

CS 511: Artificial Intelligence II

Project 1: Simple Reflex Agent

Agent Theseus511

Spring 2025

1 Concept

This project implements a **simple reflex agent (SRA)** that operates in the wumpus world. Specifically, it implements the agent function

 $f_{\text{SRA}}: P \to A$

that maps the current percept to an action. There are 32 possible percepts and the implementation condenses these cases to just 5 distinct ones.

2 Getting Started

The simple reflex agent is implemented in Scala. The implementation is contained in the files AgentFunctionImpl.scala and SimpleReflexAgent.scala, and the code is well-documented for reference. The project directory contains a Makefile that automates building and running the project. The Makefile runs the project with the options nonDeterministicMode and randomAgentLoc set to false. The Makefile contains a check target that checks the system for the necessary tools (scala, java). It is recommended that the project is run after checking for the necessary tools as:-

\$ make check
\$ make #or "make run"

The project was tested using:-

• Scala Version: 3.6.3

• Java Version: OpenJDK 22.0.1

3 Design

The agent function is **nondeterministic** with a probabilistic choice of the action for some cases of percepts. Before describing the condition-action rules, one notable design choice is the use of only left turns and not right turns. It is observed that weighing right and left turns equally causes the agent to keep nullifying left turns by successive right turns, and right turns by successive left turns. This causes a wastage of moves hence decreasing the score. Since a right turn is nothing but three consecutive left turns, I conjectured that using only left turns in the implementation is optimal for maximizing the score. If one thinks about it, an optimal strategy to maximize the score can be to keep turning on the same spot (or keep bumping into a wall!) if a **breeze** or stench is perceived, and never move to another square. The agent never dies with this strategy, which never causes the score to below -50 assuming that a limit of 50 time steps is imposed. However, this is not a general strategy since if the time limit is extended to 50,000 time steps then the score will almost consistently be -50,000, which is clearly not optimal. Hence, another important design choice is to maximize the agent's exploration of the world by generally weighing GO_FORWARD more than TURN_LEFT. Also, for the choice of turning direction, left is chosen over right since the agent starts in (1, 1), facing east, and turning

left increases the chance of exploring the world. The five cases are described next, where the wildcard "_" is used to denote a "catch-all":-

3.1 Condition-Action Rules

3.1.1 Case <_,glitter,_,_,>

The agent GRABs deterministically when it perceives glitter.

3.1.2 Case <bump, none,_,_,_>

The agent TURNs_LEFT deterministically when it perceives a bump.

3.1.3 Case <none, _, stench, _>

When the agent perceives a **stench**, the wumpus can either be in the square in front, or to the left, or to the right of the agent. The primary action is to SHOOT, which by default shoots in front, but there should also be a chance to SHOOT to the left or the right i.e. to turn and shoot. If turning and shooting are the only action choices here, then the agent never moves from the square hence hindering exploration. This leads to the design choice where SHOOT is weighed more than TURN_LEFT and GO_FORWARD. Ideally, GO_FORWARD should be weighed more than TURN_LEFT as explained above, however in this case, they are weighed equally since I experimentally conjectured that a random choice between turning and going forward increases chances of evading the wumpus. Quantitatively, the probabilities are assigned as SHOOT \mapsto 0.65, GO_FORWARD \mapsto 0.175, TURN_LEFT \mapsto 0.175.

3.1.4 Case <none, none, breeze, none, _>

When the agent perceives a breeze, the possible choices for actions are GO_FORWARD and TURN_LEFT since the agent should not keep turning on the same spot. Ideally, GO_FORWARD should be weighed more than TURN_LEFT as explained above, however in this case, they are weighed equally since I experimentally conjectured that a random choice between turning and going forward increases chances of evading the pit. Quantitatively, the probabilities are assigned as GO_FORWARD \mapsto 0.5, TURN_LEFT \mapsto 0.5.

3.1.5 Case <none, none, none, none, _>

When the agent perceives nothing or just a scream, the design aims to maximize the agent's exploration of the world. Hence, GO_FORWARD is weighed more than TURN_LEFT. Quantitatively, the probabilities are assigned as GO_FORWARD \mapsto 0.65, TURN_LEFT \mapsto 0.35.

4 Evaluation

The project directory contains a shell script wumpus_eval.sh that can be used to evaluate the agent. The script can be run using -h to display a help message. In general, the script takes two arguments: num_trials with option-n and output_file with option -o. The argument num_trials specifies the number of times the simulation is run and all the resulting scores are compiled in the file specified by the argument output_file. The arguments are optional; the default values for num_trials and output_file are 10 and "wumpus_eval.txt" respectively. The script prints out the average score over the num_trials simulations run, i.e. the average of all the scores written to output_file. The project must be compiled (using make build) before running the script for it to work. The usage of the evaluation script can be summarized as:-

```
$ make build
$ ./wumpus_eval.sh [-n <num_trials>] [-o <output_file>] [-h]
```

Note: The script uses the Unix shell utility bc for arithmetic computations. Hence, it should be installed for the script to work.

4.1 Results

The simple reflex agent was run 10,000 times and an average score of **-395.4** was achieved. The following are the summary statistics:-

Minimum	1 st Quartile	Median	Mean	3 rd Quartile	Maximum	Std. dev.
-1058.0	-1018.0	-1002.0	-395.4	970.0	1000.0	898.9

5 Reflection

Darn it! I realized only after submitting this that there is no cost to a NO_OP. It would definitely be a better strategy to **give up**, that is keep NO_OPing, if a **breeze** or **stench** is observed. Something else to keep in mind for future projects is that the goal is to maximize the **average** score. Hence, it would *always* pay off to never die and give up instead.