

A general invariant manifold construction procedure, including isochrons of slow manifolds

A. J. Roberts*

Nov 2013 – November 26, 2021

Abstract

This procedure constructs a specified invariant manifold for a specified system of ordinary differential equations or delay differential equations. The invariant manifold may be any of a centre manifold, a slow manifold, an un/stable manifold, a sub-centre manifold, a nonlinear normal form, any spectral submanifold, or indeed a normal form coordinate transform of the entire state space. Thus the procedure may be used to analyse pitchfork bifurcations, or oscillatory Hopf bifurcations, or any more complicated superposition. In the cases when the neglected spectral modes all decay, the constructed invariant manifold supplies a faithful large time model of the dynamics of the differential equations. Further, in the case of a slow manifold, this procedure now derives vectors defining the projection onto the invariant manifold along the isochrons: this projection is needed for initial conditions, forcing, system modifications, and uncertainty quantification.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1 | A simple example: <code>exampleslowman()</code> | 5 |
| 1.2 | Header of the procedure | 6 |

*School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Adelaide, South Australia 5005, AUSTRALIA. <https://profajroberts.github.io/>

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | <i>Introduction</i> | 2 |
| 1.3 | Preamble to the procedure | 7 |
| 1.4 | Check the dimensionality of specified system | 8 |
| 2 | Dissect the linear part | 9 |
| 2.1 | Normalise the adjoint eigenvectors | 10 |
| 2.2 | Operator to represent delays | 10 |
| 2.3 | Linearise at the origin | 11 |
| 2.4 | Eigen-check | 12 |
| 2.5 | Ameliorate the nonlinearity | 13 |
| 2.6 | Store invariant manifold eigenvalues | 14 |
| 2.7 | Precompute matrices for updates | 15 |
| 2.8 | Define operators that invoke these inverses | 17 |
| 3 | Initialise LaTeX output | 19 |
| 4 | Linear approximation to the invariant manifold | 22 |
| 5 | Iteratively construct the invariant manifold | 23 |
| 6 | Output text version of results | 25 |
| 7 | Output LaTeX version of results | 27 |
| 8 | Fin | 29 |
| 9 | Override some system procedures | 29 |

1 Introduction

Installation Download and install the computer algebra package *Reduce* via <http://www.reduce-algebra.com> Download and unzip the folder <https://profajroberts.github.io/InvariantManifold.zip> Within the folder `InvariantManifold`, start-up *Reduce* and load the procedure by executing the command `in_tex "invariantManifold.tex"$`¹ Test your

¹This script changes many internal settings of *Reduce*, so best to do only when needed.

installation by then executing `exampleslowman()`; (see [Section 1.1](#)).

Execution Thereafter, construct a specified invariant manifold of a specific dynamical system by executing the following command with specific values for the input parameters. See `diverseExamples.pdf` for many examples.

```
1 invariantmanifold(odefns, evals, evecs, adjvecs, toosmall);
```

Inputs As in the example of the next [Section 1.1](#), the input parameters to the procedure are the following:

- **odefns**, a comma separated list within `mat(...)`, the RHS expressions of the ODEs/DDEs of the system, a system expressed in terms of variables `u1`, `u2`, ..., for time derivatives $du1/dt$, $du2/dt$, ...;
any time delayed variables in the RHS are coded by the time-delay in parentheses after the variable, as in the example `u1(pi/2)` to represent $u_1(t - \pi/2)$ in the DDEs;
- **evals**, a comma separated list within `mat(...)`, the eigenvalues of the modes to be the basis for the invariant manifold—each eigenvalue may be complex-valued, of the form `a+b*i`;
- **evecs**, a comma separated list of vectors within `mat(...)`—each vector a comma separated list of components within `(...)`, the eigenvectors of the modes corresponding to the given eigenvalues of the invariant manifold basis—possibly complex-valued;
- **adjvecs**, a comma separated list of vectors within `mat(...)`, usually the adjoint eigenvectors of the modes corresponding to the given eigenvalues of the invariant manifold basis;
- **toosmall**, an integer giving the desired order of error in the asymptotic approximation that is constructed. The procedure embeds the specified system in a family of systems parametrised by ε , and constructs an invariant manifold, and evolution thereon, of the embedding system to the asymptotic error $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\text{toosmall}})$ (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$). Often the introduced

artificial ε has a useful physical meaning, but strictly you should evaluate the output at $\varepsilon = 1$ to recover results for the specified system, and then interpret the results in terms of actual ‘small’ parameters.

Outputs This procedure reports the specified system, the embedded system it actually analyses, the number of iterations taken, the invariant manifold approximation, the evolution on the invariant manifold, and optionally a basis for projecting onto the invariant manifold.

- A plain text report to the Terminal window in which `Reduce` is executing—the invariant manifold is parametrised by variables `s(1)`, `s(2)`, \dots , and the dynamics by their evolution in time.
- A \LaTeX source report written to the file `invarManReport.tex` (and `invarManReportSys.tex`)—the invariant manifold is parametrised by variables s_1, s_2, \dots , and the dynamics by their evolution in time. Generate a pdf version by executing `pdflatex invarManReport`.
- Global variable `uu` gives the constructed invariant manifold such that `coeffn(uu,e_(i,1),1)` gives the i th coordinate, `ui`, of the invariant manifold as a function of `s(j)`, s_j .
- Global variable `gg` gives the evolution on the invariant manifold, such that `coeffn(gg,e_(j,1),1)` gives the time derivative of `s(j)`, \dot{s}_j .
- Global variable `zs` (optional): in the case of a slow manifold (where all specified eigenvalues are zero), `zs` gives the normals to the isochrons at the slow manifold, such that `coeffn(zs,e_(i,j),1)` as a function of \vec{s} , is the i th component of the j th normal vector to the isochron.

One may change the appearance of the output somewhat. For example, it is often useful to execute `factor s`; before executing `invariantmanifold(...)` in order to group terms with the same powers of amplitudes/order-parameters/coarse-variables.

Background The theoretical support for the results of the analysis of this procedure is centre/stable/unstable manifold theory (e.g., Carr 1981,

(Haragus & Iooss 2011, Roberts 2015), and an embryonic backwards theory (Roberts 2019). This particular procedure is developed from a coordinate-independent algorithm for constructing centre manifolds originally by Couillet & Spiegel (1983), adapted for human-efficient computer algebra by Roberts (1997), extended to invariant/inertial manifolds (Roberts 1989b, Foias et al. 1988), and further extended to the projection of initial conditions, forcing, uncertainty via the innovations of Roberts (1989a, 2000).

