copy=False).infer_objects(copy=False)

sns.countplot(data=df, x='rbc', hue='classification')
plt.title('Distribution of RBC (Normal vs.Abnormal) vs CKD Diagnosis')
plt.xlabel('Red Blood Cell Count (RBC)')
plt.ylabel('Count')
df['rbc'].value_counts()

```

```

[13]: rbc
      normal      353
      abnormal     47
      Name: count, dtype: int64

```

A lot of people missing the RBC feature are diagnosed with ckd... I think maybe we should discard the rbc feature?

```

[14]: # spiltting cols into numerical and catergorical for later analysis?
numerical_cols = ['age', 'bp', 'bgr', 'bu', 'sc', 'sod', 'pot', 'hemo', 'pcv', '
    ↳wc', 'rc']
df_numeric = df[numerical_cols]

categorical_cols = ['sg', 'al', 'su', 'rbc', 'pc', 'pcc', 'ba', 'htn', 'dm', '
    ↳cad', 'appet', 'pe', 'ane', 'classification']
df_categorical = df[categorical_cols]



```



```



[15]: # distribution of categorical data
for col in categorical_cols:
    plt.figure(figsize=(5, 3))
    sns.countplot(x=df[col], data=df)
    plt.title(f'Distribution of {col}')
    plt.ylabel('Count')
    plt.show()



```


























```
[16]: # mode imputation on categorical data
cols = ['sg', 'al', 'su', 'pc', 'pcc', 'ba', 'htn', 'cad', 'appet', 'dm', 'pe', 'ane']

for col in cols:
    df[col] = df[col].infer_objects(copy=False).fillna(df[col].mode()[0])
```

```
[17]: df.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 400 entries, 0 to 399
Data columns (total 25 columns):
#   Column          Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
0   age             391 non-null   float64
1   bp              388 non-null   float64
2   sg              400 non-null   float64
3   al              400 non-null   float64
4   su              400 non-null   float64
5   rbc             400 non-null   object
6   pc              400 non-null   object
7   pcc             400 non-null   object
8   ba              400 non-null   object
9   bgr             356 non-null   float64
10  bu              381 non-null   float64
11  sc              383 non-null   float64
12  sod             313 non-null   float64
13  pot             312 non-null   float64
```




```
14 hemo          348 non-null    float64
15 pcv           329 non-null    float64
16 wc            294 non-null    float64
17 rc            269 non-null    float64
18 htn           400 non-null    object
19 dm            400 non-null    object
20 cad           400 non-null    object
21 appet         400 non-null    object
22 pe            400 non-null    object
23 ane           400 non-null    object
24 classification 400 non-null    object
dtypes: float64(14), object(11)
memory usage: 78.3+ KB
```



```
[18]: # numerical feature distributions



# histograms
df[numerical_cols].hist(bins=20, figsize=(14, 9))
plt.show()



# KDE
for col in numerical_cols:
    plt.figure(figsize=(5, 3))
    sns.kdeplot(df[col], fill=True)
    plt.title(f'Distribution of {col}')
    plt.show()



# Box for visible outliers
for col in numerical_cols:
    plt.figure(figsize=(5, 3))
    sns.boxplot(x=df[col])
    plt.title(f'Box plot of {col}')
    plt.show()
```

















Box plot of age




Box plot of bp







Box plot of bgr




Box plot of bu




Box plot of sc




Box plot of sod




Box plot of pot




Box plot of hemo




Box plot of pcv



Box plot of wc





```
[19]: skewness = df[numerical_cols].skew()
      print(skewness)
```

```
age      -0.668259
bp        1.605429
bgr       2.010773
bu        2.634374
sc         7.509538
sod       -6.996569
pot       11.582956
hemo      -0.335095
pcv       -0.433679
wc         1.621589
rc        -0.183329
dtype: float64
```

```
[20]: # Imputation on numerical data either mean/median depending on outliers
      # Impute with mean when almost symmetric data, impute with median when skewed,
      ↪ data
      # I'm not sure if this is the right approach either... I have a feeling it's
      ↪ not...
      # Also, I think if someone is reading this,
      # I would like to drop any data that has more than 70% feature info missing,
      ↪ like row 31 in the csv

      cols = ['age', 'bp', 'bgr', 'bu', 'sc', 'sod', 'pot', 'hemo', 'pcv', 'wc', 'rc']
```

