Computer algebra derives normal forms of general stochastic and non-autonomous differential equations

A. J. Roberts*

November 19, 2008; modified February 3, 2021

Abstract

Modelling stochastic systems has many important applications. Stochastic coordinate transforms to a normal form is a powerful way of disentangling emergent long term dynamics. Since the analysis involves classic calculus, then the approach also applies to a wide class of non-autonomous dynamical systems. Further, cater for deterministic autonomous systems by simply omitting the time dependence in the system. For generality, this approach now caters for unstable modes, and for differential equation systems with a rational right-hand side. Use this code via the website¹.

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	3	
2	Cho	oose the SDEs	4	
	2.1	Simple pair of SDEs	5	
	2.2	Simple rational ODEs	7	
	2.3	Future noise in the transform	8	

^{*}School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia. http://www.maths.adelaide.edu.au/anthony.roberts/

¹http://www.maths.adelaide.edu.au/anthony.roberts/sdenf.php

Contents 2

2.4	4 Other methodologies fail	9		
2.	5 Levy area contraction: off-diagonal example	10		
2.	6 Position-momentum: the Jordan form	11		
2.	7 Radek's slow oscillation with fast noise	12		
2.8		13		
2.		14		
2.	10 A four mode hyperbolic system	15		
2.	11 Monahan's four examples	16		
	2.11.1 Example four: 'three' time scales	16		
	2.11.2 Example one: simple nonlinear	17		
	2.11.3 Example three: many fast modes	19		
	2.11.4 Example two: irregular slow manifold	21		
	2.11.5 Idealised Stommel-like model of meridional overturning			
		23		
2.	12 Majda's triad models	24		
	2.12.1 Multiplicative triad model	24		
	2.12.2 Additive triad model	26		
2.	13 Potzsche and Rasmussen deterministic non-autonomous ex-			
	amples	28		
	2.13.1 Lorenz near the pitchfork bifurcation	28		
	2.13.2 Fluctuating kdV example	30		
2.	14 Local analysis of heat exchanger	32		
	2.14.1 Near the boundary	33		
	2.14.2 Heat exchanger with quadratic reaction	34		
2.	15 Michaelis–Menten–Henri deterministic model	36		
G	eneral SDE preliminaries	42		
3.	1 Extract and scale slow equations	42		
3.5		43		
3.	3 Extract and scale unstable fast equations	45		
Setup LaTeX output using rlfi 47				
D	Delayed write of text info			
R	Represent the noise			
		52		

3

4

5

1 Introduction 3

7	Solve homological equation with noise					
8	Initialise approximate transform					
9	Iterative updates					
	9.1	Fast stable modes	57			
	9.2	Fast unstable modes	58			
	9.3	Slow modes	59			
10) Post-processing					
	10.1	Plain text output	60			
	10.2	IATEX output	60			

1 Introduction

Construct stochastic normal form of a wide class of non-autonomous or stochastic differential equations (SDES), based upon earlier research (Cox & Roberts 1991, Chao & Roberts 1996, Roberts 2008). Interpret all SDEs in the Stratonovich sense so the analysis applies to deterministic differential equations, both non-autonomous and autonomous. To construct normal forms of deterministic autonomous differential equations, simply omit specifying any noise. This article documents code designed for an interactive web site (Roberts 2009) that is available to all to use.

In the following, assign thecase:=webpage; for the web service (or to read a system from file sdeb.red), otherwise assign thecase to be any of the example dynamical systems in set thecases.

- 1 %% Execute in reduce with in_tex "cadnfgsde.tex"\$
- 2 %% See cadnfgsde.pdf for detailed explanation.
- 3 thecase:=sdemmh;
- 4 thecases:={sdesimple, oderat, sdemulti, sdebif, sdelac,
- ${\it 5}$ sdemom, sderadek, sdehyper, sdeduan, sdehifour, sdeMona1,
- $6\ \, {\rm sdeMona2},\ \, {\rm sdeMona3},\ \, {\rm sdeMona4},\ \, {\rm sdeMonaSS},\ \, {\rm sdeMajda3m},$
- 7 sdeMajda3a, sdePRLorenz, sdehe, sdehebc, sdeheqr, sdemmh,

8 sdePRKdV }\$

Define default parameters for the iteration: maxiter_ is the maximum number of allowed iterations; toosmall is the order of errors in the analysis in terms of the parameter small. Specific problems may override these defaults. The code cannot handle any higher order in noise amplitude sigma.

```
9 maxiter_:=29$
10 factor small;
11 toosmall:=3$
12 let sigma^3=>0;
```

2 Choose the SDEs

For an SDE with \mathfrak{m} slow modes, $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{y}}$ fast stable modes (quickly decaying), and/or \mathfrak{n}_{z} fast unstable modes (quickly growing), you must denote the slow modes by $\mathfrak{x}(1)$ through to $\mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{m})$, the stable fast modes by $\mathfrak{y}(1)$ through to $\mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{y})$, and the unstable fast modes by $\mathfrak{z}(1)$ through to $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{z})$. Each Stratonovich white noise, derivative of a Stratonovich Wiener process, must be denoted by $\mathfrak{w}(.)$ where the dot denotes almost any label you care to choose: simple numbers such as $\mathfrak{w}(1)$ and/or $\mathfrak{w}(2)$ are the usual choices; but other labels for the noise can be used. The SDEs must be linearly diagonalised.²

Load the reduce to LATEX package so we can also generate a nicer version of the output via LATEX. Load now so that a canny definition of the SDEs can invoke some of the options.

```
13 load_package rlfi;
```

First, define the operators to be used in the specification of the SDEs.

```
14 operator x;
15 operator y;
16 operator z;
17 operator w;
```

 $^{^2\}mathrm{Although}$ a Jordan form is also acceptable, there are issues in the error control.

Cater for rational function SDEs by allowing time dependence in these variables at specification. Then users must multiply each SDE by a common denominator, and put on the right-hand side the nonlinear terms involving the time derivative: see examples in sections 2.2 and 2.11.2.

```
18 depend x,t;
19 depend y,t;
20 depend z,t;
```

Use the RHS part of an SDE to specify an SDE. Form the RHSs into lists to specify the system of SDEs. Set trivial defaults for the SDEs in case I forget.

```
21 xrhs:=yrhs:=zrhs:={}$
```

In the case webpage, get the SDEs from the file sdeb.red which is where the web script writes a user specified system.

```
22 if thecase=webpage then in "sdeb.red"$
```

2.1 Simple pair of SDEs

A classically simple pair of fast/slow SDEs is

$$\dot{x} = -xy$$
 and $\dot{y} = -y + x^2 - 2y^2 + \sigma w(t)$, (1)

where lowercase w(t) denotes the formal derivative dW/dt of a Stratonovich Wiener process $W(t, \omega)$. Parameter σ controls the strength of the noise. Use x(1) to denote variable x, y(1) to denote variable y, and w(1) to denote Stratonovich noise w. Alternatively, w(t) could denote some non-autonomous deterministic forcing, control or other extrinsic input.

Specify the slow x SDE by allocating the one element list of its right-hand side to the variable xrhs.

```
23 if thecase=sdesimple then begin 24 xrhs:={-x(1)*y(1)};
```

Specify the nonlinear and noise terms of the y SDE as the one element list assigned to yrhs.

```
25 yrhs:={-y(1)+x(1)^2-2*y(1)^2+w(1)};
```

There are no unstable modes.

The code automatically multiplies the noise factors by a parameter sigma so there is no need include the parameter σ in the specification of the problem (unless you particularly want to), as it will be done for you. The code uses the parameter small to control truncation in nonlinearity; just ignore parameter small wherever it appears.

```
27 factor small,sigma;
28 end;
```

The stochastic coordinate transform

$$y_1 = Y_1 + X_1^2 + 2Y_1^2 + \sigma e^{-t} \star w_1 (1 + 4Y_1)$$

$$x_1 = X_1 + X_1Y_1 + \sigma e^{-t} \star w_1 X_1$$

Result normal form SDEs

$$\dot{Y}_1 = -Y_1 - 2X_1^2 Y_1 - 4\sigma w_1 Y_1 + 8\sigma^2 w_1 e^{-t} \star w_1 Y_1$$

$$\dot{X}_1 = -X_1^3 - \sigma w_1 X_1 + 2\sigma^2 w_1 e^{-t} \star w_1 X_1$$

Easy stochastic bifurcation For example, modifying the x equation to $\dot{x} = \alpha x - xy$ induces a stochastic bifurcation as parameter α crosses zero. Construct the stochastic normal form for this by simply changing to

29
$$xrhs:=\{small*a*x(1)-x(1)*y(1)\};$$

The extra factor of small causes only low powers of parameter α to be retained, as appropriate for such a bifurcation. Some may like to consider it analogous to scaling α with ϵ^2 and scaling x with ϵ .

2.2 Simple rational ODEs

A simple system of fast/slow odes in rational functions is

$$\dot{x} = -\frac{xy}{1+z}, \quad \dot{y} = -\frac{y}{1+2y} + x^2, \quad \dot{z} = 2\frac{z}{1+3x}.$$
 (2)

Use x(1) to denote variable x, y(1) to denote variable y, and z(1) to denote z. Multiply each ODE by the denominator for the ODE and shift the nonlinear d/dt terms to the right-hand side.

```
30 if thecase=oderat then begin

31 xrhs:={-x(1)*y(1)-z(1)*df(x(1),t)};

32 yrhs:={-y(1)+x(1)^2*(1+2*y(1))-2*y(1)*df(y(1),t)};

33 zrhs:={2*z(1)-3*x(1)*df(z(1),t)};
```

Truncate to one higher order because it is a simple system.

```
34 toosmall:=4;
35 end;
```

Time dependent coordinate transform

$$\begin{split} z_1 &= 6X_1Y_1Z_1\epsilon^2 + Z_1 \\ y_1 &= 2X_1^4\epsilon^2 - 4X_1^2Y_1^2\epsilon^2 + X_1^2\epsilon + 6Y_1^3\epsilon^2 - 2Y_1^2\epsilon + Y_1 \\ x_1 &= 2X_1^3Y_1\epsilon^2 - 1/2X_1Y_1^2\epsilon^2 + X_1Y_1Z_1\epsilon^2 + X_1Y_1\epsilon + X_1 \end{split}$$

Result normal form DEs For example, from this form we see the slow manifold is $Y_1 = Z_1 = 0$.

$$\begin{split} \dot{Z}_1 &= -54X_1^3Z_1\epsilon^3 + 18X_1^2Z_1\epsilon^2 - 6X_1Z_1\epsilon + 2Z_1\\ \dot{Y}_1 &= 8X_1^4Y_1\epsilon^3 + 4X_1^2Y_1\epsilon^2 + 2X_1^2Y_1\epsilon - Y_1\\ \dot{X}_1 &= -2X_1^5\epsilon^3 - X_1^3\epsilon^2 - 2X_1Y_1^2Z_1\epsilon^3 \end{split}$$

2.3 Future noise in the transform

An interesting pair of fast/slow SDEs derived from stochastic advection/dispersion is

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\sigma \mathbf{y} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{t}) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = -\mathbf{y} + \sigma \mathbf{x} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{t}),$$
 (3)

where lowercase w(t) denotes the formal derivative dW/dt of a Stratonovich Wiener process $W(t, \omega)$. Parameter σ controls the strength of the noise. In stochastic advection/dispersion parameter σ represents the lateral wavenumber of the concentration profile.

Use x(1) to denote variable x, y(1) to denote variable y, and w(1) to denote Stratonovich noise w. Specify the slow x SDE by allocating the one element list of its right-hand side to the variable xrhs. Specify the nonlinear and noise terms of the y SDE as the one element list assigned to yrhs.

```
36 if thecase=sdemulti then begin
37 factor small,sigma;
38 xrhs:={-y(1)*w(1)};
39 yrhs:={-y(1)+x(1)*w(1)};
40 zrhs:={};
41 end;
```

The code automatically multiplies the noise factors by a parameter sigma so there is no need include the parameter σ in the specification of the problem (unless you particularly want to), as it will be done for you.

Via the coordinate transform

$$x \approx X + \sigma Y e^t \star w$$
 and $y \approx Y + \sigma X e^{-t} \star w$,

the resultant normal form is

$$\dot{X} \approx -\sigma^2 X w e^{-t} \star w$$
 and $\dot{Y} \approx -Y + \sigma^2 Y w e^t \star w$.

One of the interesting aspects of this example is the quickness with which we could go to higher order noise interactions, higher orders in σ . However, I do not compute such higher order terms in this code.

2.4 Other methodologies fail

Consider for small parameter ϵ

slow mode
$$\dot{x} = \varepsilon x + x^3 - (1 - \sigma w)xy$$
, (4)

fast mode
$$\dot{y} = -y + x^2 + y^2 + \sigma y w$$
. (5)

Deterministically, there is a bifurcation to two equilibria for small $\epsilon > 0$. The noise w affects this bifurcation somehow.

Why is this tricky? Cross-sectional averaging is simply projection onto the slow space y=0 which predicts instability of subcritical bifurcation $\dot{x}=\varepsilon x+x^3$. Whereas adiabatic approximation, singular perturbation and multiple scales set $\dot{y}=0$ whence $y\approx x^2$ and thus predict linear growth of $\dot{x}=\varepsilon x$. Normal form transforms get the deterministic dynamics correctly. But what happens for stochastic dynamics?

Multiply a nonlinear term in the x SDE in order to get cancellation when the right-hand sides are multiplied by small. Multiply the bifurcation parameter by small^2 in order to make small.

```
42 if the case=sdebif then begin

43 xrhs:=\{small*eps*x(1)+small*x(1)^3-x(1)*y(1)*(1-small*w(1))\};
```

Insert the noise in a rather special way so that its dominant effects cancel.

```
44 yrhs:={-y(1)+x(1)^2+y(1)^2+y(1)*w(1)};
```

There are no unstable modes.

```
45 zrhs:={};
```

Truncate to higher order in the amplitudes in order to discern the subtle bifurcation.

```
46 toosmall:=5;
47 factor small,sigma;
48 end;
```

The coordinate transform is very messy, but dominantly (dropping subscripts for simplicity)

$$x \approx X + XY + 2X^{3}Y + \sigma \left[(-XY^{2} + 3X^{3}Y)e^{+t} \star + (XY^{2} + XY^{3})e^{2t} \star + X^{3}e^{-t} \star - XY^{3}e^{3t} \star \right] w,$$

$$y \approx Y - Y^{2} + Y^{3} - Y^{4} + X^{2} - 7X^{2}Y^{2} + X^{4} + \sigma \left[(-Y + 2Y^{3} - 3Y^{4} - 10X^{2}Y^{2} - 4X^{2}Y^{2}e^{+t} \star)e^{+t} \star + (X^{2} - 2X^{2}Y + 3X^{2}Y^{2} + X^{4} + 4X^{4}e^{-t} \star)e^{-t} \star + 2X^{2}Y^{2}e^{2t} \star \right] w.$$
 (7)

In these coordinates the slow mode SDE becomes the normal form

$$\dot{X} \approx \varepsilon X - X^5 - 2\sigma X^5 w - 3\sigma^2 X^5 w e^{-t} \star w, \tag{8}$$

$$\dot{Y} \approx (-1 + 4X^2 + 6X^4)Y + \sigma(1 + 2X^2 + 22X^4)Yw$$
. (9)

The 'drift' of the quadratic noise in X should also be nonlinearly stabilising.

2.5 Levy area contraction: off-diagonal example

Pavliotis & Stuart (2008) assert the following system of five coupled SDEs are interesting.

$$dx_1 = \epsilon y_1 dt, \qquad (10)$$

$$dx_2 = \epsilon y_2 dt, \qquad (11)$$

$$dx_3 = \varepsilon(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)dt, \qquad (12)$$

$$dy_1 = (-y_1 - \alpha y_2)dt + dW_1, \qquad (13)$$

$$dy_2 = (+\alpha y_1 - y_2)dt + dW_2. \tag{14}$$

This stochastic system has two noise sources. I presume $W_i(t, \omega)$ are Stratonovich Wiener processes. Use x(i) to denote variable x_i , y(i) to denote variable y_i , and w(i) to denote noise dW_i/dt .

Let eps denote parameter ϵ . Thus specify the slow dynamics via this three component list allocated to xrhs.

```
49 if thecase=sdelac then begin
50 factor small,sigma,eps;
51 toosmall:=4;
52 xrhs:={eps*y(1),eps*y(2),eps*(x(1)*y(2)-x(2)*y(1))};
```

For the fast modes, specify the linear parts separately from the rest of the SDE. Here the linear part has an off-diagonal component parametrised by α . This code cannot exactly analyse such systems. Thus analyse with the α moderated terms when treated as a perturbation of the decay at rate one. Specify the dynamics of the y SDE as the two element list assigned to yrhs.

53
$$yrhs:={-y(1)-a*y(2)+w(1),-y(2)+a*y(1)+w(2)};$$

There are no unstable modes.