We use the computer algebra package *Reduce* [<http://reduce-algebra.com/>] because it is both free and perhaps the fastest general purpose computer algebra system (Fateman 2003, e.g.).

1.1 A simple example: `exampleslowman()`

Execute this example by invoking the command `exampleslowman()`; The example system to analyse is specified to be (Roberts 2015, Example 2.1)

$$\dot{u}_1 = -u_1 + u_2 - u_1^2, \quad \dot{u}_2 = u_1 - u_2 + u_2^2.$$

```
2 procedure exampleslowman;
3   invariantmanifold(
4     mat((-u1+u2-u1^2,u1-u2+u2^2)),
5     mat((0)),
6     mat((1,1)),
7     mat((1,1)),
8     5)$
```

We seek the slow manifold so specify the eigenvalue zero. From the linearisation matrix $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ a corresponding eigenvector is $\vec{e} = (1, 1)$, and a corresponding left-eigenvector is $\vec{z} = \vec{e} = (1, 1)$, as specified. The last parameter specifies to construct the slow manifold to errors $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^5)$.

The procedure actually analyses the embedding system, the family of problems,

$$\dot{u}_1 = -u_1 + u_2 - \varepsilon u_1^2, \quad \dot{u}_2 = u_1 - u_2 + \varepsilon u_2^2.$$

Here the artificial parameter ε has a physical interpretation in that it counts the nonlinearity: a term in ε^p will be a $(p+1)$ th order term in $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2)$. Hence the specified error $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^5)$ is here the same as error $\mathcal{O}(|\vec{s}|^6)$.

The constructed slow manifold is, in terms of the parameter s_1 (and reverse ordering!),

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= 3/8\varepsilon^3 s_1^4 - 1/2\varepsilon s_1^2 + s_1 + O(\varepsilon^4), \\ u_2 &= -3/8\varepsilon^3 s_1^4 + 1/2\varepsilon s_1^2 + s_1 + O(\varepsilon^4). \end{aligned}$$

On this slow manifold the evolution is

$$\dot{s}_1 = -3/4\varepsilon^4 s_1^5 + \varepsilon^2 s_1^3 + O(\varepsilon^5) :$$

here the leading term in s_1^3 indicates the origin is unstable. To project initial conditions onto the slow manifold, or non-autonomous forcing, or modifications of the original system, or to quantify uncertainty, use the projection defined by the derived vector

$$\vec{z}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} z_{11} \\ z_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3/2\varepsilon^4 s_1^4 + 3/4\varepsilon^3 s_1^3 - 1/2\varepsilon^2 s_1^2 - 1/2\varepsilon s_1 + 1/2 \\ 3/2\varepsilon^4 s_1^4 - 3/4\varepsilon^3 s_1^3 - 1/2\varepsilon^2 s_1^2 + 1/2\varepsilon s_1 + 1/2 \end{bmatrix} + O(\varepsilon^5).$$

Evaluate these at $\varepsilon = 1$ to apply to the original specified system, or alternatively just interpret ε as a way to count the order of each term.

1.2 Header of the procedure

Need a couple of things established before defining the procedure: the `rlfi` package; and operator names for the variables of the dynamical system (in case they have delays)—currently code a max of nine variables.

```
9 load_package rlfi;
10 operator u1,u2,u3,u4,u5,u6,u7,u8,u9;
```

Now define the procedure as an operator so we can define procedures internally, and may be flexible with its arguments.

```

11 operator invariantmanifold;
12 for all odefns, evals, evecs, adjvecs, toosmall let
13   invariantmanifold(odefns, evals, evecs, adjvecs, toosmall)
14   = begin

```

1.3 Preamble to the procedure

Operators and arrays are always global, but we can make variables and matrices local, except for matrices that need to be declared `matrix`. So, move to implement all arrays and operators to have underscores, and almost all scalars and most matrices to be declared local here.

```

15 scalar ff, evalm, ee, zz, maxiter, trace, ll, uvec,
16 reslin, ok, rhsjact, jacadj, resd, resde, resz, rhsfn,
17 pp, est, eyem, m;

```

Write an intro message.

```

18 write "Construct an invariant manifold (version 21 Jun 2021)"$

```

Transpose the defining matrices so that vectors are columns.

```

19 ff := tp odefns;
20 ee := tp evecs;
21 zz := tp adjvecs;

```

Define default parameters for the iteration: `maxiter` is the maximum number of allowed iterations. Specific problems may override these defaults.

```

22 maxiter:=29$
23 factor small;

```

For optional trace printing of test cases: comment out second line when not needed.

```

24 trace:=0$
25 %trace:=1; maxiter:=5;

```

The `rationalize` switch makes code much faster with complex numbers. The switch `gcd` seems to wreck convergence, so leave it off.

```
26 on div; off allfac; on revpri;
27 on rationalize;
```

Use `e_` as basis vector for matrices and vectors. Declare it non-commutative so that multiplication does not commute.

```
28 clear e_; operator e_; noncom e_;
29 factor e_;
30 let { e_(~j,~k)*e_(~l,~p)=>0 when k neq l
31      , e_(~j,~k)*e_(~l,~p)=>e_(j,p) when k=l
32      , e_(~j,~k)^2=>0 when j neq k
33      , e_(~j,j)^2=>e_(j,j) };
```

Also need (once) a transpose operator: do complex conjugation explicitly when needed.

```
34 clear tpe_; operator tpe_; linear tpe_;
35 let tpe_(e_(~i,~j),e_)=>e_(j,i);
```

Empty the output LaTeX file in case of error.

```
36 out "invarManReport.tex";
37 write "This empty document indicates error.";
38 shut "invarManReport.tex";
```

1.4 Check the dimensionality of specified system

Extract dimension information from the parameters of the procedure: seek mD invariant manifold of an nD system.

```
39 write "total no. of variables ",
40 n:=part(length(ee),1);
41 write "no. of invariant modes ",
42 m:=part(length(ee),2);
43 if {length(evals),length(zz),length(ee),length(ff)}
```



```

44   ={{1,m},{n,m},{n,m},{n,1}}
45   then write "Input dimensions are OK"
46   else <<write "INCONSISTENT INPUT DIMENSIONS, I EXIT";
47       return>>;