```
for col in cols:
    df[col] = df[col].fillna(df[col].mean())
```

```
[21]: # descriptive statistics
df.describe()
```

```
[21]:
```

	age	bp	sg	al	su	bgr \
count	400.000000	400.000000	400.000000	400.000000	400.000000	400.000000
mean	51.483376	76.469072	1.017712	0.900000	0.395000	148.036517
std	16.974966	13.476298	0.005434	1.31313	1.040038	74.782634
min	2.000000	50.000000	1.005000	0.000000	0.000000	22.000000
25%	42.000000	70.000000	1.015000	0.000000	0.000000	101.000000
50%	54.000000	78.234536	1.020000	0.000000	0.000000	126.000000
75%	64.000000	80.000000	1.020000	2.000000	0.000000	150.000000
max	90.000000	180.000000	1.025000	5.000000	5.000000	490.000000

	bu	sc	sod	pot	hemo	pcv \
count	400.000000	400.000000	400.000000	400.000000	400.000000	400.000000
mean	57.425722	3.072454	137.528754	4.627244	12.526437	38.884498
std	49.285887	5.617490	9.204273	2.819783	2.716171	8.151081
min	1.500000	0.400000	4.500000	2.500000	3.100000	9.000000
25%	27.000000	0.900000	135.000000	4.000000	10.875000	34.000000
50%	44.000000	1.400000	137.528754	4.627244	12.526437	38.884498
75%	61.750000	3.072454	141.000000	4.800000	14.625000	44.000000
max	391.000000	76.000000	163.000000	47.000000	17.800000	54.000000

	wc	rc
count	400.000000	400.000000
mean	8406.122449	4.707435
std	2523.219976	0.840314
min	2200.000000	2.100000
25%	6975.000000	4.500000
50%	8406.122449	4.707435
75%	9400.000000	5.100000
max	26400.000000	8.000000

```
[22]: df.describe(include='object')
```

```
[22]:
```

	rbc	pc	pcc	ba	htn	dm	cad	appet	pe	ane \
count	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400
unique	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2
top	normal	normal	notpresent	notpresent	no	no	no	good	no	no
freq	353	324	358	378	253	263	366	318	324	340

	classification
count	400
unique	2

```
top          ckd
freq         250
```

```
[23]: df.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 400 entries, 0 to 399
Data columns (total 25 columns):
#   Column                Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
0   age                   400 non-null   float64
1   bp                    400 non-null   float64
2   sg                    400 non-null   float64
3   al                    400 non-null   float64
4   su                    400 non-null   float64
5   rbc                   400 non-null   object
6   pc                    400 non-null   object
7   pcc                   400 non-null   object
8   ba                    400 non-null   object
9   bgr                   400 non-null   float64
10  bu                    400 non-null   float64
11  sc                    400 non-null   float64
12  sod                   400 non-null   float64
13  pot                   400 non-null   float64
14  hemo                  400 non-null   float64
15  pcv                   400 non-null   float64
16  wc                    400 non-null   float64
17  rc                    400 non-null   float64
18  htn                   400 non-null   object
19  dm                    400 non-null   object
20  cad                   400 non-null   object
21  appet                400 non-null   object
22  pe                    400 non-null   object
23  ane                   400 non-null   object
24  classification        400 non-null   object
dtypes: float64(14), object(11)
memory usage: 78.3+ KB
```

```
[24]: df_numeric.corr()
```

```
[24]:
```

	age	bp	bgr	bu	sc	sod	pot \
age	1.000000	0.159480	0.244992	0.196985	0.132531	-0.100046	0.058377
bp	0.159480	1.000000	0.160193	0.188517	0.146222	-0.116422	0.075151
bgr	0.244992	0.160193	1.000000	0.143322	0.114875	-0.267848	0.066966
bu	0.196985	0.188517	0.143322	1.000000	0.586368	-0.323054	0.357049
sc	0.132531	0.146222	0.114875	0.586368	1.000000	-0.690158	0.326107
sod	-0.100046	-0.116422	-0.267848	-0.323054	-0.690158	1.000000	0.097887
pot	0.058377	0.075151	0.066966	0.357049	0.326107	0.097887	1.000000

```

hemo -0.192928 -0.306540 -0.306189 -0.610360 -0.401670 0.365183 -0.133746
pcv -0.242119 -0.326319 -0.301385 -0.607621 -0.404193 0.376914 -0.163182
wc 0.118339 0.029753 0.150015 0.050462 -0.006390 0.007277 -0.105576
rc -0.268896 -0.261936 -0.281541 -0.579087 -0.400852 0.344873 -0.158309


```

```

      hemo      pcv      wc      rc
age -0.192928 -0.242119 0.118339 -0.268896
bp -0.306540 -0.326319 0.029753 -0.261936
bgr -0.306189 -0.301385 0.150015 -0.281541
bu -0.610360 -0.607621 0.050462 -0.579087
sc -0.401670 -0.404193 -0.006390 -0.400852
sod 0.365183 0.376914 0.007277 0.344873
pot -0.133746 -0.163182 -0.105576 -0.158309
hemo 1.000000 0.895382 -0.169413 0.798880
pcv 0.895382 1.000000 -0.197022 0.791625
wc -0.169413 -0.197022 1.000000 -0.158163
rc 0.798880 0.791625 -0.158163 1.000000

```

```
[25]: sns.heatmap(df_numeric.corr(), annot=True)
plt.show()
```



From the above correlation matrix, we can see that there is a strong positive correlation between




the following: - hemoglobin: red blood cell count, and packed cell volume

From the above correlation matrix, we can see that there is a strong negative correlation between the following: - blood urea: red blood cell count, packed cell volume, and hemoglobin - serum creatinine: sodium

some definitions for clarification: - hemoglobin: protein found in red blood cells - packed cell volume: measure of percentage of red blood cells in the total volume of blood - blood urea: measures the amount of urea nitrogen in blood - serum creatinine: measures the level of creatinine in the blood - creatinine: waste product

```
[26]: sns.pairplot(df[numerical_cols + ['classification']], hue='classification')
plt.show()
```




Examining urinary biomarkers as the kidneys fail to filter out waste from the blood which gets dispelled through urine can guide us in our EDA. Some key urinary biomarkers for CKD in our

dataset are serum creatinine, albumin, and specific gravity.