The stochastic normal form is

$$\begin{split} \dot{X}_1 &\approx \varepsilon \sigma w_1 - \varepsilon \sigma w_2 \alpha \,, \\ \dot{X}_2 &\approx \varepsilon \sigma w_2 + \varepsilon \sigma w_1 \alpha \,, \\ \dot{X}_3 &\approx \varepsilon \sigma (-w_1 X_2 + w_2 X_1) + \varepsilon \sigma (w_1 X_1 \alpha + w_2 X_2 \alpha) \\ &+ \varepsilon^2 \sigma^2 (w_1 e^{-t} \star w_2 - w_2 e^{-t} \star w_1) \,, \\ \dot{Y}_1 &\approx -Y_1 - Y_2 \alpha \,, \\ \dot{Y}_2 &\approx -Y_2 + Y_1 \alpha \,. \end{split}$$

2.6 Position-momentum: the Jordan form

Suppose you want to analyse the semi-mechanical system of SDEs

$$\ddot{x} = -xy$$
 and $\dot{y} = -2y + x^2 + \dot{x}^2 + \sigma w(t)$, (15)

where w(t) denotes the formal derivative dW/dt of a Stratonovich Wiener process $W(t,\omega)$. Parameter σ controls the strength of the noise. Introduce $x_1=x$, $x_2=\dot{x}$ and $y_1=y$ to convert to the system of three coupled SDEs

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2 \,, \tag{16}$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 y_1 \,, \tag{17}$$

$$\dot{y}_1 = -2y_1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \sigma w(t). \tag{18}$$

Specify the slow x SDEs by allocating the two element list of its right-hand side to the variable xrhs. Divide the x_1 term by small on the right-hand side of \dot{x}_2 in order to overcome the automatic multiplication of the right-hand side by small. Iteration still works for this Jordan form system: but I am not responsible for anyone who divides by small or sigma.

```
56 if thecase=sdemom then begin

57 xrhs:={x(2)/small,-x(1)*y(1)};

58 yrhs:={-2*y(1)+x(1)^2+x(2)^2+w(y)};

59 factor small,sigma;
```

Note: the code automatically multiply the noise factors by a parameter sigma so there is no need include the parameter σ in the specification of the problem.

There are no unstable modes.

```
60 zrhs:={};
61 end;
```

The resultant normal form is

$$\begin{split} \dot{X}_1 &\approx X_2 + \sigma(\frac{1}{4}wX_1 + \frac{1}{4}wX_2) \\ &+ \sigma^2(-\frac{3}{32}wX_1e^{-2t}\star w - \frac{3}{64}wX_2e^{-2t}\star w) \\ \dot{X}_2 &\approx \sigma(-\frac{1}{2}wX_1 - \frac{1}{4}wX_2) + (-\frac{1}{2}X_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}X_2X_1^2 - \frac{3}{4}X_2^2X_1) \\ &+ \sigma^2(\frac{1}{8}wX_1e^{-2t}\star w + \frac{3}{32}wX_2e^{-2t}\star w) \\ \dot{Y}_1 &\approx -2Y_1 + (-\frac{1}{2}X_1^2Y_1 + \frac{1}{2}X_2X_1Y_1 + \frac{1}{2}X_2^2Y_1) \end{split}$$

2.7 Radek's slow oscillation with fast noise

Consider Radek's system

$$\dot{x} = -\epsilon xz$$
, $\dot{y} = +\epsilon yz$ and $\dot{z} = -(z-1) + \sigma w(t)$.

Transform to our standard form by

$$x = x_1$$
, $y = x_2$ and $z = 1 + y_1$.

Then obtain the stochastic normal form with the following code.

```
62 if thecase=sderadek then begin
63 yrhs:={-y(1)+w(1)};
64 xrhs:={-eps*x(2)*(1+y(1)),eps*x(1)*(1+y(1))};
65 factor small,sigma,eps;
66 zrhs:={};
67 end;
```

The normal form equations are

$$\dot{X}_1 \approx -\epsilon(1+\sigma w)X_2$$
,
 $\dot{X}_2 \approx +\epsilon(1+\sigma w)X_1$,
 $\dot{Y}_1 \approx -Y_1$.

The dynamics clearly oscillate in (X_1, X_2) with phase angle $\theta = \varepsilon(t + \sigma W(t, \omega))$. This normal form arises from the coordinate transform

$$x_1 \approx X_1 + \varepsilon X_2 Y_1 + \varepsilon \sigma X_2 e^{-t} \star w - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 X_1 Y_1^2,$$

 $x_2 \approx X_2 - \varepsilon X_1 Y_1 - \varepsilon \sigma X_1 e^{-t} \star w - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 X_2 Y_1^2,$
 $y_1 = Y_1 + \sigma e^{-t} \star w.$

Different analysis has to be done for the case of fast oscillation in this system.

2.8 Simple hyperbolic system

The stochastic coordinate transform $z_1 = -e^{2t} \star w_1 Y_1 \sigma + Z_1$

$$y_1 = e^{-2t} \star w_1 Z_1 \sigma + Y_1$$

Result normal form SDEs $\dot{Z}_1 = e^{-2t} \star w_1 w_1 Z_1 \sigma^2 + Z_1$

$$\dot{Y}_1 = -e^{2t} \star w_1 w_1 Y_1 \sigma^2 - Y_1$$

2.9 Duan's hyperbolic system for foliation

Used as an example by Sun et al. (2011).

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}}_1 = \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{y}_1 \mathbf{\sigma} - \mathbf{y}_1$$

$$\dot{z}_1 = w_1 z_1 \sigma + y_1^2 \varepsilon + z_1$$

74
$$yrhs:={-y(1)+y(1)*w(1)};$$

76 zrhs:=
$$\{+z(1)+y(1)^2+z(1)*w(1)\};$$

77 end;

The stochastic coordinate transform

$$z_1 = -1/3e^{3t} \star w_1 Y_1^2 \sigma - 1/3Y_1^2 + Z_1$$

$$y_1 = Y_1$$

Result normal form SDEs

$$\dot{\mathsf{Z}}_1 = w_1 \mathsf{Z}_1 \sigma + \mathsf{Z}_1$$

$$\dot{Y}_1 = w_1 Y_1 \sigma - Y_1$$

2.10 A four mode hyperbolic system

```
\begin{split} \dot{x}_1 &= w_2 y_1 \sigma + w_1 z_1 \sigma + y_1 z_2 \varepsilon \\ \dot{y}_1 &= w_1 z_1 \sigma - y_1 \\ \dot{z}_1 &= w_1 y_1 \sigma + z_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 &= w_2 y_1 \sigma + 2 z_2 \\ 78 & \text{if the case=sdehifour then begin} \\ 79 & \text{yrhs:=} \{-y(1) + z(1) * w(1) \}; \\ 80 & \text{xrhs:=} \{y(1) * w(2) + z(1) * w(1) + y(1) * z(2) \}; \\ 81 & \text{zrhs:=} \{ + z(1) + y(1) * w(1) \\ 82 & , + 2 * z(2) + y(1) * w(2) \}; \\ 83 & \text{end;} \end{split}
```

The stochastic coordinate transform

$$\begin{split} z_1 &= -e^{2t} \star w_1 \, Y_1 \sigma + Z_1 \\ z_2 &= -e^{3t} \star w_2 \, Y_1 \sigma + Z_2 \\ y_1 &= e^{-2t} \star w_1 \, Z_1 \sigma + Y_1 \\ x_1 &= -e^{3t} \star w_2 \, Y_1^2 \sigma + e^{2t} \star w_2 \, Y_1^2 \sigma - e^t \star w_2 \, Y_1 \sigma + e^{-1t} \star w_1 \, Z_1 \sigma + e^{-2t} \star w_1 \, Z_2 Z_1 \sigma - e^{-3t} \star w_1 \, Z_2 Z_1 \sigma + X_1 + Y_1 Z_2 \end{split}$$

Result normal form SDEs

$$\begin{split} \dot{Z}_1 &= e^{-2t} \star w_1 \, w_1 Z_1 \sigma^2 + Z_1 \\ \dot{Z}_2 &= 2 Z_2 \\ \dot{Y}_1 &= -e^{2t} \star w_1 \, w_1 Y_1 \sigma^2 - Y_1 \\ \dot{X}_1 &= e^{3t} \star w_2 \, w_1 Y_1 Z_1 \sigma^2 - 2 e^{-2t} \star w_1 \, w_2 Y_1 Z_1 \sigma^2 \end{split}$$

2.11 Monahan's four examples

Monahan & Culina (2011) discuss stochastic averaging and give four examples in an appendix which I also analyse here. They also give a couple of interesting examples in the body of the article which I may also explore at some time. I contend that they really need my approach as "a large separation often does not exist in atmosphere or ocean dynamics" between the fast and slow time scales.

2.11.1 Example four: 'three' time scales

Monahan & Culina (2011) comment that this linear system has three time scales. But I do not see that: I only see varying strength interactions. And as a linear system, it is the simplest. They consider

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = -x + \frac{a}{\sqrt{\tau}}y$$
 and $\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}x - \frac{1}{\tau}y + \frac{b}{\sqrt{\tau}}\dot{W}$.

Let $\tau=\varepsilon^2$ and rescale time, $t=\tau t'=\varepsilon^2 t'$ so that $d/dt=\frac{1}{\tau}d/dt'$ and $\dot{W}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}dW/dt'$. Then, dropping dashes, the SDE system is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\epsilon^2 x + a\epsilon y$$
 and $\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} = \epsilon x - y + b\dot{W}$.

Using the default inbuilt parametrisation of noise by sigma to represent parameter b, and using small in the x-SDE so that it counts the numbers of small ϵ , code these as the following.

```
84 if thecase=sdeMona4 then begin

85 xrhs:={eps*a*y(1)-eps^2*small*x(1)};

86 yrhs:={eps*x(1)-y(1)+w(1)};

87 zrhs:={ };

88 toosmall:=4;

89 factor small,sigma,eps,yy,y,w,ou;

90 end;
```

The stochastic coordinate transform

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= -e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ \varepsilon^2 \sigma \alpha - e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ \varepsilon^2 \sigma \alpha + e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ \sigma + Y_1 + \varepsilon X_1 \\ x_1 &= -e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ \varepsilon \sigma \alpha - Y_1 \varepsilon \alpha + X_1 \end{aligned}$$

Result normal form SDEs

$$\begin{split} \dot{Y}_1 &= -Y_1 \varepsilon^2 \alpha - Y_1 \\ \dot{X}_1 &= w_1 \varepsilon^3 \sigma (-2\alpha^2 + \alpha) + w_1 \varepsilon \sigma \alpha + \varepsilon^2 (X_1 \alpha - X_1) \end{split}$$

Monahan & Culina (2011) derive the last two terms in the X-equation, but not the first as it is too small for their averaging analysis. They comment that $\alpha > 1$ is some sort of difficulty; but I have no problem with $\alpha > 1$ (until X growth invalidates the linearity), especially as the decay rate to the stochastic slow manifold, the Y-SDE, is $(1 + \epsilon \alpha)$ which gets stronger with parameter α .

2.11.2 Example one: simple nonlinear

Monahan & Culina (2011) first consider the example

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = -x + \Sigma(x)y$$
 and $\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{1}{\tau}y + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}\dot{W},$

for general smooth functions $\Sigma(x)$. Rescale time, $t=\tau t'$ so that $d/dt=\frac{1}{\tau}d/dt'$ and $\dot{W}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}dW/dt'$. Then, dropping dashes, the SDE is

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\tau x + \tau \Sigma(x)y$$
 and $\frac{dy}{dt} = -y + \dot{W}$.

Restricting to a rational function $\Sigma = (a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2)/(1 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2)$, code these as the following (multiply through by the denominator).

91 if the case = sdeMona1 then begin 92 operator a; defindex a(down); 93 operator b; defindex b(down);

```
94 xrhs:={-tau*x(1)*(1+b(1)*x(1)+b(2)*x(1)^2)

95 -(b(1)*x(1)+b(2)*x(1)^2)*df(x(1),t)

96 +tau*y(1)*(a(0)+a(1)*x(1)+a(2)*x(1)^2) };

97 yrhs:={-y(1)+w(1)};

98 zrhs:={ };

99 toosmall:=3;

100 factor small,sigma,tau,yy,y,w,ou;

101 end;
```

The stochastic coordinate transform In the following expressions, recall that σ parametrises the noise; for comparison with the modelling of Monahan & Culina (2011), take $\sigma=1$. Also recall that a rational represents the function Σ .

$$\begin{split} y_1 &= e^{-1t} \star w_1 \, \sigma + Y_1 \\ x_1 &= e^{-1t} \star w_1 \, \sigma \epsilon \tau \big(-\alpha_2 X_1^2 - \alpha_1 X_1 - \alpha_0 \big) + Y_1 \epsilon \tau \big(-\alpha_2 X_1^2 - \alpha_1 X_1 - \alpha_0 \big) + X_1 \end{split}$$

Result normal form SDEs

$$\dot{Y}_1 = -Y_1$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \dot{X}_1 = w_1 \sigma \epsilon^2 \tau^2 \big(a_2 b_2 X_1^4 - a_2 X_1^2 + 2 a_1 b_2 X_1^3 + a_1 b_1 X_1^2 + 3 a_0 b_2 X_1^2 + 2 a_0 b_1 X_1 + a_0 \big) \\ + w_1 \sigma \epsilon^2 \tau \big(-a_2 b_2 X_1^4 - a_2 b_1 X_1^3 - a_1 b_2 X_1^3 - a_1 b_1 X_1^2 - a_0 b_2 X_1^2 - a_0 b_1 X_1 \big) \\ + w_1 \sigma \epsilon \tau \big(a_2 X_1^2 + a_1 X_1 + a_0 \big) \\ + \epsilon^2 \tau \big(b_2^2 X_1^5 + 2 b_2 b_1 X_1^4 + b_2 X_1^3 + b_1^2 X_1^3 + b_1 X_1^2 \big) \\ + \epsilon \tau \big(-b_2 X_1^3 - b_1 X_1^2 - X_1 \big) \end{array}$$

Monahan & Culina (2011) derive some of this X equation. The others here are higher order terms that become significant at finite parameter values. For example, the next correction to their analysis, $w_1\tau^2(-3a_4X_1^4 - 2a_3X_1^3 - a_2X_1^2 + a_0)$, is probably derivable as $\tau^2(\Sigma - x\Sigma')\dot{W}$ (when rescaled).