```

For the moment limit to a maximum of nine components.

```

48 if n>9 then <<write "SORRY, MAX NUMBER ODEs IS 9, I EXIT";
49     return>>;

```

Need an $m \times m$ identity matrix for normalisation of the isochron projection.

```

50 eyem:=for j:=1:m sum e_(j,j)$

```

2 Dissect the linear part

Use the exponential $\exp(u) = e^u$, but not with the myriad of inbuilt properties so clear it! Do not (yet) invoke the simplification of $\exp(0)$ as I want it to label modes of no oscillation, zero eigenvalue.

```

51 clear exp; operator exp;
52 let { df(exp(~u),t) => df(u,t)*exp(u)
53     , exp(~u)*exp(~v) => exp(u+v)
54     , exp(~u)^^p => exp(p*u)
55     };

```

Need function `conj_` to do parsimonious complex conjugation.

```

56 procedure conj_(a)$ sub(i=-i,a)$

```

Make an array of eigenvalues for simplicity (`evals` not used hereafter).

```

57 clear eval_; array eval_(m);
58 for j:=1:m do eval_(j):=evals(1,j);

```

2.1 Normalise the adjoint eigenvectors

When we include delay differential equations, then we need to account for the history of the eigenvector as well. Hence multiply each eigenvector by its oscillating factor, $e^{i\omega t}$, $e^{\lambda t}$, and then take the mean. This multiplication by its oscillating factor should not make any difference for non-delay equations by the natural orthogonality of left and right eigenvectors of different eigenvalues.

Note: the ‘left eigenvectors’ have to be the eigenvectors of the complex conjugate transpose, and for the complex conjugate eigenvalue. This seems best: for example, when the linear operator is $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ then the adjoint and the right eigenvectors are the same.

For oscillations and un/stable manifolds we have to cope with imaginary and with real eigenvalues. Seems to need `zz` to have negative complex conjugated frequency so store in `cexp_`—cannot remember why this appears to work!? It may only work for pure real and for pure imaginary eigenvalues??

```

59 matrix aa_(m,m),dexp_(m,m),cexp_(m,m);
60 for j:=1:m do dexp_(j,j):=exp(eval_(j)*t);
61 for j:=1:m do cexp_(j,j):=exp(-conj_(eval_(j))*t);
62 aa_:= (tp map(conj_(~b),ee*dexp_)*zz*cexp_ )$
63 if trace then write aa_:=aa_;
64 write "Normalising the left-eigenvectors:";
65 aa_:= (aa_ where {exp(0)=>1, exp(~a)=>0 when a neq 0})$
66 if trace then write aa_:=aa_;
67 if det(aa_)=0 then << write
68     "ORTHOGONALITY ERROR IN EIGENVECTORS; I EXIT";
69     return>>;
70 zz:=zz*aa_^(-1);

```

2.2 Operator to represent delays

Introduce an operator to represent delay factors more conveniently for analysis. The `exp` rule probably only works for pure imaginary modes!?

```

71 clear d_; operator d_; linear d_;
72 let { d_(~a~^p,t,~dt)=>d_(a,t,dt)^p
73      , d_(~a*~b,t,~dt)=>d_(a,t,dt)*d_(b,t,dt)
74      , d_(exp(~a),t,~dt)=>exp(a)
75          *sub(t=-dt,cos(-i*a)+i*sin(-i*a))
76      , df(d_(~a,t,~dt),~b)=>d_(df(a,b),t,dt)
77      , d_(~a,t,0)=>a
78      , d_(d_(~a,t,~dta),t,~dtb)=>d_(a,t,dta+dtb)
79      };

```

Now rewrite the (delay) factors in terms of this operator. For the moment limit to a maximum of nine ODEs.

```

80 if trace then write "setting somerules";
81 somerules:={}
82 depend u1,t; somerules:=(u1(~dt)=d_(u1,t,dt)).somerules$
83 depend u2,t; somerules:=(u2(~dt)=d_(u2,t,dt)).somerules$
84 depend u3,t; somerules:=(u3(~dt)=d_(u3,t,dt)).somerules$
85 depend u4,t; somerules:=(u4(~dt)=d_(u4,t,dt)).somerules$
86 depend u5,t; somerules:=(u5(~dt)=d_(u5,t,dt)).somerules$
87 depend u6,t; somerules:=(u6(~dt)=d_(u6,t,dt)).somerules$
88 depend u7,t; somerules:=(u7(~dt)=d_(u7,t,dt)).somerules$
89 depend u8,t; somerules:=(u8(~dt)=d_(u8,t,dt)).somerules$
90 depend u9,t; somerules:=(u9(~dt)=d_(u9,t,dt)).somerules$
91 ff:=(ff where somerules)$

```

2.3 Linearise at the origin

Assume the equilibrium is at the origin. Find the linear operator at the equilibrium. Include `small=0` as we notionally adjoin it in the list of variables. Do not need to here make any non-zero forcing small at the equilibrium as it gets multiplied by `small` later. (For some reason using `mkid(u,k)=>0` does not resolve the `mkid`, but `mkid(u,k)=0` does; however, not clear if it is a problem.)

```

92 ll:=ee*(tp ee)*0; %zero nxn matrix

```

```

93 uzero:=(for k:=1:n collect (mkid(u,k)=0))$
94 equilibrium:=(small=0).uzero$
95 for j:=1:n do for k:=1:n do begin
96   ll(j,k):=df(ff(j,1),mkid(u,k));
97   ll(j,k):=sub(equilibrium,ll(j,k));
98 end;
99 write "Find the linear operator is";
100 write ll:=ll;

```

We need a vector of unknowns for a little while.

```

101 uvec:=0*ff; %nx1 zero matrix
102 for j:=1:n do uvec(j,1):=mkid(u,j);