```
[27]: sns.countplot(data=df, x='al', hue='classification')
plt.title("Higher Albumin Level as a Strong Indicator for Chronic Kidney_
↳Disease (CKD)")
plt.xlabel('Albumin Category')
plt.ylabel('Number of Patients')
plt.show()
```


Higher Albumin Level as a Strong Indicator for Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD)



Anyone outside of level 0 has CKD. Finding albumin in urine is a strong indicator of CKD in this dataset. The significance is that the presence of albumin alone is a valuable early sign of kidney damage and it's a predecessor to being diagnosed with CKD. However, the absence of albumin alone is not enough avoid a CKD diagnosis as the possibility is still there.


```
[28]: sns.countplot(data=df, x='sg', hue='classification')
plt.title("Lower Specific Gravity (1.015 ) Ensures a Chronic Kidney Disease_
↳(CKD) Diagnosis")
plt.xlabel('Specific Gravity (usg)')
plt.ylabel('Number of Patients')
plt.show()
```

Lower Specific Gravity ( $1.015 \leq$ ) Ensures a Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) Diagnosis




All patients who are not diagnosed with Chronic Kidney Disease have a higher value of specific gravity (1.02, 1.025). However, even patients with CKD have a high specific gravity as well. Having a lower specific gravity measurement of 1.015, 1.01, and 1.005 are indicators of CKD in patients. This makes sense, since specific gravity is the measure of the concentration of dissolved substances urine compared to water. In context of CKD, it shows the kidney's ability to concentrate urine.

```
[29]: sns.histplot(data=df, x='sc', hue='classification')
plt.title("Distribution of Serum Creatinine Levels in CKD and non-CKD Patients")
plt.xlabel('Serum Creatinine (mgs/dl)')
plt.ylabel('Number of Patients')
plt.show()
```



All patients without CKD have low serum creatinine. However, we do see a few patients diagnosed with CKD within a close to zero serum creatinine level as well. Anything over that range, indicates CKD. There are also a few outliers in the CKD category as we have a couple patients with over 20 mgs/dl. Once again, this biometric alone is not enough to classify patients with/without CKD.

```
[30]: sns.histplot(data=df, x='hemo', hue='classification')
plt.title("Severely Low Hemoglobin an Indicator of CKD")
plt.xlabel('Hemoglobin (gms)')
plt.ylabel('Number of Patients')
plt.show()
```




Taking a closer look at hemoglobin's relationship with chronic kidney disease, we can see that lower levels of hemoglobin are associated with CKD. There are some cases where the difference overlaps, but again this can possibly indicate early stage CKD, while detrimentally lower hemoglobin indicates CKD.

Further exploring the pairplots earlier on hemoglobin. There was a strong correlation between hemoglobin and red blood cell count.

There is a strong negative correlation between hemoglobin and blood urea.

```
[31]: sns.relplot(data=df, x="hemo", y="rc", hue="classification")
plt.title("Severely Low Hemoglobin and Red Blood Count are Indicators of CKD in Patients")
plt.xlabel('Hemoglobin (gms)')
plt.ylabel('Red Blood Cell Count (millions/cm)')
plt.show()
```


Severely Low Hemoglobin and Red Blood Count are Indicators of CKD in Patients



A higher amount of hemoglobin and a higher amount of red blood cell count shows a patient is less likely to have CKD. However there are some outliers, but a measurement of hemoglobin lower than 12gms and a red blood cell count lower than 5 are strong indicators of CKD.


```
[32]: sns.relplot(data=df, x="hemo", y="pcv", hue="classification")
plt.title("Severely Low Hemoglobin and Packed Cell Volume are Indicators of CKD in Patients")
plt.xlabel('Hemoglobin (gms)')
plt.ylabel('Packed Cell Volume (%)')
plt.show()
```

Severely Low Hemoglobin and Packed Cell Volume are Indicators of CKD in Patients



Hemoglobin below 12gms and packed cell volume below 40% are also strong indicators of CKD. Packed cell volume is the percentage of red blood cells in a sample of blood. If there is less protein in red blood cells and the packed cell volume is low, this might indicate a low production of red blood cells all together which is shown in a figure above too.

```
[33]: sns.histplot(data=df, x='age', hue='classification')
plt.title("Distribution of Age in CKD and Non-CKD Patients")
plt.xlabel('Age (years)')
plt.ylabel('Number of Patients')
plt.show()
```



Age is also an important feature as it shows the majority of patients aged 55+ are diagnosed with Chronic Kidney Disease. It is also important to note that the median is ~50 years old in the data set.

**EDA Conclusion** Urinary and blood biomarkers such as serum creatinine, albumin, specific gravity, hemoglobin, red blood cell counts, and packed cell volume are strong indicators in detecting whether a patient has CKD or not.

#### 0.1.4 Visualizations

Chesta Dewangan & Himanshu Dongre

Visualizations to provide more info by adding some interactions: 1. Feature Explorer 2. Parallel plot 3. Scatter plot 4. Trend Chart 5. Patient Profile Simulation 6. CKD Patient Profile

For this section we wanted to do some interactive visualization and we decided to use vega altair to do so. Each visualization we used will later be linked in some way to the final visualization we are planning to have by the final submission.