2.11.3 Example three: many fast modes

Monahan & Culina (2011) third considered the example

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -x + \Sigma(x) \|\vec{y}\|$$
 and $\frac{d\vec{y}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\tau}\vec{y} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau}}\dot{\vec{W}},$

for general smooth functions $\Sigma(x)$. As before, rescale time, $t=\tau t'$ so that $d/dt=\frac{1}{\tau}d/dt'$ and $\dot{W}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}dW/dt'$. Here I also cheat: they have $\|\vec{y}\|$ in the slow equation; but $\|\vec{y}\|$ is not a smooth multinomial and so my generic program cannot apply; instead I replace $\|\vec{y}\|$ with $\|\vec{y}\|^2$ which has the same symmetry but is multinomial. Then, upon the rescaling of time, and dropping dashes, the SDE is

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\tau x + \tau \Sigma(x) \|\vec{y}\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\vec{y}}{dt} = -\vec{y} + \sigma \dot{\vec{W}}.$$

Restricting to the general quartic $\Sigma = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_4 x^4$, code these as the following (the generic program automatically inserts the σ in the noise). Currently restrict to just a two component \vec{y} as I do not see any reason for any more and Monahan & Culina (2011) do not appear to specify.

```
102 if thecase=sdeMona3 then begin
103 operator a; defindex a(down);
104 xrhs:={-tau*x(1)+tau*(y(1)^2+y(2)^2)}
105 *(a(0)+a(1)*x(1)+a(2)*x(1)^2+a(3)*x(1)^3+a(4)*x(1)^4) };
106 yrhs:={-y(1)+w(1),-y(2)+w(2)};
107 zrhs:={ };
108 toosmall:=3;
109 factor small,sigma,tau,yy,y,w,ou;
110 end;
```

The stochastic coordinate transform In the following expressions, recall that σ parametrises the noise; for comparison with the modelling of Monahan & Culina (2011), you might take $\sigma = \sqrt{2}$ but as I changed the SDE somewhat an exact comparison is not possible. Also recall that a general quartic represents the function Σ .

$$\begin{split} y_1 &= e^{-1t} \star w_1 \, \sigma + Y_1 \\ y_2 &= e^{-1t} \star w_2 \, \sigma + Y_2 \\ x_1 &= e^t \star w_2 \, Y_2 \sigma \tau \big(-\alpha_4 X_1^4 - \alpha_3 X_1^3 - \alpha_2 X_1^2 - \alpha_1 X_1 - \alpha_0 \big) + e^{-1t} \star w_2 \, Y_2 \sigma \tau \big(-\alpha_4 X_1^4 - \alpha_3 X_1^3 - \alpha_2 X_1^2 - \alpha_1 X_1 - \alpha_0 \big) + e^t \star w_1 \, Y_1 \sigma \tau \big(-\alpha_4 X_1^4 - \alpha_3 X_1^3 - \alpha_2 X_1^2 - \alpha_1 X_1 - \alpha_0 \big) + e^{-1t} \star w_1 \, Y_1 \sigma \tau \big(-\alpha_4 X_1^4 - \alpha_3 X_1^3 - \alpha_2 X_1^2 - \alpha_1 X_1 - \alpha_0 \big) + Y_2^2 \tau \big(-1/2\alpha_4 X_1^4 - 1/2\alpha_3 X_1^3 - 1/2\alpha_2 X_1^2 - 1/2\alpha_1 X_1 - 1/2\alpha_0 \big) + Y_1^2 \tau \big(-1/2\alpha_4 X_1^4 - 1/2\alpha_3 X_1^3 - 1/2\alpha_2 X_1^2 - 1/2\alpha_1 X_1 - 1/2\alpha_0 \big) + X_1 \end{split}$$

Result normal form SDEs

$$\begin{split} \dot{Y}_1 &= -Y_1 \\ \dot{Y}_2 &= -Y_2 \\ \dot{X}_1 &= e^{-1t} \star w_2 \, w_2 \sigma^2 \tau^2 \big(-3/2 \alpha_4 X_1^4 - \alpha_3 X_1^3 - 1/2 \alpha_2 X_1^2 + 1/2 \alpha_0 \big) + e^{-1t} \star w_2 \, w_2 \sigma^2 \tau \big(\alpha_4 X_1^4 + \alpha_3 X_1^3 + \alpha_2 X_1^2 + \alpha_1 X_1 + \alpha_0 \big) + e^{-1t} \star w_1 \, w_1 \sigma^2 \tau^2 \big(-3/2 \alpha_4 X_1^4 - \alpha_3 X_1^3 - 1/2 \alpha_2 X_1^2 + 1/2 \alpha_0 \big) + e^{-1t} \star w_1 \, w_1 \sigma^2 \tau \big(\alpha_4 X_1^4 + \alpha_3 X_1^3 + \alpha_2 X_1^2 + \alpha_1 X_1 + \alpha_0 \big) - \tau X_1 \end{split}$$

In this modelling for X, the three terms linear in $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ are the leading order, and rewritten are

$$\dot{X} \approx -\tau X + \tau \Sigma(X)\sigma^2(w_1e^{-t}\star w_1 + w_2e^{-t}\star w_2)$$

Such quadratic terms in the noise generate both fluctuations and mean drift (Chao & Roberts 1996): the mean drift effect from each is $\sigma^2/2$, so their mean sum is just σ^2 . Hence the mean part of this model reduces to the form of (A33) (Monahan & Culina 2011).

The fluctuations in $w_1e^{-t}\star w_1$ are skewed, on finite times, and so should contribute to the skewness commented on by Monahan & Culina (2011). A generalisation of the Fokker–Planck analysis of Chao & Roberts (1996) suggests that such skewness decays algebraically in the scale separation τ : such algebraic decay in τ makes skewness much more noticeable at finite τ than other modelling approximations which decay exponentially in the scale separation.

2.11.4 Example two: irregular slow manifold

Monahan & Culina (2011) second consider the example

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = x - x^3 + \Sigma(x)y \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = -\frac{1}{x\tau}y + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}\dot{W},$$

for general smooth functions $\Sigma(x)$. Since the y-dynamics are at least exponentially unstable for negative x, we restrict attention to x>0. Even for positive x the system is singular as $x\to 0$ so the slow manifold is irregular in some sense (although 'singular' in a good way in that the scale separation between fast and slow becomes infinite). Here I think we have to be more sophisticated in rescaling time: let's choose the new fast time t' so that $dt=x\tau\,dt'$; that is, $t'=\int (x\tau)^{-1}dt$ which would not be explicitly known until after a solution x(t') is found. I presume that the noise then transforms as $\dot{W}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{x\tau}}dW/dt'$ (needs checking). Then, dropping dashes, the SDE is

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \tau \left[x^2 - x^4 + x \Sigma(x) y \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = -y + \sqrt{x} \dot{W}.$$

Now the \sqrt{x} is a problem in my generic computer algebra which requires multinomial systems so transform to $x=\xi^2$ so that $2\xi\,d\xi=dx$. Then the SDE system takes on a multinomial form

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}\tau \left[\xi^3 - \xi^7 + \xi \Sigma(\xi^2)y\right] \quad \mathrm{and} \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = -y + \xi \dot{W}.$$

The Stratonovich and Ito versions of the above SDE are still the same (an unresolved question is whether the non-uniform time scaling introduces a difference). Restricting to the general linear $\Sigma = a_0 + a_1 x$, code the SDE system as the following (remember $x(1) = \xi = \sqrt{x}$).

```
111 if thecase=sdeMona2 then begin
112 operator a; defindex a(down);
113 xrhs := {1/2*tau*(x(1)^3-x(1)^7+x(1)*(a(0)+a(1)*x(1)^2)*y(1))}$
114 yrhs := { -y(1)+x(1)*w(1) }$
115 zrhs := {}$
116 factor small,sigma,tau,yy,y,w,ou;
117 end;
```

The stochastic coordinate transform In the following expressions, recall that σ parametrises the noise; for comparison with the modelling of Monahan & Culina (2011), take $\sigma = 1$.

$$\begin{split} y_1 &= e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ \sigma\tau \big(1/2X_1^7 - 1/2X_1^3 \big) + e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ \sigma X_1 + Y_1 \\ x_1 &= e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ \sigma\tau \big(-1/2\alpha_1 X_1^4 - 1/2\alpha_0 X_1^2 \big) + Y_1\tau \big(-1/2\alpha_1 X_1^3 - 1/2\alpha_0 X_1 \big) + X_1 \end{split}$$

Result normal form SDEs

$$\begin{split} \dot{Y}_1 &= e^t \star w_1 \, w_1 Y_1 \sigma^2 \tau^2 \big(1/4 \alpha_1^2 X_1^6 + 1/2 \alpha_1 \alpha_0 X_1^4 + 1/4 \alpha_0^2 X_1^2 \big) + \\ e^{-1t} \star w_1 \, w_1 Y_1 \sigma^2 \tau^2 \big(3/4 \alpha_1^2 X_1^6 + \alpha_1 \alpha_0 X_1^4 + 1/4 \alpha_0^2 X_1^2 \big) + w_1 Y_1 \sigma \tau^2 \big(-\alpha_1 X_1^9 - 3/2 \alpha_0 X_1^7 + 1/2 \alpha_0 X_1^3 \big) + w_1 Y_1 \sigma \tau \big(-1/2 \alpha_1 X_1^3 - 1/2 \alpha_0 X_1 \big) - Y_1 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \dot{X}_1 = e^{-1t} \star w_1 \, w_1 \sigma^2 \tau^2 \big(-1/4 \alpha_1^2 X_1^7 - 1/2 \alpha_1 \alpha_0 X_1^5 - 1/4 \alpha_0^2 X_1^3 \big) + w_1 \sigma \tau^2 \big(\alpha_1 X_1^{10} + 3/2 \alpha_0 X_1^8 - 1/2 \alpha_0 X_1^4 \big) + w_1 \sigma \tau \big(1/2 \alpha_1 X_1^4 + 1/2 \alpha_0 X_1^2 \big) + \tau \big(-1/2 X_1^7 + 1/2 X_1^3 \big) \end{array}$$

Using just the leading order terms, the ones linear in τ , and recalling $X_1 \approx \xi = \sqrt{x}$, the last SDE gives the model

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t'} \approx \tau \left[x^2 - x^4 + x^{3/2} \Sigma(x) \sigma \frac{\mathrm{d}W}{\mathrm{d}t'} \right].$$

But recall that $dt'=dt/(x\tau)$ (although one should be more careful as $X_1\approx \sqrt{x}$, not exact equality) and similarly $dW/dt'=\sqrt{x\tau}\dot{W}$ so that this model becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} \approx x - x^3 + \sqrt{\tau} x \Sigma(x) \sigma \frac{\mathrm{d}W}{\mathrm{d}t}.$$

This agrees with the Stratonovich part of (A28) by Monahan & Culina (2011). But again, the above derivation has the systematic higher order corrections that are needed for finite scale separation τ (such corrections appear to be of the same order as the difference between the Ito and Stratonovich versions of this model).

2.11.5 Idealised Stommel-like model of meridional overturning circulation

Monahan & Culina (2011) also analyse the Idealised Stommel-like model

$$\begin{split} &\frac{dx}{dt} = \mu - |y-x|x + \sigma_A \dot{W}_1\,,\\ &\frac{dy}{dt} = +\frac{1}{\tau}(1-y) - |y-x|y + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau}}\sigma_M \dot{W}_2\,. \end{split}$$

The mod-functions do not fit into my generic computer algebra so replace them with squares to at least preserve the symmetry. As before, introduce $\epsilon^2 = \tau$ and rescale time, $t = \tau t' = \epsilon^2 t'$ so that $d/dt = \frac{1}{\tau}d/dt'$ and $\dot{W}_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}dW_j/dt' = \frac{1}{\epsilon}dW_j/dt'$. Since for small τ , the fast variable y is strongly attracted to one, change the reference point for y by setting y = 1 + y'(t). Then the SDEs becomes akin to

$$\begin{split} \frac{dx}{dt'} &= \varepsilon^2 \left[\mu - (1+y'-x)^2 x \right] + \varepsilon \sigma_A \frac{dW_1}{dt'} \,, \\ \frac{dy'}{dt'} &= -y' - \varepsilon^2 (1+y'-x)^2 (1+y') + \sqrt{2} \sigma_M \frac{dW_2}{dt'} \,. \end{split}$$

Let $\rho=\sigma_A/(\sqrt{2}\sigma_M)\,,$ use the inbuilt $\sigma=\sqrt{2}\sigma_M\,,$ and invoke small to correctly count the number of small εs in the analysis. Code the above dynamics as the following.

The stochastic coordinate transform

$$\begin{split} y_1 &= e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ \varepsilon^2 \sigma \big(-X_1^2 + 4X_1 - 3 \big) + 3/2 e^t \star w_2 \ Y_1^2 \varepsilon^2 \sigma + \\ 3/2 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ Y_1^2 \varepsilon^2 \sigma + e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ Y_1 \varepsilon^2 \sigma \big(-4X_1 + 6 \big) + e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ \sigma + 1/2 Y_1^3 \varepsilon^2 + \\ Y_1^2 \varepsilon^2 \big(-2X_1 + 3 \big) + Y_1 + \varepsilon^2 \big(-X_1^2 + 2X_1 - 1 \big) \\ x_1 &= e^t \star w_2 \ Y_1 \varepsilon^2 \sigma X_1 + e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ Y_1 \varepsilon^2 \sigma X_1 + e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ \varepsilon^2 \sigma \big(-2X_1^2 + 2X_1 \big) + \\ 1/2 Y_1^2 \varepsilon^2 X_1 + Y_1 \varepsilon^2 \big(-2X_1^2 + 2X_1 \big) + X_1 \end{split}$$

Result normal form SDEs

$$\dot{Y}_1 = -3e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_2 Y_1 \varepsilon^2 \sigma^2 + 4e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 Y_1 \varepsilon^3 \rho \sigma^2 + w_2 Y_1 \varepsilon^2 \sigma (4X_1 - 6) + Y_1 \varepsilon^2 (-X_1^2 + 4X_1 - 3) - Y_1$$

$$\dot{X}_1 = -e^{-1t} \star w_2 \, w_2 \varepsilon^2 \sigma^2 X_1 + e^{-1t} \star w_2 \, w_1 \varepsilon^3 \rho \sigma^2 \big(4X_1 - 2 \big) + w_2 \varepsilon^2 \sigma \big(2X_1^2 - 2X_1 \big) + w_1 \varepsilon \rho \sigma + \varepsilon^2 \big(-X_1^3 + 2X_1^2 - X_1 + \mu \big)$$

Deterministically, this model has multiple equilibria for small $\mu,$ but only one equilibria for $\mu > 4/27$, at finite amplitude. The noise \dot{W}_1 causes transitions between such multiple equilibria, and the multiplicative noise \dot{W}_2 contributes as well. But the same order of smallness is the first term in the X_1 SDE above which is a quadratic noise that has a mean drift effect that should enhance the stability of the small x equilibrium.

2.12 Majda's triad models

Majda et al. (2002) investigated averaging in two 3D SDE systems. I also looked at these in 2003.³ Let's look at the stochastic normal form.

2.12.1 Multiplicative triad model

The multiplicative triad model of Majda et al. (2002) consists of three modes, v_1 , v_2 and v_3 . These evolve in time according to

$$\frac{dv_1}{dt} = b_1 v_2 v_3, \quad \frac{dv_2}{dt} = b_2 v_1 v_3, \quad \frac{dv_3}{dt} = -v_3 + b_3 v_1 v_2 + \sigma \dot{W}, \quad (19)$$

 $^{^3}$ Centre manifold analysis of stochastic multiplicative triad model, technical report.

where b_i and σ are some constants and the noise forces the third mode. Here I have already scaled the equations so that the rate of decay of the third mode is one. Thus on long time scales we expect the third mode to be essentially negligible and the system to be modelled by the relatively slow evolution of the first two modes.

```
126 if thecase=sdeMajda3m then begin
127 operator b; defindex b(down);
128 xrhs := {b(1)*x(2)*y(1),b(2)*x(1)*y(1)}$
129 yrhs := { -y(1)+b(3)*x(1)*x(2)+w(3) }$
130 zrhs := {}$
131 factor small,sigma,yy,y,w,ou;
132 toosmall:=5;
133 end;
```

The stochastic coordinate transform

```
\begin{array}{l} e^{-1t}\star w_3 \ \sigma\big(-b_3b_2X_1^2 - b_3b_1X_2^2 + 1\big) - 2Y_1^2b_3b_2b_1X_2X_1 + Y_1 - b_3^2b_2X_2X_1^3 - b_3^2b_1X_2^3X_1 + b_3X_2X_1 \\ x_1 = e^{-1t}\star e^{-1t}\star w_3 \ \sigma\big(b_3b_2b_1X_2X_1^2 + b_3b_1^2X_2^3\big) - 1/2e^{-1t}\star w_3 \ Y_1^2\sigma b_2b_1^2X_2 + e^{-1t}\star w_3 \ Y_1\sigma b_2^2b_1^2X_2 + 2b_3b_1^2X_2^3 - b_1X_2\big) - 1/6Y_1^3b_2b_1^2X_2 + 1/2Y_1^2b_2b_1X_1 + Y_1\big(b_3b_2b_1X_2X_1^2 + b_3b_1^2X_2^3 - b_1X_2\big) + X_1 \end{array}
```

 $y_1 = e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_3 \sigma(-b_3b_2X_1^2 - b_3b_1X_2^2) - 4e^{-1t} \star w_3 Y_1 \sigma b_3 b_2 b_1 X_2 X_1 +$

$$\begin{array}{l} x_2 = e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_3 \ \sigma \big(b_3 b_2^2 X_1^3 + b_3 b_2 b_1 X_2^2 X_1 \big) - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_3 \ Y_1^2 \sigma b_2^2 b_1 X_1 + e^{-1t} \star w_3 \ Y_1 \sigma b_2^2 b_1 X_2 + e^{-1t} \star w_3 \ \sigma \big(2 b_3 b_2^2 X_1^3 + 2 b_3 b_2 b_1 X_2^2 X_1 - b_2 X_1 \big) - 1/6 Y_1^3 b_2^2 b_1 X_1 + 1/2 Y_1^2 b_2 b_1 X_2 + Y_1 \big(b_3 b_2^2 X_1^3 + b_3 b_2 b_1 X_2^2 X_1 - b_2 X_1 \big) + X_2 \end{array}$$

Result normal form SDEs

$$\begin{split} \dot{Y}_1 &= e^{-1t} \star w_3 \, w_3 Y_1 \sigma^2 \big(2b_3 b_2^2 b_1 X_1^2 + 2b_3 b_2 b_1^2 X_2^2 \big) + 4w_3 Y_1 \sigma b_3 b_2 b_1 X_2 X_1 + Y_1 \big(b_3^2 b_2^2 X_1^4 + 2b_3^2 b_2 b_1 X_2^2 X_1^2 + b_3^2 b_1^2 X_2^4 - b_3 b_2 X_1^2 - b_3 b_1 X_2^2 - 1 \big) \\ \dot{X}_1 &= -2e^{-1t} \star w_3 \, w_3 \sigma^2 b_3 b_2 b_1^2 X_2^2 X_1 + w_3 \sigma \big(-2b_3 b_2 b_1 X_2 X_1^2 - 2b_3 b_1^2 X_2^3 + b_1 X_2 \big) - b_2^2 b_2 b_1 X_2^2 X_1^3 - b_2^2 b_1^2 X_2^4 X_1 + b_3 b_1 X_2^2 X_1 \end{split}$$

$$\dot{X}_2 = -2e^{-1t} \star w_3 \, w_3 \sigma^2 b_3 b_2^2 b_1 X_2 X_1^2 + w_3 \sigma \left(-2b_3 b_2^2 X_1^3 - 2b_3 b_2 b_1 X_2^2 X_1 + b_2 X_1 \right) - b_3^2 b_2^2 X_2 X_1^4 - b_3^2 b_2 b_1 X_2^3 X_1^2 + b_3 b_2 X_2 X_1^2$$

Majda et al. (2002) predicts, their equation (52), the two leading order terms in the deterministic part and the linear noise part. I suspect their first term in each equation is an Ito version of my Stratonovich modelling. All the higher order terms here are missed by their averaging.

