

## Interview Questions: Volume Shadow Copy Service (VSS)

---

### Easy Questions (Direct Recall)

---

#	Question	Marking Criteria (Scale of 1-10)
E1	What is the primary problem that the Volume Shadow Copy Service (VSS) aims to solve, according to the introductory paragraphs of the article?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 1-4: Incorrect answer or unable to recall the problem statement.</li><li>• 5-7: Mentions part of the problem (e.g., backing up large data sets) but misses the core issue of consistency with running applications.</li><li>• 8-10: Correctly states the problem VSS solves is backing up data consistently while applications using the data are still running, addressing issues like open files or inconsistent states.</li></ul>
E2	The article describes a complete VSS solution requiring several basic parts. Besides the VSS service itself, list the three other main components mentioned.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 1-4: Names fewer than two correct components or incorrect components.</li><li>• 5-7: Correctly names two of the three main components (Requester, Writer, Provider).</li><li>• 8-10: Correctly names all three main components: VSS requester, VSS writer, and VSS provider.</li></ul>
E3	According to the article, what are two distinct scenarios or purposes for which a shadow copy can be used?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 1-4: Names only one or no correct scenarios.</li><li>• 5-7: Names two scenarios, but may be slightly inaccurate or overlapping based on the article's list (e.g., backup and archiving as separate points).</li><li>• 8-10: Correctly names two distinct scenarios from the lists provided, such as: backing up application/system state, data mining, disk-to-disk backups, fast LUN recovery, restoring individual files (Shadow Copies for Shared Folders), using transportable copies.</li></ul>
E4	What are the two main *types* of shadow copy providers discussed in the article?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 1-4: Names incorrect types or only one type. Mentions 'system provider' but not as one of the two main categories.</li><li>• 5-7: Names the two types but may be slightly confused about the 'system provider' relation.</li><li>• 8-10: Correctly identifies the two main types as hardware-based providers and software-based providers.</li></ul>

#	Question	Marking Criteria (Scale of 1-10)
E5	Which built-in Windows command-line tool mentioned in the article can be used to create, delete, and list information about shadow copies, particularly those created by the system provider?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1-4: Incorrect tool name (e.g., DiskPart, DiskShadow) or unable to recall.</li> <li>5-7: Correctly names 'VssAdmin' but may be unsure of its specific functions mentioned.</li> <li>8-10: Correctly identifies the 'VssAdmin' tool and recalls its purpose as described (create, delete, list, resize diff area for system provider copies).</li> </ul>

## Medium Questions (Interpretation & Connection)

#	Question	Marking Criteria (Scale of 1-10)
M1	Explain the specific role of the VSS *writer* during the shadow copy creation process, based on the steps outlined in the article (specifically steps 2, 3, 4, 5, 8).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1-4: Incorrect role description or confuses writer with requester or provider.</li> <li>5-7: Partially correct; mentions preparing data or freezing/thawing but misses key details like providing metadata (XML) or ensuring data consistency.</li> <li>8-10: Accurately describes the writer's role based on the text: providing metadata (XML description), preparing data (completing transactions, flushing caches), freezing application writes upon VSS request, and thawing writes after copy creation to ensure a consistent data set for backup.</li> </ul>
M2	According to the article, what is the key difference between a hardware-based provider and a software-based provider in terms of *where* the primary work of creating and maintaining the shadow copy is performed?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1-4: Cannot differentiate or provides incorrect location for work performed.</li> <li>5-7: Understands one type correctly (e.g., hardware uses storage array) but is unclear on the other, or describes the difference vaguely.</li> <li>8-10: Clearly explains based on the text: Hardware providers offload the work to the storage hardware (storage array/adaptor/controller), while software providers perform the work at the software level within the operating system (typically using filter drivers).</li> </ul>
M3	Describe the purpose of the 'application freeze' (Step 5 in the creation process). According to the article, why is this step necessary, and what component initiates it?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1-4: Incorrect purpose or initiating component. Confuses application freeze with file system freeze.</li> <li>5-7: Correctly identifies the purpose (prevent writes during copy) and initiating component (VSS telling writers) but explanation lacks clarity on ensuring consistency as described.</li> <li>8-10: Accurately explains VSS tells writers to temporarily freeze application *write* I/O requests to ensure the data is in a consistent state when the shadow copy is created moments later (during the file system freeze).</li> </ul>

#	Question	Marking Criteria (Scale of 1-10)
M4	The article describes three methods providers can use: Complete copy, Copy-on-write, and Redirect-on-write. Briefly explain the *copy-on-write* method as detailed in the text. What is copied and when?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1-4: Incorrect description, confuses with other methods, or cannot recall details.</li> <li>• 5-7: Partially correct; understands it copies changes but unclear on *what* is copied (original block) or *when* (before overwrite). Mentions diff area.</li> <li>• 8-10: Accurately explains the copy-on-write method based on the text: It doesn't copy the original volume initially. When a change (write I/O) occurs on the original volume, the *original data block* about to be overwritten is first copied to a shadow copy storage area (diff area).</li> </ul>
M5	Based on the article's comparison, what is the key difference between LUN resynchronization and LUN swapping concerning the usability of the shadow copy *after* the recovery operation?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1-4: Incorrect difference or cannot recall the comparison points.</li> <li>• 5-7: States one method allows reuse but not the other, but reasoning might be unclear or slightly inaccurate based on text.</li> <li>• 8-10: Correctly states based on the text: With LUN resynchronization, the shadow copy is *not altered* and can be used multiple times. With LUN swapping, the shadow copy is converted to read-write and takes over production, meaning it can only be used *once* for that recovery.</li> </ul>