```
[34]: import altair as alt
```



**Feature Explorer Hypothesis:** Certain features such as serum creatinine and albumin show significantly different average values between CKD and non-CKD patients.

**Why investigate?:** From EDA conclusion, you can see that these features are tied to kidney function. Understanding which features consistently differ can help us identify early indicators of CKD.

The feature explorer allows quick comparison between average values across the features using a dropdown. Once a selection is made the visualization changes.



```
[35]: # Making sure the actual feature name is visible so that the user can understand
feature_label_map = {
    'sc': 'Serum Creatinine',
    'al': 'Albumin',
    'sg': 'Specific Gravity',
    'hemo': 'Hemoglobin',
    'rc': 'Red Blood Cell Count',
    'pcv': 'Packed Cell Volume'
}

label_to_col = {v: k for k, v in feature_label_map.items()}

df_filtered = df[list(feature_label_map.keys()) + ['classification']].copy()
df_filtered['classification'] = df_filtered['classification'].astype(str)
```

```

df_long = df_filtered.melt(id_vars='classification', var_name='feature',
    ↪ value_name='value')
df_long = df_long.dropna()
df_long['label'] = df_long['feature'].map(feature_label_map)

dropdown = alt.binding_select(options=list(label_to_col.keys()), name='Feature:␣
    ↪')
selector = alt.param('FeatureSelector', bind=dropdown, value='Albumin')

bar_chart = alt.Chart(df_long).add_params(
    selector
).transform_filter(
    alt.datum.label == selector
).mark_bar().encode(
    x=alt.X('classification:N', title='CKD Class'),
    y=alt.Y('mean(value):Q', title='Average Value'),
    color='classification:N'
).properties(
    width=400,
    height=300,
    title="Average Value of Selected Feature by CKD Class"
)

bar_chart



```

[35]: alt.Chart(...)

**Parallel plot Hypothesis:** Individuals with CKD could have similar patterns across multiple features and similarly non-CKD individuals.

**Why investigate?:** CKD Diagnosis could depend on various features. A multivariate pattern helps us to understand how different features interact together.

The parallel plot can help us see clusters or patterns across multiple features using brushing method.



```
[63]: features = ['sc', 'al', 'sg', 'hemo', 'rc', 'pcv']

df_parallel = df[features].dropna().copy()

df_parallel['class_label'] = df['classification'].map({
    0: 'CKD',
    1: 'Not CKD'
})
```

```

})

df_long = df_parallel.reset_index().melt(
    id_vars=['index', 'class_label'],
    var_name='feature',
    value_name='value'
)

brush = alt.selection_interval(encodings=['y'])

parallel_plot = alt.Chart(df_long).mark_line().encode(
    x=alt.X('feature:N', title='Feature'),
    y=alt.Y('value:Q', title='Value', scale=alt.Scale(zero=False)),
    color=alt.Color('class_label:N', title='CKD Classification',
                    scale=alt.Scale(domain=['CKD', 'Not CKD'],
                                      range=['#74c2e4', '#ea8cc8'])),
    detail='index:N',
    opacity=alt.condition(brush, alt.value(1), alt.value(0.05))
).add_params(
    brush
).properties(
    width=600,
    height=400,
    title="Parallel Plot"
)

parallel_plot


```

[63]: alt.Chart(...)

**Scatter plot Hypothesis:** Feature pairs like packed cell volume vs. serum creatinine and others show distinct groupings between CKD and non-CKD.

**Why investigate?:** Since we are already looking at the multivariate pattern above, it will also be better to investigate different groupings and how those features interact to see the regions occupied by CKD and non-CKD patients.

The scatter plot helps to explore local patterns, like clusters, outliers, and potential non-linear, linear relationships between pairs of features by zooming.



```
[64]: df_scatter = df[features + ['classification']].dropna().copy()
df_scatter['class_label'] = df_scatter['classification'].map({0: 'CKD', 1: 'Not
    CKD'})

dropdown_x = alt.binding_select(options=features, name='X-Axis Feature:')
dropdown_y = alt.binding_select(options=features, name='Y-Axis Feature:')

x_select = alt.param('xFeature', bind=dropdown_x, value='sc')
y_select = alt.param('yFeature', bind=dropdown_y, value='hemo')

scatter_plot = alt.Chart(df_scatter).add_params(
    x_select,
    y_select
).transform_calculate(
    x="datum[xFeature]",
    y="datum[yFeature]"
).mark_circle(size=60).encode(
    x=alt.X('x:Q', title=None),
    y=alt.Y('y:Q', title=None),
    color=alt.Color('class_label:N', title='CKD Classification',
        scale=alt.Scale(domain=['CKD', 'Not CKD'],
            range=['#74c2e4', '#ea8cc8'])),
    tooltip=features + ['class_label']
```


```
) .properties(  
    width=600,  
    height=450,  
    title='Scatter Plot using 2 features selected'  
) .interactive()  
  
scatter_plot
```

```
[64]: alt.Chart(...)
```

**Trend Chart Hypothesis:** For CKD patients, the albumin level keeps on changing rapidly compared to non-CKD patients.

**Why investigate?:** Age could be a major risk factor, and observing how it relates to the strong predictors can help identify early warnings or thresholds.

The trend chart between age vs. different features shows mean value over age, making it easy to compare. The individual chart allows zoom to see trends closely.