2.12.2 Additive triad model

The additive triad model of Majda et al. (2002) consists of three modes, v_1 , v_2 and v_3 , as before. However, these now evolve in time according to

$$\frac{dv_1}{dt} = b_1 v_2 v_3,
\frac{dv_2}{dt} = -v_2 + b_2 v_1 v_3 + \sigma_2 \dot{W}_2,
\frac{dv_3}{dt} = -v_3 + b_3 v_1 v_2 + \sigma_3 \dot{W}_3,$$
(20)

where b_i and σ_i are some constants, and there is independent stochastic forcing of the second and third modes. Here I have already scaled the equations so that the rate of decay of *both* the second and third mode is one.⁴ Thus on long time scales we expect the second and third modes to be essentially negligible and the system to be modelled by the relatively slow evolution of the first mode. This section constructs the stochastic normal form of its centre manifold model as the basis for a model over long time scales with new noise processes.

```
134 if thecase=sdeMajda3a then begin
135 operator b; defindex b(down);
136 xrhs := {b(1)*y(2)*y(1)}$
```

⁴In contrast, Majda et al. (2002) set the two modes to have different decay rates. I do not expect much difference in using the same decay rate, it is just more convenient that the memory convolutions are then identical for the two modes rather than being different. Having the decay rates the same is also closer to my expected application to spatial problems.

The stochastic coordinate transform

$$\begin{split} y_1 &= X_1 \sigma b_{31} b_2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_3 + Y_1 + \sigma b_{21} e^{-1t} \star w_2 \\ y_2 &= X_1 \sigma b_{21} b_3 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 + Y_2 + \sigma b_{31} e^{-1t} \star w_3 \\ x_1 &= X_1 - 1/2 Y_2 Y_1 b_1 + Y_2 \sigma \left(-1/2 b_{21} b_1 e^t \star w_2 - 1/2 b_{21} b_1 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \right) + \\ Y_1 \sigma \left(-1/2 b_{31} b_1 e^t \star w_3 - 1/2 b_{31} b_1 e^{-1t} \star w_3 \right) \end{split}$$

Result normal form SDEs

$$\begin{split} \dot{Y}_1 &= X_1 Y_2 b_2 + Y_2 \sigma^2 \big(-1/2 b_{31} b_{21} b_2 b_1 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_3 \ w_2 - \\ 1/2 b_{31} b_{21} b_2 b_1 e^{-1t} \star w_3 \ w_2 - 1/2 b_{31} b_{21} b_2 b_1 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ w_3 \big) + Y_1 \sigma^2 \big(-1/2 b_{31}^2 b_2 b_1 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_3 \ w_3 - 1/2 b_{31}^2 b_2 b_1 e^{-1t} \star w_3 \ w_3 \big) - Y_1 \\ \dot{Y}_2 &= X_1 Y_1 b_3 + Y_2 \sigma^2 \big(-1/2 b_{21}^2 b_3 b_1 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ w_2 - \\ 1/2 b_{21}^2 b_3 b_1 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ w_2 \big) - Y_2 + Y_1 \sigma^2 \big(-1/2 b_{31} b_{21} b_3 b_1 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ w_3 - \\ 1/2 b_{31} b_{21} b_3 b_1 e^{-1t} \star w_3 \ w_2 - 1/2 b_{31} b_{21} b_3 b_1 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ w_3 \big) \\ \dot{X}_1 &= X_1 \sigma^2 \big(1/2 b_{31}^2 b_2 b_1 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_3 \ w_3 + 1/2 b_{21}^2 b_3 b_1 e^{-1t} \star w_3 \ w_3 + \\ 1/2 b_{21}^2 b_3 b_1 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ w_2 + 1/2 b_{21}^2 b_3 b_1 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ w_2 \big) + \\ \sigma^2 \big(1/2 b_{31} b_2 b_1 b_1 e^{-1t} \star w_3 \ w_2 + 1/2 b_{31} b_2 b_1 b_1 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ w_3 \big) \end{split}$$

The only terms in the model are the quadratic noise-noise interaction terms. Majda et al. (2002) recognise the last, σ^2 term, but not the first, $X_1\sigma^2$ term. They represent it as a mean drift and independent noise (presumably the mean drift comes from the Ito representation of my Stratonovich noise).

2.13 Potzsche and Rasmussen deterministic non-autonomous examples

Potzsche & Rasmussen (2006) establish Taylor approximations of various integral manifolds of non-autonomous systems. They give two examples.

2.13.1 Lorenz near the pitchfork bifurcation

Example 5.1 of Potzsche & Rasmussen (2006) is

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}_1 &= \sigma_{\varepsilon}(x_2 - x_1), \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \rho_{\varepsilon} x_1 - x_2 - x_1 x_3, \\ \dot{x}_3 &= -\beta_{\varepsilon} x_3 + x_1 x_2. \end{split}$$

where parameters are $\sigma_{\varepsilon}=\sigma_0+\varepsilon\sigma(t),\; \rho_{\varepsilon}=1+\rho_0+\varepsilon\rho(t)$ and $\beta_{\varepsilon}=\beta_0+\varepsilon\beta(t)$. When there is no parametric fluctuations, $\varepsilon=0$, there is a pitchfork bifurcation as ρ_0 crosses zero.

To analyses dynamics at this pitchfork bifurcation in the presence of fluctuations, Potzsche & Rasmussen (2006) take a linear transform of the system to variables \vec{y} and set $\rho_0 = 0$. In the following coding I use $x(1) = y_3$, $y(1) = y_1$ and $y(2) = y_2$; there are no unstable modes. Also the fluctuations $\epsilon \rho(t)$ are represented in the input by w(rho) whereas in the output it is represented by σw_ρ , and similarly for the other fluctuating quantities. Note that the algorithm automatically multiplies time varying quantities by the 'small' parameter σ (distinct from the σ in the Lorenz system!) corresponding to their ϵ .

```
151 -(w(sigma)+w(rho)/(sig0+1))*y(1)

152 ,-bet0*y(2)-sig0*y(1)^2+(1-sig0)*x(1)*y(1)+x(1)^2

153 -w(beta)*y(2)

154 }$

155 zrhs := {}$

156 factor small,sigma,yy,y,xx,x;

157 toosmall:=3;

158 end;
```

For the general computer algebra I set σ_0 and β_0 to some definite values, here $\sigma_0=\beta_0=1$.

The specified dynamical system

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}_1 &= -1/2x_1y_2\epsilon + 1/2x_1\sigma w_\rho + 1/2y_2y_1\epsilon + y_1\sigma\big(-1/2w_\rho + w_\sigma\big) \\ \dot{y}_1 &= -1/2x_1y_2\epsilon + 1/2x_1\sigma w_\rho + 1/2y_2y_1\epsilon + y_1\sigma\big(-1/2w_\rho - w_\sigma\big) - 2y_1 \\ \dot{y}_2 &= x_1^2\epsilon - y_2\sigma w_\beta - y_2 - y_1^2\epsilon \end{split}$$

The stochastic coordinate transform

$$\begin{array}{lll} y_1 &=& X_1Y_2\sigma\big(-1/2e^{-1t}\star w_\beta \ + e^{-1t}\star w_\rho \ -1/4e^{-2t}\star w_\rho \ +1/2e^{-1t}\star w_\sigma\big) \ -1/2X_1Y_2 + 1/2X_1\sigma e^{-2t}\star w_\rho \ +Y_2Y_1\sigma\big(1/2e^{1t}\star w_\beta \ -1/4e^{2t}\star w_\rho \ +1/3e^t\star w_\rho \ +1/3e^t\star w_\rho \ +1/2e^{2t}\star w_\sigma \ -1/2e^t\star w_\sigma\big) \ -1/2Y_2Y_1 + Y_1 \\ y_2 &=& X_1^2\sigma\big(-e^{-1t}\star w_\beta \ -e^{-1t}\star w_\rho\big) \ +X_1^2 + X_1Y_1\sigma\big(e^{2t}\star w_\rho \ -2/3e^t\star w_\rho \ +1/3e^{-2t}\star w_\rho \ -2/3e^t\star w_\sigma \ +2e^{1t}\star w_\sigma\big) \ +Y_2 + Y_1^2\sigma\big(1/3e^{3t}\star w_\beta \ -1/3e^3t\star w_\rho \ -2/3e^{3t}\star w_\sigma\big) \ +1/3Y_1^2 \\ x_1 &=& X_1Y_2\sigma\big(-1/2e^t\star w_\beta \ -1/3e^t\star w_\rho \ -1/12e^{-2t}\star w_\rho \ +1/2e^t\star w_\sigma\big) \ +1/2X_1Y_2 + X_1 + Y_2Y_1\sigma\big(1/6e^{3t}\star w_\beta \ -1/3e^{3t}\star w_\rho \ +1/4e^{2t}\star w_\rho \ +7/6e^{3t}\star w_\sigma \ -1/2e^{2t}\star w_\sigma\big) \ -1/6Y_2Y_1 + Y_1\sigma\big(1/2e^{2t}\star w_\rho \ -e^{2t}\star w_\sigma\big) \end{array}$$

Result normal form SDEs

$$\begin{split} \dot{Y}_1 &= X_1^2 Y_1 \sigma^2 \left(1/2 e^{2t} \star e^{2t} \star w_\rho \ w_\rho + 1/4 e^{-2t} \star e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \ w_\rho - 1/2 e^{-2t} \star e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \ w_\sigma - e^{2t} \star e^{2t} \star w_\sigma \ w_\rho + 1/12 e^{2t} \star w_\beta \ w_\rho + 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_\beta \ w_\beta + 1/3 e^{-1t} \star w_\beta \ w_\rho - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_\beta \ w_\sigma - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_\beta \ w_\sigma - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_\rho \ w_\rho - 1/2 e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \ w_\rho + 1/2 e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \ w_\rho - 1/2 e^{$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{Y}_2 &= X_1^2 Y_2 \sigma^2 \big(e^t \star w_\beta \, w_\beta + e^t \star w_\beta \, w_\rho - 1/3 e^{-1t} \star w_\beta \, w_\rho + e^{-1t} \star w_\beta \, w_\sigma + 2/3 e^t \star w_\rho \, w_\beta + \\ &2/3 e^t \star w_\rho \, w_\rho + 2/3 e^{-1t} \star w_\rho \, w_\rho - 2 e^{-1t} \star w_\rho \, w_\sigma + 1/3 e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \, w_\beta - 13/24 e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \, w_\rho + \\ &5/6 e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \, w_\sigma - e^t \star w_\sigma \, w_\beta - e^t \star w_\sigma \, w_\rho + 1/3 e^{-1t} \star w_\sigma \, w_\rho - e^{-1t} \star w_\sigma \, w_\sigma \big) + \\ &X_1^2 Y_2 \sigma \big(-w_\beta - 1/2 w_\rho + w_\sigma \big) + X_1^2 Y_2 - Y_2 \sigma w_\beta - Y_2 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{X}_1 &= X_1^3 \sigma^2 \left(-1/4 e^{-2t} \star e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \ w_\rho + 1/2 e^{-2t} \star e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \ w_\sigma - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_\beta \ w_\beta - 1/3 e^{-1t} \star w_\beta \ w_\rho + 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_\beta \ w_\sigma + 1/4 e^{-2t} \star w_\beta \ w_\rho - 1/2 e^{-2t} \star w_\beta \ w_\sigma - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_\rho \ w_\rho \\ 1/3 e^{-1t} \star w_\rho \ w_\rho + 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_\rho \ w_\sigma - 1/12 e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \ w_\beta - 13/48 e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \ w_\rho + 1/3 e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \ w_\rho + 1/4 e^{-2t} \star w_\sigma \ w_\sigma \right) + X_1^3 \sigma \left(1/2 w_\beta + 3/4 w_\rho - 1/4 w_\sigma \right) \\ - 1/2 X_1^3 + X_1 \sigma^2 \left(-1/4 e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \ w_\rho + 1/2 e^{-2t} \star w_\rho \ w_\sigma \right) + 1/2 X_1 \sigma w_\rho \end{split}$$

In their analysis Potzsche & Rasmussen (2006) explicitly report the last and third-to-last terms above, for these choices of σ_0 and β_0 , to deduce their model (5.3) which here is

$$\dot{X} pprox rac{1}{2} \sigma w_{
ho} X - rac{1}{2} X^3$$
.

Nice agreement.

2.13.2 Fluctuating kdV example

Potzsche & Rasmussen (2006) [Example 5.4] seek travelling wave solutions, $\mathfrak{u}(\mathsf{x}-\mathsf{ct})$ with wave speed c , of a modified KdV equation. This leads to the

following system

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2$$
, $\dot{x}_2 = x_3$, $\dot{x}_3 = c^2 x_2 - a(t) x_1^2 x_2$.

My analysis is for wave speed $c^2 = 1$. A transform to diagonalise the linear part into slow variable x, stable y and unstable z is then that $x_1 = x + y + z$, $x_2 = z - y$ and $x_3 = z + y$.

```
159 if thecase=sdePRKdV then begin
160 afn:=w(a)*(x(1)+y(1)+z(1))^2*(z(1)-y(1));
161 xrhs := { afn }$
162 yrhs := { -y(1)-afn/2 }$
163 zrhs := { +z(1)-afn/2 }$
164 factor small,sigma,zz,z,yy,y,xx,x;
165 toosmall:=3;
166 end;
```

Using w(a) to denote the variable coefficient a(t), it is represented in this output by σw_a .

The specified dynamical system

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}_1 &= -x_1^2 y_1 \sigma w_\alpha + x_1^2 z_1 \sigma w_\alpha - 2x_1 y_1^2 \sigma w_\alpha + 2x_1 z_1^2 \sigma w_\alpha - y_1^3 \sigma w_\alpha - y_1^2 z_1 \sigma w_\alpha + \\ y_1 z_1^2 \sigma w_\alpha + z_1^3 \sigma w_\alpha \\ \dot{y}_1 &= 1/2 x_1^2 y_1 \sigma w_\alpha - 1/2 x_1^2 z_1 \sigma w_\alpha + x_1 y_1^2 \sigma w_\alpha - x_1 z_1^2 \sigma w_\alpha + 1/2 y_1^3 \sigma w_\alpha + 1/2 y_1^2 z_1 \sigma w_\alpha - y_1^2 \sigma w_\alpha$$

$$\dot{y}_1 = 1/2 x_1^2 y_1 \sigma w_\alpha - 1/2 x_1^2 z_1 \sigma w_\alpha + x_1 y_1^2 \sigma w_\alpha - x_1 z_1^2 \sigma w_\alpha + 1/2 y_1^3 \sigma w_\alpha + 1/2 y_1^2 z_1 \sigma w_\alpha - 1/2 y_1 z_1^2 \sigma w_\alpha - y_1 - 1/2 z_1^3 \sigma w_\alpha$$

$$\dot{z}_1 = 1/2 x_1^2 y_1 \sigma w_\alpha - 1/2 x_1^2 z_1 \sigma w_\alpha + x_1 y_1^2 \sigma w_\alpha - x_1 z_1^2 \sigma w_\alpha + 1/2 y_1^3 \sigma w_\alpha + 1/2 y_1^2 z_1 \sigma w_\alpha - 1/2 y_1 z_1^2 \sigma w_\alpha - 1/2 z_1^3 \sigma w_\alpha + z_1$$

Time dependent coordinate transform

$$\begin{array}{l} z_1 = -1/2X_1^2Y_1\sigma e^{2t}\star w_{\alpha} - X_1Y_1^2\sigma e^{3t}\star w_{\alpha} - X_1Z_1^2\sigma e^{-1t}\star w_{\alpha} - 1/2Y_1^3\sigma e^{4t}\star w_{\alpha} - 1/2Y_1^2Z_1\sigma e^{2t}\star w_{\alpha} - 1/2Z_1^3\sigma e^{-2t}\star w_{\alpha} + Z_1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} y_1 = -1/2X_1^2Z_1\sigma e^{-2t}\star w_\alpha - X_1Y_1^2\sigma e^t\star w_\alpha - X_1Z_1^2\sigma e^{-3t}\star w_\alpha - 1/2Y_1^3\sigma e^{2t}\star w_\alpha - 1/2Y_1Z_1^2\sigma e^{-2t}\star w_\alpha + Y_1 - 1/2Z_1^3\sigma e^{-4t}\star w_\alpha \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x_1 = X_1^2 Y_1 \sigma e^t \star w_\alpha + X_1^2 Z_1 \sigma e^{-1t} \star w_\alpha + 2 X_1 Y_1^2 \sigma e^{2t} \star w_\alpha + 2 X_1 Z_1^2 \sigma e^{-2t} \star w_\alpha + \\ X_1 + Y_1^3 \sigma e^{3t} \star w_\alpha + Y_1^2 Z_1 \sigma e^t \star w_\alpha + Y_1 Z_1^2 \sigma e^{-1t} \star w_\alpha + Z_1^3 \sigma e^{-3t} \star w_\alpha \end{array}$$

Putting $Z_1=0$ into the coordinate transform gives the centre-stable manifold. Then the expression for z_1 in the above coordinate transform leads to the same convolutions as those of Potzsche & Rasmussen (2006) [pp.453–4]. Conversely, putting $Y_1=0$ gives the centre-unstable manifold and the expression for y_1 above leads to the same convolutions as those of Potzsche & Rasmussen (2006). Presumably the distortions of the other variables have a higher order influence on this nice agreement.