```

2.4 Eigen-check

Variable `aa_` appears here as the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues. Check that the eigenvalues and eigenvectors are specified correctly.

```

103 write "Check invariant subspace linearisation ";
104 for j:=1:m do for k:=1:m do aa_(j,k):=0;
105 for j:=1:m do aa_(j,j):=eval_(j);
106 % following maybe only for pure centre modes??
107 reslin:=(ll*(ee*dexp_)-(ee*dexp_)*aa_
108   where exp(~a)*d_(1,t,~dt)=>sub(t=-dt,cos(-i*a)+i*sin(-i*a))*
109 if trace then write reslin:=reslin;
110 ok:=1$
111 for j:=1:n do for k:=1:m do
112   ok:=if reslin(j,k)=0 then ok else 0$
113 if ok then write "Linearisation is OK";

```

Try to find a correction of the linear operator that is ‘close’. Multiply by the adjoint eigenvectors and then average over time: operator $\mathcal{L}_{\text{new}} := \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{\text{adj}}$ should now have zero residual. Lastly, correspondingly adjust the ODEs, since `lladj` does not involve delays we do not need delay operator transforms in the product.

```

114 if not ok then for iter:=1:2 do begin
115   write "WARNING: I NEED TO ADJUST LINEAR OPERATOR";
116   write
117   lladj:=reslin*tp map(conj_(~b),zz*cexp_);
118   write
119   lladj:=(lladj where {exp(0)=>1, exp(~a)=>0 when a neq 0});
120   write
121   ll:=ll-lladj;
122   % following maybe only for pure centre modes??
123   write
124   reslin:=(ll*(ee*dexp_)-(ee*dexp_)*aa_
125     where exp(~a)*d_(1,t,~dt)=>sub(t=-dt,cos(-i*a)+i*sin(-i*a))*
126   ok:=1$
127   for j:=1:n do for k:=1:m do
128     ok:=if reslin(j,k)=0 then ok else 0$
129   if ok then iter:=iter+1000;
130   end;
131   if not ok then << write
132     "OOPS, INCONSISTENT EIGENVALUES, EIGENVECTORS AND OPERATOR.
133     EMAIL ME; I EXIT";
134   return >>;

```

2.5 Ameliorate the nonlinearity

Anything not in the linear operator gets multiplied by `small` to be treated as small in the analysis. The feature of the second alternative is that when a user invokes `small` then the power of smallness is not then changed; however, causes issues in the relative scaling of some terms, so restore to the original version. This might need reconsidering. The current `if` always chooses the first simple alternative.

```

135 somerules:=for j:=1:n collect
136   (d_(1,t,~dt)*mkid(u,j)=d_(mkid(u,j),t,dt))$
137 ll0_-:=(ll*uvec where somerules)$
138 ff:=(if 1 then small*ff

```

```

139             else ff-(1-small)*sub(small=0,ff))
140         +(1-small)*l10_$

```

Any constant term in the equations `ff` has to be multiplied by `exp(0)`.

```

141 %ff0:=(ff where uzero)$ % obliterates u1,... as operators
142 ff:=ff+(exp(0)-1)*sub(uzero,ff)$

```

From the matrix versions of the equations, create algebraic form using the matrix basis.

```

143 rhsfn:=for i:=1:n sum e_(i,1)*ff(i,1)$
144 if trace then write "rhsfn=",rhsfn;

```

Also, create the algebraic form of the jacobian transpose using the matrix basis: take the conjugate later when used.

```

145 rhsjact:=for i:=1:n sum for j:=1:n sum
146     e_(j,i)*df(ff(i,1),mkid(u,j))$

```

2.6 Store invariant manifold eigenvalues

Extract all the eigenvalues in the invariant manifold, and the set of all the corresponding modes in the invariant manifold variables. The slow modes are accounted for as having zero eigenvalue. Remember the eigenvalue set is not in the ‘correct’ order. Array `modes_` stores the set of indices of all the modes of a given eigenvalue.

```

147 clear eval_s,modes_;
148 array eval_s(m),modes_(m);
149 neval:=0$ eval_set:={} $
150 for j:=1:m do if not(eval_(j) member eval_set) then begin
151     neval:=neval+1;
152     eval_s(neval):=eval_(j);
153     eval_set:=eval_(j).eval_set;
154     modes_(neval):=for k:=j:m join
155         if eval_(j)=eval_(k) then {k} else {};
156 end;

```

Set a flag for the case of a slow manifold when all eigenvalues are zero, as then we compute the isochron projection. The next challenge is to get this isochron code working for the case of non-slow invariant manifolds.

```
157 itisSlowMan_:=if eval_set={0} then 1 else 0$
158 if trace then write itisSlowMan_:=itisSlowMan_;
```

Put in the non-singular general case as the zero entry of the arrays.

```
159 eval_s(0):=geneval$
160 modes_(0):={}$
```

2.7 Precompute matrices for updates

Precompute matrices to solve for updates for each of the critical eigenvalues, and the general case $\mathbf{k} = 0$. The matrix

$$\mathbf{llzz}_- = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathcal{L} + \partial_t & \mathcal{E}_0 \\ \mathcal{Z}_0^\dagger & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and then put its inverse in place. Subsequently, extract the blocks for the generalised inverses and solvability condition into $\mathbf{l_invs}$ and $\mathbf{g_invs}$.

```
161 matrix llzz_(n+m,n+m);
162 clear l_invs,g_invs,l1_invs,g1_invs,l2_invs,g2_invs;
163 array l_invs(neval), g_invs(neval), l1_invs(neval),
164       g1_invs(neval), l2_invs(neval), g2_invs(neval);
165 clear sp_; operator sp_; linear sp_;
166 for k_:=0:neval do begin
167   if trace then write "ITERATION ",k_;
```

Code the operator $\mathcal{L}\hat{v}$ where the delay is to only act on the oscillation part.

```
168   for ii:=1:n do for jj:=1:n do llzz_(ii,jj):=(
169     -sub(small=0,ll(ii,jj)) where d_(1,t,~dt)
170     => cos(i*eval_s(k_)*dt)+i*sin(i*eval_s(k_)*dt));
```

Code the operator $\partial\hat{v}/\partial t$ where it only acts on the oscillation part.

```
171   for j:=1:n do llzz_(j,j):=eval_s(k_)+llzz_(j,j);
```