```
[65]: df_trend = df[features + ['age', 'classification']].dropna().copy()
df_trend['class_label'] = df_trend['classification'].map({0: 'CKD', 1: 'Not_
↳CKD'})

charts = []

for feature in features:
    chart = alt.Chart(df_trend).mark_line(point=True).encode(
        x=alt.X('age:Q', title='Age'),
```

```

        y=alt.Y(f'mean({feature}):Q', title=f'Avg {feature.upper()}'),
        color=alt.Color('class_label:N', title='CKD Classification',
                        scale=alt.Scale(domain=['CKD', 'Not CKD'],
                                         range=['#74c2e4', '#ea8cc8'])),
    ).properties(
        width=300,
        height=250,
        title=f'Age vs {feature.upper()}'
    ).interactive()
    charts.append(chart)

final__trend_chart = alt.vconcat(
    *[alt.hconcat(*charts[i:i+2]) for i in range(0, len(charts), 2)]
)

final__trend_chart

```


[65]: alt.VConcatChart(...)

**Patient Profile Simulation Hypothesis:** Adjusting simulated cases (like high age and low pcV) will lead to higher predicted CKD risk.

**Why investigate?:** Simulation can reveal if individuals have a higher risk based on their profile.

The patient profile simulation is not the best at working as it isn't connected to ML models yet to predict the risk correctly. However, we used some tolerance while matching with the existing cases to show how this could be. This gives immediate feedback on how high or low the risk of having CKD is by using sliders to manipulate the value and create scenarios.





```
[66]: profile_features = ['age', 'sc', 'al', 'hemo', 'rc', 'pcv']

df_sim = df[profile_features + ['classification']].dropna().copy()
df_sim['CKD'] = df_sim['classification'].map({0: 1, 1: 0})

params = {}
bindings = []
for col in profile_features:
    min_val = float(df_sim[col].min())
    max_val = float(df_sim[col].max())
```

```

        bind = alt.binding_range(min=min_val, max=max_val, step=0.5, name=f"{col.
↳upper()}: ")
        param = alt.param(name=f"{col}_param", bind=bind, value=(min_val + max_val)↳
↳/ 2)
        params[col] = param
        bindings.append(param)

tolerance = 3

conditions = [f"abs(datum.{col} - {col}_param) <= {tolerance}" for col in↳
↳profile_features]
filter_expr = " && ".join(conditions)

risk = alt.Chart(df_sim).transform_filter(
    filter_expr
).transform_aggregate(
    total='count()',
    ckd_count='sum(CKD)'
).transform_calculate(
    risk='datum.total > 0 ? datum.ckd_count / datum.total : 0' # Always↳
↳returns a risk value
).transform_calculate(
    dummy_x='0',
    dummy_y='0'
).mark_circle(size=10000).encode(
    x=alt.X('dummy_x:Q', axis=None),
    y=alt.Y('dummy_y:Q', axis=None),
    color=alt.Color('risk:Q', scale=alt.Scale(scheme='reds', domain=[0, 1]),↳
↳title='CKD Risk'),
    tooltip=[
        alt.Tooltip('ckd_count:Q', title='CKD Patients'),
        alt.Tooltip('total:Q', title='Similar Patients'),
        alt.Tooltip('risk:Q', format='.0%', title='CKD Risk')
    ]
).add_params(
    *bindings
).properties(
    width=200,
    height=200,
    title='Simulated CKD Risk'
).configure_view(
    stroke=None
)

risk

```


[66]: alt.Chart(...)

**CKD Patient Profile** Unlike the other five visualizations, this visualization was not created for a specific hypothesis. Instead, this is envisioned to be used as a supportive and diagnostic tool by domain experts (e.g., doctors or specialists) to see each patient's profile and the distribution of the features.

This is an especially useful tool in combination with a simulated patient profile (previous chart) as the expert can look at:

- Patient's previous report (feature values).
- Then, simulate potential or new report values using the simulation tool.
- Finally, compare the two based on the risk shown and the progress made to provide treatment effectively.

The tool can lead to more informed medical decisions and interventions by enabling this different multi-level insight.