2.14 Local analysis of heat exchanger

Roberts (2013) provides novel theoretical support for the method of multiple scales in spatio-temporal systems, and then extends this important method. Perhaps the simplest example is the heat exchanger: the non-autonomous slow manifold analysis that is at the heart of the novel methodology is determined here. Expand advection-exchange in a heat exchanger in powers of $(x-X)^n/n!$. With Taylor Remainder Theorem closing the problem in terms of unknown functions which here are represented by the non-autonomous forcing w_i . Note that $y(j) = d_{j-1}$ and $x(j) = c_{j-1}$. Also $w(1) = d_{4X}\eta_x$ and $w(2) = c_{4X}\xi_x$ and evaluate at intensity $\sigma = 5$.

```
167 if thecase=sdehe then begin

168 xrhs:={y(2),y(3),y(4),y(5),w(1)};

169 yrhs:={-y(1)+x(2),-y(2)+x(3),-y(3)+x(4),-y(4)+x(5),-y(5)+w(2)};

170 zrhs:={};

171 toosmall:=6;

172 factor small,sigma;

173 end;
```

Specified dynamical system The above writes the odes as the following. $\dot{x}_1 = \varepsilon y_2, \ \dot{x}_2 = \varepsilon y_3, \ \dot{x}_3 = \varepsilon y_4, \ \dot{x}_4 = \varepsilon y_5, \ \dot{x}_5 = \sigma w_1, \ \dot{y}_1 = \varepsilon x_2 - y_1, \ \dot{y}_2 = \varepsilon x_3 - y_2, \ \dot{y}_3 = \varepsilon x_4 - y_3, \ \dot{y}_4 = \varepsilon x_5 - y_4, \ \dot{y}_5 = \sigma w_2 - y_5.$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Time dependent coordinate transform} & y_1 = \sigma \epsilon^4 \big(e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ + \\ 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ + 3e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ \big) - \epsilon^3 X_4 + \epsilon X_2 + Y_1, \ y_2 = \sigma \epsilon^3 \big(e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ + \\ 2e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ \big) - \epsilon^3 X_5 + \epsilon X_3 + Y_2, \ y_3 = \sigma \epsilon^2 \big(-e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ -e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ \big) + \epsilon X_4 + Y_3, \ y_4 = -\sigma \epsilon e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ + \epsilon X_5 + Y_4, \ y_5 = \sigma e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ + Y_5. \end{array}$

And the slow variables $x_1 = \sigma \epsilon^4 \left(-e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_1 - 3e^{-1t} \star w_1 \right) + \epsilon^3 Y_4 - \epsilon Y_2 + X_1,$ $x_2 = \sigma \epsilon^3 \left(e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 + 2e^{-1t} \star w_2 \right) + \epsilon^3 Y_5 - \epsilon Y_3 + X_2, \ x_3 = \sigma \epsilon^2 e^{-1t} \star w_1 - \epsilon Y_4 + X_3, \ x_4 = -\sigma \epsilon e^{-1t} \star w_2 - \epsilon Y_5 + X_4, \ x_5 = X_5.$

Result normal form DEs $\dot{Y}_1 = \epsilon^4 Y_5 - \epsilon^2 Y_3 - Y_1, \ \dot{Y}_2 = -\epsilon^2 Y_4 - Y_2, \ \dot{Y}_3 = -\epsilon^2 Y_5 - Y_3, \ \dot{Y}_4 = -Y_4, \ \dot{Y}_5 = -Y_5.$

$$\begin{array}{l} \dot{X}_1=3\sigma\epsilon^4w_1-\epsilon^4X_5+\epsilon^2X_3,\ \dot{X}_2=-2\sigma\epsilon^3w_2+\epsilon^2X_4,\ \dot{X}_3=-\sigma\epsilon^2w_1+\epsilon^2X_5,\\ \dot{X}_4=\sigma\epsilon w_2,\ \dot{X}_5=\sigma w_1. \end{array}$$

2.14.1 Near the boundary

This is for the case of boundary conditions $c+pd=\operatorname{cd}_0(t)$ at x=0 for some parameter p. Computer algebra finds boundary conditions on the fields that reduce the dynamics near the boundary to the following with $x(1)=c_1$, $x(2)=c_3$, $y(1)=d_0$, $y(2)=d_2$ and $w(1)=d_3\chi\eta_\chi$ with $\sigma=4$. Curiously, there is no dependence upon parameter p in these dynamics.

```
174 if thecase=sdehebc then begin
175 xrhs:={y(2),w(1)};
176 yrhs:={-y(1)+x(1),-y(2)+x(2)};
177 zrhs:={ };
178 toosmall:=6;
179 factor small,sigma;
180 end;
```

Again, I believe the following results are exact.

Specified dynamical system $\dot{x}_1 = \varepsilon y_2$, $\dot{x}_2 = \sigma w_1$, $\dot{y}_1 = \varepsilon x_1 - y_1$, $\dot{y}_2 = \varepsilon x_2 - y_2$.

Time dependent coordinate transform $y_1 = \sigma \epsilon^3 (e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_1 + 2e^{-1t} \star w_1) - \epsilon^3 X_2 + \epsilon X_1 + Y_1, y_2 = -\sigma \epsilon e^{-1t} \star w_1 + \epsilon X_2 + Y_2, x_1 = \sigma \epsilon^2 e^{-1t} \star w_1 - \epsilon Y_2 + X_1, x_2 = X_2.$

Result normal form DEs $\dot{Y}_1 = -\epsilon^2 Y_2 - Y_1$, $\dot{Y}_2 = -Y_2$, $\dot{X}_1 = -\sigma\epsilon^2 w_1 + \epsilon^2 X_2$, $\dot{X}_2 = \sigma w_1$.

2.14.2 Heat exchanger with quadratic reaction

Expand advection-reaction-exchange in a heat exchanger in powers of $(x - X)^n/n!$. The reaction is some quadratic that should generate Burgers' equation model. With Taylor Remainder Theorem closing the problem in terms of unknown functions which here are represented by the non-autonomous forcing w_i . Note that $y(j) = d_{j-1}$ and $x(j) = c_{j-1}$. Also $w(1) = 3d_{2x}$ and $w(2) = 3c_{2x}$ and evaluate at intensity $\sigma = 1$.

```
181 if thecase=sdehear then begin
182 xrhs:=\{y(2)-x(1)*y(1)
          y(3)-x(1)*y(2)-x(2)*y(1)
183
          ,small*w(1)-x(1)*y(3)-2*x(2)*y(2)-x(3)*y(1)
184
          }:
185
186 yrhs:=\{-y(1)+x(2)-(x(1)^2+y(1)^2)/2
          ,-y(2)+x(3)-x(1)*x(2)-y(1)*y(2)
187
          ,-y(3)+small*w(2)-x(2)^2-x(1)*x(3)-y(2)^2-y(1)*y(3)
188
189
          }:
190 zrhs:={ };
191 toosmall:=4;
192 factor small, sigma;
193 end;
```

Alternatively, we could divide the off-diagonal linear terms by small (and remove the multiplication of forcing w), and the algorithm still converges, albeit in more iterations. The resulting asymptotic expressions then do not assume that x derivatives are successively smaller.

The following uses the default scaling which corresponds to successively smaller x-derivatives provided I also multiply the forcing by small.

Specified dynamical system
$$\dot{x}_1 = \varepsilon(-x_1y_1 + y_2), \dot{x}_2 = \varepsilon(-x_2y_1 - x_1y_2 + y_3), \dot{x}_3 = \sigma \varepsilon w_1 + \varepsilon(-x_3y_1 - 2x_2y_2 - x_1y_3), \dot{y}_1 = \varepsilon(x_2 - 1/2x_1^2 - 1/2y_1^2) - y_1, \dot{y}_2 = \varepsilon(x_3 - x_2x_1 - y_2y_1) - y_2, \dot{y}_3 = \sigma \varepsilon w_2 + \varepsilon(-x_3x_1 - x_2^2 - y_3y_1 - y_2^2) - y_3$$

Time dependent coordinate transform

$$\begin{split} y_1 &= 1/4\varepsilon^2 Y_1^3 + \varepsilon \left(X_2 - 1/2 X_1^2 + 1/2 Y_1^2 \right) + Y_1 \\ y_2 &= -\sigma \varepsilon^2 e^{-1t} \star w_1 + 3/4\varepsilon^2 Y_2 Y_1^2 + \varepsilon \left(X_3 - X_2 X_1 + Y_2 Y_1 \right) + Y_2 \\ y_3 &= \sigma \varepsilon^2 \left(e^{-1t} \star w_2 \, Y_1 + e^{-1t} \star w_1 \, X_1 \right) + \sigma \varepsilon e^{-1t} \star w_2 + \varepsilon^2 \left(3/4 Y_3 Y_1^2 + 3/2 Y_2^2 Y_1 \right) + \varepsilon \left(-X_3 X_1 - X_2^2 + Y_3 Y_1 + Y_2^2 \right) + Y_3 \\ x_1 &= \varepsilon^2 \left(3/4 X_1 Y_1^2 - Y_2 Y_1 \right) + \varepsilon \left(X_1 Y_1 - Y_2 \right) + X_1 \\ x_2 &= -\sigma \varepsilon^2 e^{-1t} \star w_2 + \varepsilon^2 \left(3/4 X_2 Y_1^2 + 3/2 X_1 Y_2 Y_1 - Y_3 Y_1 - Y_2^2 \right) + \varepsilon \left(X_2 Y_1 + X_1 Y_2 - Y_3 \right) + X_2 \\ x_3 &= \sigma \varepsilon^2 \left(e^{-1t} \star w_2 \, X_1 + e^t \star w_1 \, Y_1 \right) + \varepsilon^2 \left(3/4 X_3 Y_1^2 + 3 X_2 Y_2 Y_1 + 3/2 X_1 Y_3 Y_1 + 3/2 X_1 Y_2^2 - 3/2 Y_3 Y_2 \right) + \varepsilon \left(X_3 Y_1 + 2 X_2 Y_2 + X_1 Y_3 \right) + X_3 \end{split}$$

Result normal form DEs

$$\begin{split} \dot{Y}_1 &= \epsilon^2 \big(-1/2 X_1^2 Y_1 + 2 X_1 Y_2 - Y_3 \big) - Y_1 \\ \dot{Y}_2 &= 2 \sigma \epsilon^3 w_1 Y_1 + \epsilon^2 \big(-X_2 X_1 Y_1 + 2 X_2 Y_2 - 1/2 X_1^2 Y_2 + 2 X_1 Y_3 \big) - Y_2 \\ \dot{Y}_3 &= \sigma \epsilon^3 \big(-2 w_1 X_1 Y_1 + 2 w_1 Y_2 \big) - \sigma \epsilon^2 w_2 Y_1 + \epsilon^2 \big(-X_3 X_1 Y_1 - X_3 Y_2 - X_2^2 Y_1 - 2 X_2 X_1 Y_2 + X_2 Y_3 - 1/2 X_1^2 Y_3 \big) - Y_3 \\ \dot{X}_1 &= -\sigma \epsilon^3 w_1 + \epsilon^2 \big(X_3 - 2 X_2 X_1 + 1/2 X_1^3 \big) \\ \dot{X}_2 &= 2 \sigma \epsilon^3 w_1 X_1 + \sigma \epsilon^2 w_2 + \epsilon^2 \big(-2 X_3 X_1 - 2 X_2^2 + 3/2 X_2 X_1^2 \big) \\ \dot{X}_3 &= \sigma \epsilon^3 \big(2 w_1 X_2 - w_1 X_1^2 \big) - \sigma \epsilon^2 w_2 X_1 + \sigma \epsilon w_1 + \epsilon^2 \big(-3 X_3 X_2 + 3/2 X_3 X_1^2 + 3 X_2^2 X_1 \big) \end{split}$$

Hmmm, looks like this generates the slowly varying model that

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - 2C \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}C^3.$$

Interestingly there is an extra factor of two in the nonlinear advection, and a net cubic reaction.

2.15 Michaelis-Menten-Henri deterministic model

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{\varepsilon}[-\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{\kappa} - \lambda)\mathbf{y}],$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{\kappa})\mathbf{y}.$$

A manifold of equilibria occur at $y = x/(x + \kappa)$ and $\epsilon = 0$ (also if $\epsilon \neq 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ but we do not consider this case). Let's explore dynamics based at arbitrary point on this equilibrium manifold: substitute $x(t) = x_0 + x_1(t)$ and $y(t) = x_0/(x_0 + \kappa) + y_1(t)$, and derive

$$\frac{1}{x_0 + \kappa} \dot{x}_1 = x_1' = \frac{\epsilon}{x_0 + \kappa} \left[-x_0 - x_1 + (x_0 + x_1 + \kappa - \lambda)(x_0/(x_0 + \kappa) + y_1) \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{x_0 + \kappa} \dot{y}_1 = y_1' = -y_1 + \frac{1}{x_0 + \kappa} \left[-x_1 y_1 + x_0 + x_1 - \frac{(x_0 + x_1 + \kappa)x_0}{x_0 + \kappa} \right]$$

We need the above form in order to get the decay rate of y_1 to be a simple number—but it does mean that we have to be careful interpreting the results. The reason is that the time derivative in the analysis is stretched by the factor $x_0 + \kappa$: that is, $(x_0 + \kappa)dt = d\tau$ where τ is the time of the analysis. Have only tentatively put in some stochastic effects as 'additive' noise into this system.

- 194 if thecase=sdemmh then begin
- 195 % define rho=1/(x0+kappa)
- 196 let rho*x0=>1-rho*kappa;
- 197 lam:=lambda;
- 198 kappa:=1; lam:=1/2; %temporary for simplicity
- 199 xrhs:={ eps*rho*(-x0-x(1)+(x0+x(1)+kappa-lam)*(x0*rho+y(1)))

```
200 +w(1) };

201 yrhs:={ -y(1)+rho*(-x(1)*y(1)+x0+x(1)-(x0+x(1)+kappa)*x0*rho)

202 +w(2) };

203 zrhs:={ };

204 toosmall:=4;

205 factor eps,sigma;

206 end;
```

In deterministic results, $Y_1 \to 0$ to form the slow manifold. Then the x-evolution is modelled by simply putting $X_1 = 0$ in the right-hand side of \dot{X}_1 . This gets rid of a lot of terms.

As a prototypical example, let's investigate the simplest stochastic effects on this MM system of an additive noise. The additive noise will transform to a multiplicative noise on the slow manifold, so it is important to remember that *all* analysis and results are in the *Stratonovich* interpretation.

The analysis here is strong, pathwise.

The transformations here only rely on the 'noise' being measurable, so the results also apply to deterministic non-autonomous forcing. The analysis may also apply to to non-Brownian noise provided the appropriate interpretation is used (e.g., the Marcus interpretation). That is, as long as standard rules of integral calculus are valid.

Specified dynamical system Here add 'independent noises', $w_1(t)$ and $w_2(t)$, Stratonovich, to the ODEs of the transformed MM system, noises of strength σ . It is as yet unclear what this addition means in terms of the original MM system.

$$x_1' = \varepsilon \varepsilon (x_1 y_1 \rho - x_1 \rho^2 - 1/2 y_1 \rho + y_1 + 1/2 \rho^2 - 1/2 \rho) + \sigma w_1$$

$$y_1' = \sigma w_2 + \varepsilon (-x_1 y_1 \rho + x_1 \rho^2) - y_1$$

Time dependent coordinate transform The algorithm constructs a coordinate transform to variables (X_1, Y_1) , including terms quadratic in σ , that

to errors $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^2, \varepsilon^3)$, is the following. The coordinate transform depends upon both the past and the future via convolutions e^{-1t} and e^t , respectively.

