



CS 511: Artificial Intelligence II

Project 1: Simple Reflex Agent

Agent Theseus511

Spring 2025

1 Concept

This project implements a **simple reflex agent (SRA)** that operates in the wumpus world. Specifically, it implements the agent function

$$f_{\text{SRA}} : P \rightarrow A$$

that maps the current percept to an action. There are 32 possible percepts and the implementation condenses these cases to just 5 distinct ones.

2 Getting Started

The simple reflex agent is implemented in Scala. The implementation is contained in the files `src/scala/AgentFunctionImpl.scala` and `src/scala/SimpleReflexAgent.scala`, and the code is well-documented for reference. The project directory contains a Makefile that automates building and running the SRA. The Makefile runs the project with the options `forwardProbability (-n)` set to 1 and `randomAgentLoc (-r)` set to `false`. It contains a `check` target that checks the system for the necessary tools (scala, java). It is recommended that the project is run after checking for the necessary tools as:-

```
$ make check
$ make #or "make run"
```

The above commands are for a single run by default. Of course, the `run` recipe can be updated with the `-t` option for multiple trials. A separate `make` target called `tenk` is provided for evaluating the SRA that runs 10,000 trials. It can be run using:-

```
$ make tenk
```

The score for each trial and the average score is written to `wumpus_out.txt` or to the output file you specify using the `-f` option in the recipe.

The project was tested using:-

- **Scala Version:** 3.6.3
- **Java Version:** OpenJDK 22.0.1

3 Design

The agent function is **nondeterministic** with a probabilistic choice of the action for some cases of percepts. Before describing the condition-action rules, one notable design choice is the use of only left turns and not right turns. It is observed that weighing right and left turns equally causes the agent to keep nullifying left turns by successive right turns, and right turns by successive left turns. This causes a wastage of moves hence decreasing the score. Since a right turn is nothing but three consecutive left turns, I conjectured that using only left turns in the implementation is optimal for maximizing the score. If one thinks about it, an optimal

strategy to maximize the score can be to keep turning on the same spot (or keep bumping into a wall!) if a **breeze** or **stench** is perceived, and never move to another square. The agent never dies with this strategy, which never causes the score to below -50 assuming that a limit of 50 time steps is imposed. However, this is not a general strategy since if the time limit is extended to 50,000 time steps then the score will almost consistently be $-50,000$, which is clearly not optimal. Hence, another important design choice is to maximize the agent's exploration of the world by generally weighing **GO_FORWARD** more than **TURN_LEFT**. Also, for the choice of turning direction, left is chosen over right since the agent starts in (1, 1), facing east, and turning left increases the chance of exploring the world.

3.1 Condition-Action Rules

The condition-action rules are described next, where the wildcard “_” is used to denote a “catch-all”:-

3.1.1 Case <_,glitter,_,_,_>

The agent **GRABS** deterministically when it perceives **glitter**.

3.1.2 Case <bump,none,_,_,_>

The agent **TURNs_LEFT** deterministically when it perceives a **bump**.

3.1.3 Case <none,none,_,stench,_>

When the agent perceives a **stench**, the wumpus can either be in the square in front, or to the left, or to the right of the agent. The primary action is to **SHOOT**, which by default shoots in front, but there should also be a chance to **SHOOT** to the left or the right i.e. to turn and shoot. If turning and shooting are the only action choices here, then the agent never moves from the square hence hindering exploration. This leads to the design choice where **SHOOT** is weighed more than **TURN_LEFT** and **GO_FORWARD**. Ideally, **GO_FORWARD** should be weighed more than **TURN_LEFT** as explained above, however in this case, they are weighed equally since I experimentally conjectured that a random choice between turning and going forward increases chances of evading the wumpus. Quantitatively, the probabilities are assigned as $\text{SHOOT} \mapsto 0.65$, $\text{GO_FORWARD} \mapsto 0.175$, $\text{TURN_LEFT} \mapsto 0.175$.

3.1.4 Case <none,none,breeze,none,_>

When the agent perceives a **breeze**, the possible choices for actions are **GO_FORWARD** and **TURN_LEFT** since the agent should not keep turning on the same spot. Ideally, **GO_FORWARD** should be weighed more than **TURN_LEFT** as explained above, however in this case, they are weighed equally since I experimentally conjectured that a random choice between turning and going forward increases chances of evading the pit. Quantitatively, the probabilities are assigned as $\text{GO_FORWARD} \mapsto 0.5$, $\text{TURN_LEFT} \mapsto 0.5$.

3.1.5 Case <none,none,none,none,_>

When the agent perceives nothing or just a **scream**, the design aims to maximize the agent's exploration of the world. Hence, **GO_FORWARD** is weighed more than **TURN_LEFT**. Quantitatively, the probabilities are assigned as $\text{GO_FORWARD} \mapsto 0.65$, $\text{TURN_LEFT} \mapsto 0.35$.

4 Evaluation

The simple reflex agent was run 10,000 times and an average score of **-397.2581** was achieved. The following are the summary statistics:-

Minimum	1 st Quartile	Median	Mean	3 rd Quartile	Maximum	Std. dev.	Mode
-1058	-1018	-1002	-397.2581	971	1000	898.8568	-1000

5 Reflection

I realized only after submitting this that there is no cost to the `NO_OP`. It would definitely be a better strategy to **give up**, that is keep `NO_OP`ing, if a **breeze** or a **stench** is observed. Something else to keep in mind for future projects is that the goal is to maximize the **average** score.