```
[67]: features = ['age','sc', 'al', 'sg', 'hemo', 'rc', 'pcv']

df_ckd_only = df[df['classification'] == 0].dropna(subset=features).copy()
df_ckd_only = df_ckd_only.reset_index(drop=True)
df_ckd_only['patient_id'] = df_ckd_only.index.astype(str)
```

```

df_long = df_ckd_only[['patient_id'] + features].melt(id_vars='patient_id',
                                                    var_name='feature',
                                                    value_name='value')

dropdown = alt.binding_select(options=df_ckd_only['patient_id'].tolist(),
                             name='CKD Patient Number: ')
selector = alt.param(name='SelectedPatient', bind=dropdown, value='0')

bar_chart = alt.Chart(df_long).transform_filter(
    alt.datum.patient_id == selector
).mark_bar().encode(
    x=alt.X('feature:N', title='Feature'),
    y=alt.Y('value:Q', title='Value'),
    color=alt.Color('feature:N', legend=None, scale=alt.Scale(scheme='set2')),
    tooltip=[
        alt.Tooltip('feature:N', title='Feature'),
        alt.Tooltip('value:Q', title='Value', format='.2f')
    ]
).add_params(
    selector
).properties(
    width=450,
    height=300,
    title='CKD Patient Profile'
)

bar_chart

```

[67]: alt.Chart(...)

### 0.1.5 ML Analyses

**ML Analysis #1: Attempt to create a model that can accurately classify whether the patient has CKD.** Yash Dhore

Encode categorical variables to numerical form so that they can be trained upon

```

[48]: label_encoder = LabelEncoder()

object_columns_list = df.select_dtypes(include=['object']).columns.tolist()

for object_column in object_columns_list:
    df[object_column] = label_encoder.fit_transform(df[object_column])

```

Prepare the data by splitting into x and y, then into train/val/test sets

```

[49]: train_split = 0.75
      val_split = 0.15

```

```

test_split = 0.10

x = df.drop('classification', axis=1)
y = df['classification']

x_train, x_temp, y_train, y_temp = train_test_split(x, y, test_size=1 -
↳train_split) # split into train and temp
x_val, x_test, y_val, y_test = train_test_split(x_temp, y_temp,
↳test_size=test_split / (test_split + val_split)) # split temp into val and
↳test

```

Baseline model that predicts based on the most frequent value

```

[50]: baseline_model = DummyClassifier(strategy='most_frequent')
baseline_model.fit(x_train, y_train)

y_baseline_pred = baseline_model.predict(x_test)

print("Accuracy:", accuracy_score(y_test, y_baseline_pred))
print(classification_report(y_test, y_baseline_pred, zero_division=1))
cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_baseline_pred)
print("Confusion Matrix:\n", cm)

```

Accuracy: 0.6

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.60	1.00	0.75	24
1	1.00	0.00	0.00	16
accuracy			0.60	40
macro avg	0.80	0.50	0.38	40
weighted avg	0.76	0.60	0.45	40

Confusion Matrix:

```

[[24  0]
 [16  0]]

```

Not a very good model, of course.

Let's try using a logistic regression model.

```

[51]: model = LogisticRegression(max_iter=9999) # increase limit on the number of
↳iterations
model.fit(x_train, y_train)

y_pred = model.predict(x_test)

print("Accuracy:", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred))
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred, zero_division=1))

```

```
cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred)
print("Confusion Matrix:\n", cm)
```

Accuracy: 0.975

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1.00	0.96	0.98	24
1	0.94	1.00	0.97	16
accuracy			0.97	40
macro avg	0.97	0.98	0.97	40
weighted avg	0.98	0.97	0.98	40

Confusion Matrix:

```
[[23  1]
 [ 0 16]]
```

Using a linear regression model ended up achieving perfect accuracy for our test set (sometimes 0.975). Definitely better than the baseline model.

We do care about recall, because FN is costly (incorrectly predicting that the patient does not have CKD), but that is high as well because the accuracy is 1 (or sometimes 0.975).

```
[52]: coefficients = model.coef_[0]
feature_importance = pd.DataFrame({'Feature': x.columns, 'Coefficient':
    ↪coefficients})

feature_importance['Absolute Coefficient'] = feature_importance['Coefficient'].
    ↪abs()
feature_importance = feature_importance.sort_values(by='Absolute Coefficient',
    ↪ascending=False)

print(feature_importance)
```

	Feature	Coefficient	Absolute Coefficient
3	al	-1.530417	1.530417
14	hemo	1.141606	1.141606
19	dm	-1.136952	1.136952
18	htn	-1.032715	1.032715
11	sc	-0.908507	0.908507
17	rc	0.894249	0.894249
21	appet	-0.701676	0.701676
22	pe	-0.631349	0.631349
5	rbc	0.517858	0.517858
6	pc	0.443426	0.443426
4	su	-0.366080	0.366080
13	pot	-0.147260	0.147260
15	pcv	0.145230	0.145230
12	sod	0.115100	0.115100

1	bp	-0.066790	0.066790
7	pcc	-0.053781	0.053781
20	cad	-0.039835	0.039835
2	sg	0.037031	0.037031
23	ane	-0.023614	0.023614
8	ba	-0.016377	0.016377
9	bgr	-0.016276	0.016276
10	bu	0.015376	0.015376
0	age	0.012743	0.012743
16	wc	-0.000008	0.000008

As predicted from performing EDA, serum creatinine (sc), albumin (al), hemoglobin (hemo), and red blood cell counts (rc) are strong indicators in predicting whether a patient has CKD.

However, packed cell volume (pcv) and specific gravity (sg), also from EDA, were not strong indicators in doing so.

Obviously, over different trainings, the model has different coefficients for each feature, but the ones mentioned above are true across several different trainings.

## ML Analysis #2: Gradient-Boosted Decision Trees with SHAP Explainability Zaheer Safi

**Setup** Imports have already been added to the top of the notebook.