The following expressions are complicated because stochastic effects interact through nonlinearity in a combinatorial explosion of ways. We almost certainly do not need all these terms. I subsequently explain why the blue terms are the ones describing the emergent stochastic slow manifold and the evolution thereon. Further, remember that the dominant terms are towards the end of each expression.

$$\begin{array}{l} y_1 = \varepsilon \sigma \epsilon^2 \big(-e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ X_1 \rho^3 + 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ \rho^3 - \\ 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ \rho^2 - e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ X_1 \rho^3 + 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ \rho^3 - \\ e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ \rho^2 - e^t \star e^t \star w_1 \ Y_1^2 \rho^2 - 2 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ X_1 Y_1 \rho^2 - e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ X_1 \rho^3 + \\ e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ Y_1 \rho^2 - 2 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ Y_1 \rho + 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ \rho^3 - e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ \rho^2 - e^{1t} \star w_1 \ Y_1^2 \rho^2 - e^t \star w_1 \ Y_1 \rho^3 - e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ \rho^4 \big) + \varepsilon \epsilon^2 \big(-X_1 Y_1^2 \rho^2 + X_1 \rho^4 + 1/2 Y_1^2 \rho^2 - Y_1^2 \rho - \\ 1/2 \rho^4 + 1/2 \rho^3 \big) + \sigma \epsilon^2 \big(e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ X_1^2 \rho^2 + e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ X_1 \rho^3 + \\ 2 e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ X_1 \rho^3 \big) + \sigma \epsilon \big(-e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ X_1 \rho - e^{-1t} \star w_1 \ \rho^2 \big) + \sigma e^{-1t} \star w_2 - \\ \epsilon^2 X_1^2 \rho^3 + \epsilon X_1 \rho^2 + Y_1 \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} x_1 = \\ \varepsilon^2 \sigma \varepsilon^2 \left(-e^t \star e^t \star w_1 \; Y_1 \rho^3 + e^{-1t} \star w_2 \; X_1 Y_1 \rho^2 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \; Y_1 \rho^2 + e^{-1t} \star w_2 \; Y_1 \rho - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \; \rho^2 - 1/2 e^{2t} \star w_1 \; Y_1^2 \rho^2 + e^t \star w_1 \; Y_1^2 \rho^2 \right) + \varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^2 \left(1/2 X_1 Y_1^2 \rho^2 - 1/4 Y_1^2 \rho^2 + 1/2 Y_1^2 \rho - 1/2 Y_1 \rho^2 \right) + \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon^2 \left(e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \; X_1^2 \rho^2 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \; X_1 \rho^2 + e^{-1t} \star w_2 \; X_1 \rho + e^t \star e^t \star w_1 \; X_1 Y_1 \rho^2 + e^{-1t} \star w_2 \; X_1^2 \rho^2 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \; X_1 \rho^2 + e^{-1t} \star w_2 \; X_1 \rho + 2 e^t \star w_1 \; X_1 Y_1 \rho^2 - 1/2 e^t \star w_1 \; Y_1 \rho^2 + e^t \star w_1 \; Y_1 \rho + e^{-1t} \star w_1 \; X_1 \rho^3 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_1 \; \rho^3 + e^{-1t} \star w_1 \; \rho^2 \right) + \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \left(-e^{-1t} \star w_2 \; X_1 \rho + 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_2 \; \rho - e^{-1t} \star w_2 - e^t \star w_1 \; Y_1 \rho \right) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 \left(X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^2 - 1/2 X_1 Y_1 \rho^2 + X_1 Y_1 \rho \right) + \varepsilon \varepsilon \left(-X_1 Y_1 \rho + 1/2 Y_1 \rho - Y_1 \right) + X_1 \end{array}$

These new coordinates (X_1,Y_1) are non-Markovian in relation to (x_1,y_1) , in some sense, but the non-Markovian nature is exponentially decaying away from the current time. The construction of a non-autonomous stochastic slow manifold has to look to the future and the past in order to find out what variations are going to stay bounded for all time.

Result normal form DEs In the (X_1, Y_1) coordinates, the stochastic system satisfies the following Stratonovich system, to errors $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^3, \varepsilon^4)$.

```
Y_1' = \varepsilon^2 \sigma^2 \varepsilon^3 (e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ w_1 Y_1 \rho^4 + e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 \ w_1 Y_1 \rho^4 +
    1/2e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_2 X_1 Y_1 \rho^3 - 1/4e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_2 Y_1 \rho^3 + 1/2e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_2 Y_1 \rho^2 -
  e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 Y_1 \rho^4 \big) + \varepsilon^2 \sigma \varepsilon^3 \big( -w_2 X_1 Y_1 \rho^4 + 1/2 w_2 Y_1 \rho^4 + w_2 Y_1 \rho^3 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^5 \big) -
    1/2\epsilon^{2}\epsilon^{3}Y_{1}\rho^{4} + \epsilon\sigma^{2}\epsilon^{3}(-2e^{-1t}\star e^{-1t}\star e^{-1t}\star w_{2} w_{1}X_{1}Y_{1}\rho^{3} - e^{-1t}\star w_{2})
4e^{-1t}\star e^{-1t}\star w_2 \ w_1X_1\dot{Y}_1\rho^3 + 1/2e^{-1t}\star e^{-1t}\star w_2 \ w_1Y_1\rho^3 -
  2e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1 Y_1 \rho^3 - 4e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1 Y_1 \rho^2 - 2e^t\star w_1 w_1 Y_1 \rho^4 -
  2e^{-1t}\star w_1\,w_1Y_1\rho^4\big) + \varepsilon\sigma^2\varepsilon^2\big(e^{-1t}\star e^{-1t}\star w_2\,\,w_1Y_1\rho^2 + 2e^{-1t}\star w_2\,w_1Y_1\rho^2\big) + \\
  \varepsilon\sigma\varepsilon^{3}\big(-4w_{2}X_{1}^{2}Y_{1}^{'}\rho^{3}+2w_{2}X_{1}Y_{1}\rho^{3}-4w_{2}X_{1}Y_{1}\rho^{2}+2w_{1}X_{1}Y_{1}\rho^{4}+1/2w_{1}^{'}Y_{1}\rho^{4}-
  w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon^2 (2w_2 X_1 Y_1 \rho^2 - w_2 Y_1 \rho^2 + 2w_2 Y_1 \rho - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^3 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X_1^2 Y_1 \rho^4 - w_1 Y_1 \rho^4) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (2X
  X_{1}Y_{1}\rho^{4} + 2X_{1}Y_{1}\rho^{3}) + \varepsilon\varepsilon^{2}(-X_{1}Y_{1}\rho^{3} + 1/2Y_{1}\rho^{3} - Y_{1}\rho^{2}) - \varepsilon X_{1}Y_{1}\rho - Y_{1}
X_1' = -\frac{1}{4}\epsilon^3\sigma^2\epsilon^3e^{-1t}\star w_2w_2\rho^3 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^3\sigma\epsilon^3w_2\rho^4 + \epsilon^2\sigma^2\epsilon^3(-1)
  e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 X_1 \rho^4 + 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \star w_1 \rho^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} \phi^4 - 1/2 e^{-1t} 
    1/2e^{-1t}\star e^{-1t}\star e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1\rho^3 - e^{-1t}\star e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1X_1\rho^4 +
    1/2e^{-1t}\star e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1\rho^4 - e^{-1t}\star e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1\rho^3 - 2e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1X_1\rho^4 +
  e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^4 - 7/2 e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^3 - e^{-1t} \star w_1 w_1 \rho^5) + \epsilon^2 \sigma \epsilon^3 (-w_2 X_1^2 \rho^4 + w_1 w_2 w_1 \rho^3 - e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^3 - e^{-1t} \star w_1 w_1 \rho^5) + \epsilon^2 \sigma \epsilon^3 (-w_2 X_1^2 \rho^4 + w_2 w_1 \rho^3 - e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^3 - e^{-1t} \star w_1 w_1 \rho^5) + \epsilon^2 \sigma \epsilon^3 (-w_2 X_1^2 \rho^4 + w_2 w_1 \rho^3 - e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho^3 - e^{-1t} \star w_1 w_1 \rho^5) + \epsilon^2 \sigma \epsilon^3 (-w_2 X_1^2 \rho^4 + w_1 w_1 \rho^3 - e^{-1t} \star w_1 w_1 \rho^3 - e^{-1t} \star w_1 w_1 \rho^5) + \epsilon^2 \sigma \epsilon^3 (-w_2 X_1^2 \rho^4 + w_1 w_1 \phi^4 - e^{-1t} w_1 w_1 \phi
w_2X_1\rho^4 - 7/2w_2X_1\rho^3 - 1/4w_2\rho^4 + 5/4w_2\rho^3 - 3/2w_2\rho^2 - w_1X_1\rho^5 + 1/2w_1\rho^5 - w_2X_1\rho^4 - 1/2w_2\rho^4 + 1/2w_2\rho^4 + 1/2w_2\rho^4 - 1/2w_2\rho^4 + 1/2w_2\rho^4 - 1/2w_2\rho^4 + 1/2w_2\rho^4 - 1/2w_2\rho^4 + 1/2w_2\rho^4 - 1/2w_2\rho^4 - 1/2w_2\rho^4 + 1/2w_2\rho^4 - 1
    \frac{3/2w_1\rho^4)+1/2\epsilon^2\sigma\epsilon^2w_2\rho^2+\epsilon^2\epsilon^3(X_1^2\rho^5-X_1\rho^5+3/2X_1\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4+1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^4-1/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-3/4\rho^5-
    \frac{1/2\rho^{3}}{} + \epsilon \sigma^{2} \epsilon^{3} \left(e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} \right) w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} - \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} \right) w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1} X_{1}^{2} \rho^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star w_{2} w_{1}^{2} \phi^{3} + 2e^{-1t} \star
    3e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 X_1^2 \rho^3 - e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 X_1 \rho^3 + 2e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 X_1 \rho^2 + 4e^{-1t} \star w_1 w_1 X_1 \rho^4 -
    1/2e^{-1t}\star w_1\,w_1\rho^4 + e^{-1t}\star w_1\,w_1\rho^3\big) + \varepsilon\sigma^2\varepsilon^2\big(-e^{-1t}\star e^{-1t}\star w_2\,w_1X_1\rho^2 -
  2e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1 X_1 \rho^2 + 1/2e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1 \rho^2 - e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1 \rho - e^{-1t}\star w_1 w_1 \rho^3 + 1/2e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1 \rho^2 - e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1 \rho^2 - e^{-1t}\star w_1 w_1 \rho^3 + 1/2e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1 \rho^2 - e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1 \rho^2 - e^{-1t}\star w_1 w_1 \rho^3 + 1/2e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1 \rho^2 - e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1 \rho^2 - e^{-1t}\star w_1 w_1 \rho^3 + 1/2e^{-1t}\star w_2 w_1 \rho^2 - e^{-1t}\star w_1 w_1 \rho^2 - e^{-1t}\star w_1 w_1 \rho^3 + 1/2e^{-1t}\star w_1 w_1 \rho^2 - e^{-1t}\star w_1 w_1 \phi^2 -
    \epsilon \sigma^2 \epsilon e^{-1t} \star w_2 w_1 \rho + \epsilon \sigma \epsilon^3 (w_2 X_1^3 \rho^3 - 1/2 w_2 X_1^2 \rho^3 + w_2 X_1^2 \rho^2 + 3 w_1 X_1^2 \rho^4 - 1/2 w_2 x_1^2 \rho^3 + w_2 x_1^2 \rho^2 + 3 w_1 x_1^2 \rho^4 - 1/2 w_2 x_1^2 \rho^3 + w_2 x_1^2 \rho^2 + 3 w_1 x_1^2 \rho^4 - 1/2 w_2 x_1^2 \rho^3 + w_2 x_1^2 \rho^2 + 3 w_1 x_1^2 \rho^4 - 1/2 w_2 x_1^2 \rho^3 + w_2 x_1^2 \rho^2 + 3 w_1 x_1^2 \rho^4 - 1/2 w_2 x_1^2 \rho^3 + w_2 x_1^2 \rho^2 + 3 w_1 x_1^2 \rho^4 - 1/2 w_2 x_1^2 \rho^3 + w_2 x_1^2 \rho^2 + 3 w_1 x_1^2 \rho^4 - 1/2 w_2 x_1^2 \rho^2 + 3 w_1 x_1^2 \rho^4 - 1/2 w_2 x_1^2 \rho^2 + 3 w_1 x_1^2 \rho^4 - 1/2 w_2 x_1^2 \rho^2 + 3 w_1 \phi^2 + 3 w
  3/2w_1X_1\rho^4 + 3w_1X_1\rho^3) + \varepsilon\sigma\varepsilon^2(-w_2X_1^2\rho^2 + 1/2w_2X_1\rho^2 - w_2X_1\rho - w_1X_1\rho^3 +
    \frac{1/2w_1\rho^3 - w_1\rho^2}{1} + \epsilon\sigma\varepsilon(w_2X_1\rho - 1/2w_2\rho + w_2) + \epsilon\varepsilon^3(-X_1^3\rho^4 + 1/2X_1^2\rho^4 - 1/2w_2\rho^2 + w_2) + \epsilon\varepsilon^2(-X_1^3\rho^4 + + w_2^2) + \epsilon\varepsilon^2(-X_1^3\rho^4 + w_2^2) + \epsilon\varepsilon^2(-X_1^3\rho^4 + w_2^2) + \epsilon\varepsilon^2(-X_1^3\rho^4 + w_2^2) + \epsilon\varepsilon^2(-X_1^3\rho^4 +
  (X_1^2\rho^3) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^2 (X_1^2\rho^3 - 1/2X_1\rho^3 + X_1\rho^2) + \varepsilon \varepsilon (-X_1\rho^2 + 1/2\rho^2 - 1/2\rho) + \sigma w_1
```

Discussion

• In the Y_1' SDE, by construction, every term is $\propto Y_1$, and, further, the leading term gives $Y_1' \approx -Y_1$. Hence, $Y_1 \approx \mathcal{O}(e^{-\tau}) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\int (x_0 + \kappa) dt})$ as time increases. Consequently, by continuity, in some finite domain about (x_0, y_0) , $Y_1 \to 0$ to form the emergent stochastic slow manifold

$$Y_1 = 0.$$

- The local shape of the slow manifold is thus given by substituting $Y_1 = 0$ into the expressions for (x_1, y_1) . Thus the slow manifold is locally parametrised by X_1, ϵ, σ . Now the variation in X_1 is the Taylor series for the variation in x_0 (as they are both describing the same slow manifold). So all we need is to set $X_1 = 0$ and look at the shape of the slow manifold in terms of x_0, ϵ, σ , that is, the blue terms.
 - Setting $X_1 = Y_1 = 0$ gives $y_1 \approx \varepsilon \frac{1}{2} (\rho^3 \rho^4) \sigma e^{-1t} \star w_1 \rho^2 + \sigma e^{-1t} \star w_2$, that is, since $\rho = 1/(x + \kappa) = 1/(x + 1)$,

$$y = y_0 + y_1 \approx \frac{x_0}{x_0 + 1} + \epsilon \frac{x_0}{2(x_0 + 1)^4} - \frac{\sigma e^{-1t} \star w_1}{(x + 1)^2} + \sigma e^{-1t} \star w_2$$
.

Dominantly, the slow manifold jitters up/down in y due to the recent history of noise w_2 , but also is affected from the recent history of the noise w_1 in x.

– Setting $X_1=Y_1=0$ gives $x_1\approx \varepsilon\sigma\epsilon \left(1/2e^{-1t}\star w_2\,\rho-e^{-1t}\star w_2\right),$ that is,

$$x = x_0 + x_1 \approx x_0 - \epsilon \sigma \frac{2x+1}{2(x+1)} e^{-1t} \star w_2$$
.

The noise w_2 in y generates a history dependent slip between x and the relevant x_0 !

This slip may be seen to be due to the slope of isochrons transversal to the slow manifold—a slope not detected in SPT.

This stochastic-MM example also shows the general property that although the *existence* of a slow manifold has future dependence, here via $e^{t}\star$ convolutions, the slow manifold itself and the evolution thereon depends only upon the history, here via $e^{-1t}\star$ convolutions.

• Now for the x-evolution on the stochastic slow manifold. Consider $X(t) = x_0 + x_1(t)$, so that $X' = x_1'$. Recall that on the slow manifold, $Y_1 = 0$ and $x \approx x_0 - \varepsilon \sigma \frac{2x+1}{2(x+1)} e^{-1t} \star w_2$, $X_1 = 0$, so also putting $X_1 = 0$ and $x_0 = X$ give the evolution for the slow variable X, namely the global

slow evolution is

$$X' \approx -\epsilon \frac{X}{2(X+1)^2} + \epsilon^2 \frac{X(2X+1)}{4(X+1)^5} + \sigma w_1 + \epsilon \sigma \frac{2x+1}{2(x+1)} w_2$$
.