Now code the part leading to the solvability condition which arises from allowing the (oscillation) amplitude to evolve. Use operator `sp_` to extract the delay parts that subtly affect the updates of the evolution.

```
172   for j:=1:length(modes_(k_)) do
173     for ii:=1:n do llzz_(ii,n+j):=ee(ii,part(modes_(k_),j))
174       +(for jj:=1:n sum
175         sp_(ll(ii,jj)*ee(jj,part(modes_(k_),j)),d_)
176         where { sp_(1,d_)=>0
177               , sp_(d_(1,t,~dt),d_)=>dt*(
178                 cos(i*eval_s(k_)*dt)+i*sin(i*eval_s(k_)*dt))
179               });
```

Force the updates to be orthogonal to the left-eigenvectors in the complex conjugate transpose adjoint.

```
180   for ii:=1:length(modes_(k_)) do for j:=1:n do
181     llzz_(n+ii,j):=conj_(zz(j,part(modes_(k_),ii)));
182   if trace then write "finished Force the updates to be orthogonal
```

Set the bottom-right corner of the matrix to zero.

```
183   for i:=1:length(modes_(k_)) do
184     for j:=1:m do llzz_(n+i,n+j):=0;
```

Add some trivial rows and columns to make the matrix up to the same size for all eigenvalues.

```
185   for i:=length(modes_(k_))+1:m do begin
186     for j:=1:n+i-1 do llzz_(n+i,j):=llzz_(j,n+i):=0;
187     llzz_(n+i,n+i):=1;
188   end;
189   if trace then write "finished Add some trivial rows and columns
```

Invert the matrix and unpack into arrays ready for use by the inversion operators.

```
190   if trace then write llzz_:=llzz_;
```



```

191  llzz_:=llzz_^(-1);
192  if trace then write llzz_:=llzz_;
193  l_invs(k_):=for i:=1:n sum for j:=1:n sum e_(i,j)*llzz_(i,j);
194  g_invs(k_):=for i:=1:length(modes_(k_)) sum
195      for j:=1:n sum e_(part(modes_(k_),i),j)*llzz_(i+n,j);
196  if trace then write "finished Invert the matrix and unpack";

```

Unpack the conjugate transpose for inverse operators used for the isochrons. A difference here is that the orthogonality condition is non-trivial (in the slow manifold we assumed amplitudes were exactly orthogonal to the left-eigenvectors), so we need to remember more parts of the inverse of the matrix.

```

197  l1_invs(k_) := for ii:=1:n sum for j:=1:n sum
198      e_(ii,j)*conj_(llzz_(j,ii));
199  l2_invs(k_) := for ii:=1:n sum
200      for j:=1:length(modes_(k_)) sum
201          e_(ii,part(modes_(k_),j))*conj_(llzz_(j+n,ii));
202  g1_invs(k_) := for ii:=1:length(modes_(k_)) sum
203      for j:=1:n sum
204          e_(part(modes_(k_),ii),j)*conj_(llzz_(j,ii+n));
205  g2_invs(k_) := for ii:=1:length(modes_(k_)) sum
206      for j:=1:length(modes_(k_)) sum
207          e_(part(modes_(k_),ii),part(modes_(k_),j))
208          *conj_(llzz_(j+n,ii+n));
209  if trace then write "finished Unpack the conjugate transpose";
210 end;

```

2.8 Define operators that invoke these inverses

Decompose residuals into parts, and operate on each. First for the invariant manifold. But making \mathbf{e}_- non-commutative means that it does not get factored out of these linear operators: must post-multiply by \mathbf{e}_- because the linear inverse is a premultiply.

```

211 clear l_inv; operator l_inv; linear l_inv;

```

```

212 let l_inv(e_(~j,~k)*exp(~a),exp)=>l_invproc(a/t)*e_(j,k);
213 procedure l_invproc(a);
214   if a member eval_set
215   then << k_:=0;
216       repeat k_:=k_+1 until a=eval_s(k_);
217       l_invs(k_)*exp(a*t) >>
218   else sub(geneval=a,l_invs(0))*exp(a*t)$

```

Second for the evolution on the invariant manifold.

```

219 clear g_inv; operator g_inv; linear g_inv;
220 let g_inv(e_(~j,~k)*exp(~a),exp)=>ginv_proc(a/t)*e_(j,k);
221 procedure ginv_proc(a);
222   if a member eval_set
223   then << k_:=0;
224       repeat k_:=k_+1 until a=eval_s(k_);
225       g_invs(k_) >>
226   else sub(geneval=a,g_invs(0))$

```

Copy and adjust the above for the projection. But first define the generic procedure.

```

227 procedure inv_proc(a,invs);
228   if a member eval_set
229   then << k_:=0;
230       repeat k_:=k_+1 until a=eval_s(k_);
231       invs(k_)*exp(a*t) >>
232   else sub(geneval=a,invs(0))*exp(a*t)$

```

Then define operators that we use to update the projection.

```

233 clear l1_inv; operator l1_inv; linear l1_inv;
234 clear l2_inv; operator l2_inv; linear l2_inv;
235 clear g1_inv; operator g1_inv; linear g1_inv;
236 clear g2_inv; operator g2_inv; linear g2_inv;
237 let { l1_inv(e_(~j,~k)*exp(~a),exp)
238       => inv_proc(a/t,l1_invs)*e_(j,k)
239       , l2_inv(e_(~j,~k)*exp(~a),exp)

```

```

240      => inv_proc(a/t,l2_invs)*e_(j,k)
241      , g1_inv(e_(~j,~k)*exp(~a),exp)
242      => inv_proc(a/t,g1_invs)*e_(j,k)
243      , g2_inv(e_(~j,~k)*exp(~a),exp)
244      => inv_proc(a/t,g2_invs)*e_(j,k)
245  };

```

3 Initialise LaTeX output

Define the Greek alphabet with small as well.

```

246 defid small,name="\eps";%varepsilon;
247 defid alpha,name=alpha;
248 defid beta,name=beta;
249 defid gamma,name=gamma;
250 defid delta,name=delta;
251 defid epsilon,name=epsilon;
252 defid varepsilon,name=varepsilon;
253 defid zeta,name=zeta;
254 defid eta,name=eta;
255 defid theta,name=theta;
256 defid vartheta,name=vartheta;
257 defid iota,name=iota;
258 defid kappa,name=kappa;
259 defid lambda,name=lambda;
260 defid mu,name=mu;
261 defid nu,name=nu;
262 defid xi,name=xi;
263 defid pi,name=pi;
264 defid varpi,name=varpi;
265 defid rho,name=rho;
266 defid varrho,name=varrho;
267 defid sigma,name=sigma;
268 defid varsigma,name=varsigma;