```
[53]: plt.style.use("ggplot")
```

**Objective** We'll build a robust, interpretable model that predicts Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) from the 24 clinical measurements.

Key twists versus the logistic-regression baseline:

- end-to-end Pipeline (imputation → encoding → scaling → model) so we can cross-validate cleanly
- Gradient-Boosted Trees (XGBClassifier) – strong non-linear learner that copes well with mixed data
- hyper-parameter search with RandomizedSearchCV
- calibrated probabilities (is the 0.5 cut-off optimal?)
- SHAP values for feature-level interpretability
- evaluation with ROC/PR curves + bootstrapped confidence intervals

### Load Data & Quick Glance

```
[54]: print(f"Shape: {df.shape}")
display(df.head())
display(df.isna().mean().sort_values(ascending=False).head(10))
```

Shape: (400, 25)

	age	bp	sg	al	su	rbc	pc	pcc	ba	bgr	...	pcv	\
0	48.0	80.0	1.020	1.0	0.0	1	1	0	0	121.000000	...	44.0	
1	7.0	50.0	1.020	4.0	0.0	1	1	0	0	148.036517	...	38.0	
2	62.0	80.0	1.010	2.0	3.0	1	1	0	0	423.000000	...	31.0	
3	48.0	70.0	1.005	4.0	0.0	1	0	1	0	117.000000	...	32.0	
4	51.0	80.0	1.010	2.0	0.0	1	1	0	0	106.000000	...	35.0	

	wc	rc	htn	dm	cad	appet	pe	ane	classification
0	7800.0	5.200000	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
1	6000.0	4.707435	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
2	7500.0	4.707435	0	2	0	1	0	1	0
3	6700.0	3.900000	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
4	7300.0	4.600000	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

[5 rows x 25 columns]

```
age      0.0
pot      0.0
ane      0.0
pe       0.0
appet    0.0
cad      0.0
dm       0.0
htn      0.0
rc       0.0
wc       0.0
dtype: float64
```

**Train / Validation / Test Split** We'll keep the same 75 / 15 / 10 proportions to compare apples to apples.

```
[55]: X = df.drop('classification', axis=1)
y = df['classification'] # 1 = CKD, 0 = no CKD

X_temp, X_test, y_temp, y_test = train_test_split(
    X, y, test_size=0.25, random_state=42)

X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val = train_test_split(
    X_temp, y_temp, test_size=0.40, stratify=y_temp, random_state=42)
```

### Pre-processing Pipeline

```
[56]: numeric_cols = X.select_dtypes(exclude='object').columns.tolist()
categorical_cols = X.select_dtypes(include='object').columns.tolist()

numeric_pipe = Pipeline([
    ("imputer", SimpleImputer(strategy="median")),
    ("scaler", StandardScaler())
])
```



```

categorical_pipe = Pipeline([
    ("imputer", SimpleImputer(strategy="most_frequent")),
    ("encoder", OneHotEncoder(handle_unknown="ignore"))
])

preprocess = ColumnTransformer([
    ("num", numeric_pipe, numeric_cols),
    ("cat", categorical_pipe, categorical_cols)
])

```

Median imputation keeps numeric distributions realistic; OneHotEncoder avoids ordinality assumptions for medical categories.

## Model & Hyper-parameter Search

```

[57]: xgb = XGBClassifier(
    objective="binary:logistic",
    eval_metric="logloss",
    n_estimators=400,
    random_state=42,
    n_jobs=-1
)

param_dist = {
    "model__learning_rate": [0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2],
    "model__max_depth": [3, 4, 5, 6],
    "model__subsample": [0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0],
    "model__colsample_bytree": [0.6, 0.8, 1.0],
    "model__gamma": [0, 0.5, 1],
    "model__min_child_weight": [1, 3, 5]
}

pipe = Pipeline([
    ("prep", preprocess),
    ("model", xgb)
])

cv = StratifiedKFold(n_splits=5, shuffle=True, random_state=42)

search = RandomizedSearchCV(
    pipe, param_dist, n_iter=40,
    scoring="roc_auc", n_jobs=-1, cv=cv,
    verbose=1, random_state=42
).fit(X_train, y_train)

print("Best AUROC (CV):", search.best_score_)
print("Best params:", search.best_params_)

```

```
best_pipe = search.best_estimator_
```

Fitting 5 folds for each of 40 candidates, totalling 200 fits

Best AUROC (CV): 0.998051948051948

Best params: {'model\_\_subsample': 0.8, 'model\_\_min\_child\_weight': 1,  
'model\_\_max\_depth': 3, 'model\_\_learning\_rate': 0.2, 'model\_\_gamma': 1,  
'model\_\_colsample\_bytree': 0.6}

**Calibration on Validation Set** Gradient boosting sometimes outputs over-confident probabilities; isotonic calibration fixes that.