The stochastic slow variable X is not quite the same as the physical x. This coordinate transform lacks any convolutions in time. That lack is part of the art of the construction.

If, instead, one wants the slow variable to be precisely x, as many implicitly assume they can, then convolutions must occur in x'). We may see this by constructing a nonlinear coordinate transform that maintains, when $Y_1 = 0$, that $x = x_0$, precisely. It is straightforward to modify the algorithm to do so. The generic consequence is that terms *linear* in the noise appear in the evolution x' that have fast-time history convolutions. That is, the consequence is that undesirable fast-time history integrals occur in the evolution of the supposedly slow variable x.

Noise-noise interactions However, effects which are quadratic in the noise, due to noise-noise interactions, generally involve convolutions that *cannot* be removed from the evolution of the slow variable, as seen in expressions for X'_1 . Here, the lowest order example is the term

$$+\epsilon\sigma^{2}e^{-1t}\star w_{2}w_{1}/(x+1)$$

which could be included in the retained terms of X_1' . We argued (Chao & Roberts 1996, §4) that such terms 'bring up' new information from the fluctuations on the fast-time microscale, and hence cause noise effects in the slow model that are independent of slow-scale sampling of w_1 and w_2 . We argued that such terms, when one only samples them on the long-times of the slow manifold, should thus be replaced by a new noise, namely $e^{-\beta t} \star w_2 w_1 \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\beta}} w_3$ when all w_j are formally 'the derivatives' of independent Wiener processes.

The above results are for one example of a stochastic MM system. Almost all other stochastic MM systems would have the same issues.

3 General SDE preliminaries

Deterministic, autonomous, normal forms are constructed simply by omitting any noise term w() in the differential equations.

The right-hand sides must be multinomial in variables x_i , y_i , z_i and w_i , but off-hand I do not know an easy way to check for this.

Improve appearance Improve appearance of printed output.

```
207 on div; off allfac; on revpri; 208 linelength 70$
```

If for the web, then send text output to file

```
209 if thecase=webpage then out "sdeo.txt"$
```

3.1 Extract and scale slow equations

The number of slow equations is the number of terms in the list in xrhs.

```
210 write "no. of slow modes ",m:=length(xrhs);
```

Multiply all the right-hand sides by small so we can control the truncation of the asymptotic construction through discarding high powers of small. Users could use small in their equations for appropriate effects.

```
211 xrhs:=for i:=1:m collect small*part(xrhs,i)$
```

Adjust the noise terms. Remove the small multiplication of noise terms, and instead multiply by sigma to empower me to independently control the truncation in noise amplitude.

```
212 xrhs:=(xrhs where w(^{\circ}j)=>sigma*w(j,1)/small)$
213 xrhs:=(xrhs where w(^{\circ}j,1)=>w(j))$
```

Section 5 writes the resulting differential equations for information.

3.2 Extract and scale stable fast equations

The number of stable fast equations is the number of terms in the list in yrhs.

```
214 write "no. of stable fast modes ",ny:=length(yrhs);
```

Extract decay rates Extract the linear decay rates of the fast equations into an array. For each expression in the provided set of right-hand sides:

```
215 array rate(ny);
216 for i:=1:ny do begin
```

For the ith right-hand side get the linear dependence upon y(i), then set other dynamic variables to zero to get just the coefficient.

```
217 rate(i):=coeffn(part(yrhs,i),y(i),1);
218 rate(i):=(rate(i) where {x(~j)=>0,y(~j)=>0,z(~j)=>0,w(~j)=>0})
```

However, the coefficient may depend upon parameters, so if it is not simply a number, but is a sum, then trawl through the sum looking for a simple number to use as the decay rate.

```
219
     if not numberp(rate(i)) then
     if part(rate(i),0)=plus then begin
220
        rr:=0;
221
        for j:=1:arglength(rate(i)) do
222
          if numberp(part(rate(i),j))
223
          then rr:=part(rate(i),j);
224
        rate(i):=rr:
225
     end:
226
```

Change sign to make rate into positive decay rates, rather than negative growth rates.

```
227 rate(i):=-rate(i);
```

If all the above has not ended up with a simple number, then exit with an error message.

```
if numberp(rate(i))and rate(i)>0 then
else begin
write "***** Error *****
Linear coeffs of y-decay must be negative numbers";
if thecase=wbepage then <<
shut "sdeo.txt"; quit >>;
end;
```

End the loop over all right-hand sides.

```
235 end;
```

Flag later warning if the linear part not diagonal.

```
236 offdiag:=0$
237 for i:=1:ny do for j:=1:ny do if i neq j then begin
238    jac:=coeffn(part(yrhs,i),y(j),1);
239    if (jac where {x(~k)=>0,y(~k)=>0,z(~k)=>0,w(~k)=>0}) neq 0
240    then offdiag:=1$
241 end;
```

Multiply all the 'nonlinear' terms right-hand sides by small so we control the truncation of the asymptotic construction through discarding high powers of small. Leave the identified linear decay terms intact. Users could use small in their equations for interesting effects.

```
242 yrhs:=for i:=1:ny collect
243 small*part(yrhs,i)+(1-small)*(-rate(i)*y(i))$
```

Remove the small multiplication of noise terms, and instead multiply by sigma to empower me to independently control the truncation in noise amplitude.

```
244 yrhs:=( yrhs where w(^{\circ}j)=>sigma*w(j,1)/small )$ 245 yrhs:=( yrhs where w(^{\circ}j,1)=>w(j) )$
```

Section 5 writes the resulting differential equations for information.

3.3 Extract and scale unstable fast equations

The number of unstable fast equations is the number of terms in the list in zrhs.

```
246 write "no. of unstable fast modes ",nz:=length(zrhs);
```

Extract decay rates Extract the linear decay rates of the fast equations into an array. For each expression in the provided set of right-hand sides:

```
247 array ratf(nz);
248 for i:=1:nz do begin
```

For the ith right-hand side get the linear dependence upon z(i), then set other dynamic variables to zero to get just the coefficient.

```
249 ratf(i):=coeffn(part(zrhs,i),z(i),1);
250 ratf(i):=(ratf(i) where {x(~j)=>0,y(~j)=>0,z(~j)=>0,w(~j)=>0})
```

However, the coefficient may depend upon parameters, so if it is not simply a number, but is a sum, then trawl through the sum looking for a simple number to use as the decay rate.

```
251
     if not numberp(ratf(i)) then
     if part(ratf(i),0)=plus then begin
252
       rr:=0;
253
       for j:=1:arglength(ratf(i)) do
254
          if numberp(part(ratf(i), j))
255
          then rr:=part(ratf(i),j);
256
        ratf(i):=rr;
257
258
     end:
```

If all the above has not ended up with a simple number, then exit with an error message.

```
259 if numberp(ratf(i))and ratf(i)>0 then
260 else begin
261 write "***** Error *****
```

```
Linear coeffs of z-growth must be positive numbers";
if thecase=webpage then <<
shut "sdeo.txt"; quit >>;
end;
```

End the loop over all right-hand sides.

```
266 end;
```

Flag warning if the linear part not diagonal.

```
267 for i:=1:nz do for j:=1:nz do if i neq j then begin
268    jac:=coeffn(part(zrhs,i),z(j),1);
269    if (jac where {x(~k)=>0,y(~k)=>0,z(~k)=>0,w(~k)=>0}) neq 0
270    then offdiag:=1$
271 end;
```

Multiply all the 'nonlinear' terms right-hand sides by small so we control the truncation of the asymptotic construction through discarding high powers of small. Leave the identified linear decay terms intact. Users could use small in their equations for interesting effects.

```
272 zrhs:=for i:=1:nz collect
273 small*part(zrhs,i)+(1-small)*(+ratf(i)*z(i))$
```

Remove the small multiplication of noise terms, and instead multiply by sigma to empower me to independently control the truncation in noise amplitude.

```
274 zrhs:=((zrhs where w(~j)=>sigma*w(j,1)/small)
275 where w(~j,1)=>w(j))$
```

Section 5 writes the resulting differential equations for information.

Turn off output to file while writing LATEX.

```
276 if thecase=webpage then shut "sdeo.txt"$
```

4 Setup LaTeX output using rlfi

Now setup the rlfi package to write a LaTeX version of the output. It is all a bit tricky and underhand, so hope it works. We override some stuff from rlfi.red.⁵

Override some rlfi things First, change name to get Big delimiters, not left-right delimiters, so LATEX can break lines.

```
277 deflist('((!(!\!b!i!g!() (!) !\!b!i!g!)) (!P!I !\!p!i! )
278 (!p!i !\!p!i! ) (!E !e) (!I !i) (e !e) (i !i)), 'name)$
```

Override the procedure that prints annoying messages about multicharacter symbols. It ends the output of one expression. This is just a copy from rlfi.red with the appropriate if-statement deleted.

```
279 symbolic procedure prinlaend;
280 <<terpri();
     prin2 "\end{";
281
     prin2 mstyle!*;
282
    prin2t "}\par";
283
     if !*verbatim then
284
          <<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre>
285
            prin2t "REDUCE Input:">>;
286
     ncharspr!*:=0;
287
      if ofl!* then linelength(car linel!*)
288
        else laline!*:=cdr linel!*;
289
290
      nochar!*:=append(nochar!*,nochar1!*);
      nochar1!*:=nil >>$
291
```

Override the procedure that outputs the LATEX preamble upon the command on latex.

```
292 symbolic procedure latexon;
293 <<!*!*a2sfn:='texaeval;</pre>
```

⁵Find it in reduce-algebra/trunk/packages/misc/rlfi.red

```
294
      !*raise:=nil;
     prin2t "\documentclass[11pt,a5paper]{article}";
295
     prin2t "\usepackage[a5paper,margin=13mm]{geometry}";
296
     prin2t "\usepackage{parskip,time} \raggedright";
297
     prin2t "\def\ou\big(#1,#2,#3\big){{e^{\if#31\else#3\fi t}\star}
298
     prin2t "\title{Normal form of your dynamical system}";
299
     prin2t "\author{A. J. Roberts, University of Adelaide\\";
300
     prin2t "\texttt{http://www.maths.adelaide.edu.au/anthony.rober
301
     prin2t "\date{\now, \today}";
302
     prin2t "\begin{document}";
303
     prin2t "\maketitle";
304
     prin2t "\input{sdeo1}";
305
     if !*verbatim then
306
          <<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre>
307
            prin2t "REDUCE Input:">>;
308
     put('tex,'rtypefn,'(lambda(x) 'tex)) >>$
309
```

Use inline math environment so that long lines, the norm, get line breaks. The command \raggedright in the LATEX preamble appears the best option for the line breaking, but \sloppy would also work reasonably.

```
310 mathstyle math;
```

Define names for LATEX formatting Define some names I use, so that rlfi translates them to Greek characters in the LATEX.

```
311 %defid sig,name=sigma;
312 defid eps,name=epsilon;
313 defid small,name=varepsilon;
```

Should not need these translation definitions but somehow we do in order for users to get the Greek alphabet to appear. I am puzzled??

```
314 defid alpha,name=alpha;
315 defid beta,name=beta;
316 defid gamma,name=gamma;
317 defid delta,name=delta;
```

```
318 defid epsilon, name = epsilon;
319 defid varepsilon, name=varepsilon;
320 defid zeta, name=zeta;
321 defid eta, name=eta;
322 defid theta, name=theta;
323 defid vartheta, name=vartheta;
324 defid iota, name=iota;
325 defid kappa, name=kappa;
326 defid lambda, name=lambda;
327 defid mu, name=mu;
328 defid nu, name=nu;
329 defid xi,name=xi;
330 defid pi,name=pi;
331 defid varpi, name=varpi;
332 defid rho, name=rho;
333 defid varrho, name=varrho;
334 defid sigma, name=sigma;
335 defid varsigma, name=varsigma;
336 defid tau, name=tau;
337 defid upsilon, name=upsilon;
338 defid phi, name=phi;
339 defid varphi, name=varphi;
340 defid chi, name=chi;
341 defid psi,name=psi;
342 defid omega, name = omega;
343 defid Gamma, name=Gamma;
344 defid Delta, name=Delta;
345 defid Theta, name=Theta;
346 defid Lambda, name=Lambda;
347 defid Xi,name=Xi;
348 defid Pi,name=Pi;
349 defid Sigma, name=Sigma;
350 defid Upsilon, name=Upsilon;
351 defid Phi, name=Phi;
352 defid Psi,name=Psi;
```

```
353 defid Omega, name=Omega;
```

For the variables names I use, as operators, define how they appear in the LATEX, and also define that their arguments appear as subscripts.

```
354 defindex w(down);
355 defindex x(down);
356 defindex y(down);
357 defindex z(down);
358 defid xx,name="X";
359 defid yy,name="Y";
360 defid zz,name="Z";
361 defindex xx(down);
362 defindex yy(down);
363 defindex zz(down);
364 defindex hh(down);
365 defindex gg(down);
366 defindex ff(down);
```

First use these for the specified dynamical system, later use them for the normal form equations.

```
367 defid hh,name="\dot z";
368 defid gg,name="\dot y";
369 defid ff,name="\dot x";
```

The Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator is to translate into a LATEX command, see the preamble, that typesets the convolution in a reasonable manner. The definition of the LATEX command is a bit dodgy as convolutions of convolutions are not printed in the correct order; however, convolutions commute so it does not matter.

```
370 defid ou,name="\ou";
371 defindex ou(arg,arg,arg);
```

Write the LATEX dynamical system Because of the way rfli works, to get good quality output to the LATEX document, I need to write the algebraic

expressions to a file, then read them back in again. While being read back in, I send the output to the LATEX file. In this convoluted way I avoid extraneous output lines polluting the LATEX.

Temporarily use these arrays for the right-hand sides of the dynamical system.

```
372 array ff(m),gg(ny),hh(nz);
```

Write expressions to the file sdeo.red for later reading. Prepend the expressions with an instruction to write a heading, and surround the heading with anti-math mode to cancel the math environment that rlfi puts in.

```
373 out "sdeo.red"$
374 write "write ""\end{math}
375 \paragraph{Specified dynamical system}
376 \begin{math}""$";
377 for i:=1:m do write "ff(",i,"):=1*part(xrhs,",i,");";
378 for i:=1:ny do write "gg(",i,"):=1*part(yrhs,",i,");";
379 for i:=1:nz do write "hh(",i,"):=1*part(zrhs,",i,");";
380 write "end;";
381 shut "sdeo.red";
```

Then switch on LATEX output before writing to file as this LATEX file is to be input from the main LATEX file and hence does not need a header. The header here gets sent to the 'terminal' instead. Then write to sdeol.tex the expressions we stored in sdeo.red as nice LATEX.

```
382 on latex$
383 out "sdeo1.tex"$
384 in "sdeo.red"$
385 shut "sdeo1.tex"$
386 off latex$
```

5 Delayed write of text info

Because it is messy to interleave LATEX and plain output, I delay writing anything much in plain text until here. Here start writing to the text output

file sdeo.txt; finish writing to file upon success, or otherwise, of the iteration.

```
387 if thecase=webpage then out "sdeo.txt"$
```

Write the delayed warning message about off-diagonal terms.

```
388 if offdiag then write "
389 ***** Warning ****
390 Off diagonal linear terms in y- or z- equations assumed
391 small. Answers are rubbish if not asymptotically
392 appropriate. "$
```

Write the plain text versions of the dynamical system.

```
393 write "no. of slow modes ",m:=length(xrhs);
394 for i:=1:m do write "dx(",i,")/dt = ",1*part(xrhs,i);
395 write "no. of stable fast modes ",ny:=length(yrhs);
396 for i:=1:ny do write "dy(",i,")/dt = ",1*part(yrhs,i);
397 write "no. of unstable fast modes ",nz:=length(zrhs);
398 for i:=1:nz do write "dz(",i,")/dt = ",1*part(zrhs,i);
```

6 Represent the noise

The white noises w depend upon time. But we find it useful to discriminate upon the notionally fast time fluctuations of the noise processes, and the notionally ordinary time variations of the dynamic variables x_i , y_i and z_i . Thus introduce a notionally fast time variable tt, which depends upon the ordinary time t. Equivalently, view tt, a sort of 'partial t', as representing variations in time independent of those in the variables x_i , y_i and z_i .

```
399 depend w,tt;
400 depend tt,t,ttyz;
```

In the construction, convolutions of the noise arise, both backwards over history and forwards to anticipate the noise. For any non-zero parameter μ ,

define the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck convolution

$$e^{\mu t} \star \varphi = \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^t \exp[\mu(t-\tau)] \varphi(\tau) \ d\tau \,, & \mu < 0 \,, \\ \int_t^{+\infty} \exp[\mu(t-\tau)] \varphi(\tau) \ d\tau \,, & \mu > 0 \,, \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

so that the convolution is always with a bounded exponential. Five useful properties of this convolution are

$$e^{\mu t} \star 1 = \frac{1}{|\mu|},\tag{22}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}e^{\mu t}\star\varphi = -\operatorname{sgn}\mu\,\varphi + \mu e^{\mu t}\star\varphi\,, \tag{23}$$

$$\mathsf{E}[e^{\mu\mathsf{t}}\star\varphi] = e^{\mu\mathsf{t}}\star\mathsf{E}[\varphi]\,,\tag{24}$$

$$\mathsf{E}[(e^{\mu\mathsf{t}}\star\varphi)^2] = \frac{1}{2|\mu|}\,,\tag{25}$$

$$e^{\mu t} \star e^{\nu t} \star = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|\mu - \nu|} \left[e^{\mu t} \star + e^{\nu t} \star \right], & \mu \nu < 0, \\ \frac{-\operatorname{sgn} \mu}{\mu - \nu} \left[e^{\mu t} \star - e^{\nu t} \star \right], & \mu \nu > 0 \& \mu \neq \nu. \end{cases}$$
(26)

Also remember that although with $\mu < 0$ the convolution $e^{\mu t}_{\star}$ integrates over the past, with $\mu > 0$ the convolution $e^{\mu t}_{\star}$ integrates into the future over a time scale of order $1/\mu$.

