Algorithmics	Student information	Date	Number of session
	UO:300895	13-325	7
	Surname: Franco Martinez	Escuela de	
	NI I		/ Ingenieria



## Activity 1. [Divide and Conquer by subtraction]

For what value of n do the Subtraction1 and Subtraction2 classes stop giving times (we abort the algorithm because it exceeds 1 minute)? Why does that happen?

For substraction1 and substraction2 we stop at n = 8192, it happens because the programs overflow when the problem size grows to several thousands.

Subtra	ction4		
n t ordered		Subtra	ction5
100	1,45	n	t ordered
200	10,54	30	386
400	82,28	32	1128
800	644	34	3389
1600	5152	36	10363
3200	40553	38	30625
6400	OoT	40	OoT

How many years would it take to complete the Subtraction3 execution for n=80? Reason the answer

As it have a complexity  $O(2^n)$ : Total time= $280 \times 10^n - 6 = 1.2089 \times 10^n + 18$  seconds

Total time in years=(1.2089×10^18)/ 31557600≈3.83×10^10 years

How many years would it take to complete the Subtraction5 execution for n=80? Reason the answer.

As it have a complexity  $O(3^{n/2})$ :

340≈1.21×10<sup>1</sup>9

Total time=1.21×10^19×10^-6 seconds=1.21×10^13 seconds

Total time in years=(1.21×10^13)/ 31557600≈383000 years

Algorithmics	Student information	Date	Number of session
	UO:300895	13-325	7
	Surname: Franco Martinez		
	Name: Ivan		

## Activity 2. [Divide and conquer by division]

After analyzing the complexity of the three previous classes, you are not asked to make the timetables, but to reason whether the times match the theoretical time complexity of each algorithm. Implement a Division4.java class with a complexity O(n2) (with abk) and then fill in a table showing the time (in milliseconds) for n=1000, 2000, 4000, 8000, ... (up to OoT).

In Division1 as we have a<br/>b^k and a=1;b=3;k=1 according to D and C by division we have a<br/>complexity of O(n)

In Division2 as we have a=b^k and a=2;b=2;k=1 according to D and C by division we have a complexity of O(n\*logn)

In Division3 as we have  $a>b^k$  and a=2;b=2;k=0 according to D and C by division we have a complexity of  $O(n^{\log_2 2}) = O(\log n)$ 

We can see how the complexities match with the times obtined

Division5			
n	t ordered		
1000	26		
2000	98		
4000	385		
8000	1541		
16000	6169		
32000	24821		
64000	OoT		

Division4			
n	t ordered		
1000	0,4		
2000	15,3		
4000	60,3		
8000	238,9		
16000	963,4		
32000	3826,3		
64000	17004		
128000	OoT		

## Activity 3. [Two basic examples]

After analyzing the complexity of the various algorithms within the two classes, executing them and after putting the times obtained in a table, compare the efficiency of each algorithm.

Algorithmics	Student information	Date	Number of session
	UO:300895	13-325	7
	Surname: Franco Martinez		
	Name: Ivan		

Vecor	Sum2				
n	1	n	2	n	3
1536	0,0064	384	0,0068	192	0,0062
3072	0,0121	768	0,0133	384	0,0124
6144	0,0238	1536	0,0266	768	0,0243
12288	0,0644	3072	0,0519	1536	0,049
24576	0,5152	6144	0,1058	3072	0,0975
49152	4,0553	12287	0,2038	6144	0,198
98304	3,726	24579	0,4138	12288	0,3939
Fibor	nacci1				
n	1	2	3	4	
10	0,000083	0,000115	0,000186	0,00244	
11	0,000089	0,000115	0,000218	0,0038	
12	0,000091	0,000121	0,000231	0,00612	

n	1	2	3	4
10	0,000083	0,000115	0,000186	0,00244
11	0,000089	0,000115	0,000218	0,0038
12	0,000091	0,000121	0,000231	0,00612
13	0,000092	0,000127	0,000246	0,01004
14	0,000096	0,000115	0,000261	0,01676
15	0,000101	0,000136	0,00028	0,02677
16	0,000105	0,000141	0,000289	0,04188
17	0,000108	0,000156	0,000305	0,06914
18	0,000112	0,000161	0,000319	0,1187
19	0,000116	0,000163	0,000343	0,1811
20	0,00012	0,000175	0,000353	0,29412
21	0,000122	0,000179	0,000366	0,47128
22	0,00013	0,000182	0,000385	0,76732
23	0,000133	0,000191	0,000406	1,3874
24	0,000136	0,000204	0,000411	2,008
25	0,000144	0,000209	0,000434	3,567

In the VectorSum we have three different types of implementation.

In Sum1 we iterate through a for loop. O(n)

In Sum2 we use a divide and conquer strategy by sustration a=1 so  $O(n^{k+1}) = O(n)$ 

In Sum3 we use a divide and conquer strategy by division  $a>b^k$  so  $O(n^{loga}) = O(n)$ 

The different types of implementations makes the times fluctuate although they have the same complexity (O(n))

In the Fibonacci it happens something similar as in VectorSum, we have difference types of implementations the first three with the same complexity O(n) but with difference times.

Algorithmics	Student information	Date	Number of session
	UO:300895	13-325	7
	Surname: Franco Martinez		
	Name: Ivan		

In fib1 it use a simple for loop, in fib2 it use a loop but with a vector using dynamic programming, In fib3 it use a use a divide and conquer strategy by subtraction(a=1) In fib4 the time complexity is n(1.6^n) and it use a divide and conquer strategy by division  $(a>b^k)$ 

## Activity 4. [Petanque championship organization]

n	t Calendar
2	0,002
4	0,006
16	0,026
32	0,05
64	0,129
128	0,369
256	1,54
512	5,98
1024	29,429
2048	OoT

As I am using a divide and conquer by division and a=2 b=2 k=1 so  $O(n^k * log n) = O(nlog n)$ As the complexity of the algorithm is O(nlogn) the times meet it.