```

```
269 defid tau,name=tau;
270 defid upsilon,name=upsilon;
271 defid phi,name=phi;
272 defid varphi,name=varphi;
273 defid chi,name=chi;
274 defid psi,name=psi;
275 defid omega,name=omega;
276 defid Gamma,name=Gamma;
277 defid Delta,name=Delta;
278 defid Theta,name=Theta;
279 defid Lambda,name=Lambda;
280 defid Xi,name=Xi;
281 defid Pi,name=Pi;
282 defid Sigma,name=Sigma;
283 defid Upsilon,name=Upsilon;
284 defid Phi,name=Phi;
285 defid Psi,name=Psi;
286 defid Omega,name=Omega;
```

For the variables names I use, as operators, define how they appear in the \LaTeX , and also define that their arguments appear as subscripts.

```
287 defindex e_(down,down);
288 defid e_,name="e";
289 defindex d_(arg,down,down);
290 defid d_,name="D";
291 defindex u(down);
292 defid u1,name="u\sb1";
293 defid u2,name="u\sb2";
294 defid u3,name="u\sb3";
295 defid u4,name="u\sb4";
296 defid u5,name="u\sb5";
297 defid u6,name="u\sb6";
298 defid u7,name="u\sb7";
299 defid u8,name="u\sb8";
300 defid u9,name="u\sb9";
```

```

301 defindex s(down);
302 defid exp,name="\exp";
303 defindex exp(arg);

```

Can we write the system? Not in matrices apparently. So define a dummy array `tmp_` that we use to get the correct symbol typeset.

```

304 clear tmp_,tmp_s,tmp_z;
305 array tmp_(n),tmp_s(m),tmp_z(m);
306 defindex tmp_(down);
307 defindex tmp_s(down);
308 defindex tmp_z(down);
309 defid tmp_,name="\dot u";
310 defid tmp_s,name="\vec e";
311 defid tmp_z,name="\vec z";
312 rhs_:=rhsfn$
313 for k:=1:m do tmp_s(k):={for j:=1:n collect ee(j,k),exp(eval_(k))};
314 for k:=1:m do tmp_z(k):={for j:=1:n collect zz(j,k),exp(eval_(k))};

```

We have to be shifty here because `rlfi` does not work inside a loop: so write the commands to a file, and then input the file.

```

315 out "scratchfile.red";
316 write "off echo;"$ % do not understand why needed in 2021??
317 write "write ""\
318 \paragraph{The specified dynamical system}
319 \("";";
320 for j:=1:n do write "tmp_(" ,j ,"):=coeffn(rhs_,e_(" ,j ,",1),1);" ;
321 write "write ""\
322 \paragraph{Invariant subspace basis vectors}
323 \("";";
324 for j:=1:m do write "tmp_s(" ,j ,"):=tmp_s(" ,j ,")";";
325 for j:=1:m do write "tmp_z(" ,j ,"):=tmp_z(" ,j ,")";";
326 write "end;" ;
327 shut "scratchfile.red";

```

Now print the dynamical system to the LaTeX sub-file.

```

328 write "Ignore the following 13 lines of LaTeX"$
329 on latex$
330 out "invarManReportSys.tex"$
331 in "scratchfile.red"$
332 shut "invarManReportSys.tex"$
333 off latex$

```

4 Linear approximation to the invariant manifold

But first, write out the possibly adjusted nonlinear right-hand side function. According to the manual, this will append to the earlier output to the file.

```

334 write "Analyse ODE/DDE system du/dt = ",ff;

```

Parametrise the invariant manifold in terms of these amplitudes. For this substitution to work, `gg` *cannot* be declared scalar as then it gets replaced by zero here and throughout. Let `gg` be global so a user can access the time derivative expressions afterwards, similarly for `uu` the constructed invariant manifold.

```

335 clear gg;
336 clear s; operator s; depend s,t;
337 let df(s(~j),t)=>coeffn(gg,e_(j,1),1);

```

Invoke the following procedure to substitute whatever the current approximation is into (nonlinear) expressions.

```

338 procedure manifold_(uu,n);
339     for j:=1:n collect mkid(u,j)=coeffn(uu,e_(j,1),1)$

```

The linear approximation to the invariant manifold must be the following corresponding to the eigenvalues down the diagonal (even if zero). The amplitudes s_j are slowly evolving as they are either slow modes, or the complex amplitudes of oscillating modes.

```

340 uu:=for j:=1:m sum s(j)*exp(eval_(j)*t)
341     *(for k:=1:n sum e_(k,1)*ee(k,j))$

```

```

342 gg:=0$
343 if trace then write uu:=uu;

```

For some temporary trace printing, where for simplicity `small` is replaced by `s`.

```

344 procedure matify_(a,m,n)$
345   begin matrix z(m,n);
346     for i:=1:m do for j:=1:n do z(i,j):=coeffn(a,e_(i,j),1);
347     return (z where {exp(0)=>1,small=>s});
348   end$

```

For the isochron may need to do something different with eigenvalues, but this should work as the inner product is complex conjugate transpose. The `pp` matrix is proposed to place the projection residuals in the range of the isochron.

```

349 zs:=for j:=1:m sum exp(eval_(j)*t)
350   *(for k:=1:n sum e_(k,j)*zz(k,j))$
351 pp:=0$

```

5 Iteratively construct the invariant manifold

But first establish the Taylor series in any delay factors of slow amplitudes.

```

352 let d_(s(~k),t,~dt)=>s(k)+(for n:=1:toosmall sum
353   (-dt)^n*df(s(k),t,n)/factorial(n));

```

Truncate expansions to specified order of error (via loop index trick).

```

354 for j:=toosmall:toosmall do let small^j=>0;

```

Iteratively construct the invariant manifold.

```

355 write "Start iterative construction of invariant manifold";
356 for iter:=1:maxiter do begin
357   if trace then write "
358   ITERATION = ",iter,"

```

```
359 -----";
```

Compute residual vector (matrix) of the dynamical system [Roberts \(1997\)](#).

```
360 resde:=-df(uu,t)+sub(manifold_(uu,n),rhsfn);
361 if trace then write "resde=",matify_(resde,n,1);
```