The operator $\mathtt{ou(f,tt,mu)}$ represents the convolution $e^{\mu t} \star f$ as defined by (21): called \mathtt{ou} because it is an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. The operator \mathtt{ou} is 'linear' over fast time \mathtt{tt} as the convolution only arises from solving PDEs in the operator $\mathfrak{d}_t - \mu$. Code its derivative (23) and its action upon deterministic terms (22):

```
401 operator ou; linear ou;

402 let { df(ou(~f,tt,~mu),t)=>-sign(mu)*f+mu*ou(f,tt,mu)

403 , ou(1,tt,~mu)=>1/abs(mu)
```

Also code the transform (26) that successive convolutions at different rates may be transformed into several single convolutions.

```
406     , ou(ou(~r,tt,~nu),tt,~mu) =>
407          -sign(mu)*(ou(r,tt,mu)-ou(r,tt,nu))/(mu-nu)
408          when (mu*nu>0)and(mu neq nu)
409     };
```

The above properties are *critical*: they must be correct for the results to be correct.

Second, identify the resonant parts, some of which must go into the evolution gg(i), and some into the transform. It depends upon the exponent of yz compared to the decay rate of this mode, here r.

```
410 operator reso; linear reso;

411 let { reso(~a,yz,~r)=>1 when df(a,yz)*yz=r*a

412 , reso(~a,yz,~r)=>0 when df(a,yz)*yz neq r*a

413 };
```

Lastly, the remaining terms get convolved at the appropriate rate to solve their respective homological equation by the operator zres.

```
414 depend yz,ttyz;

415 operator zres; linear zres;

416 let zres(~a,ttyz,~r)=>ou(sign(df(a,yz)*yz/a-r)

417 *sub(yz=1,a),tt,df(a,yz)*yz/a-r);
```

7 Solve homological equation with noise

When solving homological equations of the form $F + \xi_t = \mathrm{Res}$ (the resonant case $\mu = 0$), we separate the terms in the right-hand side Res into those that are integrable in fast time, and hence modify the coordinate transform by changing ξ , and those that are not, and hence must remain in the evolution by changing F. the operator zint extracts those parts of a term that we know are integrable; the operator znon extracts those parts which are not. Note: with more research, more types of terms may be found to be integrable; hence what is extracted by zint and what is left by zint may change with more research. These transforms are not critical: changing the transforms may

change intermediate computations, but as long as the iteration converges, the computer algebra results will be algebraically correct.

```
418 operator zint; linear zint; 419 operator znon; linear znon;
```

First, avoid obvious secularity.

```
420 let { zint(w(~i),tt)=>0, znon(w(~i),tt)=>w(i)

421 , zint(1,tt)=>0, znon(1,tt)=>1

422 , zint(w(~i)*~r,tt)=>0, znon(w(~i)*~r,tt)=>w(i)*r
```

Second, by (23) a convolution may be split into an integrable part, and a part in its argument which in turn may be integrable or not.

```
423 , zint(ou(~r,tt,~mu),tt)=>ou(r,tt,mu)/mu+zint(r,tt)/abs(mu)
424 , znon(ou(~r,tt,~mu),tt)=>znon(r,tt)/abs(mu)
```

Third, squares of convolutions may be integrated by parts to an integrable term and a part that may have integrable or non-integrable parts.

```
425 , zint(ou(~r,tt,~mu)^2,tt)=>ou(~r,tt,~mu)^2/(2*mu)

426 +zint(r*ou(r,tt,mu),tt)/abs(mu)

427 , znon(ou(~r,tt,~mu)^2,tt)=>znon(r*ou(r,tt,mu),tt)/abs(mu)
```

Fourth, different products of convolutions may be similarly separated using integration by parts.

```
428 , zint(ou(~r,tt,~mu)*ou(~s,tt,~nu),tt)
429 =>ou(r,tt,mu)*ou(s,tt,nu)/(mu+nu)
430 +zint(sign(mu)*r*ou(s,tt,nu)+sign(nu)*s*ou(r,tt,mu),tt)
431 /(mu+nu) when mu+nu neq 0
432 , znon(ou(~r,tt,~mu)*ou(~s,tt,~nu),tt)=>
433 +znon(sign(mu)*r*ou(s,tt,nu)+sign(nu)*s*ou(r,tt,mu),tt)
434 /(mu+nu) when mu+nu neq 0
```

However, a zero divisor arises when $\mu + \nu = 0$ in the above. Here code rules to cater for such terms by increasing the depth of convolutions over past history.

```
435 , zint(ou(~r,tt,~mu)*ou(~s,tt,~nu),tt)=>
436   ou(ou(r,tt,-nu),tt,-nu)*ou(s,tt,nu)
437   +zint(ou(ou(r,tt,-nu),tt,-nu)*s,tt) when (mu+nu=0)and(nu>0)
438 , znon(ou(~r,tt,~mu)*ou(~s,tt,~nu),tt)=>
439   znon(ou(ou(r,tt,-nu),tt,-nu)*s,tt) when (mu+nu=0)and(nu>0)
```

The above handles quadratic products of convolutions. Presumably, if we seek cubic noise effects then we may need cubic products of convolutions. However, I do not proceed so far and hence terminate the separation rules.

```
440 };
```

8 Initialise approximate transform

Truncate asymptotic approximation of the coordinate transform depending upon the parameter toosmall, up to a maximum of six. Use the 'instant evaluation' property of a loop index to define the truncation so that Reduce omits small terms on the fly.

```
441 for j:=toosmall:toosmall do let small^j=>0;
```

Variables x, y and z were operators in the specification of the equations. We now want them to store the approximation to the coordinate transform, so clear and reallocate as storage for the normal form expressions.

```
442 clear x,y,z;
443 array x(m),y(ny),z(nz);
```

Express the normal form in terms of new evolving variables X_i, Y_i and Z_i , denoted by operators xx(i), yy(i) and zz(i), which are nonlinear modifications to x_i , y_i and z_i . The expressions for the normal form SDEs are stored in ff, gg and hh.

```
444 operator xx; operator yy; operator zz;
445 depend xx,t; depend yy,t; depend zz,t;
446 let { df(xx(~i),t)=>ff(i)
447 , df(yy(~i),t)=>gg(i)
```

```
448 , df(zz(~i),t)=>hh(i) };
```

The first linear approximation is then $x_i \approx X_i$, $y_i \approx Y_i$ and $z_i = Z_i$, such that $\dot{X}_i \approx 0$, in ff(i), $\dot{Y}_i \approx -r_i Y_i$, in gg(i), and $\dot{Z}_i \approx +r_i Z_i$, in hh(i).

```
449 for i:=1:m do begin x(i):=xx(i); ff(i):=0; end;

450 for i:=1:ny do begin y(i):=yy(i); gg(i):=-rate(i)*yy(i); end;

451 for i:=1:nz do begin z(i):=zz(i); hh(i):=+ratf(i)*zz(i); end;
```

Update the Y_i evolution gg(i) and the y_i transform. The residual is of the form of a sum of terms $\prod_j Y_j^{q_j} Z_k^{r_k} \in \mathrm{Res}$. So updates involve dividing by, or convolving with, $\beta_i - \sum_j \beta_j q_j + \sum_k \gamma_k r_k$. First, form the substitutions needed to introduce yz to count the number of variables Y_i and Z_i in any given term, weighted according to their rate coefficient in the homological equation.

```
452 y4y:=for i:=1:ny collect yy(i)=yy(i)*yz^rate(i)$
453 z4z:=for i:=1:nz collect zz(i)=zz(i)/yz^ratf(i)$
454 y4y:=append(y4y,z4z)$
```

9 Iterative updates

We iterate to a solution of the governing SDEs to residuals of some order of error. For the moment, iterate for a maximum of nineteen iterations and to the pre-specified errors.

```
455 for it:=1:maxiter_ do begin 456 ok:=1;
```

9.1 Fast stable modes

Compute the residual of each of the y_i SDEs, updating ok to track whether all SDEs are satisfied.

```
457 for i:=1:ny do begin
458 res:=-df(y(i),t)+part(yrhs,i);
```

```
ok:=if res=0 then ok else 0;
```

Trace print the length of the residuals to check how the iteration is progressing.

```
write lengthresy:=length(res);
```

Within the loop: first insert the weighted count of Y and Z variables; then split the residual into two parts of possibly resonant, res0 and the rest, res1; then allocate to the evolution or the transform.

```
461    res:=sub(y4y,res);
462    res0:=reso(res,yz,+rate(i));
463    res1:=res-res0*yz^rate(i);
464    gg(i):=gg(i)+znon(res0,tt);
465    y(i):=y(i) +zint(res0,tt) -zres(res1,ttyz,rate(i));
466    end;
```

9.2 Fast unstable modes

Compute the residual of each of the z_i SDEs, updating ok to track whether all SDEs are satisfied.

```
467 for i:=1:nz do begin

468 res:=-df(z(i),t)+part(zrhs,i);

469 ok:=if res=0 then ok else 0:
```

Trace print the length of the residuals to check how the iteration is progressing.

```
write lengthresz:=length(res);
```

Update the Z_i evolution hh(i) and the z_i transform. Within the loop: first insert the weighted count of Y and Z variables; then split the residual into two parts of possibly resonant, res0, and the rest, res1; then allocate to the evolution or the transform.

```
471     res:=sub(y4y,res);
472     res0:=reso(res,yz,-ratf(i));
473     res1:=res-res0/yz^ratf(i);
474     hh(i):=hh(i)+znon(res0,tt);
```

```
z(i):=z(i) +zint(res0,tt) -zres(res1,ttyz,-ratf(i));
end;
```

9.3 Slow modes

Compute the residual of each of the x SDEs, updating ok to track whether all SDEs are satisfied.

```
477 for i:=1:m do begin

478 res:=-df(x(i),t) +part(xrhs,i);

479 ok:=if res=0 then ok else 0;
```

Trace print the length of this residual.

```
480 write lengthresx:=length(res);
```

Update the X_i evolution ff(i) and the x_i transform. Use the same process as for the fast variables; the difference is that here the mode rate is zero.

```
481
       res:=sub(y4y,res);
       res0:=reso(res,yz,0);
482
       res1:=res-res0:
483
       ff(i):=ff(i)+znon(res0,tt);
484
       x(i):=x(i) +zint(res0,tt) -zres(res1,ttyz,0);
485
     end:
486
487
     showtime:
488
     if ok then write "Number of iterations ",
       it:=1000000+it;
489
490 end;
```

10 Post-processing

Terminate if the iteration has not converged.

```
491 if ok=0 then begin
492 write "****Error *****
```

```
Failed to converge in maximum allowed iterations";

494 if thecase=webpage then <<
495 shut "sdeo.txt"; quit >>;

496 end;

If converged, then print results.

497 write "***** Success *****";
```

10.1 Plain text output

Print the resultant coordinate transform: but only print to one lower power in small and sigma in order to keep output relatively small.

```
498 write "The stochastic/non-autonomous coordinate transform";
499 for i:=1:nz do begin z(i):=sigma*small*z(i);
500 write z(i):=z(i)/small/sigma; end;
501 for i:=1:ny do begin y(i):=sigma*small*y(i);
502 write y(i):=y(i)/small/sigma; end;
503 for i:=1:m do begin x(i):=sigma*small*x(i);
504 write x(i):=x(i)/small/sigma; end;
```

Lastly print the normal form SDEs: first the fast, second the slow.

```
505 write "The normal form S/ODEs";
506 for i:=1:nz do write "dzz(",i,")/dt = ",hh(i);
507 for i:=1:ny do write "dyy(",i,")/dt = ",gg(i);
508 for i:=1:m do write "dxx(",i,")/dt = ",ff(i);
```

Close the output file and no longer quit but move on to LATEX output.

```
509 if thecase=webpage then shut "sdeo.txt";
```

10.2 I⁴T_EX output

As before, we have to write expressions to file for later reading so they get printed without extraneous dross in the LATEX source. First open up the

temporary file sdeo.red again.

```
510 out "sdeo.red";
```

Write the stochastic coordinate transform to file, with a heading, and with an anti-math environment to cancel the auto-math of rlfi. For some reason we have to keep these writes short as otherwise it generates a spurious fatal blank line in the LATEX.

```
511 write "write ""\end{math}
512 \paragraph{Time dependent coordinate transform}
513 \begin{math}"";";
514 for i:=1:nz do write "z(",i,"):=z(",i,");";
515 for i:=1:ny do write "y(",i,"):=y(",i,");";
516 for i:=1:m do write "x(",i,"):=x(",i,");";
```

Write the resultant stochastic normal form to file, with a heading, and with an anti-math environment to cancel the auto-math of rlfi.

```
517 write "write ""\end{math}
518 \paragraph{Result normal form DEs}
519 \begin{math}"";";
520 for i:=1:nz do write "hh(",i,"):=hh(",i,");";
521 for i:=1:ny do write "gg(",i,"):=gg(",i,");";
522 for i:=1:m do write "ff(",i,"):=ff(",i,");";
523 write "end;";
```

Shut the temporary output file.

```
524 shut "sdeo.red":
```

Get expressions from file and write the main LATEX file. But first redefine how these names get printed, namely as the normal form time derivatives.

```
525 defid hh,name="\dot Z";
526 defid gg,name="\dot Y";
527 defid ff,name="\dot X";
```

Finally write to the main LATEX file so switch on latex after starting to write to the file. Then write expressions in sdeo.red to sdeo.tex as nice LATEX.

References 62

Switch off latex, to get the end of the document, and finish writing.

```
528 out "sdeo.tex"$
529 on latex$
530 in "sdeo.red"$
531 off latex$
532 shut "sdeo.tex"$

Everything done, so say so and quit.
533 write "***** Finished *****";
534 if thecase=webpage then quit;
535 end;
```

References

- Chao, X. & Roberts, A. J. (1996), 'On the low-dimensional modelling of Stratonovich stochastic differential equations', *Physica A* **225**, 62–80. doi:10.1016/0378-4371(95)00387-8.
- Cox, S. M. & Roberts, A. J. (1991), 'Centre manifolds of forced dynamical systems', J. Austral. Math. Soc. B 32, 401–436.
- Majda, A., Timofeyev, I. & Vanden-Eijnden, E. (2002), 'A priori tests of a stochastic mode reduction strategy', *Physica D* **170**, 206–252.
- Monahan, A. H. & Culina, J. (2011), 'Stochastic averaging of idealized climate models', *Journal of Climate* **24**(12), 3068–3088.
- Pavliotis, G. A. & Stuart, A. M. (2008), Multiscale methods: averaging and homogenization, Vol. 53 of Texts in Applied Mathematics, Springer.
- Potzsche, C. & Rasmussen, M. (2006), 'Taylor approximation of integral manifolds', *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations* **18**, 427–460. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10884-006-9011-8

References 63

Roberts, A. J. (2008), 'Normal form transforms separate slow and fast modes in stochastic dynamical systems', *Physica A* **387**, 12–38. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2007.08.023.

- Roberts, A. J. (2009), Normal form of stochastic or deterministic multiscale differential equations, Technical report, http://www.maths.adelaide.edu.au/anthony.roberts/sdenf.php. Last revised Jun 2013.
- Roberts, A. J. (2013), Macroscale, slowly varying, models emerge from the microscale dynamics in long thin domains, Technical report, [http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.1541].
- Sun, X., Kan, X. & Duan, J. (2011), Approximation of invariant foliations for stochastic dynamical systems, Technical report, Illinois Institute of Technology.