## Hard Questions (Synthesis & Application within Article Context)

#	Question	Marking Criteria (Scale of 1-10)
H1	Describe the interaction flow between the VSS requester, VSS service, and VSS writers during the preparation phase (Steps 1-4) of creating a shadow copy, as depicted and explained in the article. What information is exchanged?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1-4: Incorrect sequence or roles; misses key information exchange points.</li> <li>• 5-7: Describes the general flow (requester talks to VSS, VSS talks to writers) but misses specifics like metadata gathering/exchange or the prepare/notify sequence.</li> <li>• 8-10: Accurately synthesizes from the text/diagram: 1. Requester asks VSS to start. 2. VSS enumerates writers and gathers their metadata (XML descriptions of components/stores, restore methods). 3. Requester uses metadata to select components. 4. VSS notifies writers to prepare data. 5. Writers prepare (finish transactions, etc.) and notify VSS when ready.</li> </ul>

#	Question	Marking Criteria (Scale of 1-10)
H2	The article notes that both copy-on-write and redirect-on-write are quick methods but can become expensive under certain conditions. Based <i>*only*</i> on the descriptions provided, what condition mentioned might make <i>*copy-on-write*</i> expensive, and what condition mentioned might make <i>*redirect-on-write*</i> expensive?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1-4: Cannot identify the conditions or relates cost to factors not mentioned in the method descriptions (e.g., hardware cost).</li> <li>• 5-7: Correctly identifies the condition for one method based on the text but not the other, or explanations are vague.</li> <li>• 8-10: Accurately identifies the specific conditions mentioned in the text: Copy-on-write can become expensive if there are <i>*many changes*</i> (requiring many original blocks to be copied). Redirect-on-write can become expensive if there are <i>*many read I/O requests*</i> (as reads might need to combine data from original volume and storage area).</li> </ul>
H3	Explain how the concept of a 'transportable shadow copy', as described in the article, leverages a specific type of VSS provider and enables scenarios like data mining or seeding test environments. What are the key steps involved according to the text/diagram?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1-4: Fails to connect transportable copies to hardware providers or describes the process incorrectly.</li> <li>• 5-7: Correctly identifies that it requires a hardware provider and enables offline use (mining/testing), but struggles to outline the transport steps described.</li> <li>• 8-10: Accurately synthesizes from the text: Transportable copies require a <i>*hardware provider*</i> designed for VSS transport. The process enables using the shadow copy on another server (or same server later) for offline tasks. Steps: 1. Create transportable shadow copy on source server. 2. Import the shadow copy (via SAN) to the target server. 3. Data is ready for use (e.g., data mining, testing).</li> </ul>
H4	Considering the shadow copy creation process (especially steps 3-5 involving writer preparation and freeze), explain <i>*why*</i> VSS is crucial for achieving the goal stated early in the article: backing up applications like SQL Server or Exchange Server <i>*while they are running*</i> without taking them offline.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1-4: Vague answer about consistency or backups without linking to the specific VSS process steps described for writers.</li> <li>• 5-7: Explains VSS ensures consistency but doesn't clearly connect it to the writer's role in preparing data (transactions, logs, caches) and the application freeze as described in the process steps.</li> <li>• 8-10: Clearly connects the problem to the solution described: VSS coordinates with the application's <i>*writer*</i>. The writer prepares the data (e.g., completes transactions, flushes caches - Step 4) and then temporarily pauses <i>*application writes*</i> (Step 5) for a brief moment, ensuring the data on disk is in a known, consistent state suitable for backup <i>*without*</i> stopping the application entirely.</li> </ul>

#	Question	Marking Criteria (Scale of 1-10)
H5	The article states that the *system provider* uses the copy-on-write technique and requires the shadow copy storage area (diff area) to be on an NTFS volume. Based *only* on the description of copy-on-write, why is having a dedicated storage area (diff area) necessary for this method to function?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1-4: Cannot explain the need for the diff area based on the copy-on-write description or gives reasons unrelated to the mechanism (e.g., "NTFS is required for VSS").</li> <li>• 5-7: Understands the diff area holds *something* related to changes but struggles to articulate *why* it's essential based on the copy-on-write logic described.</li> <li>• 8-10: Logically explains based on the copy-on-write description: Since copy-on-write works by intercepting writes to the original volume and *first copying the original block* before allowing the overwrite, it needs a *separate location* (the diff area) to store these preserved original blocks. Without this area, the original data would be lost upon overwrite, and the point-in-time copy couldn't be reconstructed.</li> </ul>