Get the local directions of the coordinate system on the curving manifold:
store transpose as $m \times n$ matrix.

```
362 est:=tpe_(for j:=1:m sum df(uu,s(j))*e_(1,j),e_);
363 est:=conj_(est);
364 if trace then write "est=",matify_(est,m,n);
```

Compute residual matrix for the isochron projection [Roberts \(1989a, 2000\)](#).
But for the moment, only do it if the `eval_set` is for slow manifolds.

```
365 if itisSlowMan_ then begin
366   jacadj:=conj_(sub(manifold_(uu,n),rhsjact));
367   if trace then write "jacadj=",matify_(jacadj,n,n);
368   resd:=df(zs,t)+jacadj*zs+zs*pp;
369   if trace then write "resd=",matify_(resd,n,m);
```

Compute residual of the normalisation of the projection.

```
370   resz:=est*zs-eyem*exp(0);
371   if trace then write "resz=",matify_(resz,m,m);
372 end else resd:=resz:=0; % for when not slow manifold
```

Write lengths of residuals as a trace print (remember that the expression 0 has length one).

```
373 write lengthRes:=map(length(~a),{resde,resd,resz});
```

Solve for updates—all the hard work is already encoded in the operators.

```
374 uu:=uu+l_inv(resde,exp);
375 gg:=gg+g_inv(resde,exp);
376 if trace then write "gg=",matify_(gg,m,1);
377 if trace then write "uu=",matify_(uu,n,1);
```


Now update the isochron projection, with normalisation.

```

378 if itisSlowMan_ then begin
379   zs:=zs+l1_inv(resd,exp)-l2_inv(resz,exp);
380   pp:=pp-g1_inv(resd,exp)+youshouldnotseethis*g2_inv(resz,exp);
381   if trace then write "zs=",matify_(zs,n,m);
382   if trace then write "pp=",matify_(pp,m,m);
383 end;
```

Terminate the iteration loop once residuals are zero.

```

384 showtime;
385 if {resde,resd,resz}={0,0,0} then write iter:=iter+10000;
386 end;
```

Only proceed to print if terminated successfully.

```

387 if {resde,resd,resz}={0,0,0}
388   then write "SUCCESS: converged to an expansion"
389   else <<write "FAILED TO CONVERGE; I EXIT";
390   return; >>;
```

6 Output text version of results

Once construction is finished, simplify `exp(0)`.

```

391 let exp(0)=>1;
```

Invoking `switch complex` improves some of the output of the complex numbers, but wrecks other parts of the output. Best left off.

Write text results.

```

392 write "The invariant manifold is (to one order lower)";
393 for j:=1:n do write "u",j," = ",
394   coeffn(small*uu,e_(j,1),1)/small;
395 write "The evolution of the real/complex amplitudes";
396 for j:=1:m do write "ds(",j,")/dt = ",
```

```
397   coeffn(gg,e_(j,1),1);
```

Optionally write the projection vectors.

```
398 if itisSlowMan_ then begin write "
399 The normals to the isochrons at the slow manifold.
400 Use these vectors: to project initial conditions
401 onto the slow manifold; to project non-autonomous
402 forcing onto the slow evolution; to predict the
403 consequences of modifying the original system; in
404 uncertainty quantification to quantify effects on
405 the model of uncertainties in the original system.";
406   for j:=1:m do write "z",j," = ",
407     for i:=1:n collect coeffn(zs,e_(i,j),1);
408 end;
```

Write text results numerically evaluated when expressions are long.

```
409 if length(gg)>30 then begin
410 on rounded; print_precision 4$
411 write "Numerically, the invariant manifold is (to one order lower
412 for j:=1:n do write "u",j," = ",
413   coeffn(small*uu,e_(j,1),1)/small;
414 write "Numerically, the evolution of the real/complex amplitudes
415 for j:=1:m do write "ds(",j,")/dt = ",
416   coeffn(gg,e_(j,1),1);
417 if itisSlowMan_ then begin
418   write "Numerically, normals to isochrons at slow manifold.";
419   for j:=1:m do write "z",j," = ",
420     for i:=1:n collect coeffn(zs,e_(i,j),1);
421 end;
422 off rounded;
423 end;
```

7 Output LaTeX version of results

Change the printing of temporary arrays.

```
424 clear tmp_zz; array tmp_zz(m,n);
425 defid tmp_,name="u";
426 defid tmp_s,name="\dot s";
427 defid tmp_z,name="\vec z";
428 operator zs_;%(m,n);
429 defid zs_,name="z";
430 defindex zs_(down,down);
```

Gather complicated result

```
431 for k:=1:m do for j:=1:n do
432     tmp_zz(k,j):=(1*coeffn(zs,e_(j,k),1));
```

Include order of error to make printing more robust. But we cannot use `small~toosmall` in the following as that is set to zero (for the asymptotics), so we hard code that `small` appears as `varepsilon` ϵ .

```
433 clear order_; operator order_;
434 defid order_,name="O";
435 defindex order_(arg);
```

Write to a file the commands needed to write the LaTeX expressions. Write the invariant manifold to one order lower than computed.

```
436 out "scratchfile.red";
437 write "off echo;$ % do not understand why needed in 2021??
438 write "write ""\
439 \paragraph{The invariant manifold}
440 These give the location of the invariant manifold in
441 terms of parameters~\((s\sb j)\).
442 \("";";
443 for j:=1:n do write "tmp_(",j,"):=coeffn(small*uu,e_(",j,
444     ",1),1)/small +order_(varepsilon^",toosmall-1,")";";
445 if length(gg)>30 then begin
```

```

446 write "on rounded; print_precision 4$$"
447 for j:=1:n do write "tmp_(",j,"):=coeffn(small*uu,e_(",j,
448      ",1),1)/small +order_(varepsilon^",toosmall-1,");";
449 write "off rounded;"$
450 end;

```

Write the commands to write the ODEs on the invariant manifold.

```

451 write "write ""\)"
452 \paragraph{Invariant manifold ODEs}
453 The system evolves on the invariant manifold such
454 that the parameters evolve according to these ODEs.
455 \("";";
456 for j:=1:m do write "tmp_s(",j,"):=1*coeffn(gg,e_(",j
457      ",1),1)+order_(varepsilon^",toosmall,");";
458 if length(gg)>30 then begin
459 write "on rounded; print_precision 4$$"
460 for j:=1:m do write "tmp_s(",j,"):=1*coeffn(gg,e_(",j
461      ",1),1)+order_(varepsilon^",toosmall,");";
462 write "off rounded;"$
463 end;