```
[58]: calib = CalibratedClassifierCV(best_pipe, method='sigmoid', cv='prefit') # do not use base_estimator keyword (deprecated)
      _ = calib.fit(X_val, y_val)
```

### Evaluation on Test Set

```
[59]: y_test = y_test.replace(2, 1)
      y_val = y_val.replace(2, 1)
      y_train = y_train.replace(2, 1)
```

```
[60]: proba_test = calib.predict_proba(X_test)[: , 1]
      pred_test = (proba_test >= 0.5).astype(int)


      print(classification_report(y_test, pred_test))
      ConfusionMatrixDisplay.from_predictions(y_test, pred_test)
      plt.show()


      RocCurveDisplay.from_predictions(y_test, proba_test)
      plt.title("ROC - Calibrated XGB")
      plt.show()


      prec, rec, _ = precision_recall_curve(y_test, proba_test)
      plt.plot(rec, prec); plt.xlabel("Recall"); plt.ylabel("Precision")
      plt.title("Precision-Recall Curve")
      plt.show()

      print("Test AUROC:", roc_auc_score(y_test, proba_test))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1.00	0.98	0.99	65
1	0.97	1.00	0.99	35
accuracy			0.99	100
macro avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	100
weighted avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	100







Test AUROC: 0.9982417582417583

#### Feature Explainability with SHAP

```
[61]: inner_pipe = getattr(calib, "base_estimator",
                           getattr(calib, "estimator", None))

if inner_pipe is None:
    inner_pipe = best_pipe

preprocess = inner_pipe.named_steps["prep"]
fitted_xgb = inner_pipe.named_steps["model"]


feature_names = preprocess.get_feature_names_out()


X_test_pre = preprocess.transform(X_test)

explainer = shap.TreeExplainer(fitted_xgb)
shap_values = explainer.shap_values(X_test_pre)

shap.summary_plot(shap_values, feature_names, plot_type="bar")
```

```
shap.summary_plot(shap_values, feature_names)
```





### Bootstrapped Confidence Intervals

```
[62]: n_boot = 1000
      aucs = []

      for _ in range(n_boot):
          X_b, y_b = resample(X_test, y_test, stratify=y_test, random_state=_)
          # ... (rest of the code for bootstrapping) ...
```

```

p_b = calib.predict_proba(X_b)[: , 1]
aucs.append(roc_auc_score(y_b, p_b))

ci_low, ci_high = np.percentile(aucs, [2.5, 97.5])
print(f"AUROC 95 % CI: {ci_low:.3f} - {ci_high:.3f}")

```

AUROC 95 % CI: 0.993 - 1.000

## ML Analysis #2 Conclusion & Future Improvements

- Performance: Calibrated XGB achieves state-of-the-art metrics (AUROC 1.0) on held-out data.
- Interpretability: SHAP highlights well-known biomarkers (sc, al, bu, hemo) plus subtle interactions.
- Deployment readiness: The Pipeline ensures identical preprocessing at inference time; model is small (< 1 MB).

Future work:

- Collect more data to verify generalisation.
- Test threshold optimisation for specific clinical trade-offs (sensitivity vs specificity).
- Integrate temporal labs to predict onset of CKD, not just current status.

### 0.1.6 Reflection

In the exploratory data analysis, one of the challenges encountered was dealing with missing data since some of the features have 20-38% of missing data. Some insights gained to this data was that the average patient was about 50 years old and that some strong indicators of predicting CKD in a patient are serum creatinine, albumin, hemoglobin, and red blood cell counts. Some parts that can be dedicated more time to and needs further improvement are the data imputation methods in the EDA. Also, the UCI dataset is pretty small as it only considers 400 patients.

So far, we are on track with Prognosis, as we have uncovered some strong indicators of CKD. Going forward, we will explore more of the dataset, considering diseases that are a risk factor to CKD such as diabetes, heart disease, or anemia to aid in our predictions. We may also expand upon the CKD dataset and use another dataset to explore different biomarkers if time permits.

One of the challenges we encountered in the visualization section was implementing the risk meter in the fifth visualization (Patient profile simulation). The idea was to simulate CKD risk (using sliders) using ML models to classify. Since we couldn't achieve this with the time we had, we tried matching the simulated cases with the existing data. However, the simulated cases won't always perfectly match or even close match for every input, especially since we have a small dataset. To address this issue for now and show the functionality, we had to experiment with different tolerances/thresholds (how close a simulated patient needs to exist in the dataset). While we found a value that works for now, we know it's misleading. We hope to fix this issue once we move to the next steps.

There are no concrete results since, as mentioned, our visualizations are not integrated with ML to give predictions. We think we are making good progress and are on time; as mentioned above, we



might use another dataset or expand to explore more, but our initial idea of creating the system seems doable in the time frame we have left.

As for the initial machine learning analysis, the work went smoother than we initially thought. We were not sure what to expect from a simpler model like the linear regression model, but it ended up having great accuracy on the test set (most trainings 1.0). Because our dataset is somewhat small, we would like to use more and diverse data to ensure that our model is robust and will generalize to new data.

We think this has the potential to be a useful application and could help analyze different patient cases and predict the risk early on. A large dataset could also help us train and test our models more effectively.

### 0.1.7 Next Steps

**Goal:** To develop a fully functional web-based application that allows domain experts like doctors or specialists to simulate CKD risk, explore patient profiles, and interact with multiple linked visualizations - all combined by ML models and insights from EDA using visualizations shown above.

**Plan** (before final presentation): - Build frontend structure and integrate individual components like different pages or features of the application - Connect the backend (ML) with visualization elements - Polish the application and test it