```

Optionally write the commands to write the projection vectors on the slow manifold.

```

464 if itisSlowMan_ then begin
465   write "write ""\)"
466   \paragraph{Normals to isochrons at the slow manifold}
467   Use these vectors: to project initial conditions
468   onto the slow manifold; to project non-autonomous
469   forcing onto the slow evolution; to predict the
470   consequences of modifying the original system; in
471   uncertainty quantification to quantify effects on
472   the model of uncertainties in the original system.
473   The normal vector \(\vec z\sb j:=(z\sb{j1},\ldots,z\sb{jn})\)
474   \("";";
475   for i:=1:m do for j:=1:n do

```

```

476   write "zs_(",i,",",j,"):=tmp_zz(",i,",",j
477       ,")+order_(varepsilon^",toosmall,");";
478 end;%if itisSlowMan_

```

Finish the scratchfile.

```

479 write ";end;";
480 shut "scratchfile.red";

```

Execute the scratchfile with the required commands, with output to the main invariant manifold LaTeX file.

```

481 out "invarManReport.tex"$
482 on latex$
483 in "scratchfile.red"$
484 off latex$
485 shut "invarManReport.tex"$

```

8 **Fin**

That's all folks, so end the procedure.

```

486 return Finished_constructing_invariant_manifold_of_system$
487 end$

```

9 **Override some system procedures**

Bad luck if these interfere with anything else a user might try to do afterwards!

First define how various tokens get printed.

```

488 %load_package rlfi; %must be loaded early
489 deflist('(((! ( !\b!i!g!() (!) !\b!i!g!)) (!P!I !\!p!i! )
490         (!p!i !\!p!i! ) (!E !e) (!I !i) (e !e) (i !i)), 'name)$

```

Override the procedure that prints annoying messages about multicharacter symbols. It ends the output of one expression. This is just a copy from `rlfi.red` with the appropriate if-statement deleted. While interfering, hardcode that the mathematics is in inline mode.

```

491 symbolic procedure prinlaend;
492 <<terpri();
493   prin2t "\\par";
494   if !*verbatim then
495       <<prin2t "\\begin{verbatim}";
496       prin2t "REDUCE Input:">>;
497   ncharspr!*=0;
498   if ofl!* then linelength(car linel!*)
499       else laline!*=cdr linel!*;
500   nochar!*=append(nochar!*,nochar1!*);
501   nochar1!*=nil >>$
502   %

```

Similarly, hardcode at the beginning of expression output that the mathematics is in inline mode.

```

503 symbolic procedure prinlabegin;
504 <<if !*verbatim then
505     <<terpri();
506     prin2t "\\end{verbatim}">>;
507   linel!*=linelength nil . laline!*;
508   if ofl!* then linelength(laline!* + 2)
509       else laline!*=car linel!* - 2;
510   prin2 "\\(" >>$

```

Override the procedure that outputs the \LaTeX preamble upon the command `on latex`. Presumably modified from that in `rlfi.red`. Use it to write a decent header that we use for one master file.

```

511 symbolic procedure latexon;
512 <<!*!*a2sfn:='texaeval;
513   !*raise:=nil;

```

```

514 prin2t "\documentclass[11pt,a5paper]{article}";
515 prin2t "\usepackage[a5paper,margin=13mm]{geometry}";
516 prin2t "\usepackage{parskip,time} \raggedright";
517 prin2t "\def\eps{\varepsilon}";
518 prin2t "\title{Invariant manifold of your dynamical system}";
519 prin2t "\author{A. J. Roberts, University of Adelaide\\}";
520 prin2t "\texttt{http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8930-1552}}";
521 prin2t "\date{\now, \today}";
522 prin2t "\begin{document}";
523 prin2t "\maketitle";
524 prin2t "Throughout and generally: the lowest order, most";
525 prin2t "important, terms are near the end of each expression.";
526 prin2t "\input{invarManReportSys}";
527 if !*verbatim then
528     <<prin2t "\begin{verbatim}";
529     prin2t "REDUCE Input:">>;
530 put('tex,'rtypefn,'(lambda(x) 'tex)) >>$

```

End the file when read by Reduce

```
531 end;
```

References

- Carr, J. (1981), *Applications of centre manifold theory*, Vol. 35 of *Applied Math. Sci.*, Springer-Verlag.
<http://books.google.com.au/books?id=93BdN7btyscC>
- Coullet, P. H. & Spiegel, E. A. (1983), 'Amplitude equations for systems with competing instabilities', *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **43**, 776–821.
- Fateman, R. (2003), 'Comparing the speed of programs for sparse polynomial multiplication', *ACM SIGSAM Bulletin* **37**(1), 4–15.
<http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~fateman/papers/fastmult.pdf>
- Foias, C., Jolly, M. S., Kevrekidis, I. G., Sell, G. R. & Titi, E. S. (1988), 'On the computation of inertial manifolds', *Phys. Lett. A* **131**, 433–436.

- Haragus, M. & Iooss, G. (2011), *Local Bifurcations, Center Manifolds, and Normal Forms in Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems*, Springer.
- Roberts, A. J. (1989a), ‘Appropriate initial conditions for asymptotic descriptions of the long term evolution of dynamical systems’, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. B* **31**, 48–75.
- Roberts, A. J. (1989b), ‘The utility of an invariant manifold description of the evolution of a dynamical system’, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **20**, 1447–1458.
- Roberts, A. J. (1997), ‘Low-dimensional modelling of dynamics via computer algebra’, *Computer Phys. Comm.* **100**, 215–230.
- Roberts, A. J. (2000), ‘Computer algebra derives correct initial conditions for low-dimensional dynamical models’, *Computer Phys. Comm.* **126**(3), 187–206.
- Roberts, A. J. (2015), *Model emergent dynamics in complex systems*, SIAM, Philadelphia.
<http://bookstore.siam.org/mm20/>
- Roberts, A. J. (2019), Backwards theory supports modelling via invariant manifolds for non-autonomous dynamical systems, Technical report, [<http://arxiv.org/abs/1804.06998>].